JOURNAL OF THE CHUNGCHEONG MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume **29**, No. 4, November 2016 http://dx.doi.org/10.14403/jcms.2016.29.4.531

THE JORDAN DERIVATIONS OF SEMIPRIME RINGS AND NONCOMMUTATIVE BANACH ALGEBRAS

Byung-Do Kim*

ABSTRACT. Let R be a 3!-torsion free noncommutative semiprime ring, and suppose there exists a Jordan derivation $D : R \to R$ such that [[D(x), x], x]D(x) = 0 or D(x)[[D(x), x], x] = 0 for all $x \in R$. In this case we have $[D(x), x]^3 = 0$ for all $x \in R$. Let A be a noncommutative Banach algebra. Suppose there exists a continuous linear Jordan derivation $D : A \to A$ such that $[[D(x), x], x]D(x) \in$ rad(A) or $D(x)[[D(x), x], x] \in$ rad(A) for all $x \in A$. In this case, we show that $D(A) \subseteq$ rad(A).

1. Introduction

Throughout, R represents an associative ring and A will be a real or complex Banach algebra. We write [x, y] for the commutator xy - yxfor x, y in a ring. Let rad(R) denote the (*Jacobson*) radical of a ring R. And a ring R is said to be (*Jacobson*) semisimple if its Jacobson radical rad(R) is zero.

A ring R is called *n*-torsion free if nx = 0 implies x = 0. Recall that R is prime if aRb = (0) implies that either a = 0 or b = 0, and is semiprime if aRa = (0) implies a = 0. On the other hand, let X be an element of a normed algebra. Then for every $x \in X$ the spectral radius of x, denoted by r(x), is defined by $r(x) = \inf\{||x^n||^{\frac{1}{n}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. It is well-known that the following theorem holds: if x be an element of a normed algebra, then $r(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||x^n||^{\frac{1}{n}}$ (see Bonsall and Duncan[1]).

An additive mapping D from R to R is called a *derivation* if D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y) holds for all $x, y \in R$. And an additive mapping D from R to R is called a *Jordan derivation* if $D(x^2) = D(x)x + xD(x)$ holds for all $x \in R$.

Received March 10, 2016; Accepted October 17, 2016.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16N60, 16W25, 17B40.

Key words and phrases: Banach algebra, Jordan derivation, prime and semiprime ring, (Jacobson) radical.

Johnson and Sinclair[5] proved that any linear derivation on a semisimple Banach algebra is continuous. A result of Singer and Wermer[9] states that every continuous linear derivation on a commutative Banach algebra maps the algebra into its radical. From these two results, we can conclude that there are no nonzero linear derivations on a commutative semisimple Banach algebra.

Thomas[10] proved that any linear derivation on a commutative Banach algebra maps the algebra into its radical.

A noncommutative version of Singer and Wermer's Conjecture states that every continuous linear derivation on a noncommutative Banach algebra maps the algebra into its radical.

Vukman[11] proved the following: let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring. If $D: R \longrightarrow R$ is a derivation such that [D(x), x]D(x) = 0 for all $x \in R$, then D = 0.

Moreover, using the above result, he proved that the following holds: let A be a noncommutative semisimple Banach algebra. Suppose that [D(x), x]D(x) = 0 holds for all $x \in A$. In this case, D = 0.

Kim[6] showed that the following result holds: let R be a 3!-torsion free semiprime ring. Suppose there exists a Jordan derivation $D: R \to R$ such that

$$[D(x), x]D(x)[D(x), x] = 0$$

for all $x \in R$. In this case, we have $[D(x), x]^5 = 0$ for all $x \in R$.

And, Kim[7] has showed that the following result holds: let A be a noncommutative Banach algebra. Suppose there exists a continuous linear Jordan derivation $D: A \to A$ such that $D(x)[D(x), x]D(x) \in$ rad(A) for all $x \in A$. In this case, we have $D(A) \subseteq$ rad(A).

In this paper, our aim is to prove the following results in the ring theory in order to apply it to the Banach algebra theory:

let R be a 3!-torsion free semiprime ring.

Suppose there exists a Jordan derivation $D: R \longrightarrow R$ such that

$$[[D(x), x], x]D(x) = 0 \text{ or } D(x)[[D(x), x], x] = 0$$

for all $x \in R$. In this case, we obtain $[D(x), x]^3 = 0$ for all $x \in R$.

Let A be a noncommutative Banach Algebra. Suppose there exists a continuous linear Jordan derivation $D: A \longrightarrow A$ such that

$$[[D(x), x], x]D(x) \in \text{ or } D(x)[[D(x), x], x] \in \operatorname{rad}(A)$$

for all $x \in A$. In this case, we obtain $D(A) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(A)$ for all $x \in A$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we review the basic results in semiprime rings. The following lemma and theorem are due to Chung and Luh[4].

LEMMA 2.1. Let R be a n!-torsion free ring. Suppose there exist elements $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n$ in R such that $\sum_{k=1}^n t^k y_k = 0$ for all $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then we have $y_k = 0$ for every positive integer k with $1 \le k \le n$.

THEOREM 2.2. Let R be a semiprime ring with a derivation D. Suppose there exists a positive integer n such that $(Dx)^n = 0$ for all $x \in R$ and suppose R is (n-1)!-torsion free. Then D = 0.

And in 1988, the following statement was obtained by $Bre \bar{s}ar[3]$.

THEOREM 2.3. Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and let D: $R \longrightarrow R$ be a Jordan derivation. In this case, D is a derivation.

We denote by Q(A) the set of all quasinilpotent elements in a Banach algebra.

Bresar[2] also proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.4. Let D be a bounded derivation of a Banach algebra A. Suppose that $[D(x), x] \in Q(A)$ for every $x \in A$. Then D maps A into rad(A).

3. Main results

We need the following notations. After this, by S_m we denote the set $\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq k \leq m\}$ where *m* is a positive integer. when *R* is a ring, we shall denote the maps $B : R \times R \longrightarrow R$, $f, g : R \longrightarrow R$ by B(x,y) = [D(x), y] + [D(y), x], f(x) = [D(x), x], g(x) = [f(x), x], h(x) = [g(x), x] = [[[f(x), x], x] = [[[D(x), x], x], x] for all $x, y \in R$ respectively. And we have the basic properties:

$$\begin{split} &[yD(x),x] = yf(x) + [y,x]D(x), [D(x)y,x] = f(x)y + D(x)[y,x], \\ &[[yD(x),x],x] = [yf(x) + [y,x]D(x),x] = [yf(x),x] + [[y,x]D(x),x] \\ &= yg(x) + 2[y,x]f(x) + [[y,x],x]D(x), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & [[D(x)y,x],x] = [f(x)y + D(x)[y,x],x] = [f(x)y,x] + [D(x)[y,x],x] \\ & = g(x)y + 2f(x)[y,x] + D(x)[[y,x],x], \\ & B(x,y) = B(y,x), \\ & B(x,yz) = B(x,y)z + yB(x,z) + D(y)[z,x] + [y,x]D(z), \\ & B(x,x) = 2f(x), \ B(x,x^2) = 2(f(x)x + xf(x)), \\ & [B(x,x^2),x] + [f(x),x^2] = 3(g(x)x + xg(x)), \ x,y,z \in R. \\ & B(x,yx) = B(x,y)x + 2yf(x) + [y,x]D(x), \\ & B(x,xy) = xB(x,y) + 2f(x)y + D(x)[y,x], \\ & B(x,yD(x)) = B(x,y)D(x) + yF(x) + D(y)f(x) + [y,x]D^2(x), \\ & B(x,D(x)y) = D(x)B(x,y) + F(x)y + f(x)D(y) + D^2(x)[y,x], \\ & x,y \in R. \end{split}$$

THEOREM 3.1. Let R be a 3!-torsionfree noncommutative semiprime ring. Suppose there exists a Jordan derivation $D: R \longrightarrow R$ such that

$$[[D(x), x], x]D(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in R$. Then we have D(x) = 0 for all $x \in R$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.3, we can see that D is a derivation on R. From the assumption,

(3.1)
$$[[D(x), x], x]D(x) = g(x)D(x) = [f(x), x]D(x) = 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Replacing x + ty for x in (3.1), we have

(3.2)

$$[[D(x + ty), x + ty]D(x + ty)] \equiv [[D(x), x], x]D(x) + t\{[B(x, y), x]D(x) + [f(x), y]D(x) + g(x)D(y)\} + t^{2}J_{1}(x, y) + t^{3}J_{2}(x, y) + t^{4}g(y)D(y) = 0, x, y \in R, t \in S_{3}$$

where $J_i, 1 \leq i \leq 3$, denotes the term satisfying the identity (3.2). From (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

(3.3)
$$t\{[B(x,y),x]D(x) + [f(x),y]D(x) + g(x)D(y)\} + t^2J_1(x,y) + t^3J_2(x,y) = 0, x, y \in R, t \in S_3.$$

Since R is 3!-torsionfree, by Lemma 2.1 the relation (3.3) yields

(3.4)
$$[B(x,y),x]D(x) + [f(x),y]D(x) + g(x)D(y)$$
$$= 0, x, y \in R.$$

Let
$$y = x^2$$
 in (3.4). Then using (1), (3.1), we get
(3.5) $2\{[f(x)x + xf(x), x]\}D(x) + (g(x)x + xg(x))D(x) + g(x)(D(x)x + xD(x)))$
 $= 2g(x)xD(x) + 2xg(x)D(x) + gxD(x) + xg(x)D(x) + gD(x)x + g(x)xD(x)$
 $= 4g(x)xD(x) + 3xg(x)D(x) + gD(x)x$
 $= 4g(x)xD(x) = 4h(x)D(x) = -4g(x)f(x)$
 $= 0, x \in R.$

Since R is 3!-torsion free, it follows from (3.5) that

(3.6)
$$h(x)D(x) = g(x)f(x) = 0, x \in R.$$

Substituting xy for y in (3.4), we arrive at

$$\begin{array}{ll} (3.7) & [xB(x,y)+2f(x)y+D(x)[y,x],x]D(x)+g(x)yD(x)\\ & +x[f(x),y]D(x)+g(x)xD(y)+g(x)D(x)y\\ & =x[B(x,y),x]D(x)+2f(x)[y,x]D(x)+2g(x)yD(x)\\ & +D(x)[[y,x],x]D(x)+f(x)[y,x]D(x)\\ & +g(x)yD(x)+x[f(x),y]D(x)+g(x)xD(y)+g(x)D(x)y\\ & =x[B(x,y),x]D(x)+3f(x)[y,x]D(x)+3g(x)yD(x)\\ & +D(x)[[y,x],x]D(x)+x[f(x),y]D(x)+g(x)xD(y)\\ & +g(x)D(x)y=0,x,y\in R. \end{array}$$

Left multiplication of (3.4) by x leads to

(3.8)
$$x[B(x,y),x]D(x) + x[f(x),y]D(x) + xg(x)D(y) = 0, x, y \in R.$$

Combining (3.1), (3.7) with (3.8),

(3.9)
$$3f(x)[y,x]D(x) + 3g(x)yD(x) + D(x)[[y,x],x]D(x) + h(x)D(y) = 0, x, y \in R.$$

Writing yD(x) for y in (3.9), we have

$$(3.10) \qquad 3f(x)[y,x]D(x)^2 + 3f(x)yf(x)D(x) + 3g(x)yD(x)^2 +D(x)yg(x)D(x) + 2D(x)[y,x]f(x)D(x) +D(x)[[y,x],x]D(x)^2 + h(x)D(y)D(x) +h(x)yD^2(x) = 0, x, y \in R.$$

Right multiplication of (3.9) by D(x) gives

(3.11)
$$3f(x)[y,x]D(x)^{2} + 3g(x)yD(x)^{2} + D(x)[[y,x],x]D(x)^{2} + h(x)D(y)D(x) = 0, x, y \in R.$$

From (3.10) and (3.11), we get

(3.12)
$$\begin{aligned} 3f(x)yf(x)D(x) + D(x)yg(x)D(x) \\ +2D(x)[y,x]f(x)D(x) + h(x)yD^2(x) \\ &= 0, x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.1) and (3.12), one obtains

(3.13)
$$\begin{aligned} &3f(x)yf(x)D(x) + 2D(x)[y,x]f(x)D(x) \\ &+h(x)yD^2(x) = 0, x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Right multiplication of (3.9) by x yields

$$(3.14) \qquad 3f(x)[y,x]D(x)x + 3g(x)yD(x)x + D(x)[[y,x],x]D(x)x \\ +h(x)D(y)x = 0, x, y \in R.$$

Putting yx instead of y in (3.9), we have

(3.15)
$$3f(x)[y,x]xD(x) + 3g(x)yxD(x) + D(x)[[y,x],x]xD(x) + h(x)D(y)x + h(x)yD(x) = 0, x, y \in R.$$

From (3.14) and (3.15),

$$(3.16) 3f(x)[y,x]f(x) + 3g(x)yf(x) + D(x)[[y,x],x]f(x) -h(x)yD(x) = 0, x, y \in R.$$

Let y = D(x) in (3.16). Then we obtain

(3.17)
$$3f(x)^3 + 3g(x)D(x)f(x) + D(x)g(x)f(x) +h(x)D(x)^2 = 0, x, y \in R.$$

From (3.1), (3.6) and (3.17), we get

(3.18)
$$3f(x)^3 = 0, x \in R.$$

Since R is 3!-torsionfree, (3.18) yields

(3.19)
$$f(x)^3 = 0, x \in R.$$

THEOREM 3.2. Let R be a 3!-torsionfree noncommutative semiprime ring. Suppose there exists a Jordan derivation $D: R \longrightarrow R$ such that

$$D(x)[[D(x), x], x] = 0$$

for all $x \in R$. Then we have $D(x) = 0$ for all $x \in R$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.3, we can see that D is a derivation on R. From the assumption,

$$(3.20) \quad D(x)[[D(x), x], x] = D(x)g(x) = D(x)[f(x), x] = 0, \ x \in R.$$

Replacing x + ty for x in (3.20), we have

$$(3.21) \qquad D(x+ty)[[D(x+ty), x+ty] \\ \equiv D(x)[[D(x), x], x] + t\{D(y)g(x) + D(x)[B(x, y), x] \\ + D(x)[f(x), y]\} + t^2K_1(x, y) \\ + t^3K_2(x, y) + t^4D(y)g(y) \\ = 0, \ x, y \in R, t \in S_3$$

where $K_i, 1 \leq i \leq 3$, denotes the term satisfying the identity (3.21). From (3.20) and (3.21), we obtain

(3.22)
$$t\{D(y)g(x) + D(x)[B(x,y),x] + D(x)[f(x),y]\} + t^2K_1(x,y) + t^3K_2(x,y) = 0, x, y \in R, t \in S_3.$$

Since R is 3!-torsionfree, by Lemma 2.1 the relation (3.22) yields

(3.23)
$$D(y)g(x) + D(x)[B(x,y),x] + D(x)[f(x),y] = 0, x, y \in R.$$

Let $y = x^2$ in (3.23). Then using (3.20), we get

$$(3.24) \quad \{D(x)x + xD(x)\}g(x) + 2D(x)\{[f(x)x + xf(x), x]\} \\ +D(x)(g(x)x + xg(x)) \\ = D(x)xg(x) + xD(x)g(x) + 2D(x)g(x)x + 2D(x)xg(x) \\ +D(x)g(x)x + D(x)xg(x) \\ = 4D(x)xg(x) + 3D(x)g(x)x + xD(x)g(x) \\ = 4f(x)g(x) = -4D(x)h(x) = 0, x \in R.$$

Since R is 3!-torsion free, we obtain from (3.24)

(3.25)
$$f(x)g(x) = D(x)h(x) = 0, x \in R.$$

Right multiplication of (3.23) by x leads to

(3.26)
$$D(y)g(x)x + D(x)[B(x,y),x]x + D(x)[f(x),y]x = 0, x, y \in R.$$

Substituting yx for y in (3.23), we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} (3.27) & D(y)xg(x) + yD(x)g(x) + D(x)[B(x,y)x + 2yf(x) \\ &+ [y,x]D(x),x] + D(x)[f(x),y]x + D(x)yg(x) \\ &= D(y)xg(x) + yD(x)g(x) + D(x)[B(x,y),x]x \\ &+ 2D(x)yg(x) + 2D(x)[y,x]f(x) + D(x)[y,x]f(x) \\ &+ D(x)[[y,x],x]D(x) + D(x)[f(x),y]x + D(x)yg(x) \\ &= D(y)xg(x) + yD(x)g(x) + D(x)[B(x,y),x]x \\ &+ 3D(x)yg(x) + 3D(x)[y,x]f(x) \\ &+ D(x)[[y,x],x]D(x) + D(x)[f(x),y]x \\ &= 0,x,y \in R. \end{array}$$

From (3.20), (3.26) and (3.27), we arrive at

(3.28)
$$D(y)h(x) + 3D(x)yg(x) + 3D(x)[y,x]f(x) +D(x)[[y,x],x]D(x) = 0, x, y \in R.$$

Replacing D(x)y for y in (3.28), we obtain

$$(3.29) D(x)D(y)h(x) + D^{2}(x)yh(x) + 3D(x)^{2}yg(x) +3D(x)^{2}[y,x]f(x) + 3D(x)f(x)yf(x) +D(x)^{2}[[y,x],x]D(x) + 2D(x)f(x)[y,x]D(x) +D(x)g(x)yD(x) = 0, x, y \in R.$$

Left multiplication of (3.28) by D(x) gives

(3.30)
$$D(x)D(y)h(x) + 3D(x)^2yg(x) + 3D(x)^2[y,x]f(x) + D(x)^2[[y,x],x]D(x) = 0, x, y \in R.$$

From (3.29) and (3.30), it follows that

(3.31)
$$D^{2}(x)yh(x) + 3D(x)f(x)yf(x) + 2D(x)f(x)[y,x]D(x) + D(x)g(x)yD(x) = 0, x, y \in R.$$

From (3.20) and (3.31), we get

(3.32)
$$D^{2}(x)yh(x) + 3D(x)f(x)yf(x) + 2D(x)f(x)[y,x]D(x) + D(x)g(x)yD(x) = 0, x, y \in R.$$

Writing xy for y in (3.28), we arrived at

$$(3.33) \quad xD(y)h(x) + D(x)yh(x) + 3D(x)xyg(x) + 3D(x)x[y,x]f(x) \\ + D(x)x[[y,x],x]D(x) = 0, x, y \in R.$$

Left multiplication of (3.28) by x yields

(3.34)
$$\begin{aligned} xD(y)h(x) + 3xD(x)yg(x) + 3xD(x)[y,x]f(x) \\ + xD(x)[[y,x],x]D(x) = 0, x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.33) and (3.34), one obtains

(3.35)
$$D(x)yh(x) + 3f(x)yg(x) + 3f(x)[y,x]f(x) + f(x)[[y,x],x]D(x) = 0, x, y \in R.$$

Let y = D(x) in (3.35). Then we have

(3.36)
$$D(x)^{2}h(x) + 3f(x)D(x)g(x) + 3f(x)^{3} + f(x)g(x)D(x) = 0, x, y \in R.$$

From (3.20), (3.25) and (3.36), we get

(3.37)
$$3f(x)^3 = 0, x \in R.$$

Since R is 3!-torsionfree, (3.37) gives

$$f(x)^3 = 0, x \in R.$$

Combining Vukman's idea [12] with and Brešar [2] and Kim's idea [6], we have the following theorem from the simple calculations.

THEOREM 3.3. Let A be a Banach algebra. Suppose there exists a continuous linear Jordan derivation $D: A \longrightarrow A$ such that

$$[[D(x),x],x]D(x)\in rad(A)$$

for all $x \in A$. Then we have $D(A) \subseteq rad(A)$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the case that A is noncommutative. By the result of B.E. Johnson and A.M. Sinclair [5] any linear derivation on a semisimple Banach algebra is continuous. Sinclair [9] has proved that every continuous linear Jordan derivation on a Banach algebra leaves the primitive ideals of A invariant. Hence for any primitive ideal $P \subseteq A$ one can introduce a derivation $D_P: A/P \longrightarrow A/P$, where A/P is a prime and factor Banach algebra, by $D_P(\hat{x}) = D(x) + P$, $\hat{x} = x + P$. By the assumption that $[[D(x), x], x]D(x) \in \operatorname{rad}(A), x \in A$, we obtain $[[D_P(\hat{x}), \hat{x}], \hat{x}]D_P(\hat{x}) = 0, \hat{x} \in A/P$, since all the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 is fulfilled. Let the factor prime Banach algebra A/Pbe noncommutative. Then from Theorem 3.1 we have $[D_P(\hat{x}), \hat{x}]^3 =$ $0, \hat{x} \in A/P$. Hence by using Theorem 2.4, we get $D_P(\hat{x}) \in \operatorname{rad}(A/P) =$ $\{0\}, \hat{x} \in A/P$. Thus we obtain $D(x) \in P$ for all $x \in A$ and all primitive ideals P of A. Hence $D(A) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(A)$. And we consider the case that A/P

is commutative. Then since A/P is a commutative Banach semisimple Banach algebra, from the result of B.E. Johnson and A.M. Sinclair [5], it follows that $D_P(\hat{x}) = 0$, $\hat{x} \in A/P$. And so, $D(x) \in P$ for all $x \in A$ and all primitive ideals P of A. Hence $D(A) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(A)$. Therefore in any case we obtain $D(A) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(A)$. \Box

The following theorem is similarly proved in the above proof of Theorem 3.3.

THEOREM 3.4. Let A be a (noncommutative) Banach algebra. Suppose there exists a continuous linear Jordan derivation $D: A \longrightarrow A$ such that

$$D(x)[[D(x), x], x] \in rad(A)$$

for all $x \in A$. Then we have $D(A) \subseteq rad(A)$.

THEOREM 3.5. Let A be a semisimple Banach algebra. Suppose there exists a linear Jordan derivation $D: A \longrightarrow A$ such that

$$[[D(x), x], x]D(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in A$. Then we have D = 0.

Proof. It suffices to prove the case that A is noncommutative. According to the result of B.E. Johnson and A.M. Sinclair^[5] every linear derivation on a semisimple Banach algebra is continuous. A.M. Sinclair[9] proved that any continuous linear derivation on a Banach algebra leaves the primitive ideals of A invariant. Hence for any primitive ideal $P \subseteq A$ one can introduce a derivation $D_P: A/P \longrightarrow A/P$, where A/P is a prime and factor Banach algebra, by $D_P(\hat{x}) = D(x) + P$, $\hat{x} =$ x + P. From the given assumptions $[[D(x), x], x]D(x) = 0, x \in A$, it follows that $[[D_P(\hat{x}), \hat{x}], \hat{x}]D_P(\hat{x}) = 0, \ \hat{x} \in A/P$, since all the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 is fulfilled. The factor algebra A/P is noncommutative, by Theorem 3.1 we have $[D_P(\hat{x}), \hat{x}]^3 = 0, \ \hat{x} \in A/P$. Thus we obtain $[D_P(\hat{x}), \hat{x}] \in Q(A/P)$. Then by Theorem 2.4, we obtain $D_P(\hat{x}) \in \operatorname{rad}(A/P) = \{0\}$ for all $\hat{x} \in A/P$ and all primitive ideals P of A. That is, $D(x) \in P$ for all $x \in A$ and primitive ideals P in A. Hence we get $D(A) \subseteq P$ for all primitive ideals P of A. Therefore $D(A) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(A)$. But since A is semisimple, D = 0.

The following theorem is similarly proved in the above proof of theorem.

THEOREM 3.6. Let A be a semisimple Banach algebra. Suppose there exists a linear Jordan derivation $D: A \longrightarrow A$ such that

$$D(x)[[D(x), x], x] = 0$$

for all $x \in A$. Then we have D = 0.

As a special case of Theorem 3.3 we have the following result which characterizes commutative semisimple Banach algebras.

COROLLARY 3.7. Let A be a semisimple Banach algebra. Suppose

$$[[[x, y], x], x][x, y] = 0$$

for all $x, y \in A$. In this case, A is commutative.

As a special case of Theorem 3.5 we get the following statement which characterizes commutative semisimple Banach algebras.

COROLLARY 3.8. Let A be a semisimple Banach algebra. Suppose

$$[x, y][[[x, y], x], x] = 0$$

for all $x, y \in A$. In this case, A is commutative.

References

- F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, Complete Normed Algebras, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1973.
- [2] M. Brešar, Derivations of noncommutative Banach algebras II, Arch. Math. 63 (1994), 56-59.
- [3] M. Brešar, Jordan derivations on semiprime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1988), no. 4, 1003-1006.
- [4] L. O. Chung and J. Luh, Semiprime rings with nilpotent derivatives, Canad. Math. Bull. 24 (1981), no. 4, 415-421.
- [5] B. E. Johnson and A. M. Sinclair, Continuity of derivations and a problem of Kaplansky, Amer. J. Math. 90 (1968), 1067-1073.
- [6] B. D. Kim, On the derivations of semiprime rings and noncommutative Banach algebras, Acta Mathematica Sinica 16 (2000), no. 1, 21-28.
- [7] B. D. Kim, Derivations of semiprime rings and noncommutative Banach algebras, Commun. Korean Math. Soc 17 (2002), no. 4, 607-618.
- [8] B. D. Kim, Jordan derivations of semiprime rings and noncommutative Banach algebras, II, J. Korea Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B. Pure Appl. Math. 15 (2008), no. 3, 259-296.
- [9] A. M. Sinclair, Jordan homohorphisms and derivations on semisimple Banach algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 24 (1970), 209-214.
- [10] I. M. Singer and J. Wermer, Derivations on commutative normed algebras, Math. Ann. 129 (1955), 260-264.
- [11] J. Vukman, A result concerning derivations in noncommutative Banach algebras, Glasnik Mathematicki 26 (1991), 83-88.
- [12] J. Vukman, On derivations in prime rings and Banach algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 116 (1992), no. 4, 877-884.

542 *

> Department of Mathematics Gangneung-Wonju National University Gangneung 25457, Republic of Korea *E-mail*: bdkim@gwnu.ac.kr