

THE RESULTS CONCERNING JORDAN DERIVATIONS

BYUNG DO KIM*

ABSTRACT. Let R be a 3!-torsion free semiprime ring, and let $D : R \rightarrow R$ be a Jordan derivation on a semiprime ring R . In this case, we show that $[D(x), x]D(x) = 0$ if and only if $D(x)[D(x), x] = 0$ for every $x \in R$. In particular, let A be a Banach algebra with $\text{rad}(A)$. If D is a continuous linear Jordan derivation on A , then we see that $[D(x), x]D(x) \in \text{rad}(A)$ if and only if $[D(x), x]D(x) \in \text{rad}(A)$ for all $x \in A$.

1. Introduction

Throughout, R represents an associative ring and A will be a real or complex Banach algebra. We write $[x, y]$ for the commutator $xy - yx$ for x, y in a ring. Let $\text{rad}(R)$ denote the (*Jacobson*) *radical* of a ring R . And a ring R is said to be (*Jacobson*) *semisimple* if its Jacobson radical $\text{rad}(R)$ is zero. See [1] for the more details.

A ring R is called *n-torsion free* if $nx = 0$ implies $x = 0$. Recall that R is *prime* if $aRb = (0)$ implies that either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$, and is *semiprime* if $aRa = (0)$ implies $a = 0$.

An additive mapping D from R to R is called a *derivation* if $D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$. And an additive mapping D from R to R is called a *Jordan derivation* if $D(x^2) = D(x)x + xD(x)$ holds for all $x \in R$.

Johnson and Sinclair [4] proved that any linear derivation on a semisimple Banach algebra is continuous. A result of Singer and Wermer [5] states that every continuous linear derivation on a commutative Banach algebra maps the algebra into its radical. From these two results, we can conclude that there are no nonzero linear derivations on a commutative semisimple Banach algebra.

Received March 10, 2016; Accepted October 17, 2016.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16N60, 16W25, 17B40.

Key words and phrases: Banach algebra, Jordan derivation, prime and semiprime ring, (Jacobson) radical.

Vukman [7] proved the following: let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring. If $D : R \rightarrow R$ is a derivation such that $[D(x), x]D(x) = 0$ for all $x \in R$, then $D = 0$.

Moreover, using the above result, he proved that the following holds: let A be a noncommutative semisimple Banach algebra. Suppose that $[D(x), x]D(x) = 0$ holds for all $x \in A$. In this case, $D = 0$.

In this paper, our first aim is to prove the following result in the ring theory in order to apply it to the Banach algebra theory:

let R be a 3!-torsion free semiprime ring, and let $D : R \rightarrow R$ be a Jordan derivation on a semiprime ring R . In this case, we show that $[D(x), x]D(x) = 0$ if and only if $D(x)[D(x), x] = 0$ for every $x \in R$. In particular, let A be a Banach algebra with $\text{rad}(A)$ and if D is a continuous linear Jordan derivation on A , then we see that $[D(x), x]D(x) \in \text{rad}(A)$ if and only if $D(x)[D(x), x] \in \text{rad}(A)$ for all $x \in A$.

2. Preliminaries

The following lemma is due to Chung and Luh [3].

LEMMA 2.1. *Let R be a $n!$ -torsion free ring. Suppose there exist elements $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n$ in R such that $\sum_{k=1}^n t^k y_k = 0$ for all $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then we have $y_k = 0$ for every positive integer k with $1 \leq k \leq n$.*

The following theorem is due to Brešar [2].

THEOREM 2.2. *Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and let $D : R \rightarrow R$ be a Jordan derivation. In this case, D is a derivation.*

And the following theorem is proved by Vukman in [7] under the condition of the prime ring R .

THEOREM 2.3. *Let R be a 3!-torsionfree prime ring. Let $D : R \rightarrow R$ be a Jordan derivation on R . In this case, we see that if $[D(x), x]D(x) = 0$ for every $x \in R$, then $D(x) = 0$ for all $x \in R$.*

3. Main results

We need the following notations. After this, by S_m we denote the set $\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq k \leq m\}$ where m is a positive integer. When R is a

ring, we shall denote the maps $B : R \times R \rightarrow R$, $f, g : R \rightarrow R$ by $B(x, y) \equiv [D(x), y] + [D(y), x]$, $f(x) \equiv [D(x), x]$, $g(x) \equiv [f(x), x]$ for all $x, y \in R$ respectively. And we have the basic properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
 B(x, y) &= B(y, x), \\
 B(x, yz) &= B(x, y)z + yB(x, z) + D(y)[z, x] + [y, x]D(z)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in R$ and $z \in R$.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let R be a $3!$ -torsionfree semiprime ring. Let $D : R \rightarrow R$ be a Jordan derivation on R . In this case, it follows that*

$$[D(x), x]D(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow D(x)[D(x), x] = 0$$

for every $x \in R$.

Proof. (Necessity)

It is sufficient to prove the noncommutative case of R .

Assume that

$$(3.1) \quad [D(x), x]D(x) = f(x)D(x) = 0, \quad x \in R.$$

Replacing $x + ty$ for x in (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[D(x + ty), x + ty]D(x + ty) \\
 &\equiv f(x)D(x) + t\{B(x, y)D(x) + f(x)D(y)\} + t^2H(x, y) \\
 (3.2) \quad &+ t^3f(y)D(y) = 0, \quad x, y \in R, \quad t \in S_2
 \end{aligned}$$

where H denotes the term satisfying the identity (3.2).

From (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &t\{B(x, y)D(x) + f(x)D(y)\} + t^2H(x, y) \\
 (3.3) \quad &= 0, \quad x, y \in R, \quad t \in S_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since R is $2!$ -torsionfree, by Lemma 2.1 the relation (3.3) yields

$$(3.4) \quad B(x, y)D(x) + f(x)D(y) = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$

Writing yx for y in (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &B(x, yx)D(x) + f(x)D(yx) \\
 &= B(x, y)xD(x) + 2yf(x)D(x) + [y, x]D(x)^2 + f(x)D(y)x \\
 (3.5) \quad &+ f(x)yD(x) = 0, \quad x, y \in R.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.1) and (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &B(x, y)xD(x) + [y, x]D(x)^2 + f(x)D(y)x + f(x)yD(x) \\
 (3.6) \quad &= 0, \quad x, y \in R.
 \end{aligned}$$

Right multiplication of (3.4) by x leads to

$$(3.7) \quad B(x, y)D(x)x + f(x)D(y)x = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$

From (3.6) and (3.7), we arrive at

$$(3.8) \quad -B(x, y)f(x) + [y, x]D(x)^2 + f(x)yD(x) = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$

Substituting xy for y in (3.8), we have

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & -xB(x, y)f(x) - 2f(x)yf(x) - D(x)[y, x]f(x) \\ & + x[y, x]D(x)^2 + f(x)xyD(x) = 0, \quad x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Left multiplication of (3.8) by x yields

$$(3.10) \quad -xB(x, y)f(x) + x[y, x]D(x)^2 + xf(x)yD(x) = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$

From (3.9) and (3.10), we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad -2f(x)yf(x) - D(x)[y, x]f(x) + g(x)yD(x) = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$

Putting $D(x)y$ instead of y in (3.11), we get

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & -2f(x)D(x)yf(x) - D(x)^2[y, x]f(x) - D(x)f(x)yf(x) \\ & + g(x)D(x)yD(x) = 0, \quad x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.1) and (3.12), we have

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & -D(x)^2[y, x]f(x) - D(x)f(x)yf(x) + g(x)D(x)yD(x) \\ & = 0, \quad x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Left multiplication of (3.12) by $D(x)$ gives

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & -2D(x)f(x)yf(x) - D(x)^2[y, x]f(x) + D(x)g(x)yD(x) \\ & = 0, \quad x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.1) and (3.14), we arrive at

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & D(x)f(x)yf(x) + (g(x)D(x) - D(x)g(x))yD(x) \\ & = 0, \quad x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Right multiplication of (3.15) by $D(x)$ leads to

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & D(x)f(x)yf(x)D(x) + (g(x)D(x) - D(x)g(x))yD(x)^2 \\ & = 0, \quad x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.1) and (3.16), we obtain

$$(3.17) \quad (g(x)D(x) - D(x)g(x))yD(x)^2 = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$

Writing $yD(x)$ for y in (3.15), we have

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & D(x)f(x)yD(x)f(x) + (g(x)D(x) - D(x)g(x))yD(x)^2 \\ & = 0, \quad x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.17) and (3.18), we get

$$(3.19) \quad D(x)f(x)yD(x)f(x) = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$

Since R is semiprime, (3.19) gives

$$D(x)f(x) = 0, \quad x \in R.$$

Therefore the necessity is proved.

The inverse statement is symmetrically proved in the expressions proved.

(Sufficiency)

Suppose

$$(3.20) \quad D(x)[D(x), x] = D(x)f(x) = 0, \quad x \in R.$$

Replacing $x + ty$ for x in (3.20), we have

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & D(x + ty)[D(x + ty), x + ty] \\ & \equiv D(x)f(x) + t\{D(y)f(x) + D(x)B(x, y)\} + t^2I(x, y) \\ & + t^3D(y)f(y) = 0, \quad x, y \in R, \quad t \in S_2 \end{aligned}$$

where I denotes the term satisfying the identity (3.21).

From (3.20) and (3.21), we obtain

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} & t\{D(y)f(x) + D(x)B(x, y)\} + t^2I(x, y) \\ & = 0, \quad x, y \in R, \quad t \in S_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since R is 2!-torsionfree, by Lemma 2.1 the relation (3.22) yields

$$(3.23) \quad D(y)f(x) + D(x)B(x, y) = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$

Writing xy for y in (3.23), we get

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & xD(y)f(x) + D(x)yf(x) + D(x)xB(x, y) + 2D(x)f(x)y \\ & + D(x)^2[y, x] = 0, \quad x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.20) and (3.24), it follows from that

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} & xD(y)f(x) + D(x)yf(x) + D(x)xB(x, y) + D(x)^2[y, x] \\ & = 0, \quad x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Left multiplication of (3.23) by x leads to

$$(3.26) \quad xD(y)f(x) + xD(x)B(x, y) = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$

From (3.25) and (3.26), we get

$$(3.27) \quad D(x)yf(x) + f(x)B(x, y) + D(x)^2[y, x] = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$

Right multiplication of (3.27) by x yields

$$(3.28) \quad D(x)yf(x)x + f(x)B(x, y)x + D(x)^2[y, x]x = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$

Replacing yx for y in (3.27), we have

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} &D(x)yxf(x) + f(x)B(x, y)x + 2f(x)yf(x) + f(x)[y, x]D(x) \\ &+ D(x)^2[y, x]x = 0, \quad x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.28) and (3.29), we obtain

$$(3.30) \quad D(x)yg(x) - 2f(x)yf(x) - f(x)[y, x]D(x) = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$

Right multiplication of (3.30) by $D(x)$ gives

$$(3.31) \quad \begin{aligned} &D(x)yg(x)D(x) - 2f(x)yf(x)D(x) - f(x)[y, x]D(x)^2 \\ &= 0, \quad x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $yD(x)$ instead of y in (3.30), it is obvious that

$$(3.32) \quad \begin{aligned} &D(x)yD(x)g(x) - 2f(x)yD(x)f(x) - f(x)[y, x]D(x)^2 \\ &- f(x)yf(x)D(x) = 0, \quad x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.20) and (3.32), we have

$$(3.33) \quad \begin{aligned} &D(x)yD(x)g(x) - f(x)[y, x]D(x)^2 - f(x)yf(x)D(x) \\ &= 0, \quad x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.31) and (3.33), we get

$$(3.34) \quad \begin{aligned} &D(x)y\{g(x)D(x) - D(x)g(x)\} - f(x)yf(x)D(x) \\ &= 0, \quad x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Left multiplication of (3.34) by $D(x)$ leads to

$$(3.35) \quad \begin{aligned} &D(x)^2y\{g(x)D(x) - D(x)g(x)\} - D(x)f(x)yf(x)D(x) \\ &= 0, \quad x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.20) and (3.35), we obtain

$$(3.36) \quad D(x)^2y\{g(x)D(x) - D(x)g(x)\} = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$

Substituting $D(x)y$ for y in (3.34), we have

$$(3.37) \quad \begin{aligned} &D(x)^2y\{g(x)D(x) - D(x)g(x)\} - f(x)D(x)yf(x)D(x) \\ &= 0, \quad x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.36) and (3.37), we obtain

$$(3.38) \quad f(x)D(x)yf(x)D(x) = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$

Since R is semiprime, (3.38) gives

$$f(x)D(x) = 0, \quad x \in R.$$

Therefore the sufficiency is proved. \square

We obtain the equivalent property of continuous Jordan derivations on Banach algebras as the application to the Banach algebra theory.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let A be a Banach algebra with $\text{rad}(A)$. Let $D : A \rightarrow A$ be a continuous linear Jordan derivation. Then we obtain*

$$[D(x), x]D(x) \in \text{rad}(A) \iff D(x)[D(x), x] \in \text{rad}(A)$$

for every $x \in A$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the case that A is noncommutative. By the result of B.E. Johnson and A.M. Sinclair [4] any linear derivation on a semisimple Banach algebra is continuous. Sinclair [5] has proved that every continuous linear Jordan derivation on a Banach algebra leaves the primitive ideals of A invariant. Hence for any primitive ideal $P \subseteq A$ one can introduce a derivation $D_P : A/P \rightarrow A/P$, where A/P is a prime and factor Banach algebra, by $D_P(\hat{x}) = D(x) + P$, $\hat{x} = x + P$. By the assumption that $[D(x), x]D(x) \in \text{rad}(A)$, $x \in A$, we obtain $[D_P(\hat{x}), \hat{x}]D_P(\hat{x}) = 0 \iff D_P(\hat{x})[D_P(\hat{x}), \hat{x}] = 0$, $\hat{x} \in A/P$, since all the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled. Thus we see that

$$[D(x), x]D(x) \in P \iff D(x)[D(x), x] \in P$$

for every $x \in A$ and all primitive ideals of A . Therefore we conclude that

$$[D(x), x]D(x) \in \text{rad}(A) \iff D(x)[D(x), x] \in \text{rad}(A)$$

for every $x \in A$. \square

As a special case of Theorem 3.2 we get the following result which characterizes commutative semisimple Banach algebras.

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let A be a semisimple Banach algebra. Then we have*

$$[[y, x], x][y, x] = 0 \iff [y, x][[y, x], x] = 0$$

for every $x, y \in A$.

Acknowledgment

The author wishes to thank the referees for their valuable comments.

References

- [1] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1973.
- [2] M. Brešar, *Jordan derivations on semiprime rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** 1988, no. 4, 1003-1006.
- [3] L.O. Chung and J. Luh, *Semiprime rings with nilpotent derivatives*, Canad. Math. Bull. **24** 1981, no. 4, 415-421.
- [4] B. E. Johnson and A. M. Sinclair, *Continuity of derivations and a problem of Kaplansky*, Amer. J. Math. **90** 1968, 1067-1073.
- [5] A. M. Sinclair, *Jordan homomorphisms and derivations on semisimple Banach algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** 1970, 209-214.
- [6] I. M. Singer and J. Wermer, *Derivations on commutative normed algebras*, Math. Ann. **129** 1955, 260-264.
- [7] J. Vukman, *A result concerning derivations in noncommutative Banach algebras*, Glasnik Math. **26** 1991, 83-88.

*

Department of Mathematics
Gangneung-Wonju National University
Gangneung 25457, Republic of Korea
E-mail: bdkim@gwnu.ac.kr