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Abstract. In this paper, we are concerned with the nonlinear elliptic equations of the $p(x)$-Laplace type

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u))+|u|^{p(x)-2} u=\lambda f(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega \\ a(x, \nabla u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=\lambda \theta g(x, u) & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

which is subject to nonlinear Neumann boundary condition. Here the function $a(x, v)$ is of type $|v|^{p(x)-2} v$ with continuous function $p: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow$ $(1, \infty)$ and the functions $f, g$ satisfy a Carathéodory condition. The main purpose of this paper is to establish the existence of at least three solutions for the above problem by applying three critical points theory due to Ricceri. Furthermore, we localize three critical points interval for the given problem as applications of the theorem introduced by Arcoya and Carmona.

## 1. Introduction

Recently, the study of differential equations and variational problems involving $p(x)$-growth conditions have been extensively investigated and received much attention because they can be presented as models for many physical phenomena which arouse in the study of elastic mechanics, electro-rheological fluid dynamics and image processing, etc. We refer the readers to [20, 25] and the references therein. In the case of $p(x)$ which becomes $p$-Laplacian when $p(x) \equiv p$ (a constant), there are a bunch of papers, for instance, $[1,14,17,19,21]$ and the references therein.

[^0]In the present paper, we are concerned with multiple solutions for equations of $p(x)$-Laplace type with nonlinear Neumann boundary condition

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u))+|u|^{p(x)-2} u=\lambda f(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{N}\\ a(x, \nabla u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=\lambda \theta g(x, u) & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with Lipschitz boundary $\partial \Omega, \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$ denotes the outer normal derivative of $u$ with respect to $\partial \Omega$, the function $a(x, v)$ is of type $|v|^{p(x)-2} v$ with continuous function $p: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow(1, \infty)$, the functions $f, g$ satisfy a Carathéodory condition, and $\lambda, \theta$ are real parameters. The existence of nontrivial solutions to nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems has been extensively studied by many researchers; see $[7,8,13,26,27,28,31]$ and references therein. Motivated by the pioneer work of A. Ambrosetti and P. Rabinowitz in [1], J. Yao [28] showed the existence of nontrivial solutions for the inhomogeneous and nonlinear Neumann boundary value problems involving the $p(x)$-Laplacian; see [7] for $p(x)$-Laplace type operator. The purpose of this paper is to establish the existence of at least three solutions for problem ( N ) as applications of an abstract three critical points theorem [3] which is the extension of the famous result of B. Ricceri [23]. The study about the existence of at least three solutions for elliptic equations has been an interesting topic; see $[2,6,4,9,18,21,23,24]$. J. Liu and X. Shi [18] treated the existence of three solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic systems involving the $(p(x), q(x))$-Laplacian. Some existence and multiplicity results for nonlinear elliptic equations of the $p(x)$-Laplacian in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ have been established in [2]. It is well known that B. Ricceri's theorems in [21, 22, 23] gave no accurate information on the location and size of an interval of the parameter $\lambda$ in $\mathbb{R}$ for the existence of at least three critical points. The authors in [9] localized the interval for the existence of three solutions for equations of $p$-Laplace type with various boundary conditions (for example, homogeneous Dirichlet and inhomogeneous Robin problems) which were motivated by the study of D. Arcoya and J. Carmona [3]. Recently, J.-H. Bae, Y.-H. Kim, and C. Zhang [4] established the existence of at least three solutions for equations of $p(x)$-Laplace type and localized a three critical points interval for this problem which was based on the works of $[6,9]$. In this respect, we determine precisely the intervals of $\lambda$ 's for which problem ( N ) admits only the trivial solution and for which problem ( N ) admits at least two nontrivial solutions by applying the three critical points theorems given in [3].

This paper is organized as follows. We first state some basic results for the variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces and present some properties of integral operators associated with the problem (N). Second, we observe multiple solutions for equations of $p(x)$-Laplace type with nonlinear Neumann boundary condition using abstract three critical points theory introduced by B. Ricceri [23]. And finally we determine precisely the intervals of $\lambda$ 's for which
problem (N) admits only the trivial solution and for which problem (N) has at least two nontrivial solutions.

## 2. Preliminaries

We introduce some definitions and basic properties of the variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and the variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev space $W^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.

We set

$$
C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})=\left\{h \in C(\bar{\Omega}): \min _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} h(x)>1\right\}
$$

and, for any $h \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$, we denote

$$
h_{+}=\sup _{x \in \Omega} h(x) \quad \text { and } \quad h_{-}=\inf _{x \in \Omega} h(x) .
$$

For any $p \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$, we introduce the variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega):=\left\{u: u\right.$ is a measurable real-valued function, $\left.\int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d x<\infty\right\}$, endowed with the Luxemburg norm

$$
\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{u(x)}{\lambda}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\} .
$$

The variable exponent Sobolev space $X:=W^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$
X=\left\{u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega):|\nabla u| \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{X}=\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that a function $p: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is log-Hölder continuous on $\Omega$ if there is a constant $C_{0}$ such that

$$
|p(x)-p(y)| \leq \frac{C_{0}}{-\log |x-y|}
$$

for every $x, y \in \Omega$ with $|x-y| \leq 1 / 2$.
Lemma 2.1 ([15]). The space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is a separable, uniformly convex Banach space, and its conjugate space is $L^{p^{\prime}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ where $1 / p(x)+1 / p^{\prime}(x)=1$. For any $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{p^{\prime}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} u v d x\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{p_{-}}+\frac{1}{\left(p^{\prime}\right)_{-}}\right)\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \leq 2\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(\cdot)}(\Omega)} .
$$

Lemma 2.2 ([15]). Denote

$$
\rho(u)=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x
$$

for all $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. Then
(1) $\rho(u)>1(=1 ;<1)$ if and only if $\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}>1(=1 ;<1)$, respectively;
(2) if $\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}>1$, then $\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{p_{-}} \leq \rho(u) \leq\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{p_{+}}$;
(3) if $\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}<1$, then $\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{p_{+}} \leq \rho(u) \leq\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{p_{-}}$.

Remark 2.3. Denote

$$
\rho(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)}+|u|^{p(x)}\right) d x
$$

for all $u \in X$. Then
(1) $\rho(u)>1(=1 ;<1)$ if and only if $\|u\|_{X}>1(=1 ;<1)$, respectively;
(2) if $\|u\|_{X}>1$, then $\|u\|_{X}^{p_{-}} \leq \rho(u) \leq\|u\|_{X}^{p_{+}}$;
(3) if $\|u\|_{X}<1$, then $\|u\|_{X}^{p_{+}} \leq \rho(u) \leq\|u\|_{X}^{p_{-}}$.

Lemma 2.4 ([10]). Let $q \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be such that $1 \leq p(x) q(x) \leq \infty$ for almost all $x \in \Omega$. If $u \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ with $u \neq 0$, then
(1) if $\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot) q(\cdot)}(\Omega)}>1$, then $\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot) q(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{q_{-}} \leq\left\||u|^{q(x)}\right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \leq\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot) q(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{q_{+}} ;$
(2) if $\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot) q(\cdot)}(\Omega)}<1$, then $\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot) q(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{q_{+}} \leq\left\||u|^{q(x)}\right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \leq\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot) q(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{q_{-}}$.

Lemma 2.5 ([12]). Let $\Omega$ be an open, bounded set with Lipschitz boundary and let $p \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $1<p_{-} \leq p_{+}<\infty$. If $\left.q \in C(\bar{\Omega})\right)$ satisfies

$$
q(x) \leq p^{*}(x):= \begin{cases}\frac{N p(x)}{N-p(x)} & \text { if } N>p(x) \\ +\infty & \text { if } N \leq p(x)\end{cases}
$$

then there is a continuous embedding

$$
X \hookrightarrow L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)
$$

and the embedding is compact if $\inf _{x \in \Omega}\left(p^{*}(x)-q(x)\right)>0$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$.
Lemma 2.6 ([11]). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 2$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. Suppose that $p \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $r \in C(\partial \Omega)$ satisfy the condition

$$
1 \leq r(x)<p^{\partial}(x):= \begin{cases}\frac{(N-1) p(x)}{N-p(x)} & \text { if } N>p(x) \\ +\infty & \text { if } N \leq p(x)\end{cases}
$$

for all $x \in \partial \Omega$. Then the embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^{r(\cdot)}(\partial \Omega)$ is compact and continuous.

## 3. Existence of multiple solutions

Now we shall give the proof of the existence of at least three solutions for problem $(\mathrm{N})$, by applying the abstract three critical points theory and the basic properties of the spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $X$.

Definition. We say that $u \in X$ is a weak solution of the problem (N) if
$\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla v d x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)-2} u v d x=\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v d x+\lambda \theta \int_{\partial \Omega} g(x, u) v d S$
for all $v \in X$, where $d S$ is the measure on the boundary.
We assume that $a: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a continuous function with the continuous derivative with respect to $v$ of the mapping $J_{0}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, J_{0}=J_{0}(x, v)$, that is, $a(x, v)=\frac{d}{d v} J_{0}(x, v)$. Suppose that $a$ and $J_{0}$ satisfy the following assumptions:
(J1) The equality

$$
J_{0}(x, \mathbf{0})=0
$$

holds for all $x \in \Omega$.
(J2) There are a function $b \in L^{p^{\prime}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and a nonnegative constant $b_{1}$ such that

$$
|a(x, v)| \leq b(x)+b_{1}|v|^{p(x)-1}
$$

holds for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
(J3) $J_{0}(x, \cdot)$ is strictly convex in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $p \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $1<p_{-} \leq p_{+}<\infty$.
(J4) There exists a positive constant $c_{*}$ such that the relations

$$
c_{*}|v|^{p(x)} \leq a(x, v) \cdot v \quad \text { and } \quad c_{*}|v|^{p(x)} \leq p_{+} J_{0}(x, v)
$$

hold for all $x \in \Omega$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
Let us define the functional $J: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(u)=\int_{\Omega} J_{0}(x, \nabla u) d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define an operator $J^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle J^{\prime}(u), \varphi\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}\langle a(x, \nabla u), \nabla \varphi\rangle d x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)-2} u \varphi d x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\varphi \in X$ where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the pairing of $X$ and its dual $X^{*}$ and the Euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, respectively.

The fact that the operator $J^{\prime}$ is a mapping of type $\left(S_{+}\right)$plays an important role in obtaining our main results. The proof is essentially the same as the one in [16]; see also [17].

Lemma 3.1. Assume that (J1)-(J4) hold. Then the functional $J: X \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ is convex and weakly lower semicontinuous on $X$. Moreover, the operator $J^{\prime}$ is a mapping of type $\left(S_{+}\right)$, i.e., if $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-J^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \leq 0$, then $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Corollary 3.2. Assume that (J1)-(J4) hold. Then the operator $J^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is strictly monotone, coercive and hemicontinuous on $X$. Furthermore, the operator $J^{\prime}$ is homeomorphism onto $X^{*}$.
Proof. It is immediate that the operator $J^{\prime}$ is strictly monotone, coercive and hemicontinuous on $X$. By the Browder-Minty theorem, the inverse operator $\left(J^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ exists (see Theorem 26.A in [30]). If we apply Lemma 3.1, then the
proof of continuity of the inverse operator $\left(J^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ is similar to that in the case of a constant exponent and is omitted here.

In order to deal with our main results, we need the following assumptions for $f$ and $g$. Denoting $F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d s$ and $G(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(x, s) d s$, then we assume that
(H1) $p \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $1<p_{-} \leq p_{+}<p^{*}(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$.
(F1) $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Carathéodory condition and there exist two nonnegative functions $\rho_{1}, \sigma_{1} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
|f(x, s)| \leq \rho_{1}(x)+\sigma_{1}(x)|s|^{\gamma_{1}(x)-1}
$$

for all $(x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$, where $\gamma_{1} \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\left(\gamma_{1}\right)_{+}<p_{-}$.
(F2) There exist a real number $s_{0}$ and a positive constant $r_{0}$ so small that

$$
\int_{B_{N}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)} F\left(x,\left|s_{0}\right|\right) d x>0 \quad \text { and } \quad F(x, t) \geq 0
$$

for almost all $x \in B_{N}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right) \backslash B_{N}\left(x_{0}, \sigma r_{0}\right)$ with $\sigma \in(0,1)$ and for all $0 \leq t \leq\left|s_{0}\right|$, where $B_{N}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)=\left\{x \in \Omega:\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq r_{0}\right\} \subset \Omega$.
(F3) $\lim \sup _{s \rightarrow 0}\left(\operatorname{esssup}_{x \in \Omega} \frac{|F(x, s)|}{|s|^{\kappa(x)}}\right)<+\infty$, where $\kappa \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ is such that $p_{+}<\kappa_{-} \leq \kappa(x)<p^{*}(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$.
(G1) $g: \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Carathéodory condition and there exist two nonnegative functions $\rho_{2}, \sigma_{2} \in L^{\infty}(\partial \Omega)$

$$
|g(x, s)| \leq \rho_{2}(x)+\sigma_{2}(x)|s|^{\gamma_{2}(x)-1}
$$

for all $(x, s) \in \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$, where $\gamma_{2} \in C_{+}(\partial \Omega)$ and $\left(\gamma_{2}\right)_{+}<p_{-}$.
Define the functionals $\Psi, H: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(u)=-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x, \quad H(u)=-\int_{\partial \Omega} G(x, u) d S \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it is easy to check that $\Psi, H \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and their Fréchet derivatives are
(5) $\quad\left\langle\Psi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=-\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v d x \quad$ and $\quad\left\langle H^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=-\int_{\partial \Omega} g(x, u) v d S$
for any $u, v \in X$.
Lemma 3.3 ([23]). Let $X$ be a reflexive real Banach space; $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ an interval; $J: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous $C^{1}$-functional whose derivative admits a continuous inverse on $X^{*} ; \Psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a $C^{1}$-functional with compact derivative. In addition, let $J$ be bounded on each bounded subset of $X$. Assume that

$$
\lim _{\|u\|_{X} \rightarrow \infty}(J(u)+\lambda \Psi(u))=+\infty
$$

for all $\lambda \in I$ and there exists $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ such that
(6) $\sup _{\lambda \in I} \inf _{u \in X}(J(u)+\lambda(\Psi(u)+\rho))<\inf _{u \in X} \sup _{\lambda \in I}(J(u)+\lambda(\Psi(u)+\rho))$.

Then there exist a nonempty open set $\Lambda \subset I$ and a positive real number $R>0$ with the following property: for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and every $C^{1}$-functional $H: X \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ with compact derivative, there exists $\delta>0$ such that for each $\theta \in[0, \delta]$, the equation

$$
J^{\prime}(u)+\lambda\left(\Psi^{\prime}(u)+\theta H^{\prime}(u)\right)=0
$$

has at least three solutions in $X$ whose norms are less than $R$.
Lemma 3.4. Assume that (H1), (J1)-(J4), (F1), and (G1) hold. Then

$$
\lim _{\|u\|_{X} \rightarrow \infty}\{J(u)+\lambda(\Psi(u)+\theta H(u))\}=+\infty
$$

for all $\lambda, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$.
Proof. For $\|u\|_{X}$ large enough and for all $\lambda, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows from Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J(u)+\lambda(\Psi(u)+\theta H(u)) \\
&= \int_{\Omega} J_{0}(x, \nabla u) d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x-\lambda \theta \int_{\partial \Omega} G(x, u) d S \\
& \geq \frac{c_{*}}{p_{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x \\
& \quad-|\lambda| \int_{\Omega}\left|\rho_{1}(x)\right||u| d x-|\lambda| \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\gamma_{1}(x)}\left|\sigma_{1}(x)\right||u|^{\gamma_{1}(x)} d x \\
& \quad-|\lambda||\theta| \int_{\partial \Omega}\left|\rho_{2}(x)\right||u| d S-|\lambda||\theta| \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{1}{\gamma_{2}(x)}\left|\sigma_{2}(x)\right||u|^{\gamma_{2}(x)} d S \\
& \geq \frac{c_{*}}{p_{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x+\frac{1}{p_{+}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x \\
&-|\lambda|\left\|\rho_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\|u\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}-\frac{|\lambda|}{\left(\gamma_{1}\right)_{-}}\left\|\sigma_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\left\||u|^{\gamma_{1}(\cdot)}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \\
&-|\lambda||\theta|\left\|\rho_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial \Omega)}\|u\|_{L^{1}(\partial \Omega)}-\frac{|\lambda||\theta|}{\left(\gamma_{2}\right)_{-}}\left\|\sigma_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial \Omega)}\left\||u|^{\gamma_{2}(\cdot)}\right\|_{L^{1}(\partial \Omega)} \\
& \geq \frac{\min \left\{c_{*}, 1\right\}}{p_{+}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right) \\
& \quad-|\lambda| C_{1}\|u\|_{X}-\frac{|\lambda| C_{2}}{\left(\gamma_{1}\right)_{-}}\|u\|_{\left.L^{\prime}\right)_{1}(\cdot)}^{\left(\gamma_{1}\right)_{+}}(\Omega)-|\lambda||\theta| C_{3}\|u\|_{X}-\frac{|\lambda||\theta| C_{4}}{\left(\gamma_{2}\right)_{-}}\|u\|_{L^{\gamma_{2}(\cdot)}(\partial \Omega)}^{\left(\gamma_{2}\right)_{+}} \\
& \geq \frac{\min \left\{c_{*}, 1\right\}}{p_{+}}\|u\|_{X}^{p_{-}-|\lambda| C_{1}\|u\|_{X}-\frac{|\lambda| C_{5}}{\left(\gamma_{1}\right)_{-}}\|u\|_{X}^{\left(\gamma_{1}\right)_{+}}} \\
& \quad-|\lambda||\theta| C_{3}\|u\|_{X}-\frac{|\lambda||\theta| C_{6}}{\left(\gamma_{2}\right)_{-}}\|u\|_{X}^{\left(\gamma_{2}\right)_{+}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some positive constants $C_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 6)$. Since $p_{-}>\left(\gamma_{1}\right)_{+}>1$ and $p_{-}>$ $\left(\gamma_{2}\right)_{+}>1$, we deduce

$$
\lim _{\|u\|_{X} \rightarrow \infty}\{J(u)+\lambda(\Psi(u)+\theta H(u))\}=+\infty
$$

for all $\lambda, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

The following lemma is crucial to obtain the assumption (6) of Lemma 3.3.
Lemma 3.5 ([21]). Let $X$ be a nonempty set and $J, \Psi$ two real functionals on $X$. Assume that there are $\mu>0$ and $u_{0}, u_{1} \in X$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& J\left(u_{0}\right)=-\Psi\left(u_{0}\right)=0, \quad J\left(u_{1}\right)>\mu, \\
& \sup _{u \in J^{-1}((-\infty, \mu])}-\Psi(u)<\mu \frac{-\Psi\left(u_{1}\right)}{J\left(u_{1}\right)} . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, for each $\rho$ satisfying

$$
\sup _{u \in J^{-1}((-\infty, \mu])}-\Psi(u)<\rho<\mu \frac{-\Psi\left(u_{1}\right)}{J\left(u_{1}\right)}
$$

one has

$$
\sup _{\lambda \geq 0} \inf _{u \in X}(J(u)+\lambda(\rho+\Psi(u)))<\inf _{u \in X} \sup _{\lambda \geq 0}(J(u)+\lambda(\rho+\Psi(u))) .
$$

The following consequence for the existence of at least three solutions for problem ( N ) can be established by applying Lemma 3.3.

Theorem 3.6. Assume that (J1)-(J4), (H1), (F1)-(F3), and (G1) hold. Then there exist a nonempty open set $\Lambda \subset[0,+\infty)$ and a positive real number $R>0$ with the following property: for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and every Carathéodory function $g$ satisfying the condition (G1), there exists $\delta>0$ such that for each $\theta \in[0, \delta]$, the equation (N) has at least three solutions in $X$ whose norms are less then $R$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, the functional $J: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous $C^{1}$-functional. Moreover, it is bounded on each bounded subset of $X$. Using Corollary 3.2, the operator $J^{\prime}$ is homeomorphism onto $X^{*}$, that is, there exists a continuous inverse operator $\left(J^{\prime}\right)^{-1}: X^{*} \rightarrow X$. Moreover, with the aid of Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, the modification of the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [7] yields that the operators $\Psi^{\prime}, H^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ are compact. Applying Lemma 3.4 when the parameter $\theta$ is zero, we know

$$
\lim _{\|u\|_{X} \rightarrow \infty}(J(u)+\lambda \Psi(u))=+\infty
$$

for all $u \in X$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

To check all assumptions in Lemma 3.3, we verify the assumption (6). Let $s_{0} \neq 0$ be from (F2). For $\varrho \in(0,1)$, define
(8) $\quad u_{\varrho}(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x \in \Omega \backslash B_{N}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right) \\ \left|s_{0}\right| & \text { if } x \in B_{N}\left(x_{0}, \varrho r_{0}\right) \\ \frac{\left|s_{0}\right|}{r_{0}(1-\varrho)}\left(r_{0}-\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right) & \text { if } x \in B_{N}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right) \backslash B_{N}\left(x_{0}, \varrho r_{0}\right) .\end{cases}$

It is clear that $0 \leq u_{\varrho}(x) \leq\left|s_{0}\right|$ for all $x \in \Omega$, and so $u_{\varrho} \in X$. Moreover, the fact that (see Theorem 2.8 of [19]) $L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p_{-}}(\Omega)$ implies
$\left\|u_{\varrho}\right\|_{X}^{\alpha_{0}} \geq\left\|\nabla u_{\varrho}\right\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{\alpha_{0}} \geq C_{7} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{\varrho}\right|^{p_{-}} d x=\frac{C_{7}\left|s_{0}\right|^{p_{-}}\left(1-\varrho^{N}\right)}{(1-\varrho)^{p_{-}}} r_{0}^{N-p_{-}} \omega_{N}>0$
for a positive constant $C_{7}$, where $\alpha_{0}$ is either $p_{+}$or $p_{-}$and $\omega_{N}$ is the volume of $B_{N}(0,1)$. Also, by using assumption (F2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Psi\left(u_{\varrho}\right)= & \int_{B_{N}\left(x_{0}, \varrho r_{0}\right)} F\left(x,\left|s_{0}\right|\right) d x \\
& +\int_{B_{N}\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right) \backslash B_{N}\left(x_{0}, \varrho r_{0}\right)} F\left(x, \frac{\left|s_{0}\right|}{r_{0}(1-\varrho)}\left(r_{0}-\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)\right) d x>0
\end{aligned}
$$

By condition (F3), there exist positive constants $\eta \in(0,1]$ and $C_{8}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, s)<C_{8}|s|^{\kappa(x)}<C_{8}|s|^{\kappa_{-}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and for all $s \in[-\eta, \eta]$. Let us consider two positive constants $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ given by

$$
M_{1}=\sup _{|s|>1} \frac{C\left(|s|+|s|^{\gamma_{+}}\right)}{|s|^{\kappa_{-}}} \quad \text { and } \quad M_{2}=\sup _{\eta<|s|<1} \frac{C\left(|s|+|s|^{\gamma_{-}}\right)}{|s|^{\kappa_{-}}}
$$

for some positive constant $C$. Then it follows from (9) and (F1) that

$$
F(x, s)<M|s|^{\kappa_{-}}
$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, where $M=\max \left\{C_{8}, M_{1}, M_{2}\right\}$. Fix a real number $\mu$ such that $0<\mu<1$. When $\alpha_{1}\|u\|_{X}^{p_{+}} \leq \mu<1$, where $\alpha_{1}=\min \left\{c_{*}, 1\right\} / p_{+}$and $c_{*}$ is the positive constant from (J4), then by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Psi(u)=\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x<M \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\kappa_{-}} d x \leq C_{9}\|u\|_{X}^{\kappa_{-}} \leq C_{10} \mu^{\frac{\kappa_{-}}{p_{+}}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constants $C_{9}$ and $C_{10}$. Since $\kappa_{-}>p_{+}$, the relation (10) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mu \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\sup _{\alpha_{1}\|u\|_{X}^{p+} \leq \mu}-\Psi(u)}{\mu}=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us check the assumption (7) in Lemma 3.5. Fix a real number $\mu_{0}$ such that

$$
0<\mu<\mu_{0}<\alpha_{1} \min \left\{\left\|u_{\varrho}\right\|_{X}^{p_{+}},\left\|u_{\varrho}\right\|_{X}^{p_{-}}, 1\right\} \leq \alpha_{1}
$$

where $u_{\varrho}$ was defined in (8). By Lemma 2.2 and (J5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(u_{\varrho}\right) & =\int_{\Omega} J_{0}\left(x, \nabla u_{\varrho}\right) d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|u_{\varrho}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} \frac{c_{*}}{p_{+}}\left|\nabla u_{\varrho}\right|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_{+}}\left|u_{\varrho}\right|^{p(x)} d x \geq \alpha_{1}\left\|u_{\varrho}\right\|_{X}^{p_{+}} \geq \mu_{0}>\mu
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\left\|u_{\varrho}\right\|_{X}<1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(u_{\varrho}\right) & =\int_{\Omega} J_{0}\left(x, \nabla u_{\varrho}\right) d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|u_{\varrho}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} \frac{c_{*}}{p_{+}}\left|\nabla u_{\varrho}\right|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_{+}}\left|u_{\varrho}\right|^{p(x)} d x \geq \alpha_{1}\left\|u_{\varrho}\right\|_{X}^{p_{-}} \geq \mu_{0}>\mu
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\left\|u_{\varrho}\right\|_{X}>1$. From (11), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\alpha_{1}\|u\|_{X}^{p+} \leq \mu}-\Psi(u) \leq \frac{\mu}{2} \frac{-\Psi\left(u_{\varrho}\right)}{J\left(u_{\varrho}\right)}<\mu \frac{-\Psi\left(u_{\varrho}\right)}{J\left(u_{\sigma}\right)} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $u \in J^{-1}((-\infty, \mu])$, we obtain that $J(u) \leq \mu$ and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{c_{*}}{p_{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_{+}}|u|^{p(x)} d x \\
\leq & \int_{\Omega} J_{0}(x, \nabla u) d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x=J(u) \leq \mu .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we deduce

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)}+|u|^{p(x)}\right) d x \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} \mu<\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} \mu_{0}<1 .
$$

This inequality implies that $\|u\|_{X}<1$. It follows that

$$
\alpha_{1}\|u\|_{X}^{p_{+}}<\int_{\Omega} J_{0}(x, \nabla u) d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x=J(u) \leq \mu .
$$

So we can get

$$
J^{-1}((-\infty, \mu]) \subset\left\{u \in X: \alpha_{1}\|u\|_{X}^{p_{+}} \leq \mu\right\}
$$

Then

$$
\sup _{u \in J^{-1}((-\infty, \mu])}-\Psi(u) \leq \sup _{\alpha_{1}\|u\|_{X}^{p_{+}} \leq \mu}-\Psi(u)<\mu \frac{-\Psi\left(u_{\varrho}\right)}{J\left(u_{\varrho}\right)},
$$

that is,

$$
\sup _{u \in J^{-1}((-\infty, \mu])}-\Psi(u)<\mu \frac{-\Psi\left(u_{\varrho}\right)}{J\left(u_{\varrho}\right)} .
$$

Thus we can choose $\mu>0, u_{0}=0$, and $u_{1}=u_{\varrho}$ such that relations $J\left(u_{\varrho}\right) \leq \mu$ and (7) are satisfied. Also there exists $\rho$ such that

$$
\sup _{u \in J^{-1}((-\infty, \mu])}-\Psi(u)<\rho<\mu \frac{-\Psi\left(u_{\varrho}\right)}{J\left(u_{\varrho}\right)} .
$$

Set $I=[0,+\infty)$. According to Lemma 3.5, we obtain that

$$
\sup _{\lambda \geq 0} \inf _{u \in X}(J(u)+\lambda(\Psi(u)+\rho))<\inf _{u \in X} \sup _{\lambda \geq 0}(J(u)+\lambda(\Psi(u)+\rho)) .
$$

Therefore, $J$ and $\Psi$ satisfy all the assumptions of Lemma 3.3. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.6 gives no further information on the size and location of the open set $\Lambda$. Hence we will investigate the localization of the interval for the existence of at least three solutions for problem $(\mathrm{N})$ by applying the three critical points theorems given in [9]. To do this, we consider the following eigenvalue problem:

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right)+|u|^{p(x)-2} u=\lambda m(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} u & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{E}\\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Under some conditions, we show the positivity of the infimum of all eigenvalues for problem (E). From the analogous argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [9], we get the following result.

Proposition 3.7. Assume that (H1) holds. Moreover, suppose that
(H2) $m \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $m(x)>0$ for almost all $x \in \Omega$.
Denote the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{*}=\inf _{u \in X \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x}{\int_{\Omega} m(x)|u|^{p(x)} d x} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\lambda_{*}$ is a positive eigenvalue of problem (E), that is there is $u_{1} \in X$ with $\int_{\Omega} m(x) \mid u_{1}{ }^{p(x)} d x=1$ such that realizes the infimum in (13) and represents an eigenfunction for $\lambda_{*}$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{*} \int_{\Omega} m(x)|u|^{p(x)} d x \leq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $u \in X$.
Proof. In view of Lemma 2.5 with the assumption (H2), we get $\lambda_{*}>0$. Denote the functional $\tilde{J}_{0}, \tilde{\Psi}_{0}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\tilde{J}_{0}(u)=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{\Psi}_{0}(u)=\int_{\Omega} m(x)|u|^{p(x)} d x
$$

for any $u \in X$. Then these functionals are continuously Gáteaux differentiable, convex in $X$, and obviously $\tilde{J}_{0}^{\prime}(0)=\tilde{\Psi}_{0}^{\prime}(0)=0$. Moreover, $\tilde{J}_{0}^{\prime}(u)=0$ implies $u=0$. By Lemma 3.1, $\tilde{J}_{0}$ is weakly lower semicontinuous on $X$. From the convexity of $\tilde{\Psi}_{0}$, we deduce that $\tilde{\Psi}_{0}$ is weakly lower semicontinuous on $X$. Note that any $C^{1}$ functional on $X$ with compact derivative is sequentially weakly continuous on $X$ (see Corollary 41.9 in [29]). As seen in the proof of Theorem $3.6, \tilde{\Psi}_{0}$ is sequentially weakly continuous on $X$. Since $\tilde{J}_{0}$ is coercive in $X$, it follows from an easy contradiction argument that $\tilde{J}_{0}$ is coercive in $\left\{u \in X: \tilde{\Psi}_{0}(u) \leq 1\right\}$. Consequently all the assumptions of Theorem 6.3.2 in
[5] are verified and thus $\lambda_{*}$ is attained in $\left\{u \in X: \tilde{\Psi}_{0}(u)=1\right\}$. In other words, there is an element $u$ in $X$ with $\int_{\Omega} m(x)|u|^{p(x)} d x=1$ such that realizes the infimum in (13) and represents an eigenfunction for (E) corresponding to $\lambda_{*}$. Therefore (14) holds.

In addition, we assume that
(F4) $\lim \sup _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(x, s)|}{m(x)|s|^{\xi_{1}(x)-1}}<+\infty$ uniformly for almost all $x \in \Omega$, where $\xi_{1} \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $p(x)<\xi_{1}(x)<p^{*}(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$.
(G2) $\lim \sup _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{|g(x, s)|}{|s|^{\xi_{2}(x)-1}}<+\infty$ uniformly for almost all $x \in \partial \Omega$, where $\xi_{2} \in C_{+}(\partial \Omega)$ with $p(x)<\xi_{2}(x)<p^{\partial}(x)$ for all $x \in \partial \Omega$.
Let us introduce two functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{1}(r)=\inf _{u \in \Psi^{-1}((-\infty, r))} \frac{\inf _{v \in \Psi^{-1}(r)} J(v)-J(u)}{\Psi(u)-r}, \\
& \chi_{2}(r)=\sup _{u \in \Psi^{-1}((r,+\infty))} \frac{\inf _{v \in \Psi^{-1}(r)} J(v)-J(u)}{\Psi(u)-r}
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $r \in\left(\inf _{u \in X} \Psi(u), \sup _{u \in X} \Psi(u)\right)$. Denote the crucial values

$$
\mathcal{C}_{f}=\underset{s \neq 0, x \in \Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \sup } \frac{|f(x, s)|}{m(x)|s|^{p(x)-1}} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{C}_{g}=\operatorname{esssup}_{s \neq 0, x \in \partial \Omega} \frac{|g(x, s)|}{|s|^{p(x)-1}} .
$$

Then the same arguments in [9] imply that $\mathcal{C}_{f}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{g}$ are well defined, positive constants, and furthermore the following relations hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{s \neq 0, x \in \Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \sup } \frac{|F(x, s)|}{m(x)|s|^{p(x)}}=\frac{\mathcal{C}_{f}}{p_{-}} \quad \text { and } \quad \underset{s \neq 0, x \in \partial \Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \sup ^{\prime}} \frac{|G(x, s)|}{|s|^{p(x)}}=\frac{\mathcal{C}_{g}}{p_{-}} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next result represents the differentiable version of the Arcoya and Carmona; see Theorem 3.10 in [3].

Lemma 3.8. Let $J, \Psi$ be two functionals on $X$ which are weakly lower semicontinuous and continuously Gâteaux differentiable in $X$. Let $\Psi$ be nonconstant and $H$ be continuously Gâteaux differentiable with compact derivative $H^{\prime}$. Let also $J^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be a mapping of type $\left(S_{+}\right)$and $\Psi^{\prime}$ be a compact operator. Assume that there exist an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ and a number $\tau>0$ such that for every $\lambda \in I$ and every $\theta \in[-\tau, \tau]$ the functional $I_{\lambda, \theta}=J+\lambda(\Psi+\theta H)$ is coercive in $X$. If there exists

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \in\left(\inf _{u \in X} \Psi(u), \sup _{u \in X} \Psi(u)\right) \quad \text { such that } \quad \chi_{1}(r)<\chi_{2}(r) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(\chi_{1}(r), \chi_{2}(r)\right) \cap I \neq \emptyset$, then for every compact interval $[a, b]$ with $[a, b] \subset$ $\left(\chi_{1}(r), \chi_{2}(r)\right) \cap I$, there exists $\gamma \in(0, \tau)$ with $|\theta|<\gamma$ such that the functional $I_{\lambda, \theta}$ admits at least three critical points for every $\lambda \in[a, b]$.

By applying Lemma 3.8, we can obtain the following assertion.

Theorem 3.9. Assume (J1)-(J4), (H1)-(H3), (F1)-(F2), and (G1)-(G2) hold. Then we have
(i) For every $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $\ell_{*}=\min \left\{1, c_{*}\right\} \lambda_{*} /\left(\mathcal{C}_{f}+C_{11} \lambda_{*}|\theta| \mathcal{C}_{g}\right)$ such that problem $(\mathrm{N})$ has only the trivial solution for all $\lambda \in\left[0, \ell_{*}\right)$, where $c_{*}$ is a positive constant from (J4), $C_{11}$ is a positive constant, and $\lambda_{*}$ is a positive real number in (13).
(ii) If furthermore $f$ satisfies (F4), then for some positive constant $\ell^{*}$ with $\ell^{*} \geq \ell_{*}$ and for any compact interval $[a, b]$ with $[a, b] \subset\left(\ell^{*},+\infty\right)$, there exists $\tau>0$ such that problem ( N ) has at least two nontrivial solutions for every $\lambda \in[a, b]$ and $\theta \in(-\tau, \tau)$.

Proof. To apply Lemma 3.8, let us denote the operators $J, \Psi$, and $H$ by (2) and (4), respectively. Under the assumptions (J1)-(J4), (H1), (F1)-(F2), and (G1), all of the assumptions in Lemma 3.8 except the condition (16) are satisfied.

Now we prove the assertion (i). Let $u \in X$ be a nontrivial weak solution of problem ( N ). Then it is clear that
$\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla v d x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)-2} u v d x=\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v d x+\lambda \theta \int_{\partial \Omega} g(x, u) v d S$
for all $v \in X$. If we put $v=u$, then it follows from (J4), (14), and the definitions of $\mathcal{C}_{f}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{g}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min \left\{1, c_{*}\right\} \lambda_{*}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right) \\
\leq & \lambda_{*}\left(\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u d x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right) \\
= & \lambda_{*} \lambda\left(\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) u d x+\theta \int_{\partial \Omega} g(x, u) u d S\right) \\
\leq & \lambda_{*} \lambda\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{f(x, u)}{m(x)|u|^{p(x)-1}} m(x)|u|^{p(x)} d x+\theta \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{g(x, u)}{\left.|u|^{p(x)-1}|u|^{p(x)} d S\right)}\right. \\
\leq & \lambda \mathcal{C}_{f}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right)+\lambda_{*} \lambda|\theta| \mathcal{C}_{g} \int_{\partial \Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d S \\
\leq & \lambda\left(\mathcal{C}_{f}+C_{11} \lambda_{*}|\theta| \mathcal{C}_{g}\right)\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus if $u$ is a nontrivial weak solution of problem (N), then necessarily $\lambda \geq$ $\ell_{*}=\min \left\{1, c_{*}\right\} \lambda_{*} /\left(\mathcal{C}_{f}+C_{11} \lambda_{*}|\theta| \mathcal{C}_{g}\right)$ for $C_{11}$ is a positive constant, as claimed.

Next, we show the assertion (ii). As shown in the proof of Theorem 3.6, there exists $u_{\varrho}$ in $X$, which is defined in (8), such that $\Psi\left(u_{\varrho}\right)<0$. Then the crucial number

$$
\ell^{*}=\chi_{1}(0)=\inf _{u \in \Psi^{-1}((-\infty, 0))}\left(-\frac{J(u)}{\Psi(u)}\right)
$$

is well defined. Let $u$ be in $X$ with $u \not \equiv 0$. From the assumption (J4) and relation (15), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{J(u)}{|\Psi(u)|} & =\frac{\int_{\Omega} J_{0}(x, \nabla u) d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x}{\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x} \\
& \geq \frac{\frac{c_{*}}{p_{+}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x+\frac{1}{p_{+}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{|F(x, u)|}{m(x)|u|^{p(x)}} m(x)|u|^{p(x)} d x} \\
& \geq \frac{\frac{\min \left\{1, c_{*}\right\}}{p_{+}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x\right)}{\frac{\mathcal{C}_{f}}{p_{-}} \int_{\Omega} m(x)|u|^{p(x)} d x} \\
& \geq \frac{\min \left\{1, c_{*}\right\} p_{-}}{\mathcal{C}_{f} p_{+}} \lambda_{*} \geq \frac{\min \left\{1, c_{*}\right\} \lambda_{*}}{\mathcal{C}_{f}} \\
& \geq \frac{\min \left\{1, c_{*}\right\} \lambda_{*}}{\left(\mathcal{C}_{f}+C_{11} \lambda_{*}|\theta| \mathcal{C}_{g}\right)}=\ell_{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have $\ell^{*} \geq \ell_{*}$. Now we claim that there exists a real number $r$ satisfying the condition (16). For any $u \in \Psi^{-1}((-\infty, 0))$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{1}(r) & =\inf _{u \in \Psi^{-1}((-\infty, r))} \frac{\inf _{v \in \Psi^{-1}(r)} J(v)-J(u)}{\Psi(u)-r} \\
& \leq \frac{\inf _{v \in \Psi^{-1}(r)} J(v)-J(u)}{\Psi(u)-r} \leq \frac{J(u)}{r-\Psi(u)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $r \in(\Psi(u), 0)$. It implies that

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 0-} \chi_{1}(r) \leq-\frac{J(u)}{\Psi(u)}
$$

for all $u \in \Psi^{-1}((-\infty, 0))$. Hence we have

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 0-} \chi_{1}(r) \leq \chi_{1}(0)=\ell^{*}
$$

By the assumption (F4), there exists a positive real number $M_{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(x, s)| \leq M_{*} m(x)|s|^{\xi_{1}(x)} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. In fact, denote

$$
M_{3}=\limsup _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{|F(x, s)|}{m(x)|s|^{\xi_{1}(x)}} .
$$

Then there exists $\delta>0$ such that $|F(x, s)| \leq\left(M_{3}+1\right) m(x)|s|^{\xi_{1}(x)}$ for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|s|<\delta$. Let $s$ be fixed with $|s| \geq \delta$. It follows from (15) that

$$
|F(x, s)| \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}_{f}}{p_{-}}|s|^{p(x)-\xi_{1}(x)} m(x)|s|^{\xi_{1}(x)}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{\mathcal{C}_{f}\left(\delta^{p_{-}-\left(\xi_{1}\right)_{+}}+\delta^{p_{+}-\left(\xi_{1}\right)_{-}}\right)}{p_{-}} m(x)|s|^{\xi_{1}(x)}
$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega$. Hence the relation (17) holds, where

$$
M_{*}=\max \left\{M_{3}+1, \mathcal{C}_{f}\left(\delta^{p_{-}-\left(\xi_{1}\right)_{+}}+\delta^{p_{+}-\left(\xi_{1}\right)_{-}}\right) / p_{-}\right\}
$$

Then the relation (17) implies that

$$
|\Psi(u)| \leq \int_{\Omega} M_{*} m(x)|u|^{\xi_{1}(x)} d x \leq 2 C_{12} M_{*}\|m\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\|u\|_{X}^{\alpha}
$$

for a positive constant $C_{12}$ and for all $u \in X$, where $\alpha$ is either $\left(\xi_{1}\right)_{+}$or $\left(\xi_{1}\right)_{-}$. If $r<0$ and $v \in \Psi^{-1}(r)$, then it follows from the assumption (J5) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
r=\Psi(v) & \geq-2 C_{11} M_{*}\|m\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{X}^{\alpha} \\
& \geq-2 C_{11} M_{*}\|m\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\left(\max \left\{1, p_{+} / c_{*}\right\} J(v)\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta$ is either $p_{+}$or $p_{-}$. Since $u=0 \in \Psi^{-1}((r,+\infty))$, we get

$$
\chi_{2}(r) \geq \frac{1}{|r|} \inf _{v \in \Psi^{-1}(r)} J(v) \geq \frac{|r|^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}-1}}{\left(2 C_{11} M_{*}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\|m\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}} \frac{1}{\max \left\{1, p_{+} / c_{*}\right\}},
$$

and then $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0-} \chi_{2}(r)=+\infty$ because $\alpha>\beta$. Then we deduce that

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 0-} \chi_{1}(r) \leq \chi_{1}(0)=\ell^{*}<\lim _{r \rightarrow 0-} \chi_{2}(r)=+\infty
$$

This confirms that for all integers $n \geq n^{*}=2+\left[\ell^{*}\right]$, there exists a negative sequence $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ such that $r_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with $\chi_{1}\left(r_{n}\right)<\ell^{*}+1 / n<n<$ $\chi_{2}\left(r_{n}\right)$. By Lemma 3.4, we set $I=\mathbb{R}$. In conclusion, since $u \equiv 0$ is a critical point of $I_{\lambda, \theta}$, according to Lemma 3.8, for every compact interval $[a, b]$ with

$$
[a, b] \subset\left(\ell^{*},+\infty\right)=\bigcup_{n=n^{*}}^{\infty}\left[\ell^{*}+\frac{1}{n}, n\right] \subset \bigcup_{n=n^{*}}^{\infty}\left(\chi_{1}\left(r_{n}\right), \chi_{2}\left(r_{n}\right)\right)
$$

there exists $\tau>0$ such that problem (N) admits at least two nontrivial solutions for all $\lambda \in[a, b]$ and $\theta \in(-\tau, \tau)$. This completes the proof.
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