J. Appl. Math. & Informatics Vol. **33**(2015), No. 5 - 6, pp. 545 - 557 http://dx.doi.org/10.14317/jami.2015.545

DEGREE OF VERTICES IN VAGUE GRAPHS[†]

R.A. BORZOOEI* AND HOSSEIN RASHMANLOU

ABSTRACT. A vague graph is a generalized structure of a fuzzy graph that gives more precision, flexibility and compatibility to a system when compared with systems that are designed using fuzzy graphs. In this paper, we define two new operation on vague graphs namely normal product and tensor product and study about the degree of a vertex in vague graphs which are obtained from two given vague graphs G_1 and G_2 using the operations cartesian product, composition, tensor product and normal product. These operations are highly utilized by computer science, geometry, algebra, number theory and operation research. In addition to the existing operations these properties will also be helpful to study large vague graph as a combination of small, vague graphs and to derive its properties from those of the smaller ones.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 05C99. *Key words and phrases* : Cartesian product, tensor product, composition, normal product.

1. Introduction

Graphs and hypergraphs have been applied in a large number of problems including cancer detection, robotics, human cardiac functions, networking and designing. It was Zadeh [25] who introduced fuzzy sets and fuzzy logic into mathematics to deal with problems of uncertainty. As most of the phenomena around us involve much of ambiguity and vagueness, fuzzy logic and fuzzy mathematics have to play a crucial role in modeling real time systems with some level of uncertainty. The most important feature of a fuzzy set is that a fuzzy set A is a class of objects that satisfy a certain (or several) property. Gau and Buehrer [5] proposed the concept of vague set in 1993, by replacing the value of an element in a set with a subinterval of [0, 1]. Namely, a true-membership function

Received February 24, 2015. Revised April 10, 2015. Accepted April 14, 2015. *Corresponding author. † This work was supported by the research grant of the Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran.

^{© 2015} Korean SIGCAM and KSCAM.

R.A. Borzooei and H. Rashmanlou

 $t_n(x)$ and a false membership function $f_n(x)$ are used to describe the boundaries of the membership degree. The initial definition given by Kaufmann [6] of a fuzzy graph was based on the fuzzy relation proposed by Zadeh [26]. Later Rosenfeld [15] introduced the fuzzy analogue of several basic graph-theoretic concepts. Mordeson and Nair [7] defined the concept of complement of fuzzy graph and studied some operations on fuzzy graphs. Akram et al. [2, 3, 4] introduced vague hypergraphs, certain types of vague graphs and regularity in vague intersection graphs and vague line graphs. Ramakrishna [9] introduced the concept of vague graphs and studied some of their properties. Pal and Rashmanlou [8] studied irregular interval-valued fuzzy graphs. Also, they defined antipodal interval-valued fuzzy graphs [10], balanced interval-valued fuzzy graphs [11], some properties of highly irregular interval-valued fuzzy graphs [12] and a study on bipolar fuzzy graphs [14]. Rashmanlou and Yang Bae Jun investigated complete interval-valued fuzzy graphs [13]. Samanta and Pal defined fuzzy tolerance graphs [16], fuzzy threshold graphs [17], fuzzy planar graphs [18], fuzzy k-competition graphs and p-competition fuzzy graphs [19], irregular bipolar fuzzy graphs [20], fuzzy coloring of fuzzy graphs [21]. In this paper, we defined two new operation on vague graphs namely normal product and tensor product and studied about the degree of a vertex in vague graphs which are obtained from two given vague graphs G_1 and G_2 using the operations cartesian product, composition, tensor product and normal product. For further details, reader may look into [1, 22, 23, 24].

2. Preliminaries

By a graph $G^* = (V, E)$, we mean a non-trivial, finite, connected and undirected graph without loops or multiple edges. Formally, given a graph $G^* = (V, E)$, two vertices $x, y \in V$ are said to be neighbors, or adjacent nodes, if $xy \in E$. A fuzzy subset μ on a set X is a map $\mu : X \to [0, 1]$. A fuzzy binary relation on X is a fuzzy subset μ on $X \times X$. A fuzzy graph G is a pair of functions $G = (\sigma, \mu)$ where σ is a fuzzy subset of a non-empty set V and $\mu : V \times V \to [0, 1]$ is a symmetric fuzzy relation on σ , i.e. $\mu(uv) \leq \sigma(u) \wedge \sigma(v)$. The degree of a vertex u in fuzzy graph G is defined by $d_G(u) = \sum_{u \neq v} \mu(uv) = \sum_{uv \in E} \mu(uv)$. The order of a fuzzy graph G is defined by $O(G) = \sum_{u \in V} \sigma(u)$.

The main objective of this paper is to study of vague graph and this graph is based on the vague set defined below.

Definition 2.1 ([5]). A vague set on an ordinary finite non-empty set X is a pair (t_A, f_A) , where $t_A : X \to [0, 1]$, $f_A : X \to [0, 1]$ are true and false membership functions, respectively such that $0 \le t_A(x) + f_A(x) \le 1$, for all $x \in X$. Note that $t_A(x)$ is considered as the lower bound for degree of membership of x in A and $f_A(x)$ is the lower bound for negative of membership of x in A. So, the degree of membership of x in the vague set A is characterized by interval $[t_A(x), 1-f_A(x)]$. Let X and Y be ordinary finite non-empty sets. We call a vague relation to be a vague subset of $X \times Y$, that is an expression R defined by

$$R = \{ \langle (x,y), t_R(x,y), f_R(x,y) \rangle \mid x \in X, y \in Y \}$$

where $t_R : X \times Y \to [0, 1], f_R : X \times Y \to [0, 1]$, which satisfies the condition $0 \le t_R(x, y) + f_R(x, y) \le 1$, for all $(x, y) \in X \times Y$.

Definition 2.2 ([9]). Let $G^* = (V, E)$ be a crisp graph. A pair G = (A, B)is called a vague graph on a crisp graph $G^* = (V, E)$, where $A = (t_A, f_A)$ is a vague set on V and $B = (t_B, f_B)$ is a vague set on $E \subseteq V \times V$ such that

$$t_B(xy) \leq \min(t_A(x), t_A(y))$$
 and $f_B(xy) \geq \max(f_A(x), f_A(y))$

for each edge $xy \in E$.

If G is a vague graph, then the order of G is defined and denoted as

$$O(G) = \left(\sum_{u \in V} t_A(u), \sum_{u \in V} f_A(u)\right)$$

and the size of G is

.

$$S(G) = \left(\sum_{u \neq vu, v \in V} t_B(uv), \sum_{u \neq vu, v \in V} f_B(uv)\right).$$

The open degree of a vertex u in a vague graph G = (A, B) is defined as $d(u) = (d^t(u), d^f(u))$ where $d^t(u) = \sum_{\substack{u \neq v \\ u, v \in V}} t_B(uv)$ and $d^f(u) = \sum_{\substack{u \neq v \\ u, v \in V}} f_B(uv)$. If all the vertices have the same open neighborhood degree n, then G is called an *n*-regular vague graph.

Definition 2.3. Let $G_1 = (A_1, B_1)$ and $G_2 = (A_2, B_2)$ be two vague graphs of $G_1^* = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2^* = (V_2, E_2)$ respectively.

(1) The cartesian product $G_1 \times G_2$ of G_1 and G_2 is defined as pair $(A_1 \times A_2, B_1 \times A_2)$ B_2) such that

$$(i) \begin{cases} (t_{A_1} \times t_{A_2})(u_1, u_2) = \min(t_{A_1}(u_1), t_{A_2}(u_2)) \\ (f_{A_1} \times f_{A_2})(u_1, u_2) = \max(f_{A_1}(u_1), f_{A_2}(u_2)) \end{cases} \text{ for all } (u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2 \\ (ii) \begin{cases} (t_{B_1} \times t_{B_2})((u, u_2)(u, v_2)) = \min(t_{A_1}(u), t_{B_2}(u_2v_2)) \\ (f_{B_1} \times f_{B_2})((u, u_2)(u, v_2)) = \max(f_{A_1}(u), f_{B_2}(u_2v_2)) \\ \text{ for all } u \in V_1 \text{ and } u_2v_2 \in E_2, \\ (t_{B_1} \times t_{B_2})((u_1, z)(v_1, z)) = \min(t_{B_1}(u_1v_1), t_{A_2}(z)) \end{cases}$$

(*iii*)
$$\begin{cases} (t_{B_1} \times t_{B_2})((u_1, z)(v_1, z)) = \min(t_{B_1}(u_1v_1), t_{A_2}(z)) \\ (f_{B_1} \times f_{B_2})((u_1, z)(v_1, z)) = \max(f_{B_1}(u_1v_1), f_{A_2}(z)) \\ \text{for all } z \in V_2 \text{ and } u_1v_1 \in E_1. \end{cases}$$

(2) The composition $G_1 \circ G_2$ of G_1 and G_2 is defined as pair $(A_1 \circ A_2, B_1 \circ B_2)$ such that

$$(i) \begin{cases} (t_{A_{1}} \circ t_{A_{2}})(u_{1}, u_{2}) = \min(t_{A_{1}}(u_{1}), t_{A_{2}}(u_{2})) \\ (f_{A_{1}} \circ f_{A_{2}})(u_{1}, u_{2}) = \max(f_{A_{1}}(u_{1}), f_{A_{2}}(u_{2})) \end{cases} \text{ for all } (u_{1}, u_{2}) \in V_{1} \times V_{2} \\ (ii) \begin{cases} (t_{B_{1}} \circ t_{B_{2}})((u, u_{2})(u, v_{2})) = \min(t_{A_{1}}(u), t_{B_{2}}(u_{2}v_{2})) \\ (f_{B_{1}} \circ f_{B_{2}})((u, u_{2})(u, v_{2})) = \max(f_{A_{1}}(u), f_{B_{2}}(u_{2}v_{2})) \\ \text{ for all } u \in V_{1} \text{ and } u_{2}v_{2} \in E_{2}, \end{cases} \\ (iii) \begin{cases} (t_{B_{1}} \circ t_{B_{2}})((u_{1}, z)(v_{1}, z)) = \min(t_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1}), t_{A_{2}}(z)) \\ (f_{B_{1}} \circ f_{B_{2}})((u_{1}, z)(v_{1}, z)) = \max(f_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1}), f_{A_{2}}(z)) \\ \text{ for all } z \in V_{2} \text{ and } u_{1}v_{1} \in E_{1}, \end{cases} \\ (iv) \begin{cases} (t_{B_{1}} \circ t_{B_{2}})((u_{1}, u_{2})(v_{1}, v_{2})) = \min(t_{A_{2}}(u_{2}), t_{A_{2}}(v_{2}), t_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1})) \\ (f_{B_{1}} \circ f_{B_{2}})((u_{1}, u_{2})(v_{1}, v_{2})) = \max(f_{A_{2}}(u_{2}), f_{A_{2}}(v_{2}), f_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1})) \\ \text{ for all } (u_{1}, u_{2})(v_{1}, v_{2}) \in E^{\circ} - E, \end{cases}$$

where $E^{\circ} = E \cup \{(u_1, u_2)(v_1, v_2) \mid u_1 v_1 \in E_1, u_2 \neq v_2\}.$

3. Degree of vertices in vague graphs

Operation in fuzzy graph is a great tool to consider large fuzzy graph as a combination of small fuzzy graphs and to derive its properties from those of the smaller ones. Also, they are conveniently used in many combinatorial applications. In various situations they present a suitable construction means. For example in partition theory we deal with complex objects. A typical such object is a fuzzy graph and a fuzzy hypergraph with large chromatic number that we do not know how to compute exactly the chromatic number of these graphs. In such cases, these operations have the main role in solving problems. Hence, in this section, at first we define two new operations on vague graphs namely normal product and tensor product. Then we study about the degree of a vertex in vague graphs which are obtained from two given vague graphs G_1 and G_2 using the operations cartesian product, composition, tensor product and normal product.

Definition 3.1. The normal product of two vague graphs $G_i = (A_i, B_i)$ on $G_i = (V_i, E_i), i = 1, 2$ is defined as a vague graph $(A_1 \bullet A_2, B_1 \bullet B_2)$ on G = (V, E) where $V = V_1 \times V_2$ and $E = \{((u, u_2)(u, v_2)) \mid u \in V_1, u_2v_2 \in E_2\} \cup \{((u_1, z)(v_1, z)) \mid u_1v_1 \in E_1, z \in V_2\} \cup \{((u_1, u_2)(v_1, v_2)) \mid u_1v_1 \in E_1, u_2v_2 \in E_2\}$ such that:

$$(i) \begin{cases} (t_{A_1} \bullet t_{A_2})(u_1, u_2) = \min(t_{A_1}(u_1), t_{A_2}(u_2)) \\ (f_{A_1} \bullet f_{A_2})(u_1, u_2) = \max(f_{A_1}(u_1), f_{A_2}(u_2)) \end{cases} \text{ for all } (u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2, \\ (ii) \begin{cases} (t_{B_1} \bullet t_{B_2})((u, u_2)(u, v_2)) = \min(t_{A_1}(u), t_{B_2}(u_2v_2)) \\ (f_{B_1} \bullet f_{B_2})((u, u_2)(u, v_2)) = \max(f_{A_1}(u), f_{B_2}(u_2v_2)) \\ \text{ for all } u \in V_1 \text{ and } u_2v_2 \in E_2, \end{cases}$$

548

$$(iii) \begin{cases} (t_{B_1} \bullet t_{B_2})((u_1, z)(v_1, z)) = \min(t_{B_1}(u_1v_1), t_{A_2}(z)) \\ (f_{B_1} \bullet f_{B_2})((u_1, z)(v_1, z)) = \max(f_{B_1}(u_1v_1), f_{A_2}(z)) \\ \text{for all } z \in V_2 \text{ and } u_1v_1 \in E_1, \\ (iv) \begin{cases} (t_{B_1} \bullet t_{B_2})((u_1, u_2)(v_1, v_2)) = \min(t_{B_1}(u_1v_1), t_{B_2}(u_2v_2)) \\ (f_{B_1} \bullet f_{B_2})((u_1, u_2)(v_1, v_2)) = \max(f_{B_1}(u_1v_1), f_{B_2}(u_2v_2)) \\ \text{for all } u_1v_1 \in E_1 \text{ and } u_2v_2 \in E_2. \end{cases}$$

Definition 3.2. The tensor product of two vague graphs $G_i = (A_i, B_i)$ on $G_i = (V_i, E_i)$, i = 1, 2, is defined as a vague graph $(A_1 \otimes A_2, B_1 \otimes B_2)$ on G = (V, E) where $V = V_1 \times V_2$ and $E = \{(u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2) \mid u_1v_1 \in E_1, u_2v_2 \in E_2\}$ such that

$$(i) \begin{cases} (t_{A_1} \otimes t_{A_2})(u_1, u_2) = \min(t_{A_1}(u_1), t_{A_2}(u_2)) \\ (f_{A_1} \otimes f_{A_2})(u_1, u_2) = \max(f_{A_1}(u_1), f_{A_2}(u_2)) \end{cases} \text{ for all } (u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2, \\ (ii) \begin{cases} (t_{B_1} \otimes t_{B_2})((u_1, u_2)(v_1, v_2)) = \min(t_{B_1}(u_1v_1), t_{B_2}(u_2v_2)) \\ (f_{B_1} \otimes f_{B_2})((u_1, u_2)(v_1, v_2)) = \max(f_{B_1}(u_1v_1), f_{B_2}(u_2v_2)) \\ \text{ for all } u_1v_1 \in E_1 \text{ and } u_2v_2 \in E_2. \end{cases}$$

Now, we derive degree of a vertex in the cartesian product. By the definition of cartesian product for any vertex $(u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} d^{t}_{G_{1}\times G_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(v_{1},v_{2})\in E} (t_{B_{1}}\times t_{B_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(v_{1},v_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=v_{1},u_{2}v_{2}\in E_{2}} t_{A_{1}}(u_{1})\wedge t_{B_{2}}(u_{2}v_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}=v_{2},u_{1}v_{1}\in E_{1}} t_{A_{2}}(u_{2})\wedge t_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1}) \\ d^{f}_{G_{1}\times G_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(v_{1},v_{2})\in E} (f_{B_{1}}\times f_{B_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(v_{1},v_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=v_{1},u_{2}v_{2}\in E_{2}} f_{A_{1}}(u_{1}) \vee f_{B_{2}}(u_{2}v_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}=v_{2},u_{1}v_{1}\in E_{1}} f_{A_{2}}(u_{2}) \vee f_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.3. Let $G_1 = (A_1, B_1)$ and $G_2 = (A_2, B_2)$ be two vague graphs. If $t_{A_1} \ge t_{B_2}$, $f_{A_1} \le f_{B_2}$ and $t_{A_2} \ge t_{B_1}$, $f_{A_2} \le f_{B_1}$ then

$$d_{G_1 \times G_2}(u_1, u_2) = d_{G_1}(u_1) + d_{G_2}(u_2).$$

Proof. From the definition of a vertex in the cartesian product we have

$$d_{G_1 \times G_2}^t(u_1, u_2) = \sum_{u_1 = v_1, u_2 v_2 \in E_2} t_{A_1}(u_1) \wedge t_{B_2}(u_2 v_2)$$



FIGURE 1. Cartesian product of G_1 and G_2

$$+\sum_{u_2=v_2,u_1v_1\in E_1} t_{A_2}(u_2) \wedge t_{B_1}(u_1v_1)$$
$$=\sum_{u_2v_2\in E_2} t_{B_2}(u_2v_2) + \sum_{u_1v_1\in E_1} t_{B_1}(u_1v_1)$$
$$= d_{G_1}^t(u_1) + d_{G_2}^t(u_2).$$

Also we have

$$d_{G_1 \times G_2}^f(u_1, u_2) = \sum_{u_1 = v_1, u_2 v_2 \in E_2} f_{A_1}(u_1) \vee f_{B_2}(u_2 v_2) + \sum_{u_2 = v_2, u_1 v_1 \in E_1} f_{A_2}(u_2) \vee f_{B_1}(u_1 v_1) = \sum_{u_2 v_2 \in E_2} f_{B_2}(u_2 v_2) + \sum_{u_1 v_1 \in E_1} f_{B_1}(u_1 v_1) = d_{G_1}^f(u_1) + d_{G_2}^f(u_2).$$

Hence, $d_{G_1 \times G_2}(u_1, u_2) = d_{G_1}(u_1) + d_{G_2}(u_2).$

Example 3.4. Consider the vague graphs G_1 , G_2 and $G_1 \times G_2$ as follows. Since $t_{A_1} \ge t_{B_2}$, $f_{A_1} \le f_{B_2}$, $t_{A_2} \ge t_{B_1}$ and $f_{A_2} \le f_{B_1}$. By Theorem 3.3, we have

$$d_{G_1 \times G_2}^t(u_1, u_2) = d_{G_1}^t(u_1) + d_{G_2}^t(u_2) = 0.3 + 0.2 = 0.5,$$

$$d_{G_1 \times G_2}^f(u_1, u_2) = d_{G_1}^f(u_1) + d_{G_2}^f(u_2) = 0.6 + 0.6 = 1.2.$$

550

So, $d_{G_1 \times G_2}(u_1, u_2) = (0.5, 1.2).$

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{G_1 \times G_2}^t(u_1, v_2) = d_{G_1}^t(u_1) + d_{G_2}^t(v_2) = 0.3 + 0.2 = 0.5, \\ & d_{G_1 \times G_2}^f(u_1, v_2) = d_{G_1}^f(u_1) + d_{G_2}^f(v_2) = 0.6 + 0.6 = 1.2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $d_{G_1 \times G_2}(u_1, v_2) = (0.5, 1.2)$. Similarly, we can find the degrees of all the vertices in $G_1 \times G_2$. This can be verified in the Figure 1.

Now we calculate the degree of a vertex in composition. By the definition of composition for any vertex $(u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$ we have

$$\begin{split} d^{t}_{G_{1}\circ G_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(v_{1},v_{2})\in E} (t_{B_{1}}\circ t_{B_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(v_{1},v_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=v_{1},u_{2}v_{2}\in E_{2}} t_{A_{1}}(u_{1}) \wedge t_{B_{2}}(u_{2}v_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}=v_{2},u_{1}v_{1}\in E_{1}} t_{A_{2}}(u_{2}) \wedge t_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}\neq v_{2},u_{1}v_{1}\in E_{1}} t_{A_{2}}(v_{2}) \wedge t_{A_{2}}(u_{2}) \wedge t_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1}) \\ d^{f}_{G_{1}\circ G_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{(u_{1},u_{2})(v_{1},v_{2})\in E} (f_{B_{1}}\circ f_{B_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(v_{1},v_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=v_{1},u_{2}v_{2}\in E_{2}} f_{A_{1}}(u_{1}) \vee f_{B_{2}}(u_{2}v_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}=v_{2},u_{1}v_{1}\in E_{1}} f_{A_{2}}(u_{2}) \vee f_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}\neq v_{2},u_{1}v_{1}\in E_{1}} f_{A_{2}}(v_{2}) \vee f_{A_{2}}(u_{2}) \vee f_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1}). \end{split}$$

Theorem 3.5. Let $G_1 = (A_1, B_1)$ and $G_2 = (A_2, B_2)$ be two vague graphs. If $t_{A_1} \ge t_{B_2}$, $f_{A_1} \le f_{B_2}$, $t_{A_2} \ge t_{B_1}$ and $f_{A_2} \le f_{B_1}$, then $d_{G_1 \circ G_2}(u_1, u_2) = |V_2|d_{G_1}(u_1) + d_{G_2}(u_2)$ for all $(u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} d^{t}_{G_{1}\circ G_{2}}(u_{1}, u_{2}) &= \sum_{u_{1}=v_{1}, u_{2}v_{2} \in E_{2}} t_{A_{1}}(u_{1}) \wedge t_{B_{2}}(u_{2}v_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}=v_{2}, u_{1}v_{1} \in E_{1}} t_{A_{2}}(u_{2}) \wedge t_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}\neq v_{2}, u_{1}v_{1} \in E_{1}} t_{A_{2}}(v_{2}) \wedge t_{A_{2}}(u_{2}) \wedge t_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1}) \\ &= \sum_{u_{2}v_{2} \in E_{2}} t_{B_{2}}(u_{2}v_{2}) + \sum_{u_{2}=v_{2}, u_{1}v_{1} \in E_{1}} t_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1}) \end{aligned}$$



FIGURE 2. Composition of G_1 and G_2

$$+ \sum_{\substack{u_2 \neq v_2, u_1 v_1 \in E_1 \\ u_2 \neq v_2, u_1 v_1 \in E_1}} t_{B_1}(u_1 v_1) \text{ (Since } t_{A_1} \ge t_{B_2} \text{ and } t_{A_2} \ge t_{B_1})$$

$$= d_{G_2}^t(u_2) + |V_2| \sum_{\substack{u_1 v_1 \in E_1 \\ u_1 v_1 \in E_1}} t_{B_1}(u_1 v_1)$$

$$= d_{G_2}^t(u_2) + |V_2| d_{G_1}^t(u_1).$$

Similarly we can show that

$$d_{G_1 \circ G_2}^f(u_1, u_2) = d_{G_2}^f(u_2) + |V_2| d_{G_1}^f(u_1).$$

Hence, $d_{G_1 \circ G_2}(u_1, u_2) = d_{G_2}(u_2) + |V_2| d_{G_1}(u_1).$

Example 3.6. Consider the vague graphs G_1 , G_2 and $G_1 \circ G_2$ as follows.

Here, $t_{A_1} \ge t_{B_2}$, $f_{A_1} \le f_{B_2}$, $t_{A_2} \ge t_{B_1}$ and $f_{A_2} \le f_{B_1}$. By Theorem 3.5, we have

$$\begin{split} & d^t_{G_1 \circ G_2}(u_1, u_2) = d^t_{G_2}(u_2) + |V_2| d^t_{G_1}(u_1) = 0.2 + 2(0.2) = 0.6, \\ & d^f_{G_1 \circ G_2}(u_1, u_2) = d^f_{G_2}(u_2) + |V_2| d^f_{G_1}(u_1) = 0.7 + 2(0.7) = 2.1. \end{split}$$

Therefore, $d_{G_1 \circ G_2}(u_1, u_2) = (0.6, 2.1).$

$$\begin{aligned} &d_{G_1 \circ G_2}^t(u_1, v_2) = d_{G_2}^t(v_2) + |V_2| d_{G_1}^t(u_1) = 0.2 + 2(0.2) = 0.6, \\ &d_{G_1 \circ G_2}^f(u_1, v_2) = d_{G_2}^f(v_2) + |V_2| d_{G_1}^f(u_1) = 0.7 + 2(0.7) = 2.1. \end{aligned}$$

So, $d_{G_1 \circ G_2}(u_1, v_2) = (0.6, 2.1).$

In the same way, we can find the degree of all the vertices in $G_1 \circ G_2$. This can be verified in the Figure 2.



FIGURE 3. Tensor product of G_1 and G_2

Degree of a vertex in the tensor product is as follows. By definition of tensor product for any $(u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &d^{t}_{G_{1}\otimes G_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) = \sum(t_{B_{1}}\otimes t_{B_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(v_{1},v_{2})) = \sum_{u_{1}v_{1}\in E_{1}}t_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1})\wedge t_{B_{2}}(u_{2}v_{2}) \\ &d^{f}_{G_{1}\otimes G_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) = \sum(f_{B_{1}}\otimes f_{B_{2}})((u_{1},u_{2})(v_{1},v_{2})) = \sum_{u_{1}v_{1}\in E_{1}}f_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1})\vee f_{B_{2}}(u_{2}v_{2}) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.7. Let $G_1 = (A_1, B_1)$ and $G_2 = (A_2, B_2)$ be two vague graphs. If $t_{B_2} \ge t_{B_1}$ and $f_{B_2} \le f_{B_1}$ then $d_{G_1 \otimes G_2}(u_1, u_2) = d_{G_1}(u_1)$. Also, if $t_{B_1} \ge t_{B_2}$ and $f_{B_1} \le f_{B_2}$ then $d_{G_1 \otimes G_2}(u_1, u_2) = d_{G_2}(u_2)$.

Proof. Let $t_{B_2} \ge t_{B_1}$, $f_{B_2} \le f_{B_1}$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &d^{t}_{G_{1}\otimes G_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) = \sum_{u_{1}v_{1}\in E_{1}} t_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1}) \wedge t_{B_{2}}(u_{2}v_{2}) = \sum t_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1}) = d^{t}_{G_{1}}(u_{1}), \\ &d^{f}_{G_{1}\otimes G_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) = \sum_{u_{1}v_{1}\in E_{1}} f_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1}) \vee f_{B_{2}}(u_{2}v_{2}) = \sum f_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1}) = d^{f}_{G_{1}}(u_{1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $d_{G_1 \otimes G_2}(u_1, u_2) = d_{G_1}(u_1)$. Similarly if $t_{B_1} \ge t_{B_2}$ and $f_{B_1} \le f_{B_2}$, then $d_{G_1 \otimes G_2}(u_1, u_2) = d_{G_2}(u_2)$.

Example 3.8. In this example we obtain the degree of vertices of $G_1 \otimes G_2$ by Theorem 3.7.

Consider the vague graphs G_1 and G_2 in Figure 3. Here $t_{B_2} \ge t_{B_1}$, $f_{B_2} \le f_{B_1}$. By Theorem 3.7 we have

$$d_{G_1 \otimes G_2}^t(u_1, u_2) = d_{G_1}^t(u_1) = 0.2, \ d_{G_1 \otimes G_2}^J(u_1, u_2) = d_{G_1}^J(u_1) = 0.5,$$

R.A. Borzooei and H. Rashmanlou

$$d_{G_1 \otimes G_2}^t(v_1, v_2) = d_{G_1}^t(v_1) = 0.2, \ d_{G_1 \otimes G_2}^f(v_1, v_2) = d_{G_1}^f(v_1) = 0.5.$$

So, $d_{G_1\otimes G_2}(u_1, u_2) = (0.2, 0.5)$ and $d_{G_1\otimes G_2}(v_1, v_2) = (0.2, 0.5)$. Similarly, we can find the degree of all the vertices in $G_1 \otimes G_2$. This can be verified in the Figure 3.

Finally, we derive the degree of a vertex in normal product. By the definition of normal product for any $(u_1, u_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$ we have

$$\begin{split} d^{t}_{G_{1}\bullet G_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{((u_{1},v_{1})(u_{2},v_{2}))\in E} (t_{B_{1}}\bullet t_{B_{2}})((u_{1},v_{1})(u_{2},v_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=v_{1},u_{2}v_{2}\in E_{2}} t_{A_{1}}(u_{1}) \wedge t_{B_{2}}(u_{2}v_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}=v_{2},u_{1}v_{1}\in E_{1}} t_{A_{2}}(u_{2}) \wedge t_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}v_{2}\in E_{2},u_{1}v_{1}\in E_{1}} t_{B_{2}}(u_{2}v_{2}) \wedge t_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1}), \\ d^{f}_{G_{1}\bullet G_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{((u_{1},v_{1})(u_{2},v_{2}))\in E} (f_{B_{1}}\bullet f_{B_{2}})((u_{1},v_{1})(u_{2},v_{2})) \\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=v_{1},u_{2}v_{2}\in E_{2}} f_{A_{1}}(u_{1}) \vee f_{B_{2}}(u_{2}v_{2}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}=v_{2},u_{1}v_{1}\in E_{1}} f_{A_{2}}(u_{2}) \vee f_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1}) \\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}v_{2}\in E_{2},u_{1}v_{1}\in E_{1}} f_{B_{2}}(u_{2}v_{2}) \vee f_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1}). \end{split}$$

Theorem 3.9. Let $G_1 = (A_1, B_1)$ and $G_2 = (A_2, B_2)$ be two vague graphs. If $t_{A_1} \ge t_{B_2}$, $f_{A_1} \le f_{B_2}$, $t_{A_2} \ge t_{B_1}$, $f_{A_2} \le f_{B_1}$, $t_{B_1} \le t_{B_2}$ and $f_{B_1} \ge f_{B_2}$ then $d_{G_1 \bullet G_2}(u_1, u_2) = |V_2| d_{G_1}(u_1) + d_{G_2}(u_2)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{split} d^{t}_{G_{1}\bullet G_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}) &= \sum_{((u_{1},v_{1})(u_{2},v_{2}))\in E}(t_{B_{1}}\bullet t_{B_{2}})((u_{1},v_{1})(u_{2},v_{2}))\\ &= \sum_{u_{1}=v_{1},u_{2}v_{2}\in E_{2}}t_{A_{1}}(u_{1})\wedge t_{B_{2}}(u_{2}v_{2})\\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}=v_{2},u_{1}v_{1}\in E_{1}}t_{A_{2}}(u_{2})\wedge t_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1})\\ &+ \sum_{u_{2}v_{2}\in E_{2},u_{1}v_{1}\in E_{1}}t_{B_{2}}(u_{2}v_{2})\wedge t_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1})\\ &= \sum_{u_{2}v_{2}\in E_{2}}t_{B_{2}}(u_{2}v_{2}) + \sum_{u_{2}=v_{2},u_{1}v_{1}\in E_{1}}t_{B_{1}}(u_{1}v_{1}) \end{split}$$

554



FIGURE 4. Normal product of G_1 and G_2

+
$$\sum_{u_1v_1 \in E_1} t_{B_1}(u_1v_1)$$
, (Since $t_{A_1} \ge t_{B_2}$, $t_{A_2} \ge t_{B_1}$, $t_{B_1} \le t_{B_2}$)
= $d_{G_2}^t(u_2) + |V_2| d_{G_1}^t(u_1)$.

In the same way we can show that

$$d_{G_1 \bullet G_2}^f(u_1, u_2) = d_{G_2}^f(u_2) + |V_2| d_{G_1}^f(u_1).$$

Hence, $d_{G_1 \bullet G_2}(u_1, u_2) = |V_2| d_{G_1}(u_1) + d_{G_2}(u_2).$

Example 3.10. In this example we obtain the degree of vertices of $G_1 \bullet G_2$ by Theorem 3.9.

Consider the vague graphs G_1 and G_2 in Figure 4. Here $t_{A_1} \ge t_{B_2}$, $f_{A_1} \le f_{B_2}$, $t_{A_2} \ge t_{B_1}$, $f_{A_2} \le f_{B_1}$, $t_{B_1} \le t_{B_2}$ and $f_{B_1} \ge f_{B_2}$. So, by Theorem 3.9 we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^t_{G_1 \bullet G_2}(u_1, u_2) &= d^t_{G_2}(u_2) + |V_2| d^t_{G_1}(u_1) = 0.2 + 2(0.2) = 0.6, \\ d^f_{G_1 \bullet G_2}(u_1, u_2) &= d^t_{G_2}(u_2) + |V_2| d^t_{G_1}(u_1) = 0.6 + 2(0.7) = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $d_{G_1 \bullet G_2}(u_1, u_2) = (0.6, 2).$

$$\begin{aligned} d^t_{G_1 \bullet G_2}(u_1, v_2) &= d^t_{G_2}(v_2) + |V_2| d^t_{G_1}(u_1) = 0.2 + 2(0.2) = 0.6, \\ d^f_{G_1 \bullet G_2}(u_1, v_2) &= d^f_{G_2}(v_2) + |V_2| d^f_{G_1}(u_1) = 0.6 + 2(0.7) = 2. \end{aligned}$$

So, $d_{G_1 \bullet G_2}(u_1, v_2) = (0.6, 2).$

Similarly, we can find the degree of all the vertices in $G_1 \bullet G_2$. This can be verified in the Figure 4.

R.A. Borzooei and H. Rashmanlou

4. Conclusion

Graph theory has several interesting applications in system analysis, operations research, computer applications, and economics. Since most of the time the aspects of graph problems are uncertain, it is nice to deal with these aspects via the methods of fuzzy systems. It is known that fuzzy graph theory has numerous applications in modern science and engineering, neural networks, expert systems, medical diagnosis, town planning and control theory. In this paper, we have found the degree of vertices in $G_1 \times G_2$, $G_1 \circ G_2$, $G_1 \otimes G_2$ and $G_1 \bullet G_2$ in terms of the degree of vertices in G_1 and G_2 under some conditions and illustrated them through examples. This will be helpful when the graphs are very large and it can help us in studying various properties of cartesian product, composition, tensor product and normal product of two vague graphs.

Acknowledgement

The authors are extremely grateful to the Editor in Chief Prof. Cheon Seoung Ryoo and anonymous referees for giving them many valuable comments and helpful suggestions which helps to improve the presentation of this paper.

References

- 1. Sk. Md. Abu Nayeem and M. Pal, *The p-center problem on fuzzy networks and reduction of cost*, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems **5** (2008), 1-26.
- M. Akram, N. Gani and A. Borumand Saeid, Vague hypergraphs, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems 26 (2014), 647-653.
- M. Akram, F. Feng, S. Sarwar and Y.B. Jun, *Certain types of vague graphs*, University Politehnica of Bucharest Scientific Bulletin Series A 76 (2014), 141-154.
- M. Akram, M. Murtaza Yousaf and Wieslaw A. Dudek, *Regularity in vague intersection graphs and vague line graphs*, Abstract and Applied Analysis **2014**, Article ID 525389, doi:10.1155/2014/525389.
- W.L. Gau and D.J. Buehrer, Vague sets, IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics 23 (1993), 610-614.
- A. Kauffman, Introduction a la Theorie des Sous-Emsembles Flous, 1 (1973) Masson et Cie.
- 7. J.N. Mordeson and P.S. Nair, Fuzzy Graphs and Fuzzy Hypergraphs, Physica Verlag, 2000.
- 8. M. Pal and H. Rashmanlou, *Irregular interval-valued fuzzy graphs*, Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics **3** (2013), 56-66.
- N. Ramakrishna, Vague graphs, International Journal of Computational Cognition 7 (2009), 51-58.
- H. Rashmanlou and M. Pal, Antipodal interval-valued fuzzy graphs, International Journal of Applications of Fuzzy Sets and Artificial Intelligence 3 (2013), 107-130.
- H. Rashmanlou and M. Pal, Balanced interval-valued fuzzy graph, Journal of Physical Sciences 17 (2013), 43-57.
- H. Rashmanlou and M. Pal, Some properties of highly irregular interval-valued fuzzy graphs, World Applied Sciences Journal 27 (2013), 1756-1773.
- H. Rashmanlou and Y.B. Jun, Complete interval-valued fuzzy graphs, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics 6 (2013), 677-687.
- H. Rashmanlou, S. Samanta, M. Pal and R.A. Borzooei, A study on bipolar fuzzy graphs, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems 28 (2015), 571-580.

- A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy graphs in Fuzzy Sets and Their Applications, L. A. Zadeh, K. S. Fu, and M. Shimura, Eds. Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, (1975), 77-95.
- S. Samant and M. Pal, Fuzzy tolerance graphs, International Journal Latest Trend Mathematics 1 (2011), 57-67.
- S. Samanta and M. Pal, Fuzzy threshold graphs, CiiT International Journal of Fuzzy Systems 3 (2011), 360-364.
- S. Samanta, M. Pal and A. Pal, New concepts of fuzzy planar graph, International Journal of Advanced Research in Articial Intelligence 3 (2014), 52-59.
- S. Samanta and M. Pal, Fuzzy k-competition graphs and p-competition fuzzy graphs, Fuzzy Engineering and Information 5 (2013), 191-204.
- S. Samanta and M. Pal, *Irregular bipolar fuzzy graphs*, International Journal of Application Fuzzy Sets 2 (2012), 91-102.
- S. Samanta, T. Pramanik and M. Pal, Fuzzy colouring of fuzzy graphs, Afrika Matematika, DOI 10.1007/s13370-015-0317-8.
- S. Samanta and M. Pal, Bipolar fuzzy hypergraphs, International Journal of Fuzzy Logic Systems 2 (2012), 17-28.
- S. Samanta, M. Pal and A. Pal, Some more results on fuzzy k-competition graphs, International Journal of Advanced Research in Artificial Intelligence 3 (2014), 60-67.
- 24. S. Samanta and M. Pal, Some more results on bipolar fuzzy sets and bipolar fuzzy intersection graphs, The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics 22 (2014), 253-262.
- 25. L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 8 (1965), 338-353.
- L.A. Zadeh, Similarity relations and fuzzy ordering, Information Sciences 3 (1971), 177-200.

R.A. Borzooei is Professor of Math., Shahid Beheshti University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran. His research interests include Fuzzy Graphs Theory, Fuzzy logical algebras, BL-algebras, BCK and BCI-algebras.

Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran. e-mail: borzooei@sbu.ac.ir

H. Rashmanlou

Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran. e-mail: Rashmanlou.1987@gmail.com