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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the problem of transcendental entire functions that share two values with one of their derivative. Let $f$ be a transcendental entire function, $n$ and $k$ be two positive integers. If $f^{n}-Q_{1}$ and $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-Q_{2}$ share 0 CM , and $n \geq k+1$, then $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)} \equiv$ $\frac{Q_{2}}{Q_{1}} f^{n}$. Furthermore, if $Q_{1}=Q_{2}$, then $f=c e^{\frac{\lambda}{n} z}$, where $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ are polynomials with $Q_{1} Q_{2} \not \equiv 0$, and $c, \lambda$ are non-zero constants such that $\lambda^{k}=1$. This result shows that the Conjecture given by W. Lü, Q. Li and C. Yang [On the transcendental entire solutions of a class of differential equations, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 51 (2014), no. 5, 1281-1289.] is true. Also we exhibit some examples to show that the conditions of our result are the best possible.


## 1. Introduction definitions and results

In this paper, by a meromorphic (resp. entire) function we will always mean meromorphic (resp. entire) function in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. We denote by $n(r, \infty ; f)$ the number of poles of $f$ lying in $|z|<r$, the poles are counted according to their multiplicities. The quantity

$$
N(r, \infty ; f)=\int_{0}^{r} \frac{n(t, \infty ; f)-n(0, \infty ; f)}{t} d t+n(0, \infty ; f) \log r
$$

is called the integrated counting function or simply the counting function of poles of $f$.

Also $m(r, \infty ; f)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log ^{+}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta$ is called the proximity function of poles of $f$, where $\log ^{+} x=\log x$, if $x \geq 1$ and $\log ^{+} x=0$, if $0 \leq x<1$.

The sum $T(r, f)=m(r, \infty ; f)+N(r, \infty ; f)$ is called the Nevanlinna's characteristic function of $f$. We denote by $S(r, f)$ any quantity satisfying $S(r, f)=$ $o\{T(r, f)\}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ except possibly a set of finite linear measure.

For $a \in \mathbb{C}$, we put $N(r, a ; f)=N\left(r, \infty ; \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ and $m(r, a ; f)=m\left(r, \infty ; \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$.
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Let us denote by $\bar{n}(r, a ; f)$ the number of distinct $a$ points of $f$ lying in $|z|<r$, where $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$. The quantity

$$
\bar{N}(r, a ; f)=\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\bar{n}(t, a ; f)-\bar{n}(0, a ; f)}{t} d t+\bar{n}(0, a ; f) \log r
$$

denotes the reduced counting function of $a$ points of $f$ (see, e.g., $[5,13]$ ).
Let $k$ be a positive integer and $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$. We use $N_{k)}(r, a ; f)$ to denote the counting function of $a$-points of $f$ with multiplicity not greater than $k$, $N_{(k+1}(r, a ; f)$ to denote the counting function of $a$-points of $f$ with multiplicity greater than $k$. Similarly $\bar{N}_{k)}(r, a ; f)$ and $\bar{N}_{(k+1}(r, a ; f)$ are their reduced functions respectively.

Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions and let $a$ be a complex number. We say that $f$ and $g$ share $a$ CM, provided that $f-a$ and $g-a$ have the same zeros with the same multiplicities. In addition, we say that $f$ and $g$ share $\infty$ CM, if $1 / f$ and $1 / g$ share 0 CM.

A meromorphic function $a$ is said to be a small function of $f$ provided that $T(r, a)=S(r, f)$, that is $T(r, a)=o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ except possibly a set of finite linear measure.

During the last four decades the uniqueness theory of entire and meromorphic functions has become a prominent branch of the value distribution theory (see [13]).

Rubel-Yang [9] proposed to investigate the uniqueness of an entire function $f$ under the assumption that $f$ and its derivative $f^{\prime}$ share two complex values. Subsequently, related to one or two value sharing similar considerations have been made with respect to higher derivatives and more general (linear) differential expressions by Brück [1], Gundersen [3], Mues-Steinmetz [8], Yang [11] et al.

In this direction an interesting problem still open is the following conjecture proposed by Brück [1].

Conjecture A. Let $f$ be a non-constant entire function. Suppose

$$
\rho_{1}(f):=\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log T(r, f)}{\log r}
$$

is not a positive integer or infinite. If $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ share one finite value a $C M$, then

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}-a}{f-a}=c
$$

for some non-zero constant c.
The case that $a=0$ and that $N\left(r, 0 ; f^{\prime}\right)=S(r, f)$ had been proved by Brück [1] while the case that $f$ is of finite order had been proved by Gundersen-Yang [4]. However, the corresponding conjecture for meromorphic functions fails in general (see [4]).

To the knowledge of the author perhaps Yang-Zhang [12] (see also [14]) were the first to consider the uniqueness of a power of a meromorphic (resp. entire) function $F=f^{n}$ and its derivative $F^{\prime}$ when they share certain value as this type of considerations gives most specific form of the function.

As a result during the last decade, growing interest has been devoted to this setting of entire functions. Improving all the results obtained in [12], Zhang [14] proved the following theorem.
Theorem A ([14]). Let $f$ be a non-constant entire function, $n, k$ be positive integers and $a(z)(\not \equiv 0, \infty)$ be a meromorphic small function of $f$. Suppose $f^{n}-a$ and $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a$ share the value $0 C M$ and

$$
n>k+4
$$

then $f^{n} \equiv\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}$, and $f$ assumes the form

$$
f(z)=c e^{\frac{\lambda}{n} z}
$$

where $c$ is a nonzero constant and $\lambda^{k}=1$.
In 2009 Zhang and Yang [15] further improved the above result in the following manner.
Theorem B ([15]). Let $f$ be a non-constant entire function, $n, k$ be positive integers and $a(z)(\equiv \equiv 0, \infty)$ be a meromorphic small function of $f$. Suppose $f^{n}-a$ and $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-a$ share the value $0 C M$ and

$$
n>k+1
$$

Then conclusion of Theorem A holds.
In 2010 Zhang and Yang [16] further improved the above result in the following manner.
Theorem C ([16]). Let $f$ be a non-constant entire function, $n$ and $k$ be positive integers. Suppose $f^{n}$ and $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}$ share $1 C M$ and

$$
n \geq k+1
$$

Then conclusion of Theorem $A$ holds.
In 2011 Lü and Yi [6] proved the following theorem by using the theory of normal families.

Theorem D ([6]). Let $f$ be a transcendental entire function, $n, k$ be two integers with $n \geq k+1, F=f^{n}$ and $Q \not \equiv 0$ be a polynomial. If $F-Q$ and $F^{(k)}-Q$ share the value $0 C M$, then $F \equiv F^{(k)}$ and $f=c e^{w z / n}$, where $c$ and $w$ are non-zero constants such that $w^{k}=1$.

Now observing the above theorem W. Lü, Q. Li and C. Yang [7] asked the following question.
Question. What can be said if $f^{n}-Q_{1}$ and $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-Q_{2}$ share the value 0 CM ? Where $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ are polynomials, $Q_{1} Q_{2} \not \equiv 0$.

In [7] W. Lü, Q. Li and C. Yang solved the above question for $k=1$ by giving the transcendental entire solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}-Q_{1}=R e^{\alpha}\left(F-Q_{2}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F=f^{n}, R$ is a rational function and $\alpha$ is an entire function and they obtained the following results.

Theorem E ([7]). Let $f$ be a transcendental entire function and let $F=f^{n}$ be a solution of equation (1.1), $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Then $\frac{Q_{1}}{Q_{2}}$ is a polynomial, and

$$
f^{\prime}=\frac{Q_{1}}{n Q_{2}} f
$$

Theorem F ([7]). Let $f$ be a transcendental entire function, $n \geq 2$ be an integer. If $f^{n}-Q$ and $\left(f^{n}\right)^{\prime}-Q$ share $0 C M$, where $Q \not \equiv 0$ is a polynomial, then

$$
f=c e^{z / n},
$$

where $c$ is a non-zero constant.
As well, at the end of the paper W. Lü, Q. Li and C. Yang [7] posed the following conjecture.

Conjecture B. Let $f$ be a transcendental entire function, $n$ be a positive integer. If $f^{n}-Q_{1}$ and $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-Q_{2}$ share $0 C M$, and $n \geq k+1$, then $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}=\frac{Q_{2}}{Q_{1}} f^{n}$. Furthermore, if $Q_{1}=Q_{2}$, then $f=c e^{w z / n}$, where $Q_{1}$, $Q_{2}$ are polynomials with $Q_{1} Q_{2} \not \equiv 0$, and, $c, w$ are non-zero constants such that $w^{k}=1$.

Our objective to write this paper is to solve the above Conjecture B. The following theorem is the main result in this paper.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f$ be a transcendental entire function, $n$ and $k$ be two positive integers. If $f^{n}-Q_{1}$ and $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}-Q_{2}$ share $0 C M$, and $n \geq k+1$, then $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}=\frac{Q_{2}}{Q_{1}} f^{n}$. Furthermore, if $Q_{1}=Q_{2}$, then $f=c e^{\frac{\lambda}{n} z}$, where $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ are polynomials with $Q_{1} Q_{2} \not \equiv 0$, and, $c, \lambda$ are non-zero constants such that $\lambda^{k}=1$.

Remark 1.1. It is easy to see that the condition $n \geq k+1$ in Theorem 1.1 is sharp by the following examples.

Example 1.1. Let

$$
f(z)=e^{2 z}+z
$$

Then $f-Q_{1}$ and $f^{\prime}-Q_{2}$ share 0 CM , but $f^{\prime} \not \equiv \frac{Q_{2}}{Q_{1}} f$, where $Q_{1}(z)=z+1$ and $Q_{2}(z)=3$.

Example 1.2. Let

$$
f(z)=e^{2 z}+z^{2}+z
$$

Then $f-Q_{1}$ and $f^{\prime}-Q_{2}$ share 0 CM , but $f^{\prime} \not \equiv \frac{Q_{2}}{Q_{1}} f$, where $Q_{1}(z)=z^{2}+z+1$ and $Q_{2}(z)=2 z+3$.

Remark 1.2. By the following example, it is easy to see that the hypothesis of the transcendental of $f$ in Theorem 1.1 is necessary.
Example 1.3. Let

$$
f(z)=z
$$

Then $f^{2}-Q_{1}$ and $\left(f^{2}\right)^{\prime}-Q_{2}$ share 0 CM , but $\left(f^{2}\right)^{\prime} \not \equiv \frac{Q_{2}}{Q_{1}} f^{2}$, where $Q_{1}(z)=$ $2 z^{2}+z$ and $Q_{2}(z)=2 z^{2}+4 z$.

## 2. Lemmas

In this section we present the lemmas which will be needed in the sequel.
Lemma 2.1 ([2]). Suppose that $f$ is a transcendental meromorphic function and that

$$
f^{n} P(f)=Q(f)
$$

where $P(f)$ and $Q(f)$ are differential polynomials in $f$ with functions of small proximity related to $f$ as the coefficients and the degree of $Q(f)$ is at most $n$. Then

$$
m(r, \infty ; P(f))=S(r, f)
$$

Lemma 2.2 ([10]). Let $f$ be a non-constant meromorphic function and let $a_{n}(z)(\not \equiv 0), a_{n-1}(z), \ldots, a_{0}(z)$ be meromorphic functions such that $T\left(r, a_{i}(z)\right)$ $=S(r, f)$ for $i=0,1,2, \ldots, n$. Then

$$
T\left(r, a_{n} f^{n}+a_{n-1} f^{n-1}+\ldots+a_{1} f+a_{0}\right)=n T(r, f)+S(r, f)
$$

Lemma 2.3 ([5]). Let $f$ be a non-constant meromorphic function and let $a_{1}(z)$, $a_{2}(z)$ be two meromorphic functions such that $T\left(r, a_{i}\right)=S(r, f), i=1,2$. Then

$$
T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, \infty ; f)+\bar{N}\left(r, a_{1} ; f\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, a_{2} ; f\right)+S(r, f)
$$

## 3. Proofs of the theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $F_{1}=\frac{f^{n}}{Q_{1}}$ and $G_{1}=\frac{\left[f^{n}\right]^{(k)}}{Q_{2}}$. Clearly $F_{1}$ and $G_{1}$ share 1 CM except for the zeros of $Q_{i}(z)$, where $i=1,2$ and so

$$
\bar{N}\left(r, 1 ; F_{1}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, 1 ; G_{1}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\frac{F_{1}^{\prime}\left(F_{1}-G_{1}\right)}{F_{1}\left(F_{1}-1\right)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now consider the following two cases.
Case 1. $\Phi \not \equiv 0$.
From the fundamental estimate of logarithmic derivative it follows that

$$
m(r, \infty ; \Phi)=S(r, f)
$$

Let $z_{0}$ be a zero of $f$ of multiplicity $p$ such that $Q_{i}\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 0$, where $i=1,2$. Then $z_{0}$ will be a zero of $F_{1}$ and $G_{1}$ of multiplicities $n p$ and $n p-k$ respectively and so from (3.1) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(z)=O\left(\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n p-k-1}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $n \geq k+1$, it follows that $\Phi$ is holomorphic at $z_{0}$. From this and the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 we see that

$$
N(r, \infty ; \Phi)=S(r, f)
$$

Consequently $T(r, \Phi)=S(r, f)$. Also from (3.1) we get

$$
\frac{1}{F_{1}}=\frac{1}{\Phi} \frac{F_{1}^{\prime}}{F_{1}\left(F_{1}-1\right)}\left[1-\frac{Q_{1}}{Q_{2}} \frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}}{f^{n}}\right]
$$

and so

$$
m\left(r, \infty ; \frac{1}{F_{1}}\right)=S(r, f)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(r, \infty ; \frac{1}{f}\right)=S(r, f) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the following two subcases.
Subcase 1.1. Let $n>k+1$.
From (3.2) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(r, 0 ; f) \leq N(r, 0 ; \Phi) \leq T\left(r, \frac{1}{\Phi}\right) \leq T(r, \Phi)+O(1)=S(r, f) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from (3.3) and (3.4) we get

$$
T(r, f)=S(r, f)
$$

which is a contradiction.
Subcase 1.2. Let $n=k+1$.
From (3.2) we see that

$$
N_{(2}(r, 0 ; f) \leq N(r, 0 ; \Phi) \leq T(r, \Phi)+O(1)=S(r, f)
$$

Then (3.3) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, f)=N_{1)}(r, 0 ; f)+S(r, f) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=f^{n} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $F-Q_{1}$ and $F^{(k)}-Q_{2}$ share 0 CM , then there exists an entire function $\alpha$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{(k)}-Q_{2}=e^{\alpha}\left(F-Q_{1}\right) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we suppose that $\alpha$ is a non-constant entire function.
By differentiation from (3.7) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{(k+1)}-Q_{2}^{\prime}=\alpha^{\prime} e^{\alpha}\left(F-Q_{1}\right)+e^{\alpha}\left(F^{\prime}-Q_{1}^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now combining (3.7) and (3.8) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{(k+1)} F-\alpha^{\prime} F^{(k)} F-F^{(k)} F^{\prime}  \tag{3.9}\\
= & Q_{1} F^{(k+1)}-\left(\alpha^{\prime} Q_{1}+Q_{1}^{\prime}\right) F^{(k)}-Q_{2} F^{\prime}+\left(Q_{2}^{\prime}-\alpha^{\prime} Q_{2}\right) F \\
& +\alpha^{\prime} Q_{1} Q_{2}+Q_{2} Q_{1}^{\prime}-Q_{1} Q_{2}^{\prime} .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that from (3.7) we get

$$
T\left(r, e^{\alpha}\right) \leq(k+2) T\left(r, f^{n}\right)+O(\log r)+S(r, f)=n(k+2) T(r, f)+S(r, f)
$$

Since $T\left(r, \alpha^{\prime}\right)=S\left(r, e^{\alpha}\right)$, it follows that $T\left(r, \alpha^{\prime}\right)=S(r, f)$.
By induction, we deduce from (3.6) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
F^{\prime}=n f^{n-1} f^{\prime} \\
F^{\prime \prime}=n(n-1) f^{n-2}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+n f^{n-1} f^{\prime \prime} \\
F^{\prime \prime \prime}=n(n-1)(n-2) f^{n-3}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{3}+3 n(n-1) f^{n-2} f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+n f^{n-1} f^{\prime \prime \prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

and so on.
Thus in general we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{(k)}=\sum_{\lambda} a_{\lambda} f^{l_{0}^{\lambda}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{l_{1}^{\lambda}} \cdots\left(f^{(k)}\right)^{l_{k}^{\lambda}}, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{0}^{\lambda}, l_{1}^{\lambda}, \ldots, l_{k}^{\lambda}$ are non-negative integers satisfying $\sum_{j=0}^{k} l_{j}^{\lambda}=n, n-k \leq$ $l_{0}^{\lambda} \leq n-1$ and $a_{\lambda}$ are constants.

Also we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{(k+1)}=\sum_{\lambda} b_{\lambda} f^{p_{0}^{\lambda}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{p_{1}^{\lambda}} \cdots\left(f^{(k+1)}\right)^{p_{k+1}^{\lambda}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{0}^{\lambda}, p_{1}^{\lambda}, \ldots, p_{k+1}^{\lambda}$ are non-negative integers satisfying $\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} p_{j}^{\lambda}=n, n-$ $k-1 \leq p_{0}^{\lambda} \leq n-1$, i.e., $0 \leq p_{0}^{\lambda} \leq n-1$ and $b_{\lambda}$ are constants.

Substituting (3.6), (3.10) and (3.11) into (3.9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n} P(f)=Q(f), \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q(f)$ is a differential polynomial in $f$ of degree $n$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(f)=\sum_{\lambda} b_{\lambda} f^{p_{0}^{\lambda}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{p_{1}^{\lambda}} \cdots\left(f^{(k)}\right)^{p_{k+1}^{\lambda}}-\alpha^{\prime} \sum_{\lambda} a_{\lambda} f^{l_{0}^{\lambda}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{l_{1}^{\lambda}} \cdots\left(f^{(k)}\right)^{l_{k}^{\lambda}} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -n f^{\prime} \sum_{\lambda} a_{\lambda} f^{l_{0}^{\lambda}-1}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{l_{1}^{\lambda}} \cdots\left(f^{(k)}\right)^{l_{k}^{\lambda}} \\
= & A\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{k+1}+R_{1}(f),
\end{aligned}
$$

is a differential polynomial in $f$ of the degree $k+1$, where $A$ is a suitable constant and $R_{1}(f)$ is a differential polynomial in $f$. In particular every monomial of $R_{1}$ has the form

$$
R\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) f^{q_{0}^{\lambda}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{q_{1}^{\lambda}} \cdots\left(f^{(k+1)}\right)^{q_{k+1}^{\lambda}},
$$

where $q_{0}^{\lambda}, \ldots, q_{k+1}^{\lambda}$ are non-negative integers satisfying $\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} q_{j}^{\lambda}=n$ and $1 \leq$ $q_{0}^{\lambda} \leq n-1, R\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$ is a polynomial in $\alpha^{\prime}$ with constant coefficients.

First we suppose $P(f) \not \equiv 0$. Then by Lemma 2.1 we get $m(r, \infty ; P)=S(r, f)$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, P)=S(r, f) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(r, P^{\prime}\right)=S(r, f) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that from (3.13) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime}(f)=A(k+1)\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{k} f^{\prime \prime}+B \alpha^{\prime}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{k+1}+S_{1}(f) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a differential polynomial in $f$, where $B$ is a suitable constant and $S_{1}(f)$ is a differential polynomial in $f$. In particular every monomial of $S_{1}$ has the form

$$
S\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) f^{r_{0}^{\lambda}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{r_{1}^{\lambda}} \cdots\left(f^{(k+1)}\right)^{r_{k+1}^{\lambda}}
$$

where $r_{0}^{\lambda}, \ldots, r_{k+1}^{\lambda}$ are non-negative integers satisfying $\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} r_{j}^{\lambda}=n$ and $1 \leq$ $r_{0}^{\lambda} \leq n-1, S\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$ is a polynomial in $\alpha^{\prime}$ with constant coefficients.

Let $z_{1}$ be a simple zero of $f$. Then from (3.13) and (3.16) we have

$$
P\left(z_{1}\right)=A\left\{f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)\right\}^{k+1}
$$

and

$$
P^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)=A(k+1)\left\{f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)\right\}^{k} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{1}\right)+B \alpha^{\prime}\left\{f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)\right\}^{k+1} .
$$

This shows that $z_{1}$ is a zero of $P f^{\prime \prime}-\left[K_{1} P^{\prime}-K_{2} \alpha^{\prime} P\right] f^{\prime}$, where $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are suitably constants. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}=\frac{P f^{\prime \prime}-\left[K_{1} P^{\prime}-K_{2} \alpha^{\prime} P\right] f^{\prime}}{f} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $\Phi_{1} \not \equiv 0$ and

$$
T\left(r, \Phi_{1}\right)=S(r, f)
$$

From (3.17) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}=\alpha_{1} f+\beta_{1} f^{\prime}, \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=\frac{\Phi_{1}}{P}, \quad \beta_{1}=K_{1} \frac{P^{\prime}}{P}-K_{2} \alpha^{\prime} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
T\left(r, \alpha_{1}\right)=S(r, f), \quad T\left(r, \beta_{1}\right)=S(r, f) .
$$

Now from (3.13) and (3.19) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
P^{\prime} & =\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{K_{1}}+\frac{K_{2}}{K_{1}} \alpha^{\prime}\right) P  \tag{3.20}\\
& =A\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{K_{1}}+\frac{K_{2}}{K_{1}} \alpha^{\prime}\right)\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{k+1}+\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{K_{1}}+\frac{K_{2}}{K_{1}} \alpha^{\prime}\right) R_{1}(f)
\end{align*}
$$

Also from (3.16) and (3.18) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime}=A(k+1) \alpha_{1} f\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{k}+\left\{A(k+1) \beta_{1}+B \alpha^{\prime}\right\}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{k+1}+S_{1}(f) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.20) and (3.21) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{A}{K_{1}} \beta_{1}-A(k+1) \beta_{1}+\frac{A K_{2}}{K_{1}} \alpha^{\prime}+B \alpha^{\prime}\right)\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{k+1}+A(k+1) \alpha_{1} f\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{k}  \tag{3.22}\\
& +\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{K_{1}}+\frac{K_{2}}{K_{1}} \alpha^{\prime}\right) R_{1}(f)+S_{1}(f) \equiv 0
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\alpha_{1} \not \equiv 0$, from (3.22) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1)}(r, 0 ; f)=S(r, f) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore from (3.5) and (3.23) we have

$$
T(r, f)=S(r, f)
$$

which is a contradiction.
Next we suppose $P(f) \equiv 0$. Then $Q(f) \equiv 0$ from (3.12), where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(f)= & Q_{1} F^{(k+1)}-\left(\alpha^{\prime} Q_{1}+Q_{1}^{\prime}\right) F^{(k)}-Q_{2} F^{\prime}+\left(Q_{2}^{\prime}-\alpha^{\prime} Q_{2}\right) F \\
& +\alpha^{\prime} Q_{1} Q_{2}+Q_{2} Q_{1}^{\prime}-Q_{1} Q_{2}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

We get from (3.9) that

$$
F^{(k+1)} F-\alpha^{\prime} F^{(k)} F-F^{(k)} F^{\prime} \equiv 0,
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F^{(k+1)}}{F^{(k)}} \equiv \alpha^{\prime}+\frac{F^{\prime}}{F} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By integration we have $F^{(k)}=d F e^{\alpha}$, where $d$ is a non-zero constant. Substituting this and (3.6) into (3.7) we have

$$
(d-1) f^{n}=\frac{Q_{2}-Q_{1} e^{\alpha}}{e^{\alpha}}
$$

Clearly $d \neq 1$ and all zeros of $Q_{2}-Q_{1} e^{\alpha}$ have the multiplicities at least $n$. Since $n=k+1$, by Lemma 2.3 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(r, e^{\alpha}\right) & \leq \bar{N}\left(r, 0 ; e^{\alpha}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \infty ; e^{\alpha}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{Q_{2}}{Q_{1}} ; e^{\alpha}\right)+S\left(r, e^{\alpha}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n} N\left(r, \frac{Q_{2}}{Q_{1}} ; e^{\alpha}\right)+S\left(r, e^{\alpha}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n} T\left(r, e^{\alpha}\right)+S\left(r, e^{\alpha}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction since we first assume that $e^{\alpha}$ is a non-constant entire function.

Next we suppose that $e^{\alpha}$ is a non-zero constant, say $D$. Then from (3.7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{(k)}-D F \equiv Q_{2}-D Q_{1} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $n=k+1$, it follows from (3.25) that

$$
N(r, 0 ; f)=S(r, f)
$$

Now by (3.3) we get

$$
T(r, f)=S(r, f)
$$

which is a contradiction.
Case 2. $\Phi \equiv 0$.
Now from (3.1) we get $F_{1} \equiv G_{1}$, i.e., $\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)} \equiv \frac{Q_{2}}{Q_{1}} f^{n}$. Furthermore if $Q_{1}=Q_{2}$, then $f^{n} \equiv\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}$, and $f$ assumes the form

$$
f(z)=c e^{\frac{\lambda}{n} z}
$$

where $c$ is a nonzero constant and $\lambda^{k}=1$.
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