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# STABILIZATION FOR THE VISCOELASTIC KIRCHHOFF TYPE EQUATION WITH A NONLINEAR SOURCE 

Daewook Kim

Abstract. In this paper, we study the viscoelastic Kirchhoff type equation with a nonlinear source

$$
u^{\prime \prime}-M\left(x, t,\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right) \triangle u+\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau) \operatorname{div}[a(x) \nabla u(\tau)] d \tau+|u|^{\gamma} u=0
$$

Under the smallness condition with respect to Kirchhoff coefficient and the relaxation function and other assumptions, we prove the uniform decay rate of the Kirchhoff type energy.

## 1. Introduction

In the present work, we are concerned with the following problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{\prime \prime}(x, t) & -M\left(x, t,\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right) \Delta u(x, t)  \tag{1}\\
& +\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau) \operatorname{div}[a(x) \nabla u(\tau)] d \tau+|u|^{\gamma} u=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \\
u(x, t) & =0 \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^{+},  \tag{2}\\
u(x, 0) & =u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega, \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega$ be a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 1)$ with a smooth boundary $\Gamma, \gamma>0$, and other conditions such as $M, h, a$ be in next section. In fact, $u_{0}, u_{1}$ are initially given functions and $u(x, t)$ is the transversal displacement of the strip at spatial coordinate $x$ and time $t$ in the real world application.

This problem has its origin in the mathematical description of system in real world from the mathematical modeling for axially moving viscoelastic materials. It is well known that viscoelastic materials exhibit natural damping, which is due to the special property of these materials to retain a memory of their past history. From the mathematical point of view, these damping effects are modeled by integro-differential operators. For these reasons, there are not exist weak or strong damping term in our problem (1)-(3). Recently, problems with Timoshenko or basic hyperbolic type for viscoelastic materials have been

[^0]considered by many authors (See $[1,2]$ ). Besides, many engineering devices involve the transverse vibration of axially moving strings. Axially moving string is a typical model that is widely used, especially when the subject is long and narrow enough and has a negligible flexural rigidity, to represent threads, wires, magnetic tapes, belts, band saws, and cables. Various mathematical models and simulations have been established for a better understanding with linear or nonlinear dynamic behavior of these moving continua $[3,4,5,6,7,8,9]$. The mathematical model for axially moving strings was first introduced by Kirchhoff [10] (and see Carrier [3]), and the original equation is given in the form of
$$
\rho h \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=\left(p_{0}+\frac{E h}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^{2} d x\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}
$$
for $0<x<L, t \geq 0$, where $u=u(x, t)$ is the lateral displacement at the space coordinate $x$ and time $t ; E$, the young's modulus; $\rho$, the mass density; $h$, the cross section area; $L$, the length; and $p_{0}$, the initial axial tension. Recently, problems with the extended Kirchhoff type equation which is concerning axially moving heterogeneous or non heterogeneous materials (nonlinear vibrations of beams, strings, plates, and membranes) have been considered by many authors (See [11, 12, 13]).

In this paper, we will mainly concern on an aspect of decay rate of the Kirchhoff type energy of the system. We get its proof by using the smallness condition functions with respect to Kirchhoff coefficient and the relaxation function. In fact, the difference of the energy consist in Kirchhoff type potential energy.

This paper organized as follows. In Section 2, we will present some notations, material needed (assumptions, lemmas and so on) for our work and state a global existence and energy decay rate theorem (main result). Section 3 contains the proof of our main result.

## 2. Preliminaries and main results

We first introduce the elementary bracket pairing in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$

$$
\langle\varphi, \psi\rangle \equiv \int_{\Omega}(\varphi, \psi) d x
$$

provided that $(\varphi, \psi) \in L^{1}(\Omega)$. And we set the norms as follows.

$$
\|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

To simplify the notations, we denote $\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)},\|u\|_{L^{1}(0,+\infty)},\|v\|_{L^{\infty}(0,+\infty)}$ by $\|u\|,\|v\|_{L^{1}},\|v\|_{L^{\infty}}$ respectively.

In the sequel we state the general hypotheses.
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right) h: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is a bounded $C^{1}$ function satisfying $h(0)>0$, and there exists positive constant $t_{0}, \zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}, \zeta_{3}$ such that

$$
-\zeta_{1} \leq h^{\prime}(t) \leq-\zeta_{2} h(t), \quad \forall t>t_{0}
$$

$$
0 \leq h^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq \zeta_{3} h(t), \quad \forall t>t_{0}
$$

$\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right) a: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is a nonnegative bounded function and $a(x) \geq a_{0}>0$ on $\Omega$ with

$$
\frac{m_{0}}{a_{0}} \geq 1-\|a\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} h(s) d s=l>0
$$

where $m_{0}$ is in $\left(\mathrm{B}_{2}\right)$. And also, the following smallness condition satisfy

$$
\epsilon_{7}<a_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} h(s) d s
$$

$\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right) \gamma$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{2}{n-2}, \quad n \geq 3 \\
\gamma \geq 0, \quad n=1,2
\end{gathered}
$$

$\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}\right)$ The initial data satisfy

$$
u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega), u_{1} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

$\left(\mathrm{B}_{1}\right) M(x, t, \lambda)$ is a real-valued function of class $C^{2}$ on $x \in \bar{\Omega}, t \geq 0, \lambda \leq 0$.
$\left(\mathrm{B}_{2}\right) \quad 0<m_{0} \leq M(x, t, \lambda) \leq C_{0} f(\lambda)$ with $M(x, t, \lambda)=M_{1}(x, t)+M_{2}(x, t, \lambda)$.
And also, the following smallness condition satisfy

$$
f(\lambda)<\sqrt{\frac{\frac{a_{0} h(t)}{2}-C_{p} \widetilde{C_{1}}+\epsilon_{2}\left(m_{0}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\epsilon_{3} \epsilon_{8}}} .
$$

$\left(\mathrm{B}_{3}\right) \frac{\partial M_{1}}{\partial t} \leq 0,\left|\frac{\partial M_{2}}{\partial t}\right| \leq C_{1} g_{1}(\lambda),\left|\frac{\partial M}{\partial \lambda}\right| \leq C_{2} g_{2}(\lambda), 0<m_{1} \leq M_{x}(x, t, \lambda)$.
$\left(\mathrm{B}_{4}\right) f, g_{1}, g_{2} \in C^{1}\left([0,+\infty) ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$are strictly increasing.
Furthermore, $C_{i}(i=0,1,2)$ is a positive constant.
In the following, we give a lemma which will be useful in this paper.
Lemma 2.1. Denote $(h \diamond u)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\|\sqrt{a(x)}(u(t)-u(\tau))\|^{2} d \tau$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\left\langle a(x) \nabla u(\tau), \nabla u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d \tau= & -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}[(h \diamond u)(t)]+\frac{1}{2}\left(h^{\prime} \diamond u\right)(t) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left[\|\sqrt{a(x)} \nabla u(t)\|^{2} \int_{0}^{t} h(s) d s\right]  \tag{4}\\
& -\frac{1}{2} h(t)\|\sqrt{a(x)} \nabla u(t)\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. A direct computation shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\left\langle a(x) \nabla u(\tau), \nabla u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d \tau= & \int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\left\langle a(x) \nabla u(\tau)-a(x) \nabla u(t), \nabla u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d \tau \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\left\langle a(x) \nabla u(t), \nabla u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d \tau \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\left[\frac{d}{d t}\|\sqrt{a(x)}(\nabla u(\tau)-\nabla u(t))\|^{2}\right] d \tau \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\left[\frac{d}{d t}\|\sqrt{a(x)} \nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right] d \tau \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left[\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\|\sqrt{a(x)}(\nabla u(\tau)-\nabla u(t))\|^{2} d \tau\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} h^{\prime}(t-\tau)\|\sqrt{a(x)}(\nabla u(\tau)-\nabla u(t))\|^{2} d \tau \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\|\sqrt{a(x)} \nabla u(t)\|^{2} d \tau \\
& -\frac{1}{2} h(t)\|\sqrt{a(x)} \nabla u(t)\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we can state our result as follows.
Theorem 2.2. Let the assumptions $\left(A_{1}\right),\left(A_{4}\right)$ and $\left(B_{1}\right)-\left(B_{4}\right)$ hold. Then there exists a unique solution $u$ of the problem (1)-(3) satisfying

$$
u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega)\right), u^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right), u^{\prime \prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
$$

and

$$
u(x, t) \rightarrow u_{0}(x) \text { in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega) ; \quad u^{\prime}(x, t) \rightarrow u_{1}(x) \text { in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$.
Proof. By using Galerkin's approximation and a routine procedure similar to that of cite $[12,1]$, we can the global existence result for the solution subject to (1)-(3) under the assumptions $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{B}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{B}_{4}\right)$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $u$ be the global solution of the problem (1)-(3) with the above all conditions. We define the Kirchhoff type energy functional $E(t)$ as

$$
E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\int_{\Omega} M\left(x, t,\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right)|\nabla u(x, t)|^{2} d x+\frac{2}{\gamma+2}\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2}\right] .
$$

Then the energy functional decays exponentially to zero as the time goes to infinity, that is,

$$
E(t) \leq \kappa e^{-\vartheta t}, \forall t \geq 0
$$

where $\kappa, \vartheta$ are positive constants.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2.3 (Energy decay)

Proof. Multiplying $u^{\prime}$ on both sides of Eq.(1), integrating the resulting equations over $\Omega$, and using the Green formula and (2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle u^{\prime \prime}(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle & +\left\langle M\left(x, t,\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right) \nabla u(t), \nabla u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle M_{x}\left(x, t,\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right) \nabla u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle  \tag{5}\\
& \left.-\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\left\langle a(x) \nabla u(\tau), \nabla u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d \tau+\left.\langle | u\right|^{\gamma} u, u^{\prime}\right\rangle=0
\end{align*}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} E(t) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} M_{1}(x, t)|\nabla u(x, t)|^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} M_{2}\left(x, t,\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right)|\nabla u(x, t)|^{2} d x \\
& +\left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} M_{2}\left(x, t,\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right)|\nabla u(x, t)|^{2} d x\right]\left\langle\nabla u^{\prime}(t), \nabla u(t)\right\rangle  \tag{6}\\
& -\left\langle M_{x}\left(x, t,\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right) \nabla u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\left\langle a(x) \nabla u(\tau), \nabla u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d \tau
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\int_{\Omega} M\left(x, t,\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right)|\nabla u(x, t)|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{\gamma+2}\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2}\right] . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $\left(B_{3}\right)$ and Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\prime}(t) & \leq\|u(t)\|^{2}\left\{\frac{C_{1}}{2} g_{1}\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right)+C_{2} g_{2}\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}(t)\right\|\|u(t)\|\right\} \\
& -\left\langle M_{x}\left(x, t,\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right) \nabla u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle  \tag{8}\\
& -\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\left\langle a(x) \nabla u(\tau), \nabla u^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle d \tau
\end{align*}
$$

By $\left(B_{3}\right),(4)$ and Young's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\prime}(t) \leq & \|u(t)\|^{2} \widetilde{C_{1}}+\epsilon_{1} m_{1}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\frac{m_{1}}{4 \epsilon_{1}}\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}[(h \diamond u)(t)]+\frac{1}{2}\left(h^{\prime} \diamond \nabla u\right)(t) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left[\|\sqrt{a(x)} \nabla u(t)\|^{2} \int_{0}^{t} h(s) d s\right]  \tag{9}\\
& -\frac{1}{2} h(t)\|\sqrt{a(x)} \nabla u(t)\|^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{C_{1}}=\frac{C_{1}}{2} g_{1}\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right)+C_{2} g_{2}\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla u^{\prime}(t)\right\|\|u(t)\| \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a positive constant. And $\epsilon_{1}$ is also a positive constant.
Define the new energy functional $E_{1}(t)$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}(t)=E(t)+\frac{1}{2}(h \diamond \nabla u)(t)-\frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{a(x)} \nabla u(t)\|^{2} \int_{0}^{t} h(s) d s . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ and (9), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{1}^{\prime}(t) \leq & \|u(t)\|^{2} \widetilde{C_{1}}+\epsilon_{1} m_{1}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\frac{m_{1}}{4 \epsilon_{1}}\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2} \\
& -\frac{\zeta_{2}}{2}(h \diamond \nabla u)(t)-\frac{1}{2} a_{0} h(t)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2} . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

and also, by $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$, the energy $E_{1}(t)$ is a positive functional. Applying Poincarè inequality to (12), we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\prime}(t) \leq & \left(C_{p} \widetilde{C_{1}}+\epsilon_{1} m_{1}-\frac{1}{2} a_{0} h(t)\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}  \tag{13}\\
& +\frac{m_{1}}{4 \epsilon_{1}}\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}-\frac{\zeta_{2}}{2}(h \diamond \nabla u)(t),
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{p}$ is the Poincarè coefficient. Meanwhile, we note from $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{1}(t) \geq & \frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} M\left(x, t,\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right)|\nabla u(x, t)|^{2} d x  \tag{14}\\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\|a\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{t} h(s) d s\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(h \diamond u)(t)+\frac{1}{\gamma+2}\|u(t)\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2} \\
\geq & l\left[\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} M\left(x, t,\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right)|\nabla u(x, t)|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{\gamma+2}\|u(t)\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

So, we deduce the relation $0 \leq E(t) \leq l^{-1} E_{1}(t)$. Therefore, the uniform decay of $E(t)$ is a result of the decay of $E_{1}(t)$. For positive constants $\epsilon_{2}$ and $\epsilon_{3}$, let us define the perturbed modified energy by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=E_{1}(t)+\epsilon_{2} \varphi(t)+\epsilon_{3} \psi(t) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t)=\left\langle u^{\prime}(t), u(t)\right\rangle \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t)=-\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\left\langle a(x) u^{\prime}(t), u(t)-u(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the Cauchy's inequality, Hölder inequality and Poincarè inequality, there exist positive constants $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ such that for each $t>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1} F(t) \leq E_{1}(t) \leq \alpha_{2} F(t) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proposition 3.1. (Energy equivalence)

$$
\alpha_{1} F(t) \leq E_{1}(t) \leq \alpha_{2} F(t) \quad \text { for all } t \geq 0,
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{\max \left\{1, \epsilon_{4}+\epsilon_{5},\left(\epsilon_{4}+\epsilon_{5}\right) C_{p}\right\}}>0
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{2}=\frac{1}{\min \left\{1-\left(\epsilon_{4}+\epsilon_{5}\right), m_{0}-\epsilon_{4} C_{p}, 1-\epsilon_{5} C_{p}(1-l)\right\}}>0 .
$$

Proof. By the Cauchy inequality and Hölder inequality, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
F(t) \leq & E_{1}(t)+\frac{\epsilon_{4}}{2}\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{\epsilon_{4}}{2}\|u(t)\|^{2} \\
& +\frac{\epsilon_{5}}{2}\left\|\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau) a(x)(u(t)-u(\tau)) d \tau\right\|+\frac{\epsilon_{5}}{2}\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|, \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{4}, \epsilon_{5}$ are positive constants.
By using the Poincarè inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(t) \leq & E_{1}(t)+\frac{\epsilon_{4}+\epsilon_{5}}{2}\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{\epsilon_{4}}{2}\|u(t)\|^{2} \\
& +\frac{\epsilon_{5}}{2}\|a\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} h(s) d s \int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\|\sqrt{a(x)}(u(t)-u(\tau))\|^{2} d \tau \\
\leq & E_{1}(t)+\frac{\epsilon_{4}+\epsilon_{5}}{2}\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{\epsilon_{4}}{2}\|u(t)\|^{2} \\
& +\frac{\epsilon_{5}}{2} C_{p}(1-l) \int_{0}^{\infty} h(s) d s \int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\|\sqrt{a(x)}(u(t)-u(\tau))\|^{2} d \tau \\
\leq & E_{1}(t)+\frac{\epsilon_{4}+\epsilon_{5}}{2}\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{\epsilon_{4}}{2} C_{p}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2} \\
& +\frac{\epsilon_{5}}{2} C_{p}(1-l)(h \diamond \nabla u)(t) \\
\leq & \max \left\{1, \epsilon_{4}+\epsilon_{5},\left(\epsilon_{4}+\epsilon_{5}\right) C_{p}\right\} E_{1}(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{p}$ is the Poincarè coefficient. Besides, choosing $\epsilon_{4}, \epsilon_{5}$ small enough, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(t) \geq & E_{1}(t)-\frac{\epsilon_{4}+\epsilon_{5}}{2}\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}-\frac{\epsilon_{4}}{2} C_{p}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2} \\
& -\frac{\epsilon_{5}}{2} C_{p}(1-l)(h \diamond \nabla u)(t) \\
\geq & \frac{1-\left(\epsilon_{4}+\epsilon_{5}\right)}{2}\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{m_{0}-\epsilon_{4} C_{p}}{2}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{a(x)} \nabla u(t)\|^{2} \int_{0}^{t} h(s) d s+\frac{1}{\gamma+2}\|u(t)\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2} \\
& +\frac{1-\epsilon_{5} C_{p}(1-l)}{2}(h \diamond \nabla u)(t) \\
\geq & \min \left\{1-\left(\epsilon_{4}+\epsilon_{5}\right), m_{0}-\epsilon_{4} C_{p}, 1-\epsilon_{5} C_{p}(1-l)\right\} E_{1}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact, using (1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{\prime}(t)= & \left\langle u^{\prime \prime}(t), u(t)\right\rangle+\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\langle u(t), M\left(x, t,\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right) \Delta u(x, t)\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau) \operatorname{div}[a(x) \nabla u(\tau)] d \tau-|u(t)|^{\gamma} u(t)\right\rangle \\
= & \left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} M\left(x, t,\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right)|\nabla u(t)|^{2} d x \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\langle a(x) \nabla u(\tau), \nabla u(t)\rangle\right] d \tau-|u(t)|^{\gamma} u(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Cauchy inequality and Young's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mid \int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\langle a(x) \nabla u(\tau), \nabla u(t)\rangle\right] d \tau \mid \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)(a(x)|\nabla u(\tau)-\nabla u(t)|+a(x)|\nabla u(t)|) d \tau\right\|^{2}  \tag{21}\\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{8 \epsilon_{6}}\right)\left\|\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau) a(x)|\nabla u(\tau)-\nabla u(t)| d \tau\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\epsilon_{6}}{2}\right)\left\|\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau) a(x)|\nabla u(t)| d \tau\right\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{6}$ with respect to Young's inequality is a positive constant. Using the assumption ( $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ ) and (21), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mid \int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\langle a(x) \nabla u(\tau), \nabla u(t)\rangle\right] d \tau \mid \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{8 \epsilon_{6}}\right)\|a\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{t} h(s) d s \int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\|\sqrt{a(x)}(\nabla u(\tau)-\nabla u(t))\|^{2} d \tau  \tag{22}\\
& +\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\epsilon_{6}}{2}\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\left(\|a\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{t} h(s) a(x) d s\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\left(1+\epsilon_{6}\right)(1-l)^{2}\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\frac{\left(4 \epsilon_{6}+1\right)(1-l)}{8 \epsilon_{6}}(h \diamond \nabla u)(t) .
\end{align*}
$$

By combining (20) and (22), we conclude

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi^{\prime}(t) \leq & \left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-2 m_{0}+\left(1+\epsilon_{6}\right)(1-l)^{2}\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2} \\
& +\frac{\left(4 \epsilon_{6}+1\right)(1-l)}{8 \epsilon_{6}}(h \diamond \nabla u)(t)-\|u(t)\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2} . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we estimate $\psi^{\prime}(t)$ as follows. In fact, using (1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi^{\prime}(t)= & -\int_{0}^{t} h^{\prime}(t-\tau)\left\langle a(x) u^{\prime}(t), u(t)-u(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau  \tag{24}\\
& -\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\left\langle a(x) u^{\prime \prime}(t), u(t)-u(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau-\left\|\sqrt{a(x)} u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2} \int_{0}^{t} h(s) d s \\
= & -\int_{0}^{t} h^{\prime}(t-\tau)\left\langle a(x) u^{\prime}(t), u(t)-u(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\left\langle M\left(x, t,\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right) a(x) \nabla u(t), \nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau \\
& -\left\langle\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau) a(x) \nabla u(\tau) d \tau, \int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau) a(x)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau)) d \tau\right\rangle \\
& \left.+\left.\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\langle a(x)| u\right|^{\gamma} u, u(t)-u(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau \\
& -\left\|\sqrt{a(x)} u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2} \int_{0}^{t} h(s) d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Using Cauchy inequality, Poincarè inequality and $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|-\int_{0}^{t} h^{\prime}(t-\tau)\left\langle a(x) u^{\prime}(t), u(t)-u(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau\right| \\
\leq & \epsilon_{7}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\frac{\zeta_{1}}{4 \epsilon_{7}}\left\|\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau) a(x)|u(t)-u(\tau)| d \tau\right\|^{2}  \tag{25}\\
\leq & \epsilon_{7}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\frac{\zeta_{1}}{4 \epsilon_{7}}(1-l) C_{p}^{2}(h \diamond \nabla u)(t),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{7}$ is a positive constant with respect to Cauchy inequality and $C_{p}$ is the Poincarè coefficient. Similarly, using Cauchy inequality and $\left(\mathrm{B}_{2}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad\left|-\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\left\langle M\left(x, t,\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right) a(x) \nabla u(t), \nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau\right|  \tag{26}\\
& \leq \epsilon_{8} f^{2}\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right)\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{C_{0}(1-l)}{4 \epsilon_{8}}(h \diamond \nabla u)(t)
\end{align*}
$$

and
(27)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|-\left\langle\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau) a(x) \nabla u(\tau) d \tau, \int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau) a(x)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau)) d \tau\right\rangle\right| \\
\leq & \epsilon_{9}\left\|\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)(a(x)|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau)|+a(x)|\nabla u(t)|) d \tau\right\|^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{4 \epsilon_{9}}\left(\|a\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{t} h(s) d s\right) \int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\|\sqrt{a(x)}(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau))\|^{2} d \tau \\
\leq & 2 \epsilon_{9}\left(\left\|\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau) a(x)|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(\tau)| d \tau\right\|^{2}+\left\|\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau) a(x)|\nabla u(t)| d \tau\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1-l}{4 \epsilon_{9}}(h \diamond \nabla u)(t) \\
\leq & \left(2 \epsilon_{9}+\frac{1}{4 \epsilon_{9}}\right)(1-l)(h \diamond \nabla u)(t)+2 \epsilon_{9}(1-l)^{2}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\epsilon_{8}, \epsilon_{9}$ are positive constants with respect to Cauchy inequality. And also, using Cauchy inequality and Poincarè inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left|\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\langle a(x)| u(t)\right|^{\gamma} u, u(t)-u(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau \mid  \tag{28}\\
\leq & \epsilon_{10}\|u(t)\|_{2(\gamma+1)}^{2(\gamma+1)}+\frac{C_{p}(1-l)}{4 \epsilon_{10}}(h \diamond \nabla u)(t)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{10}$ is a positive constant with respect to Cauchy inequality and $C_{p}$ is the Poincarè coefficient. Noting $H^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2(\gamma+1)}(\Omega)$ and using Poincarè inequality, (11), (12) and (28), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left|\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau)\langle a(x)| u(t)\right|^{\gamma} u, u(t)-u(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau \mid  \tag{29}\\
\leq & \epsilon_{10} C_{p}^{2(\gamma+1)}\left(\frac{2 E_{1}(0)}{l}\right)^{\gamma}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\frac{C_{p}(1-l)}{4 \epsilon_{10}}(h \diamond \nabla u)(t),
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{p}$ is the Poincarè coefficient. Combining (22)-(27) and (29) and also using $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$, we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi^{\prime}(t) \leq & \left(\epsilon_{7}-a_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} h(s) d s\right)\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}  \tag{30}\\
& +\left(\epsilon_{8} f^{2}\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right)+2 \epsilon_{9}(1-l)^{2}+\epsilon_{10} C_{p}^{2(\gamma+1)}\left(\frac{2 E_{1}(0)}{l}\right)^{\gamma}\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{\zeta_{1}}{4 \epsilon_{7}} C_{p}^{2}+\frac{C_{0}}{4 \epsilon_{8}}+2 \epsilon_{9}+\frac{1}{4 \epsilon_{9}}+\frac{C_{p}}{4 \epsilon_{10}}\right)(1-l)(h \diamond \nabla u)(t)
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (13), (15), (23) and (30), we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad F(t)=E_{1}(t)+\epsilon_{2} \varphi(t)+\epsilon_{3} \psi(t)  \tag{31}\\
& \leq w_{1}\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+w_{2} \int_{\Omega} M\left(x, t,\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right)|\nabla u(x, t)|^{2} d x+w_{3}(h \diamond \nabla u(t))-\|u(t)\|_{\gamma+2}^{\gamma+2},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
w_{1}=\frac{m_{1}}{4 \epsilon_{1}}+\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}\left(\epsilon_{7}-a_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} h(s) d s\right) \\
w_{2}= \\
f\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right) C_{0}\left[C_{p} \widetilde{C_{1}}+\epsilon_{1} m_{1}-\frac{1}{2} a_{0} h(t)\right] \\
+\frac{\epsilon_{2} f\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right) C_{0}}{2}\left(1-2 m_{0}+\left(1+\epsilon_{6}\right)(1-l)^{2}\right) \\
+\epsilon_{3} f\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right) C_{0}\left(\epsilon_{8} f^{2}\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right)+2 \epsilon_{9}(1-l)^{2}+\epsilon_{10} C_{p}^{2(\gamma+1)}\left(\frac{2 E_{1}(0)}{l}\right)^{\gamma}\right), \\
w_{3}=-\frac{\zeta_{2}}{2}+\left[\frac{\epsilon_{2}\left(4 \epsilon_{6}+1\right)}{8 \epsilon_{6}}+\epsilon_{3}\left(\frac{\zeta_{1}}{4 \epsilon_{7}} C_{p}^{2}+\frac{C_{0}}{4 \epsilon_{8}}+2 \epsilon_{9}+\frac{1}{4 \epsilon_{9}}+\frac{C_{p}}{4 \epsilon_{10}}\right)\right](1-l),
\end{gathered}
$$

By using the smallness condition in $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$ and ( $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ ), for the fixed $\epsilon_{i}, i=1,4, \cdots, 10$, we choose $\epsilon_{j}>0, j=2,3$ such that $w_{k}<0, k=1,2,3$. According to (11) and (31), there exist a positive constant $s$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t) \leq-s E_{1}(t) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t$ which is larger than the fixed time $T_{0}$. We conclude from (18) and (32) that

$$
F(t) \leq-s \alpha_{1} F(t)
$$

for all $t$ which is larger than the fixed time $T_{0}$. That is, for all $t$ which is larger than the fixed time $T_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t) \leq F\left(T_{0}\right) e^{s \alpha_{1} T_{0}} e^{-s \alpha_{1} t} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we deduce from (18), (14) and (33) that there are positive constants $\kappa$ and $\vartheta$ such that

$$
E(t) \leq \kappa \exp \{-\vartheta t\} \quad \text { for all } t \geq 0 \text { and as } t \rightarrow+\infty .
$$
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