RESEARCH ARTICLE

Knowledge, Attitude and Practices Regarding HPV Vaccination Among Medical and Para Medical in Students, India a Cross Sectional Study

K Swarnapriya^{1*}, D Kavitha^{1&}, Gopireddy Murali Mohan Reddy²

Abstract

Background: High risk human papilloma virus (HPV) types 16 and 18 have been proven as central causes of cervical cancer and safety and immunogenicity of HPV vaccines are sufficiently established. Knowledge and practices of HPV vaccination among medical and paramedical students is vital as these may strongly determine intention to recommend vaccination to others in the future. The present study was therefore undertaken to assess the knowledge, attitude and practices regarding cervical cancer screening and HPV vaccination among medical and paramedical students and to analyze factors influencing them. Materials and Methods: The present cross sectional study, conducted in a tertiary care teaching hospital in south India, included undergraduate students aged 18 years and above, belonging to medical, dental and nursing streams, after informed written consent. Results: Out of 957 participants, only 430 (44.9%) displayed good knowledge and only 65 (6.8%) had received HPV vaccination. Among the unvaccinated, 433 (48.54%), were not willing to take the vaccine. Concerns regarding the efficacy (30.5%), safety (26.1%) and cost of the vaccine (21.7%) were responsible for this. Age, gender, family history of malignancy and mother's education had no influence on knowledge. Compared to medical students, nursing students had better knowledge (OR-1.49, 95% CI 0.96 to 2.3, p = 0.072) and students of dentistry had poor knowledge (OR-0.50 95% CI 0.36 to 0.70, p <0.001). Conclusions: The knowledge and uptake of HPV vaccination among medical and paramedical students in India is poor. Targeted health education interventions may have huge positive impact not only on the acceptance of vaccination among them, but also on their intention to recommend the vaccine in future.

Keywords: HPV vaccine - knowledge - attitude - practices - medical and paramedical students - India

Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 16 (18), 8473-8477

Introduction

Cervical cancer is the most common cancer among women aged 15 years or older in India, with huge adverse social and economic impact on the families. Nearly 74,000 new cases of cervical cancer in India in 2010. Women in the reproductive age group (15-49 years) constituted 38% of these cases, indicating involvement of relatively younger population. In 1980, the mortality rate among incident cases of cervical cancer was 37 per 1000 new cases and there was little improvement in two decades as, the morality rate was 32 for every 100 new cases in 2010. (IHME_ChallengeAhead_FullReport; Forouzanfar et al., 2011) High mortality rates are largely attributed to diagnosis of the disease in advanced stages in majority of the affected patients. According to a study nearly 70% of cervical cancer cases in India are being diagnosed at an advanced stage (stage III or IV) (Vallikad, 2006).

High risk human papilloma virus (HPV) types (HPV 16 and 18) have been proved as not only the central

cause of cervical cancer but also a necessary cause by studies conducted across the globe. Hence there is strong epidemiological evidence on the role of HPV in cervical cancer (Munoz, 2000). Many clinical trials and systematic reviews across the globe have reported the efficacy of vaccines against high risk HPV types (HPV 16 and 18) to be ranging from 90 to 99%, in preventing cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN). The safety of these vaccines is also sufficiently established by these reviews. (Araujo et al., 2013; Delere et al., 2014; Apter et al., 2015; Bruni et al., 2015; Kumar et al., 2015; Nakalembe et al., 2015). A recent review also reported the safety and Immunogenicity of HPV vaccines in Low and Middle income countries (LMIC) to be comparable to that of high income countries (HIC) (Nakalembe et al., 2015). Many recent studies published in India have also recommended the use of HPV based screening methods in the place of routine cytology based screening methods. (Sankaranarayanan et al., 2008; Suba et al., 2009; Basu et al., 2013).

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, ²Department of Community Medicine, Chettinad Hospital and Research Institute, Chennai, India *For correspondence: dr.swarnapriya@yahoo.com

In spite of good understanding of the role of HPV in cervical cancer and well established efficacy of HPV based screening and vaccination as effective modes of primary prevention of carcinoma cervix, the uptake of these services is very poor in many developing countries, including India. (Raychaudhuri and Mandal, 2012a; Raychaudhuri and Mandal, 2012b). Lack of appropriate knowledge, negative attitude towards HPV vaccination and screening is considered as one of the most important factor for poor acceptance of these services by various studies. (Sankaranarayanan et al., 2003; Saha et al., 2010; Aswathy et al., 2012; Swapnajaswanth et al., 2014). Studies have also documented the intention to recommend these interventions are dependent heavily on the knowledge and perceptions of the Health care providers. (Riedesel et al., 2005; Daley et al., 2010).

Medical and paramedical students are future health care providers and can play a vital role in promoting cervical cancer screening and HPV vaccination. Importance of the right knowledge, positive attitude and high acceptance of these interventions among medical and paramedical students can't be undermined. Considering the paucity of studies in this regard, the present study has been undertaken with the following objectives.

To assess the knowledge, attitude and practices regarding cervical cancer screening and HPV vaccination among medical and paramedical students. To analyze the factors influencing the knowledge about HPV vaccination among the medical and paramedical students.

Materials and Methods

Study design: The study was a cross sectional study. Study setting: The study was conducted in a tertiary care teaching hospital of a university in south India, where students from medical and various paramedical streams are being trained.

Study period: Data was collected over 2 month's period between May 2014 to July 2014.

Study subjects: The undergraduate students belonging to three streams including medical, dental and nursing colleges were included in the study.

Inclusion criteria: All the participants above 18 years of age.

Exclusion criteria: Women already diagnosed and treated for advanced stages of ca cervix; Women below 18 years.

Sample size and sampling method: Considering the lowest proportion of any particular KAP factor to be detected in study population as 10%, with 95% power of study and an alpha error of 0.05, the required sample size was 139. To account for non participation, a minimum of 150 subjects were needed to be included from each of the study groups. But all the available students at the time of data collection were included in the study.

Sampling method: All the eligible subjects in the study setting were recruited in to the study, hence no sampling was done.

Study tools: A standardized questionnaire, developed for the purpose of study was used. The questionnaire was validated by distributing it to five experts in the field and

getting their consensus on each item. Pilot testing was done to further validate the questionnaire and to assess the feasibility of administration of the questionnaire and necessary changes were done.

Ethical considerations: Ethical approval of Institutional Human ethical committee was obtained. For all the eligible study subjects, informed written consent was obtained after thoroughly explaining purpose and nature of study. For those who refuse to give consent, reasons for the same were documented.

Statistical analysis: The current status of knowledge, vaccination status and willingness to vaccinate were the primary outcome measures. Various socio demographic variables, course and year of study were the explanatory variables. Descriptive analysis of all the explanatory and outcome variables was done by using mean and standard deviation for quantitative variables, frequency and percentages for categorical variables. The association between the explanatory variables and knowledge was assessed by doing multivariate logistic regression analysis, after including appropriate variables in the model. The adjusted odds ratio, 95% confidence intervals and p-values are presented. P value less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Microsoft Excel and IBM SPSS were used for analysis.

Results

A total of 957 participants were interviewed in the study. The mean age of the participants was 19.25 (±1.64) years and Majority (71.6%) of the participants was females. The proportion of study participants from each of the four study years was almost similar. The assessment of educational status of the mother showed, 250 (26.2%) mothers completed secondary schooling, the number of women who completed graduation and post graduation

Table 1. Descriptive Analysis of Socio Demographic Variables (N=957)

Parameter	Frequency	Percent
I. Sex		
Male	272	28.4
Female	685	71.6
II. Course		
BDS	290	30.3
MBBS	476	49.7
Nursing	191	20
III. Year of study		
1	273	28.5
2	236	24.7
3	225	23.5
4	223	23.3
VII. Mothers education		
Illiterate	40	4.2
Primary school	174	18.2
Secondary school	250	26.1
Graduation	307	32.1
Post Graduation	186	19.4
XIII. Family History of Malignancy		
Yes	75	7.8
No	882	92.1
Age (Mean +_SD)		19.25±1.64

Knowledge related to HPV vaccineParameter	Frequency	%
I know about HPV vaccine	827	86.4
People correctly expanded HPV	676	70.6
HPV vaccination provides protection against		
Carcinoma Cervix	514	60.1
Laryngeal papilloma	195	20.1
Genital Warts	154	15.9
Carcinoma Penis	86	8.8
Three doses of vaccine should be given	394	41.2
HPV Administered through IM	553	57.7
HPV Administered at 10-25 years	498	52
HPV Administered in Males also	425	44.4
HPV Efficacy is >90%	171	17.9
Pregnancy is a Contradiction for HPV vaccination	464	48.4
HPV can be Administered to already infected people	301	31.5
Having overall good knowledge about HPV vaccination (answering 6 or more questions correctly)	430	44.9

Table 3. Reported Source of Information about HPV Vaccination

Source of Knowledge for HPV	Frequency	%
Teacher	652	68.1
Internet	119	12.4
TV	90	9.4
Magazine	46	4.6
Others	92	9.6

were 307(32.1%) and 186 (19.4%) respectively. There were only 40 (4.2%) of the mothers, who were illiterates and remaining 174 (18.2%) have completed primary schooling. It can be concluded that the majority of the study participant's mothers were educated beyond secondary schooling. Seventy five (7.8%) had reported family history of malignancy, but the type and part of the body affected were not explored in the study. (Table 1)

Even though high proportion of students has reported that they know about the HPV, only 70.6% could expand it correctly. Only 514 (60.1%) participants are aware that HPV can prevent carcinoma cervix. The proportion of participants who are aware about the role of HPV in preventing other diseases like laryngeal papilloma, genital warts and carcinoma penis was very small ranging from 8 to 20%. The proportion of subjects who displayed correct knowledge about dose, route and age of administration was ranging from 41.2 to 57.7 %. Only 171 (17.9%) of the participants felt that the vaccine had more than 95% efficacy. The proportion of subjects, who reported that the vaccine can be given to males, was 44%. The people who reported pregnancy as contraindication for vaccine was 48.4% and 31.5% of the subjects felt that the vaccine can be given even after the person is infected with HPV. Overall only 430 (44.9%) of the participants displayed good knowledge regarding HPV vaccination. (Table 2)

The most commonly reported source of information about HPV was the teacher, which was reported by 652 (68.1%) of the participants. The other reported sources of information were internet (12.4%), television (9.4%) and magazines (4.6%) (Table 3).

The number of subjects, who have already received HPV vaccination were only 65(6.8%) among the study group. Out of the 892 subjects, who did not receive the

Table 4. Descriptive Analysis of Practices about HPV Vaccine in Students (N=957)

ParameterParameter	Frequency	%	
HPV Immunized			
Yes	65	6.8	
No	892	93.2	
Now willing to take HPV (N=892)			
Yes	459	51.46	
No	433	48.54	
Reason for not willing among unwilling people (N=433)			
Doubts regarding efficacy of the vac	ccine 132	30.48	
Fear of side effects	113	26.1	
Cost	94	21.71	
Minimal risk of carcinoma cervix	63	14.55	
No response	31	7.16	

Table 5. Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis of Factors Influencing the Knowledge Regarding HPV (N=957)

Parameter	Adjusted	95% (C.I. for	P-value
	odds	odds	ratio	
	ratio	Lower	Upper	,
Age	1.067	0.943	1.208	0.3
Gender (Male Vs female)	0.769	0.56	1.055	0.1
Family History of malignanc	y 1.058	0.643	1.742	0.82
Course (MBBS- Baseline)				
BDS	0.504	0.363	0.701	<.001
Nursing	1.497	0.965	2.323	0.072
Year of study (First Year – Baseline)				
Second year	1.332	0.889	1.997	0.16
Third year	2.539	1.596	4.04	<.001
Final Year	3.614	2.055	6.356	<.001
Mother's education (Illiterate-Baseline)				
Primary schooling	0.621	0.297	1.3	0.2
Secondary schooling	0.588	0.272	1.268	0.17
Graduate	0.642	0.297	1.386	0.26
Post graduate/Professional	0.761	0.341	1.7	0.5

vaccine, 459 (51.46) subjects reported that they are willing to take HPV vaccine and the remaining 433(48.54%) were not willing to receive the vaccine. The most common reasons for the negative attitude were doubts regarding the efficacy of the vaccine (30.48%), fear of side effects (26.10%) and prohibitive cost of the vaccine (21.71%).

Lower perceived risk of Carcinoma cervix was the reason in 63 (14.55%) participants and 31 (7.16%) of the participants did not respond to the question. (Table 4).

Age of the participant, gender and family history of malignancy had no significant influence on the knowledge about HPV vaccination. Compared to MBBS students, the BDS students had poor knowledge (OR-0.50 95%CI 0.36 to 0.70, p value <0.001) about HPV. Even though Nursing students had better knowledge compared to MBBS students (OR-1.49, 95%CI 0.96 to 2.3, p = 0.072), it was not statistically significant. With increasing seniority the odds of good knowledge about HPV has increased. Compared to first year students, the odds of good knowledge was 1.33 (95%CI 0.88 to 1.99, p value 0.16), 2.53(95%CI 1.59 to 4.04, p value<0.01) and 3.61(95%CI 2.05 to 3.65, p value <0.001). Mother's education had no association with knowledge level (Table 5).

Discussion

HPV vaccination alone or combined with screening have been documented as effective interventions in reducing the burden and mortality due to carcinoma cervix across various settings. Many studies conducted in India have documented the prevalence of high risk HPV infections .i.e. HPV 16/18 to be ranging from about 30% in inflammatory lesions to more than 95% in cases of cervical cancer. (Bhatla et al., 2008; Basu et al., 2009; Deodhar et al., 2012; Basu et al., 2013). Many authors have also suggested that achieving high levels of HPV 16/18 vaccination would have a significant health and economic impact by reducing the burden of cervical cancer. (Armstrong, 2010; Deodhar et al., 2012; Nakalembe et al., 2015).

In spite of the proven efficacy and potential for huge positive impact at the community level, the uptake of HPV vaccination is very low in many developing countries, including India. There are many reasons like, lower perceived risk of cervical cancer, non availability of vaccine, prohibitive cost (Das et al., 2008) etc. But lack of appropriate knowledge about role of HPV in causation of carcinoma cervix and HPV vaccine is one of the most important determining factors for poor uptake of vaccination. (Barnack et al., 2010).

In the current study, only 44.9% of the participants displayed good knowledge regarding HPV vaccination. The most striking aspect of the study is only 17.9% of the subjects believed that vaccine had more than 95% efficacy. The overall knowledge about the dosage, route, site of the vaccine and it's role in preventing conditions other than carcinoma cervix, especially in males was poor in the study.

The poor knowledge has reflected in poor uptake of vaccination,, as only 6.8% have already received HPV vaccination. Out of the non vaccinated people, 48.54% don't want to vaccinate themselves. The reported reasons for the same were doubts regarding the efficacy of the vaccine (30.48%), fear of side effects (26.10%), prohibitive cost (21.71%) and lower perceived risk of Carcinoma cervix (14.55%).

Knowledge regarding HPV, HPV vaccination, cervical

screening and cervical cancer risk factors was remarkably poor in various population groups like general population, university students, health care staff etc. (Makwe et al., 2012). Intention to receive an HPV vaccine was significantly associated with knowledge. Providing education about the etiology of cervical cancer and the HPV link is an essential component to enhance HPV vaccine uptake. (Wong and Sam, 2010).

Many studies have demonstrated, the proportion of subjects with intention to vaccinate themselves or their kids ranging from about 70% to 100% once they are educated about the vaccine. (Francis et al., 2010; Poole et al., 2013). The acceptance rates are very high even in men after proper education. (Belani et al., 2014).

Knowledge in medical and paramedical personnel: Knowledge among health care providers is even more important, as it may influence their intention to recommend the vaccine (Riedesel et al., 2005) and in turn can affect overall vaccine uptake in the community (Daley et al., 2010).

Some studies have documented that, the knowledge regarding the causal relationship between HPV and cervical cancer were much higher in medical and paramedical students, compared to other students. But the difference in the proportion of people who are willing to get vaccinated was not very striking between these groups (Uzunlar et al., 2013). The factors influencing this mismatch between knowledge and willingness to vaccinate were not clearly explored by these studies.

Many studies conducted among physicians (Wong et al., 2013) and nurses have documented that, the most important factors considered by health care practitioners while recommending HPV vaccine included effectiveness, side effects/safety. Clear recommendations by the Government and cost were also considered important. Many of these studies emphasized the importance of more education about the virus, cervical cancer and the vaccine are required to further increase the willingness to recommend the vaccine (Wamai et al., 2013). Educational initiatives targeting health care practitioners are strongly recommended along with initiatives to reduce the cost and clear guidelines by governments, to achieve immunization rates in the given communities (Wong et al., 2013). Government-sponsored or school-based programmes were also recommended as initiatives which may improve HPV-related knowledge and HPV vaccine acceptability. (Chang et al., 2013).

In conclusion, the knowledge regarding HPV vaccination among medical and paramedical students is poor. The nursing students displayed better knowledge compared to MBBS and dental students, the HPV vaccine uptake is also very poor among medical and paramedical students, among unvaccinated, more than 50% are not willing to take vaccine, the main reason being concerns about efficacy and safety of the vaccine. Lower perceived severity of illness is also another important reason.

References

Apter D, Wheeler CM, Paavonen J, et al (2015). Efficacy of human papillomavirus 16 and 18 (HPV-16/18) AS04-

- adjuvanted vaccine against cervical infection and precancer in young women: final event-driven analysis of the randomized, double-blind PATRICIA trial. Clin Vaccine Immunol, 22, 361-73.
- Araujo SC, Caetano R, Braga JU, et al (2013). Efficacy of commercially available vaccines against HPV infection in women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Cad Saude Publica, 29, 32-44.
- Armstrong EP (2010). Prophylaxis of cervical cancer and related cervical disease: a review of the cost-effectiveness of vaccination against oncogenic HPV types. J Manag Care Pharm, 16, 217-30.
- Aswathy S, Quereshi MA, Kurian B, et al (2012). Cervical cancer screening: Current knowledge & practice among women in a rural population of Kerala, India. Indian J Med Res, 136, 205-10.
- Barnack JL, Reddy DM, Swain C (2010). Predictors of parents' willingness to vaccinate for human papillomavirus and physicians' intentions to recommend the vaccine. Womens *Health Issues*, **20**, 28-34.
- Basu P, Mittal S, Bhaumik S, et al (2013). Prevalence of high-risk human papillomavirus and cervical intraepithelial neoplasias in a previously unscreened population--a pooled analysis from three studies. Int J Cancer, 132, 1693-9.
- Basu P, Roychowdhury S, Bafna UD, et al (2009). Human papillomavirus genotype distribution in cervical cancer in India: results from a multi-center study. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 10, 27-34.
- Belani HK, Sekar P, Guhaniyogi R, et al (2014). Human papillomavirus vaccine acceptance among young men in Bangalore, India. Int J Dermatol, 53, 486-91.
- Bhatla N, Dar L, Rajkumar Patro A, et al (2008). Human papillomavirus-type distribution in women with and without cervical neoplasia in north India. Int J Gynecol Pathol, 27, 426-30.
- Bruni L, Serrano B, Bosch X, et al (2015). Human papillomavirus vaccine. Efficacy and safety. Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin, **33**, 342-54.
- Chang IJ, Huang R, He W, et al (2013). Effect of an educational intervention on HPV knowledge and vaccine attitudes among urban employed women and female undergraduate students in China: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health, 13, 916.
- Daley EM, Vamos CA, Buhi ER, et al (2010). Influences on human papillomavirus vaccination status among female college students. J Womens Health (Larchmt), 19, 1885-91.
- Das BC, Hussain S, Nasare V, et al (2008). Prospects and prejudices of human papillomavirus vaccines in India. Vaccine, 26, 2669-79.
- Delere Y, Wichmann O, Klug SJ, et al (2014). The efficacy and duration of vaccine protection against human papillomavirus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Dtsch Arztebl Int, **111**, 584-91.
- Deodhar K, Gheit T, Vaccarella S, et al (2012). Prevalence of human papillomavirus types in cervical lesions from women in rural Western India. J Med Virol, 84, 1054-60.
- Forouzanfar MH, Foreman KJ, Delossantos AM, et al (2011). Breast and cervical cancer in 187 countries between 1980 and 2010: a systematic analysis. *Lancet*, **378**, 1461-84.
- Francis SA, Nelson J, Liverpool J, et al (2010). Examining attitudes and knowledge about HPV and cervical cancer risk among female clinic attendees in Johannesburg, South Africa. Vaccine, 28, 8026-32.
- Kumar S, Biswas M, Jose T (2015). HPV vaccine: Current status and future directions. Med J Armed Forces India, 71, 171-7.
- Makwe CC, Anorlu RI, Odeyemi KA (2012). Human papillomavirus (HPV) infection and vaccines: knowledge,

- Knowledge, Attitude and Practices Regarding HPV Vaccination among Medical and Para Medical Students in India attitude and perception among female students at the University of Lagos, Lagos, Nigeria. J Epidemiol Glob Health, 2, 199-206.
 - Munoz N (2000). Human papillomavirus and cancer: the epidemiological evidence. J Clin Virol, 19, 1-5.
 - Nakalembe M, Mirembe FM, Banura C (2015). Vaccines against human papillomavirus in low and middle income countries: a review of safety, immunogenicity and efficacy. Infect Agent Cancer, 10, 17.
 - Poole DN, Tracy JK, Levitz L, et al (2013). A cross-sectional study to assess HPV knowledge and HPV vaccine acceptability in Mali. PLoS One, 8, 56402.
 - Raychaudhuri S, Mandal S (2012a). Current status of knowledge, attitude and practice (KAP) and screening for cervical cancer in countries at different levels of development. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 13, 4221-7.
 - Raychaudhuri S, Mandal S (2012b). Socio-demographic and behavioural risk factors for cervical cancer and knowledge, attitude and practice in rural and urban areas of North Bengal, India. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 13, 1093-6.
 - Riedesel JM, Rosenthal SL, Zimet GD, et al (2005). Attitudes about human papillomavirus vaccine among family physicians. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol, 18, 391-8.
 - Saha A, Chaudhury AN, Bhowmik P, et al (2010). Awareness of cervical cancer among female students of premier colleges in Kolkata, India. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 11, 1085-90.
 - Sankaranarayanan R, Bhatla N, Gravitt PE, et al (2008). Human papillomavirus infection and cervical cancer prevention in India, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka and Nepal. Vaccine, 26, 43-52.
 - Sankaranarayanan R, Rajkumar R, Arrossi S, et al (2003). Determinants of participation of women in a cervical cancer visual screening trial in rural south India. Cancer Detect Prev, 27, 457-65.
 - Suba EJ, Cibas ES, Raab SS (2009). HPV screening for cervical cancer in rural India. N Engl J Med, 361, 304.
 - Swapnajaswanth M, Suman G, Suryanarayana SP, et al (2014). Perception and practices on screening and vaccination for carcinoma cervix among female healthcare professional in tertiary care hospitals in Bangalore, India. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 15, 6095-8.
 - Uzunlar O, Ozyer S, Baser E, et al (2013). A survey on human papillomavirus awareness and acceptance of vaccination among nursing students in a tertiary hospital in Ankara, Turkey. Vaccine, 31, 2191-5.
 - Vallikad E (2006). Cervical cancer: the Indian perspective. FIGO 26th Annual Report on the Results of Treatment in Gynecological Cancer. Int J Gynaecol Obstet, 95, 215-33.
 - Wamai RG, Ayissi CA, Oduwo GO, et al (2013). Awareness, knowledge and beliefs about HPV, cervical cancer and HPV vaccines among nurses in Cameroon: an exploratory study. Int J Nurs Stud, 50, 1399-406.
 - Wong LP, Sam IC (2010). Ethnically diverse female university students' knowledge and attitudes toward human papillomavirus (HPV), HPV vaccination and cervical cancer. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol, 148, 90-5.
 - Wong MC, Lee A, Ngai KL, et al (2013). Knowledge, attitude, practice and barriers on vaccination against human papillomavirus infection: a cross-sectional study among primary care physicians in Hong Kong. *PLoS One*, **8**, 71827.