A GENERALIZED ADDITIVE-QUARTIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION AND ITS STABILITY

CHARINTHIP HENGKRAWIT AND ANURAK THANYACHAROEN

ABSTRACT. We determine the general solution of the generalized additivequartic functional equation f(x + 3y) + f(x - 3y) + f(x + 2y) + f(x - 2y) + 22f(x) - 13 [f(x + y) + f(x - y)] + 24f(y) - 12f(2y) = 0 without assuming any regularity conditions on the unknown function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and its stability is investigated.

1. Introduction

A function $A : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *additive* [1], if A(x + y) = A(x) + A(y) $(x, y \in \mathbb{R})$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a function $A_n : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ that is additive in each of its variable is called *n*-additive. If

 $A_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = A_n(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$

for every permutation $\{\pi(1), \pi(2), \ldots, \pi(n)\}$ of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ where *n* is a positive number, then a function A_n is called *symmetric*. Denote the diagonal element $A_n(x, x, \ldots, x)$ by $A^n(x)$ if $A_n(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ is *n*-additive symmetric function and denote the resulting function obtained by putting $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots =$ $x_{\ell} = x$ and $x_{\ell+1} = x_{\ell+2} = \cdots = x_n = y$ in $A_n(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ by $A^{\ell, n-\ell}(x, y)$. For $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, the difference operator Δ_h with $h \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\Delta_h f(x) = f(x+h) - f(x)$$

Higher order differences are defined in the usual manner, namely,

 $\Delta_h^0 f(x) = f(x), \ \Delta_h^1 f(x) = \Delta_h f(x), \ \Delta_h^{n+1} f(x) = \Delta_h \circ \Delta_h^n f(x) \ (n \in \mathbb{N}, h \in \mathbb{R}),$

where $\Delta_h \circ \Delta_h^n$ denotes operator composition. The superposition of difference operators is defined by

(1.1)
$$\Delta_{h_1,\dots,h_n} f = \Delta_{h_1} \Delta_{h_2} \cdots \Delta_{h_n} f, \ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For any given $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, if f satisfies the functional equation

(1.2)
$$\Delta_h^{n+1} f(x) = 0, \ x, h \in \mathbb{R},$$

C2015Korean Mathematical Society

Received January 29, 2013; Revised September 15, 2015.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 39B22, 39B82.

Key words and phrases. functional equation, Fréchet functional equation, additive function, quartic function, difference operator, stability.

then f is called a *polynomial function of order n*. In explicit form (1.2) can be written as

(1.3)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-k} \binom{n+1}{k} f(x+kh) = 0.$$

It is known (see [8]) that for functions defined over \mathbb{R} the equation (1.3) is equivalent to the Fréchet functional equation

(1.4)
$$\Delta_{h_1,\dots,h_{n+1}} f(x) = 0,$$

where $x, h_1, \ldots, h_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}$.

The following theorem is needed in our proof (see [3, pp. 71–77]).

Theorem 1.1. The function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a polynomial function of order n if and only if there exist k-additive symmetric functions $A_k : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ (k = 0, 1, ..., n) such that the equation

(1.5)
$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} A^{k}(x) \ (x \in \mathbb{R}) \ holds,$$

where A^k are the diagonalizations of A_k (k = 0, 1, ..., n).

In 2003, Chung and Sahoo [2] considered the functional equation

 $(1.6) \ f(x+2y) + f(x-2y) + 6f(x) = 4[f(x+y) + f(x-y) + 6f(y)] \ (x, y \in \mathbb{R}).$

It is easy to see that the function $f(x) = x^4$ is a solution of (1.6). The equation (1.6) is called a *quartic functional equation* and every solution of (1.6) is called a *quartic function*. Chung and Sahoo's results are:

Theorem 1.2. If $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the functional equation (1.6), then f is a solution of the Fréchet functional equation $\Delta_{x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4,x_5}f(x_0) = 0$ for all $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 1.3. The function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the functional equation (1.6) if and only if f is of the form $f(x) = A^4(x)$, where $A^4(x)$ is the diagonal of 4-additive symmetric function $A_4 : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$.

Next, in 2004, Sahoo [10] solved the functional equation

(1.7)
$$f(x+2y) + f(x-2y) + 6f(x) = 4[f(x+y) + f(x-y)] \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R})$$

by finding its general solution to be of the form $f(x) = A^0 + A^1(x) + A^2(x) + A^3(x)$, where $A^n(x)$ is the diagonal of *n*-additive symmetric function $A_n : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ (n = 1, 2, 3) and A^0 is an arbitrary constant. In the next year, he generalized (1.7) to

(1.8) $f_1(2x+y) + f_2(2x-y) = f_3(x+y) + f_4(x-y) + f_5(x),$

and proved that the functions $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the functional equation (1.8) for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if

$$f_1(x) = A^3(x) + A^2(x) + A^1(x) + A^0 + B^2(x) + B^1(x) + B^0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(x) &= A^3(x) + A^2(x) + A^1(x) + A^0 - B^2(x) - B^1(x) - B^0 \\ f_3(x) &= 2A^3(x) + A^2(x) + A^1(x) + \frac{1}{2}A^0 + C^1(x) + C^0 \\ &+ 2B^2(x) + B^1(x) + B^0 + D^0, \\ f_4(x) &= 2A^3(x) + A^2(x) + A^1(x) + \frac{1}{2}A^0 + C^1(x) + C^0 \\ &- 2B^2(x) - B^1(x) - B^0 - D^0, \\ f_5(x) &= 12A^3(x) + 6A^2(x) + 2A^1(x) + A^0 - 2C^1(x) - 2C^0, \end{aligned}$$

where A^0, B^0, C^0, D^0 are arbitrary constants, $A^n(x), B^n(x), C^n(x)$ are the diagonals of *n*-additive symmetric functions $A_n, B_n, C_n : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ (n = 1, 2, 3), respectively.

In 2010, Gordji [4] obtained the functional equation

$$f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) = 4 [f(x+y) + f(x-y)] - \frac{3}{7} [f(2y) - 2f(y)] + 2f(2x) - 8f(x).$$
(1.9)

He proved that the function f satisfies (1.9) if and only if there exist a unique symmetric multiadditive function $B : X \times X \times X \times X \to Y$ and a unique additive function $A : X \to Y$ such that f(x) = B(x, x, x, x) + A(x) for all $x \in X$.

In 2013, we (see [6]) considered the following functional equation

(1.10)
$$f(x+3y) + f(x-3y) + f(x+2y) + f(x-2y) + 22f(x)$$
$$= 13 [f(x+y) + f(x-y)] + 168f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and we solved that the function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (1.10) if and only if it is of the form $f(x) = A^4(x)$, where $A^4(x)$ is the diagonal of 4-additive symmetric function $A_4 : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$.

Next, Recognizing the identity

(1.11)
$$(x+3y)^4 + (x-3y)^4 + (x+2y)^4 + (x-2y)^4 + 22x^4 -13[(x+y)^4 + (x-y)^4] + 24y^4 - 12(2y)^4 = 0$$

and

$$(x+3y) + (x-3y) + (x+2y) + (x-2y) + 22x$$

(1.12)
$$-13[(x+y) + (x-y)] + 24y - 12(2y) = 0,$$

which renders a solution $f(x) = x^4 + x$ to the functional equation

(1.13)
$$f(x+3y) + f(x-3y) + f(x+2y) + f(x-2y) + 22f(x) - 13[f(x+y) + f(x-y)] + 24f(y) - 12f(2y) = 0.$$

The aim of the present work is to find a general solution of the functional equation (1.13) without assuming any regularity condition and its stability. Our main result is:

Theorem 1.4. The function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the functional equation (1.13) for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if it is of the form

(1.14)
$$f(x) = A^4(x) + A(x) \ (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

where $A^n(x)$ is the diagonal of a n-additive symmetric function $A_n : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ (n = 1, 4).

2. Preliminary result

The following auxiliary lemma is shown in [6, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 2.1. If the function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the functional equation

$$f(x+4y) - 14f(x+2y) + 35f(x+y) - 35f(x)$$

(2.1)
$$+14f(x-y) - f(x-3y) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, then f is a solution of the Fréchet functional equation

$$\Delta_{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5} f(x_0) = 0$$

for all $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 2.2. If $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the functional equation (1.13), then the following assertions hold.

a) If f is an even function, then f is a quartic function.

b) If f is an odd function, then f is an additive function.

Proof. To prove a), letting x = y = 0 in (1.13), we have f(0) = 0. Replacing x by x + y in (1.13), we have

$$f(x+4y) + f(x-2y) + f(x+3y) + f(x-y) + 22f(x+y)$$

(2.2)
$$-13\left[f(x+2y)+f(x)\right]+24f(y)-12f(2y)=0.$$

Subtracting (1.13) from (2.2), we obtain

$$f(x+4y) - 14f(x+2y) + 35f(x+y) - 35f(x) + 14f(x-y) - f(x-3y) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

 $\Delta_{x_1,\dots,x_5} f(x_0) = 0$

for all $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus from Theorem 1.1 we have

(2.3)
$$f(x) = A^4(x) + A^3(x) + A^2(x) + A^1(x) + A^0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $A^n(x)$ is the diagonal of *n*-additive symmetric function $A_n : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for $n = 1, \ldots, 4$ and A^0 is an arbitrary constant. Since f(0) = 0, we have $A^0 \equiv 0$ and f is even, then $A^3(x)$ and $A^1(x)$ must be vanish. Hence, from (2.3) we have

(2.4)
$$f(x) = A^4(x) + A^2(x).$$

Letting (2.4) into (1.13), we have

 $A^{4}(x+3y) + A^{2}(x+3y) + A^{4}(x-3y) + A^{2}(x-3y)$

$$\begin{split} &+A^4(x+2y)+A^2(x+2y)+A^4(x-2y)+A^2(x-2y)+22[A^4(x)+A^2(x)]\\ &-13[A^4(x+y)+A^2(x+y)]-13[A^4(x-y)+A^2(x-y)]+24[A^4(y)+A^2(y)]\\ &-12[A^4(2y)+A^2(2y)]=0.\\ & \text{Thus, we obtain}\\ & A^4(x+3y)+A^4(x-3y)+A^2(x+3y)+A^2(x-3y)\\ &+A^4(x+2y)+A^4(x-2y)+A^2(x+2y)+A^2(x-2y)+22[A^4(x)+A^2(x)]\\ &-13[A^4(x+y)+A^4(x-y)]-13[A^2(x+y)+A^2(x-y)]+24[A^4(y)+A^2(y)]\\ &-12[A^4(2y)+A^2(2y)]=0.\\ & \text{Noting that} \end{split}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{split} &A^4(x+y) + A^4(x-y) = 2A^4(x) + 12A^{2,2}(x,y) + 2A^4(y), \\ &A^2(x+y) + A^2(x-y) = 2A^2(x) + 2A^2(y), \\ &A^{2,2}(x,3y) = 9A^{2,2}(x,y), \ A^{2,2}(x,2y) = 4A^{2,2}(x,y), \end{split}$$

 $A^4(3y) = 81 A^4(y), \ A^2(3y) = 9 A^2(y), \ A^4(2y) = 16 A^4(y), \ A^2(2y) = 4 A^2(y),$ then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &2A^4(x) + 12A^{2,2}(x,3y) + 2A^4(3y) + 2A^2(x) + 2A^2(3y) \\ &+ 2A^4(x) + 12A^{2,2}(x,2y) + 2A^4(2y) + 2A^2(x) + 2A^2(2y) + 22[A^4(x) + A^2(x)] \\ &- 13[2A^4(x) + 12A^{2,2}(x,y) + 2A^4(y)] - 13[2A^2(x) + 2A^2(y)] + 24[A^4(y) + A^2(y)] \\ &- 12[A^4(2y) + A^2(2y)] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is,
$$2A^4(x) + 108A^{2,2}(x,y) + 162A^4(y) + 2A^2(x) + 18A^2(y) \\ &+ 2A^4(x) + 48A^{2,2}(x,y) + 32A^4(y) + 2A^2(x) + 8A^2(y) + 22[A^4(x) + A^2(x)] \\ &- 12[A^4(x) + 48A^{2,2}(x,y) + 32A^4(y)] - 12[2A^2(x) + 8A^2(y) + 24[A^4(x) + A^2(x)] \\ &- 12[A^4(x) + 48A^{2,2}(x,y) + 32A^4(y)] - 12[2A^2(x) + 8A^2(y) + 24[A^4(x) + A^2(x)] \\ &- 12[A^4(x) + 48A^{2,2}(x,y) + 32A^4(y)] - 12[2A^2(x) + 8A^2(y) + 24[A^4(x) + A^2(x)] \\ &- 12[A^4(x) + 48A^{2,2}(x,y) + 2A^4(y)] - 12[2A^2(x) + 8A^2(y) + 24[A^4(x) + A^2(x)] \\ &- 12[A^4(x) + 48A^{2,2}(x,y) + 2A^4(y)] - 12[A^2(x) + 8A^2(y) + 2A^2(x)] + 2A^2(x) + 2A^2(x)] \\ &+ 2A^4(x) + 48A^{2,2}(x,y) + 2A^4(y)] - 12[A^2(x) + 8A^2(y) + 2A^2(x)] + 2A^2(x)] \\ &+ 2A^4(x) + 48A^{2,2}(x,y) + 2A^4(y)] - 12[A^2(x) + 8A^2(y)] + 2A^2(x)] \\ &+ 2A^4(x) + 48A^{2,2}(x,y) + 2A^4(y)] - 12[A^2(x) + 8A^2(y)] + 2A^2(x)] \\ &+ 2A^4(x) + 48A^{2,2}(x,y) + 2A^4(y)] - 12[A^2(x) + 8A^2(y)] + 2A^2(x)] \\ &+ 2A^4(x) + 4A^4(x) + 4A^2(x)] + 2A^4(x)] + 2A^4(x) + 2A^4(x) + 2A^4(x) + A^2(x)] \\ &+ 2A^4(x) + 4A^4(x) + 2A^{2,2}(x,y) + 2A^4(x)] + 2A^4(x) + 2A^4(x) + A^4(x) + A^2(x)] \\ &+ 2A^4(x) + 2A^4(x) + 2A^4(x) + 2A^4(x) + 2A^4(x) + 2A^4(x) + A^4(x) +$$

 $-13[2A^{4}(x)+12A^{2,2}(x,y)+2A^{4}(y)]-13[2A^{2}(x)+2A^{2}(y)]+24[A^{4}(y)+A^{2}(y)] -12[16A^{4}(y)+4A^{2}(y)]=0.$

Thus, we obtain $A^2(y) = 0$ and from (2.4) we have $f(x) = A^4(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence f is a quartic function.

To prove b), letting x = y = 0 in (1.13), we have f(0) = 0. Replacing x by x + y in (1.13), we have

$$f(x+4y) + f(x-2y) + f(x+3y) + f(x-y) + 22f(x+y)$$

(2.5) $-13 \left[f(x+2y) + f(x) \right] + 24f(y) - 12f(2y) = 0.$

Subtracting (1.13) from (2.5), we obtain

f(x+4y) - 14f(x+2y) + 35f(x+y) - 35f(x) + 14f(x-y) - f(x-3y) = 0.By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\Delta_{x_1,\dots,x_5} f(x_0) = 0$$

for all $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus from Theorem 1.1 we have

(2.6)
$$f(x) = A^4(x) + A^3(x) + A^2(x) + A^1(x) + A^0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $A^n(x)$ is the diagonal of *n*-additive symmetric function $A_n : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for $n = 1, \ldots, 4$ and A^0 is an arbitrary constant. Since f(0) = 0, we have $A^0 \equiv 0$ and f is odd, then $A^4(x)$ and $A^2(x)$ must be vanish. Hence, from (2.6) we have

(2.7)
$$f(x) = A^{3}(x) + A^{1}(x).$$

Letting (2.7) into (1.13), we have

$$A^{3}(x+3y) + A^{1}(x+3y) + A^{3}(x-3y) + A^{1}(x-3y) + A^{3}(x+2y) + A^{1}(x+2y) + A^{3}(x-2y) + A^{1}(x-2y) + 22[A^{3}(x) + A^{1}(x)] - 13[A^{3}(x+y) + A^{1}(x+y)] - 13[A^{3}(x-y) + A^{1}(x-y)] + 24[A^{3}(y) + A^{1}(y)] - 12[A^{3}(2y) + A^{1}(2y)] = 0.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A^{3}(x+3y) + A^{3}(x-3y) + A^{3}(x+2y) + A^{3}(x-2y) + 22A^{3}(x) \\ &- 13[A^{3}(x+y) + A^{3}(x-y)] + 24A^{3}(y) - 12A^{3}(2y) \\ &+ A^{1}(x+3y) + A^{1}(x-3y) + A^{1}(x+2y) + A^{1}(x-2y) + 22A^{1}(x) \\ &- 13[A^{1}(x+y) + A^{1}(x-y)] + 24A^{1}(y) - 12A^{1}(2y) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{split} A^3(x+y) + A^3(x-y) &= 2A^3(x) + 6A^{1,2}(x,y), \\ A^1(x+y) &= A^1(x) + A^1(y), \\ A^{1,2}(x,3y) &= 9A^{1,2}(x,y), \ A^3(3y) = 27A^3(y), \\ A^{1,2}(x,2y) &= 4A^{1,2}(x,y), \ A^3(2y) &= 8A^3(y), \\ A^1(2y) &= 2A^1(y), \ A^1(3y) &= 3A^1(y) \ \text{and} \ A^1(-y) &= -A^1(y), \end{split}$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &2A^3(x) + 54A^{1,2}(x,y) + 2A^3(x) + 24A^{1,2}(x,y) + 22A^3(x) \\ &- 13[2A^3(x) + 6A^{1,2}(x,y)] + 24A^3(y) - 96A^3(y) \\ &+ A^1(x) + A^1(3y) + A^1(x) - A^1(3y) + A^1(x) + A^1(2y) + A^1(x) - A^1(2y) \\ &+ 22A^1(x) - 13[A^1(x) + A^1(y) + A^1(x) - A^1(y)] + 24A^1(y) - 24A^1(y) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain $A^3(y) = 0$ and from (2.7) we have $f(x) = A^1(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence f is additive, which completes the proof.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

From

(1.13)
$$f(x+3y) + f(x-3y) + f(x+2y) + f(x-2y) + 22f(x) - 13[f(x+y) + f(x-y)] + 24f(y) - 12f(2y) = 0,$$

we define $f_e(x) = \frac{1}{2}[f(x) + f(-x)]$ and $f_o(x) = \frac{1}{2}[f(x) - f(-x)]$ are even and odd parts, respectively. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f_e(x+3y) + f_e(x-3y) + f_e(x+2y) + f_e(x-2y) + 22f_e(x) \\ &- 13[f_e(x+y) + f_e(x-y)] + 24f_e(y) - 12f_e(2y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ f(x+3y) + f(x-3y) + f(x+2y) + f(x-2y) + 22f(x) \\ &- 13[f(x+y) + f(x-y)] + 24f(y) - 12f(2y) \\ &+ f(-(x+3y)) + f(-(x-3y)) + f(-(x+2y)) + f(-(x-2y)) \\ &+ 22f(-x) - 13[f(-(x+y)) + f(-(x-y))] \\ &+ 24f(-y) - 12f(-2y) \right\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that f_e satisfies (1.13). Since f_e is even, from Theorem 2.2, we have

(3.2)
$$f_e(x) = A^4(x),$$

where $A^4(x)$ is the diagonal of 4-additive symmetric function $A_4 : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$. Consider the functional equation

$$f_o(x+3y) + f_o(x-3y) + f_o(x+2y) + f_o(x-2y) + 22f_o(x) - 13[f_o(x+y) + f_o(x-y)] + 24f_o(y) - 12f_o(2y) = \frac{1}{2}[f(x+3y) + f(x-3y) + f(x+2y) + f(x-2y) + 22f(x) - 13[f(x+y) + f(x-y)] + 24f(y) - 12f(2y) - [f(-(x+3y)) + f(-(x-3y)) + f(-(x+2y)) + f(-(x-2y)) + 22f(-x) - 13[f(-(x+y)) + f(-(x-y))]] (3.3) + 24f(-y) - 12f(-2y)] = 0.$$

This shows that f_o satisfies (1.13). Since f_o is odd, from Theorem 2.2, we have

(3.4)
$$f_o(x) = A^1(x),$$

where A_1 is additive. Hence, from (3.2) and (3.4), we obtain

$$f(x) = A^4(x) + A^1(x),$$

where $A^n(x)$ is the diagonal of *n*-additive symmetric function $A_n : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ for n = 1, 4.

Conversely, assume that $f(x) = A^4(x) + A^1(x)$.

Noting that

$$\begin{split} A^4(x+y) &+ A^4(x-y) = 2A^4(x) + 12A^{2,2}(x,y) + 2A^4(y), \\ A^4(3y) &= 81A^4(y), \ A^{2,2}(x,3y) = 9A^{2,2}(x,y), \ A^{2,2}(x,2y) = 4A^{2,2}(x,y), \\ A^4(2y) &= 16A^4(y), \ A^1(x+y) = A^1(x) + A^1(y), \\ A^3(2y) &= 8A^3(y), \ A^1(2y) = 2A^1(y), \end{split}$$

then we have

$$\begin{split} & [f(x+3y)+f(x-3y)]+[f(x+2y)+f(x-2y)]+22f(x) \\ &= A^4(x+3y)+A^1(x+3y)+A^4(x-3y)+A^1(x-3y) \\ &+ A^4(x+2y)+A^1(x+2y)+A^4(x-2y)+A^1(x-2y) \\ &+ 22[A^4(x)+A^1(x)] \\ &= 2A^4(x)+108A^{2,2}(x,y)+162A^4(y)+2A^4(x)+48A^{2,2}(x,y) \\ &+ 32A^4(y)+22A^4(x)+26A^1(x) \\ &= 13[2A^4(x)+12A^{2,2}(x,y)+2A^4(y)+2A^1(x)]-24[A^4(y)+A^1(y)] \\ &+ 12[A^4(2y)+A^1(2y)] \\ &= 13[A^4(x+y)+A^1(x+y)+A^4(x-y)+A^1(x-y)]-24[A^4(y)+A^1(y)] \\ &+ 12[A^4(2y)+A^1(2y)] \\ &= 13[f(x+y)+f(x-y)]-24f(y)+12f(2y). \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of the result.

4. Stability

In this section, we consider a *stability problem* which is proposed by Ulam [12] in 1940: Let f be a mapping from a group $(G_1, +)$ to a metric group $(G_2, +)$ with metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ such that

$$l(f(x+y), f(x) + f(y)) \le \epsilon.$$

Do there exist a group homomorphism $L:G_1\to G_2$ and a constant $\delta_\epsilon>0$ such that

$$d(f(x), L(y)) \le \delta_{\epsilon}$$

for all $x \in G_1$? This problem was solved one year later by Hyers [7] under the assumption that G_2 is a Banach space with $\|\cdot\|$. A generalized version of Hyers's result was proved by Th. M. Rassias [9] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference in Banach spaces. In 1994, a generalized of Rassias's theorem was obtained by Gavruta [5] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function in the spirit of Rassias approach. The stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors and there are many interesting results concerning this problem (see [2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12]).

1767

The aim of this section is to investigate the stability of the generalized additive-quartic functional equation (1.13). Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then we define

$$D_f(x,y) := f(x+3y) + f(x-3y) + f(x+2y) + f(x-2y) + 22f(x) - 13f(x+y) - 13f(x-y) + 24f(y) - 12f(2y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to [0,\infty)$ be a function such that

(4.1)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(2^i x, 2^i y)}{2^i} \ converges$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. If the function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is an odd function which satisfies

$$(4.2) |D_f(x,y)| \le \phi(x,y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, then there exists a unique additive function $A : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies the equation (1.13) and the inequality

(4.3)
$$|f(y) - A(y)| \le \frac{1}{24} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(0, 2^{i}y)}{2^{i}}$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, where the function A is defined by

(4.4)
$$A(y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(2^n y)}{2^n}$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Putting x = 0 in (4.2), we have

(4.5)
$$\begin{aligned} |f(3y) + f(-3y) + f(2y) + f(-2y) + 22f(0) \\ -13f(y) - 13f(-y) + 24f(y) - 12f(2y)| \le \phi(0, y). \end{aligned}$$

Since f is odd and f(0) = 0, we obtain

$$|24f(y) - 12f(2y)| \le \phi(0, y).$$

That is,

(4.6)
$$|12f(2y) - 24f(y)| \le \phi(0, y).$$

Dividing (4.6) by 24, we have

(4.7)
$$\left| \frac{f(2y)}{2} - f(y) \right| \le \frac{1}{24} \phi(0, y).$$

Replacing y by 2y in (4.7) and dividing this by 2, we obtain

(4.8)
$$\left|\frac{f(2^2y)}{2^2} - \frac{f(2y)}{2}\right| \le \frac{1}{24} \left[\frac{\phi(0,2y)}{2}\right].$$

From (4.7) and (4.8), we have

(4.9)
$$\left| \frac{f(2^2y)}{2^2} - f(y) \right| \le \frac{1}{24} \left[\frac{\phi(0,2y)}{2} + \phi(0,y) \right].$$

Using the mathematical induction, we can extend (4.9) to

(4.10)
$$\left| \frac{f(2^n y)}{2^n} - f(y) \right| \le \frac{1}{24} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\phi(0, 2^i y)}{2^i} \le \frac{1}{24} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(0, 2^i y)}{2^i}$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Next, we will show that $\{\frac{f(2^n y)}{2^n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. For integers m, n >0, we have

(4.11)
$$\left| \frac{f(2^{n+m}y)}{2^{n+m}} - \frac{f(2^{m}y)}{2^{m}} \right| = \frac{1}{2^{m}} \left| \frac{f(2^{n}2^{m}y)}{2^{n}} - f(2^{m}y) \right|$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2^{m}} \cdot \frac{1}{24} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(0, 2^{i}2^{m}y)}{2^{i}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{24} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(0, 2^{i}+m}y)}{2^{i+m}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{24} \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(0, 2^{j}y)}{2^{j}}.$$

Thus this is the tail of the infinite series of (4.1), which converges (for any fixed y) to zero as $m \to \infty$. This implies that the sequence $\{\frac{f(2^n y)}{2^n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since \mathbb{R} is complete, there exists a function $A : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$A(y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(2^n y)}{2^n}$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. By letting $n \to \infty$ in (4.10), we obtain

$$\left|\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(2^n y)}{2^n} - f(y)\right| \le \frac{1}{24} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(0, 2^i y)}{2^i}.$$

That is,

$$|A(y) - f(y)| \le \frac{1}{24} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(0, 2^{i}y)}{2^{i}}$$

This implies that

$$|f(y) - A(y)| \le \frac{1}{24} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(0, 2^{i}y)}{2^{i}},$$

so we arrive at the formula (4.3) for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. To show that A satisfies the equation (1.13), consider

$$A(x + 3y) + A(x - 3y) + A(x + 2y) + A(x - 2y) + 22A(x)$$

- 13A(x + y) - 13A(x - y) + 24A(y) - 12A(2y)

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} [f(2^n(x+3y)) + f(2^n(x-3y)) + f(2^n(x+2y)) + f(2^n(x-2y)) + 22f(2^nx) - 13f(2^n(x+y)) - 13f(2^n(x-y)) + 24f(2^ny) - 12f(2^n2y)]$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{D_f(2^nx, 2^ny)}{2^n}.$$

Thus, by (4.2), we obtain

(4.12)
$$|D_A(x,y)| \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\phi(2^n x, 2^n y)}{2^n}$$

Using (4.1), we have $D_A(x, y) = 0$. Hence A satisfies the equation (1.13). Since A is odd and by Theorem 2.2, we have A is additive.

To prove the uniqueness of A, suppose that there exists a function $S : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies (1.13) and (4.3) with A replaced by S. Note that Theorem 1.4 gives us $A(2^n y) = 2^n A(y)$ and $S(2^n y) = 2^n S(y)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} |A(y) - S(y)| &= \left| \frac{A(2^n y)}{2^n} - \frac{S(2^n y)}{2^n} \right| = \frac{1}{2^n} |A(2^n y) - S(2^n y)| \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} |A(2^n y) - f(2^n y) + f(2^n y) - S(2^n y)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^n} |A(2^n y) - f(2^n y)| + \frac{1}{2^n} |f(2^n y) - S(2^n y)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot \frac{1}{24} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(0, 2^i 2^n y)}{2^i} + \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot \frac{1}{24} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(0, 2^i 2^n y)}{2^i} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot \frac{2}{24} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(0, 2^i 2^n y)}{2^i} \\ &= \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(0, 2^{i+n} y)}{2^{i+n}} \\ &= \frac{1}{12} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(0, 2^j y)}{2^j}. \end{split}$$

Thus this is the tail of the infinite series of (4.1), which converges (for any fixed y) to zero as $n \to \infty$. Thus we immediately find the uniqueness of A. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to [0,\infty)$ be a function such that

(4.13)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(2^i x, 2^i y)}{16^i} \ converges$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. If the function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is an even function which satisfies (4.14) $|D_f(x, y)| \le \phi(x, y) \ (x, y \in \mathbb{R}),$ and f(0) = 0, then there exists a unique function $Q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the equation (1.13) and the inequality

$$(4.15) \quad \leq \frac{1}{960} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\phi(3(2^{i}y), 2^{i}y) + 2\phi(2(2^{i}y), 2^{i}y) + \phi(0, 2(2^{i}y)) + 18\phi(2^{i}y, 2^{i}y) + 44\phi(0, 2^{i}y)}{16^{i}}$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, where the function Q is defined by

(4.16)
$$Q(y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(2^n y)}{16^n}$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} Proof. \mbox{ Putting } x = 3y \mbox{ in } (4.14) \mbox{ and using } f(0) = 0, \mbox{ we have} \\ (4.17) & |f(6y) + f(5y) - 13f(4y) + 22f(3y) - 25f(2y) + 25f(y)| \leq \phi(3y,y). \\ \mbox{Putting } x = 2y \mbox{ in } (4.14). \mbox{ Since } f(0) = 0 \mbox{ and } f \mbox{ is even, we have} \\ (4.18) & |f(5y) + f(4y) - 13f(3y) + 10f(2y) + 12f(y)| \leq \phi(2y,y). \\ \mbox{From } (4.17) \mbox{ and } (4.18), \mbox{ we obtain} \\ (4.19) & |f(6y) - 14f(4y) + 35f(3y) - 35f(2y) + 13f(y)| \leq \phi(3y,y) + \phi(2y,y). \\ \mbox{Putting } x = 0 \mbox{ in } (4.14). \mbox{ By using } f(0) = 0 \mbox{ and } f \mbox{ is even, we have} \\ (4.20) & |2f(3y) - 10f(2y) - 2f(y)| \leq \phi(0,y). \\ \mbox{That is,} \end{array}$$

(4.21)
$$|f(3y) - 5f(2y) - f(y)| \le \frac{\phi(0, y)}{2}$$

Replacing y by 2y in (4.21), we obtain

(4.22)
$$|f(6y) - 5f(4y) - f(2y)| \le \frac{\phi(0, 2y)}{2}$$

From (4.19) and (4.22), we obtain

$$|-9f(4y) + 35f(3y) - 34f(2y) + 13f(y)|$$

(4.23)
$$\leq \phi(3y,y) + \phi(2y,y) + \frac{\phi(0,2y)}{2}$$

Putting x = y in (4.14). By using f(0) = 0 and f is even, we have $|f(4y) + f(3y) - 24f(2y) + 47f(y)| \le \phi(y, y).$ (4.24)

Thus,

$$(4.25) |9f(4y) + 9f(3y) - 216f(2y) + 423f(y)| \le 9\phi(y,y).$$

From (4.23) and (4.25), we obtain

(4.26)
$$\begin{aligned} |44f(3y) - 250f(2y) + 436f(y)| \\ &\leq \phi(3y, y) + \phi(2y, y) + \frac{\phi(0, 2y)}{2} + 9\phi(y, y). \end{aligned}$$

From (4.21), we have

$$(4.27) |44f(3y) - 220f(2y) - 44f(y)| \le 22\phi(0,y).$$

From (4.26) and (4.27), we obtain

(4.28)
$$\begin{aligned} |-30f(2y) + 480f(y)| \\ \leq \phi(3y,y) + \phi(2y,y) + \frac{\phi(0,2y)}{2} + 9\phi(y,y) + 22\phi(0,y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

(4.29)
$$\begin{aligned} |f(2y) - 16f(y)| \\ \leq \frac{1}{30} [\phi(3y, y) + \phi(2y, y) + \frac{\phi(0, 2y)}{2} + 9\phi(y, y) + 22\phi(0, y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by 16 in (4.29), we have

(4.30)
$$\left| \frac{f(2y)}{16} - f(y) \right|$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{960} [2\phi(3y, y) + 2\phi(2y, y) + \phi(0, 2y) + 18\phi(y, y) + 44\phi(0, y)].$$

Replacing y by 2y in (4.30) and dividing this by 16, we obtain

(4.31)
$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(2^2y)}{(16)^2} - \frac{f(2y)}{16} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{960} \left[\frac{2\phi(3(2y),2y) + 2\phi(2(2y),2y) + \phi(0,2(2y)) + 18\phi(2y,2y) + 44\phi(0,2y)}{16} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From the equations (4.30) and (4.31), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(2^2y)}{(16)^2} - f(y) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{960} \left[\frac{2\phi(3(2y),2y) + 2\phi(2(2y),2y) + \phi(0,2(2y)) + 18\phi(2y,2y) + 44\phi(0,2y)}{16} + 2\phi(3y,y) + 2\phi(2y,y) + \phi(0,2y) + 18\phi(y,y) + 44\phi(0,y) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.32)$$

Using the mathematical induction, we can extend (4.32) to

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(2^{n}y)}{16^{n}} - f(y) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{960} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{2\phi(3(2^{i}y), 2^{i}y) + 2\phi(2(2^{i}y), 2^{i}y) + \phi(0, 2(2^{i}y)) + 18\phi(2^{i}y, 2^{i}y) + 44\phi(0, 2^{i}y)}{16^{i}} \\ (4.33) &\leq \frac{1}{960} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\phi(3(2^{i}y), 2^{i}y) + 2\phi(2(2^{i}y), 2^{i}y) + \phi(0, 2(2^{i}y)) + 18\phi(2^{i}y, 2^{i}y) + 44\phi(0, 2^{i}y)}{16^{i}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Next, we will show that $\{\frac{f(2^ny)}{16^n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. For integers m,n>0, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(2^{n+m}y)}{16^{n+m}} - \frac{f(2^my)}{16^m} \right| &= \frac{1}{16^m} \left| \frac{1(2^n 2^m y)}{16^n} - f(2^m y) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{16^m} \cdot \frac{1}{960} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{16^i} [2\phi(3(2^i 2^m y), 2^i 2^m y) + 2\phi(2(2^i 2^m y), 2^i 2^m y) \\ &+ \phi(0, 2(2^i 2^m y)) + 18\phi(2^i 2^m y, 2^i 2^m y) + 44\phi(0, 2^i 2^m y)]. \\ &= \frac{1}{960} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{16^{i+m}} [2\phi(3(2^{i+m}y), 2^{i+m}y) + 2\phi(2(2^{i+m}y), 2^{i+m}y) \\ &+ \phi(0, 2(2^{i+m}y)) + 18\phi(2^{i+m}y, 2^{i+m}y) + 44\phi(0, 2^{i+m}y)]. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.34) \qquad + \phi(0, 2(2^{i+m}y)) + 18\phi(2^{i+m}y, 2^{i+m}y) + 44\phi(0, 2^{i+m}y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the right-hand side of (4.34) converges (for any fixed y) to 0 as $n \to \infty$, then the sequence $\{\frac{f(2^n y)}{16^n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since \mathbb{R} is complete, there exists a function $Q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$Q(y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(2^n y)}{16^n}$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. From (4.33), we obtain

$$\left| \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(2^n y)}{16^n} - f(y) \right|$$

$$(4.35) \leq \frac{1}{960} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\phi(3(2^i y), 2^i y) + 2\phi(2(2^i y), 2^i y) + \phi(0, 2(2^i y)) + 18\phi(2^i y, 2^i y) + 44\phi(0, 2^i y)}{16^i}$$

Therefore,

$$(4.36) \quad \leq \frac{1}{960} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\phi(3(2^{i}y), 2^{i}y) + 2\phi(2(2^{i}y), 2^{i}y) + \phi(0, 2(2^{i}y)) + 18\phi(2^{i}y, 2^{i}y) + 44\phi(0, 2^{i}y)}{16^{i}}$$

Next, we claim that Q satisfies the equation (1.13). Consider

$$\begin{split} &D_Q(x,y) \\ &= Q(x+3y) + Q(x-3y) + Q(x+2y) + Q(x-2y) + 22Q(x) \\ &\quad -13Q(x+y) - 13Q(x-y) + 24Q(y) - 12Q(2y) \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{16^n} [f(2^n(x+3y)) + f(2^n(x-3y)) + f(2^n(x+2y)) + f(2^n(x-2y)) \\ &\quad + 22f(2^nx) - 13f(2^n(x+y)) - 13f(2^n(x-y)) + 24f(2^ny) - 12f(2^ny)] \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{D_f(2^nx, 2^ny)}{16^n}. \end{split}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$|D_Q(x,y)| \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{16^n} |D_f(2^n x, 2^n y)| \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\phi(2^n x, 2^n y)}{16^n} = 0$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. This means that Q satisfies (1.13).

To prove the uniqueness of Q, suppose that there exists a function $S : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (1.13) and (4.15). Note that Theorem 1.4 gives us $Q(2^n y) = 16^n Q(y)$ and $S(2^n y) = 16^n S(y)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Q(y) - S(y)| &= \left| \frac{Q(2^n y)}{16^n} - \frac{S(2^n y)}{16^n} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{16^n} \left| Q(2^n y) - S(2^n y) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{16^n} \left| Q(2^n y) - f(2^n y) + f(2^n y) - S(2^n y) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{16^n} \left| Q(2^n y) - f(2^n y) \right| + \frac{1}{16^n} \left| f(2^n y) - S(2^n y) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{16^n} \cdot \frac{1}{960} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{16^i} [2\phi(3(2^i 2^n y), 2^i 2^n y) + 2\phi(2(2^i 2^n y), 2^i 2^n y) \\ &+ \phi(0, 2(2^i 2^n y)) + 18\phi(2^i 2^n y, 2^i 2^n y) + 44\phi(0, 2^i 2^n y)] \\ &\leq \frac{2}{960} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{16^{i+n}} [2\phi(3(2^{i+n} y), 2^{i+n} y) + 2\phi(2(2^{i+n} y), 2^{i+n} y) \\ &+ \phi(0, 2(2^{i+n} y)) + 18\phi(2^{i+n} y, 2^{i+n} y) + 44\phi(0, 2^{i+n} y)] \end{aligned}$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Since the right-hand side of (4.37) converges to 0 as $n \to \infty$, this completes the proof.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to [0,\infty)$ be a function such that

(4.38)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(2^i x, 2^i y)}{2^i} \ converges$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. If the function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$(4.39) |D_f(x,y)| \le \phi(x,y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and f(0) = 0, then there exists a unique additive function $A : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and a unique quartic function $Q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies the equation (1.13) and the inequality

$$\begin{split} |f(y) - A(y) - Q(y)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{48} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [\frac{\phi(0, 2^{i}y) + \phi(0, -2^{i}y)}{2^{i}} + \frac{1}{40 \times 16^{i}} [2\phi(3(2^{i}y), 2^{i}y) \\ &\quad + 2\phi(3(-2^{i}y), -2^{i}y) + 2\phi(2(2^{i}y), 2^{i}y) + 2\phi(2(-2^{i}y), -2^{i}y) \\ &\quad + \phi(0, 2(2^{i}y)) + \phi(0, 2(-2^{i}y)) + 18\phi(2^{i}y, 2^{i}y) + 18\phi(-2^{i}y, -2^{i}y) \end{split}$$

$$(4.40) + 44\phi(0,2^{i}y) + 44\phi(0,-2^{i}y)]$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} f_o(x+3y) + f_o(x-3y) + f_o(x+2y) + f_o(x-2y) + 22f_o(x) \\ &- 13[f_o(x+y) + f_o(x-y)] + 24f_o(y) - 12f_o(2y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[f(x+3y) + f(x-3y) + f(x+2y) + f(x-2y) + 22f(x) \\ &- 13[f(x+y) + f(x-y)] + 24f(y) - 12f(2y) \\ &- [f(-(x+3y)) + f(-(x-3y)) + f(-(x+2y)) + f(-(x-2y)) \\ &+ 22f(-x) - 13[f(-(x+y)) + f(-(x-y))]] \\ &+ 24f(-y) - 12f(-2y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{f_o}(x,y)| &= \frac{1}{2} [|D_f(x,y) - D_f(-x,-y)|] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [|D_f(x,y)| + |D_f(-x,-y)|] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [\phi(x,y) + \phi(-x,-y)]. \end{aligned}$$

We have

(4.42)

(4.43)
$$|D_{f_o}(x,y)| \le \frac{1}{2} [\phi(x,y) + \phi(-x,-y)]$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Since f_o is odd, then by Theorem 4.1, there exists a unique additive function $A : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

(4.44)
$$|f_o(y) - A(y)| \le \frac{1}{24} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(0, 2^i y) + \phi(0, -2^i y)}{2 \times 2^i}$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} f_e(x+3y) + f_e(x-3y) + f_e(x+2y) + f_e(x-2y) + 22f_e(x) \\ &- 13[f_e(x+y) + f_e(x-y)] + 24f_e(y) - 12f_e(2y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[f(x+3y) + f(x-3y) + f(x+2y) + f(x-2y) + 22f(x) \\ &- 13[f(x+y) + f(x-y)] + 24f(y) - 12f(2y) \\ &+ f(-(x+3y)) + f(-(x-3y)) + f(-(x+2y)) + f(-(x-2y)) \\ &+ 22f(-x) - 13[f(-(x+y)) + f(-(x-y))] \\ &+ 24f(-y) - 12f(-2y)] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$D_{f_e}(x,y)| = \frac{1}{2}[|D_f(x,y) + D_f(-x,-y)|]$$

(4.46)
$$\leq \frac{1}{2}[|D_f(x,y)| + |D_f(-x,-y)|] \\\leq \frac{1}{2}[\phi(x,y) + \phi(-x,-y)].$$

We have

(4.47)
$$|D_{f_e}(x,y)| \le \frac{1}{2} [\phi(x,y) + \phi(-x,-y)]$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Since f_e is even and f(0) = 0, then by Theorem 4.2, there exists a unique quartic function $Q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$|f_{e}(y) - Q(y)| \leq \frac{1}{960} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 \times 16^{i}} [2\phi(3(2^{i}y), 2^{i}y) + 2\phi(2(2^{i}y), 2^{i}y) + \phi(0, 2(2^{i}y)) + 18\phi(2^{i}y, 2^{i}y) + 44\phi(0, 2^{i}y) + 2\phi(3(-2^{i}y), -2^{i}y) + 2\phi(2(-2^{i}y), -2^{i}y) + 44\phi(0, -2^{i}y) + 44\phi(0, -2^{i}y)]$$

$$(4.48) + \phi(0, 2(-2^{i}y)) + 18\phi(-2^{i}y, -2^{i}y) + 44\phi(0, -2^{i}y)]$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Combining (4.44) and (4.48), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|f_o(y) - A(y)| + |f_e(y) - Q(y)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{24} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(0, 2^i y) + \phi(0, -2^i y)}{2 \times 2^i} \\ &+ \frac{1}{960} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 \times 16^i} [2\phi(3(2^i y), 2^i y) + 2\phi(2(2^i y), 2^i y) \\ &+ \phi(0, 2(2^i y)) + 18\phi(2^i y, 2^i y) + 44\phi(0, 2^i y) + 2\phi(3(-2^i y), -2^i y) \end{aligned}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} (4.49) & +2\phi(2(-2^iy),-2^iy)+\phi(0,2(-2^iy))+18\phi(-2^iy,-2^iy)+44\phi(0,-2^iy)].\\ \\ \text{Thus, we have} \end{array}$

$$\begin{aligned} |f_o(y) - A(y) + f_e(y) - Q(y)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{48} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\phi(0, 2^i y) + \phi(0, -2^i y)}{2^i} + \frac{1}{40} \cdot \frac{1}{16^i} [2\phi(3(2^i y), 2^i y) \\ &+ 2\phi(2(2^i y), 2^i y) + \phi(0, 2(2^i y)) + 18\phi(2^i y, 2^i y) + 44\phi(0, 2^i y) \\ &+ 2\phi(3(-2^i y), -2^i y) + 2\phi(2(-2^i y), -2^i y) + \phi(0, 2(-2^i y)) \\ &+ 18\phi(-2^i y, -2^i y) + 44\phi(0, -2^i y)] \right]. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(y) - A(y) - Q(y)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{48} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\phi(0, 2^{i}y) + \phi(0, -2^{i}y)}{2^{i}} + \frac{1}{40 \times 16^{i}} [2\phi(3(2^{i}y), 2^{i}y) \\ &+ 2\phi(3(-2^{i}y), -2^{i}y) + 2\phi(2(2^{i}y), 2^{i}y) + 2\phi(2(-2^{i}y), -2^{i}y) \right] \end{aligned}$$

CHARINTHIP HENGKRAWIT AND ANURAK THANYACHAROEN

$$+\phi(0,2(2^{i}y))+\phi(0,2(-2^{i}y))+18\phi(2^{i}y,2^{i}y)+18\phi(-2^{i}y,-2^{i}y)$$

$$(4.51) + 44\phi(0, 2^{i}y) + 44\phi(0, -2^{i}y)]].$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Acknowledgement. The authors acknowledge the reviewers and the editors for their valuable suggestions and constructive comments that helped to improve the paper.

References

- J. Aczél, Lectures on Functional Equations and Their Applications, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [2] J. K. Chung and P. K. Sahoo, On the general solution of a quartic functional equation, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 40 (2003), no. 4, 565–576.
- [3] S. Czerwik, Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables, World Scientific, Singapore, 2002.
- [4] M. Eshaghi Gordji, Stability of a functional equation deriving from quartic and additive functions, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 47 (2010), no. 3, 491–502.
- [5] P. Gavruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 184 (1994), no. 3, 431–436.
- [6] C. Hengkrawit and A. Thanyacharoen, A general solution of a generalized quartic functional equation and its stability, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. 80 (2013), no. 4, 691–706.
- [7] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equations, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 27 (1941), no. 4, 222–224.
- [8] M. Kuczma, An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities, Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe-Uniwersylet Ślaski, Warszawa-Kraków-Katowice, 1985.
- [9] Th. M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978), no. 2, 297–300.
- [10] P. K. Sahoo, On a functional equation characterizing polynomials of degree three, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin. (N.S.) 32 (2004), no. 1, 35–44.
- [11] _____, A generalized cubic functional equation, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 21 (2005), no. 5, 1159–1166.
- [12] S. M. Ulam, Problems in Modern Mathematics, Wiley, New York, 1964.

CHARINTHIP HENGKRAWIT DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY THAMMASAT UNIVERSITY PHATUMTHANI 12121, THAILAND *E-mail address*: charinthip@mathstat.sci.tu.ac.th

Anurak Thanyacharoen Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science and Technology Muban Chombueng Rajabhat University Ratchaburi 70150, Thailand *E-mail address:* ake_poiuy@yahoo.com