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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the set of solutions of a generalized mixed equilibrium problem related to a continuous monotone mapping, the set of solutions of a variational inequality problem for a continuous monotone mapping, and the set of fixed points of a continuous pseudocontractive mapping in Hilbert spaces. Weak convergence for the proposed iterative algorithm is proved. Our results improve and extend some recent results in the literature.


## 1. Introduction

Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and induced norm $\|\cdot\|$, and let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. Let $B: C \rightarrow H$ be a nonlinear mapping, let $\varphi: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, and let $\Theta$ be a bifunction of $C \times C$ into $\mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{R}$ is the set of real numbers.

The generalized mixed equilibrium problem (for short, GMEP) of finding $x \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(x, y)+\langle B x, y-x\rangle+\varphi(y)-\varphi(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

was introduced by Peng and Yao [26] (also see [34]). The set of solutions of the GMEP is denoted by $\operatorname{GMEP}(\Theta, \varphi, B)$.

The GMEP is very general in the sense that it includes, as special cases, the generalized equilibrium problem (for short, GEP) in case that $\varphi=0$ in (1.1) ([30]), the mixed equilibrium problem (for short, MEP) in case that $B=0$ in (1.1) $([6,32])$, the equilibrium problem (for short, EP) in case that $B=0$ and $\varphi=0$ in (1.1) $([3,10,11])$ and others. In particular, if $\varphi=0$ and $\Theta(x, y)=0$

[^0]for all $x, y \in C$ in (1.1), the GMEP reduces the following variational inequality problem (for short, VIP) of finding $x \in C$ such that
$$
\langle B x, y-x\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C
$$

The set of solutions of the VIP is denoted by $V I(C, B)$.
A mapping $F$ of $C$ into $H$ is called monotone if

$$
\langle x-y, F x-F y\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in C
$$

A mapping $F$ of $C$ into $H$ is called $\alpha$-inverse-strongly monotone (see [12]) if there exists a positive real number $\alpha$ such that

$$
\langle x-y, F x-F y\rangle \geq \alpha\|F x-F y\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in C .
$$

If $F$ is an $\alpha$-inverse-strongly monotone mapping of $C$ into $H$, then it is obvious that $F$ is $\frac{1}{\alpha}$-Lipschitz continuous, that is, $\|F x-F y\| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}\|x-y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$. Clearly, the class of monotone mappings includes the class of $\alpha$-inverse-strongly monotone mappings.

Recall that a mapping $T: C \rightarrow H$ is said to be pseudocontractive if

$$
\langle x-y, T x-T y\rangle \leq\|x-y\|^{2}
$$

and $T$ is said to be $k$-strictly pseudocontractive if there exists a constant $k \in$ $[0,1)$ such that

$$
\langle x-y, T x-T y\rangle \leq\|x-y\|^{2}-k\|(I-T) x-(I-T) y\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in C
$$

where $I$ is the identity mapping. A mapping $T$ of $C$ into itself is called nonexpansive if $\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\|, \forall x, y \in C$. Obviously, the class of $k$-strictly pseudocontractive mappings includes the class of nonexpansive mappings as a subclass, and the class of pseudocontractive mappings includes the class of strictly pseudocontractive mappings as a subclass. Moreover, this inclusion is strict due to an example in [8] (see, also Example 5.7.1 and Example 5.7 .2 in [2]). Fixed point problems for pseudocontractive mappings and strictly pseudocontractive mappings were studied by many authors, see, for example, $[1,9,14,16,19,22,23,24,35]$ and the references therein.

Recently, many authors have introduced some iterative algorithms for finding a common element of the set of the solutions of the GMEP, the GEP, the MEP, the EP, and the VIP and the set of fixed points of a countable family of nonexpansive mappings, and have proved strong convergence of the sequences generated by the proposed iterative algorithms; see $[6,13,15,17,25,26,27,29$, $30,31,32]$ and the references therein. Also we refer to $[4,5,7,18,21]$ for the GMEP, the GEP, the EP, and the VIP combined with the fixed point problem for nonexpansive semigroups and strictly pseudocontractrive mappings.

In particular, in 2007, Tada and Takahashi [29] introduced an iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the set of solutions of the EP and the set of fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping, and proved weak convergence of the sequence generated by the proposed iterative algorithm. In 2008, Moudafi [25] proposed an iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the set of
solutions of the GEP related to an $\alpha$-inverse-strongly monotone mapping $B$ and the set of fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping, and obtained weak convergence of the sequence generated by the proposed iterative algorithm. In 2009, Ceng et al. [5] presented an iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the set of solutions of the EP and the set of fixed points of a $k$-strictly pseudocontractive mapping, and showed weak convergence of the sequence generated by the proposed iterative algorithm. In 2012, Jung [18] considered an iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the set of solutions of the GMEP related to $\alpha$-inverse-strongly monotone mapping $B$, the set of solutions of the VIP for $\beta$-inverse-strongly monotone mapping $F$ and the set of fixed points of a $k$-strictly pseudocontractive mapping, and established weak convergence of the sequence generated by the proposed iterative algorithm.

On the other hand, in 2003, Takahashi and Toyoda [31] proposed an iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the set of solutions of the VIP for $\alpha$-inverse-strongly monotone mapping $F$ and the set of fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping, and proved weak convergence of the sequence generated by the proposed iterative algorithm. In 2009, Plubtieng and Kumam [27] extended the result of Takahashi and Toyoda [31] to the case of a countable family of nonexpansive mappings, and as an application, they obtained weak convergence of an iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the set of solutions of the VIP for $\alpha$-inverse-strongly monotone mapping $F$ and the set of solutions of the EP.

In this paper, motivated and inspired by the above mentioned results, we introduce a new iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the set of solutions of the GMEP related to a continuous monotone mapping $B$, the set of solutions of the VIP for a continuous monotone mapping $F$ and the set of fixed points of a continuous pseudocontractive mapping $T$ in a Hilbert space. We prove weak convergence of the sequence generated by the proposed iterative algorithm to a common element of three sets. As direct consequences, we obtain the results for the GEP related to a continuous monotone mapping $B$, the MEP and the EP, combined with the VIP for a continuous monotone mapping $F$ and the fixed point problem for a continuous pseudocontractive mapping $T$. Our results extend, improve, and develop some recent results in the literature.

## 2. Preliminaries and lemmas

In the following, we denote by $\operatorname{Fix}(T)$ the set of fixed points of the mapping $T$, and we denote the strong convergence and the weak convergence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ to $x$ by $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ and $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$, respectively.

Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space, and let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. In $H$, we have

$$
\|\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y\|^{2}=\lambda\|x\|^{2}+(1-\lambda)\|y\|^{2}-\lambda(1-\lambda)\|x-y\|^{2}
$$

for all $x, y \in H$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. For every point $x \in H$, there exists a unique nearest point in $C$, denoted by $P_{C}(x)$, such that

$$
\left\|x-P_{C}(x)\right\| \leq\|x-y\|
$$

for all $y \in C . P_{C}$ is called the metric projection of $H$ onto $C . P_{C}(x)$ is characterized by the property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=P_{C}(x) \Longleftrightarrow\langle x-u, u-y\rangle \geq 0 \text { for all } x \in H, y \in C \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is also well known that $H$ satisfies the Opial condition, that is, for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ with $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$, the inequality

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|
$$

holds for every $y \in H$ with $y \neq x$.
For solving the GMEP, the GEP, the MEP, and the EP for a bifunction $\Theta: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let us assume that $\Theta$ satisfies the following conditions:
(A1) $\Theta(x, x)=0$ for all $x \in C$;
(A2) $\Theta$ is monotone, that is, $\Theta(x, y)+\Theta(y, x) \leq 0$ for all $x, y \in C$;
(A3) for each $x, y, z \in C$,

$$
\limsup _{t \downarrow 0} \Theta(t z+(1-t) x, y) \leq \Theta(x, y)
$$

(A4) for each $x \in C, y \mapsto \Theta(x, y)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous.
We can prove the following lemma by using the same method as in [20, 34], and so we omit its proof.

Lemma 2.1. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. Let $\Theta$ be a bifunction form $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfies (A1)-(A4), and let $\varphi: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a proper lower semicontinuous and convex function. Let $B: C \rightarrow H$ be a continuous monotone mapping. Then, for $r>0$ and $x \in H$, there exists $u \in C$ such that

$$
\Theta(u, y)+\langle B u, y-u\rangle+\varphi(y)-\varphi(u)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-u, u-x\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C
$$

Define a mapping $K_{r}: H \rightarrow C$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{r} x=\{u \in C: \Theta(u, y) & +\langle B u, y-u\rangle \\
& \left.+\varphi(y)-\varphi(u)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-u, u-x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in H$ and $r>0$. Then, the following hold:
(i) For each $x \in H, K_{r}(x) \neq \emptyset$;
(ii) $K_{r}$ is single-valued;
(iii) $K_{r}$ is firmly nonexpansive, that is, for any $x, y \in H$,

$$
\left\|K_{r} x-K_{r} y\right\|^{2} \leq\left\langle K_{r} x-K_{r} y, x-y\right\rangle ;
$$

(iv) $\operatorname{Fix}\left(K_{r}\right)=\operatorname{GMEP}(\Theta, \varphi, B)$;
(v) $\operatorname{GMEP}(\Theta, \varphi, B)$ is closed and convex.

We also need the following lemmas for the proof of our main results.
Lemma 2.2 ([28]). Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space, let $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that $0<a \leq \alpha_{n} \leq b<1$ for all $n \geq 1$, and let $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ be sequences in $H$ such that, for some $c$
$\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n}\right\| \leq c, \quad \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|w_{n}\right\| \leq c, \quad$ and $\quad \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\alpha_{n} v_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) w_{n}\right\|=c$.
Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n}-w_{n}\right\|=0$.
Lemma 2.3 ([31]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert spaces $H$, and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $H$. If

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-x\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|, \quad \forall x \in C \text { and } \forall n \geq 1
$$

then $\left\{P_{C} x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to some $z \in C$, where $P_{C}$ stands for the metric projection of $H$ onto $C$.

The following lemmas are Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 of Zegeye [33], respectively.
Lemma 2.4 ([33]). Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $F: C \rightarrow H$ be a continuous monotone mapping. Then, for $r>0$ and $x \in H$, there exists $z \in C$ such that

$$
\langle F z, y-z\rangle+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, z-x\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C
$$

For $r>0$ and $x \in H$, define $F_{r}: H \rightarrow C$ by

$$
F_{r} x=\left\{z \in C:\langle F z, y-z\rangle+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, z-x\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C\right\}
$$

Then the following hold:
(i) $F_{r}$ is single-valued;
(ii) $F_{r}$ is firmly nonexpansive, that is,

$$
\left\|F_{r} x-F_{r} y\right\|^{2} \leq\left\langle F_{r} x-F_{r} y, x-y\right\rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H
$$

(iii) $F i x\left(F_{r}\right)=V I(C, F)$;
(iv) $V I(C, F)$ is a closed convex subset of $C$.

Lemma 2.5 ([33]). Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $T: C \rightarrow H$ be a continuous pseudocontractive mapping. Then, for $r>0$ and $x \in H$, there exists $z \in C$ such that

$$
\langle T z, y-z\rangle-\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z,(1+r) z-x\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C
$$

For $r>0$ and $x \in H$, define $T_{r}: H \rightarrow C$ by

$$
T_{r} x=\left\{z \in C:\langle T z, y-z\rangle-\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z,(1+r) z-x\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C\right\}
$$

Then the following hold:
(i) $T_{r}$ is single-valued;
(ii) $T_{r}$ is firmly nonexpansive, that is,

$$
\left\|T_{r} x-T_{r} y\right\|^{2} \leq\left\langle T_{r} x-T_{r} y, x-y\right\rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H
$$

(iii) $\operatorname{Fix}\left(T_{r}\right)=\operatorname{Fix}(T)$;
(iv) $\operatorname{Fix}(T)$ is a closed convex subset of $C$.

## 3. Iterative algorithms

Throughout the rest of this paper, we always assume the following:

- $H$ is a real Hilbert space;
- $C$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$;
- $\Theta$ is a bifunction form $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfies (A1)-(A4);
- $\varphi: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a proper lower semicontinuous and convex function;
- $B: C \rightarrow H$ is a continuous monotone mapping;
- $\operatorname{GMEP}(\Theta, \varphi, B)$ is the set of solutions of the GMEP related to $B$ :
- $K_{r_{n}}: H \rightarrow C$ is a mapping defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{r_{n}} x=\{u \in C: & \Theta(u, y)+\langle B u, y-u\rangle \\
& \left.+\varphi(y)-\varphi(u)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\langle y-u, u-x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in H$ and for $r_{n} \in(0, \infty)$ and $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}>0$;

- $F: C \rightarrow H$ is a continuous monotone mapping;
- $V I(C, F)$ is the set of solutions of the VIP for $F$;
- $T: C \rightarrow C$ is a continuous pseudocontractive mapping;
- $F_{r_{n}}: H \rightarrow C$ is a mapping defined by

$$
F_{r_{n}} x=\left\{z \in C:\langle F z, y-z\rangle+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\langle y-z, z-x\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C\right\}
$$

for all $x \in H$ and for $r_{n} \in(0, \infty)$ and $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}>0$;

- $T_{r_{n}}: H \rightarrow C$ is a mapping defined by

$$
T_{r_{n}} x=\left\{z \in C:\langle T z, y-z\rangle-\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-z,\left(1+r_{n}\right) z-x\right\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C\right\}
$$

for all $x \in H$ and for $r_{n} \in(0, \infty)$ and $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}>0$;

- $\Omega_{1}:=\operatorname{GMEP}(\Theta, \varphi, B) \cap V I(C, F) \cap F i x(T) \neq \emptyset$.

By Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5, we note that $K_{r_{n}}, F_{r_{n}}$ and $T_{r_{n}}$ are nonexpansive, and Fix $\left(K_{r_{n}}\right)=\operatorname{GMEP}(\Theta, \varphi, B)$, $\operatorname{Fix}\left(F_{r_{n}}\right)=V I(C, F)$ and $\operatorname{Fix}\left(T_{r_{n}}\right)=\operatorname{Fix}(T)$.

Now, we propose a new iterative algorithm for finding a common point of the set of solutions of the GMEP related to a continuous monotone mapping $B$, the set of solutions of the VIP for a continuous monotone mapping $F$, and the set of fixed points of a continuous pseudocontractive mapping $T$.

Algorithm 3.1. For an arbitrarily chosen $x_{1} \in C$, let the iterative sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\Theta\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\left\langle B u_{n}, y-u_{n}\right\rangle & +\varphi(y)-\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
& +\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, u_{n}-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) & T_{r_{n}} F_{r_{n}} K_{r_{n}} x_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset[a, b]$ for some $a, b \in(0,1)$ and $r_{n} \in(0, \infty)$ and $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}>$ 0 .

Theorem 3.1. The sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ generated by Algorithm 3.1 converge weakly to $z \in \Omega_{1}$, where $z=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\Omega_{1}}\left(x_{n}\right)$.
Proof. From now, we put $u_{n}=K_{r_{n}} x_{n}, z_{n}=F_{r_{n}} u_{n}$ and $w_{n}=T_{r_{n}} z_{n}$. Without loss of generality, we assume $r_{n}>c>0$ for $\forall n \geq 1$ and some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

We divide the proof into several steps.
Step 1. We show that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. To this end, let $p \in \Omega_{1}:=$ $\operatorname{GMEP}(\Theta, \varphi, B) \cap \operatorname{VI}(C, F) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(T)$. Then, from Lemma 2.1(iv), Lemma 2.4(iii) and Lemma 2.5(iii), it follows that $p=K_{r_{n}} p, p=F_{r_{n}} p$ and $p=T_{r_{n}} p$. From $z_{n}=F_{r_{n}} u_{n}$ and the nonexpansivity of $F_{r_{n}}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|=\left\|F_{r_{n}} u_{n}-F_{r_{n}} p\right\| \leq\left\|u_{n}-p\right\| . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, by $u_{n}=K_{r_{n}} x_{n} \in C$ and the nonexpansivity of $K_{r_{n}}$,

$$
\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|=\left\|K_{r_{n}} x_{n}-K_{r_{n}} p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the convexity of $\|\cdot\|^{2}$, we also obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\alpha_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(T_{r_{n}} z_{n}-p\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|T_{r_{n}} z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, there exists $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
r=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|
$$

Therefore $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, and so are $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ by (3.2) and (3.3). Moreover, from

$$
\left\|w_{n}-p\right\|=\left\|T_{r_{n}} z_{n}-p\right\| \leq\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|,
$$

$\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is also bounded.

Step 2. We show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|=0$. To this end, let $p \in \Omega_{1}$. Since $K_{r_{n}}$ is firmly nonexpansive and $u_{n}=K_{r_{n}} x_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} & =\left\|K_{r_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)-K_{r_{n}}(p)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\langle K_{r_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)-K_{r_{n}}(p), x_{n}-p\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle u_{n}-p, x_{n}-p\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\left(x_{n}-p\right)-\left(u_{n}-p\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by using the convexity of $\|\cdot\|^{2}$, (3.2) and (3.6), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} & \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1-b)\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} & \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}$, we obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|=0
$$

Step 3. We show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-w_{n}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{r_{n}} z_{n}\right\|=0$. Indeed, let $p \in \Omega_{1}$ and $r=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$. Since $T_{r_{n}}$ nonexpansive and $\operatorname{Fix}(T)=\operatorname{Fix}\left(T_{r_{n}}\right)$, it follows from (3.3) that

$$
\left\|T_{r_{n}} z_{n}-p\right\| \leq\left\|z_{n}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|,
$$

and hence $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T_{r_{n}} z_{n}-p\right\| \leq r$. By (3.4), we also get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\alpha_{n}\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(T_{r_{n}} z_{n}-p\right)\right\| \\
= & \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| \\
\leq & \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|=r .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.2, we obtain $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-w_{n}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{r_{n}} z_{n}\right\|=0$.
Step 4. We show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-z_{n}\right\|=0$.. Since $F_{r_{n}}$ is firmly nonexpansive, using $z_{n}=F_{r_{n}} u_{n}$ and $p=F_{r_{n}} p$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} & =\left\|F_{r_{n}} u_{n}-F_{r_{n}} p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\langle F_{r_{n}} u_{n}-F_{r_{n}} p, u_{n}-p\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle z_{n}-p, u_{n}-p \|\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\|z_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} & \leq\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|z_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|z_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} & \leq \alpha\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|z_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& =\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From conditions $\alpha_{n} \in[a, b] \subset(0,1)$, it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1-b)\left\|z_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} & \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By $r=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$, we conclude

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-u_{n}\right\|=0
$$

Step 5. We show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|w_{n}-z_{n}\right\|=0$. Indeed, from Step 2, Step 3 and Step 4, it follows that

$$
\left\|w_{n}-z_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|w_{n}-x_{n}\right\|+\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|+\left\|u_{n}-z_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Step 6. We show that any of its weak cluster point $z$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ belongs in $\Omega_{1}$ and $x_{n} \rightharpoonup z$. In this case, there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{i}}\right\}$ which converges weakly to $z$.

We will show that $z \in \Omega_{1}$. First, by the same argument as in the proof of [26, Theorem 3.1], we can obtain that $z \in \operatorname{GMEP}(\Theta, \varphi, B)$. For the sake of completeness, we include its proof. From $u_{n}=K_{r_{n}} x_{n}$, it follows that
$\Theta\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\left\langle B u_{n}, y-u_{n}\right\rangle+\varphi(y)-\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, u_{n}-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C$.
By (A2), we deduce

$$
\left\langle B u_{n}, y-u_{n}\right\rangle+\varphi(y)-\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, u_{n}-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq \Theta\left(y, u_{n}\right), \quad \forall y \in C
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle B u_{n_{i}}, y-u_{n_{i}}\right\rangle & +\varphi(y)-\varphi\left(u_{n_{i}}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{r_{n_{i}}}\left\langle y-u_{n_{i}}, u_{n_{i}}-x_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \geq \Theta\left(y, u_{n_{i}}\right), \quad \forall y \in C \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Set $y_{t}=t y+(1-t) z$ for all $t \in(0,1]$ and $y \in C$. Since $y \in C$ and $z \in C$, we get $y_{t} \in C$. Thus, it follows from (3.7) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle B y_{t}, y_{t}-u_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \geq\left\langle B y_{t}, y_{t}-u_{n_{i}}\right\rangle-\varphi\left(y_{t}\right)+\varphi\left(u_{n_{i}}\right)-\left\langle B u_{n_{i}}, y_{t}-u_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \\
-\left\langle y_{t}-u_{n_{i}}, \frac{u_{n_{i}}-x_{n_{i}}}{r_{n_{i}}}\right\rangle+\Theta\left(y_{t}, u_{n_{i}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \left\langle B y_{t}-B u_{n_{i}}, y_{t}-u_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \\
& -\varphi\left(y_{t}\right)+\varphi\left(u_{n_{i}}\right)-\left\langle y_{t}-u_{n_{i}}, \frac{u_{n_{i}}-x_{n_{i}}}{r_{n_{i}}}\right\rangle+\Theta\left(y_{t}, u_{n_{i}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the fact that $\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ by Step 2, we obtain that $\frac{u_{n_{i}}-x_{n_{i}}}{r_{n_{i}}} \rightarrow 0$ and $u_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup z$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, from the monotonicity of $B$, we get $\left\langle y_{t}-u_{n_{i}}, B y_{t}-B u_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \geq 0$. So, from (A4) and the weak lower semicontinuity of $\varphi$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle B y_{t}, y_{t}-z\right\rangle \geq-\varphi\left(y_{t}\right)+\varphi(z)+\Theta\left(y_{t}, z\right) \text { as } i \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (A1), (A4) and (3.8), we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\Theta\left(y_{t}, y_{t}\right)+\varphi\left(y_{t}\right)-\varphi\left(y_{t}\right) \\
& \leq t \Theta\left(y_{t}, y\right)+(1-t) \Theta\left(y_{t}, z\right)+t \varphi(y)+(1-t) \varphi(z)-\varphi\left(y_{t}\right) \\
& \leq t\left[\Theta\left(y_{t}, y\right)+\varphi(y)-\varphi\left(y_{t}\right)\right]+(1-t)\left\langle y_{t}-z, B y_{t}\right\rangle \\
& =t\left[\Theta\left(y_{t}, y\right)+\varphi(y)-\varphi\left(y_{t}\right)\right]+(1-t) t\left\langle y-z, B y_{t}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \Theta\left(y_{t}, y\right)+\varphi(y)-\varphi\left(y_{t}\right)+(1-t)\left\langle B y_{t}, y-z\right\rangle . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow 0$ in (3.9) yields that for each $y \in C$,

$$
\Theta(z, y)+\langle B z, y-z\rangle+\varphi(y)-\varphi(z) \geq 0
$$

This implies that $z \in G M E P(\Theta, \varphi, B)$.
Second, we show that $z \in V I(C, F)$. In fact, from the definition of $z_{n_{i}}=$ $F_{r_{n_{i}}} u_{n_{i}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle F z_{n_{i}}, y-z_{n_{i}}\right\rangle+\left\langle y-z_{n_{i}}, \frac{z_{n_{i}}-u_{n_{i}}}{r_{n_{i}}}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $v_{t}=t v+(1-t) z$ for all $t \in(0,1]$ and $v \in C$. Then, $v_{t} \in C$. So, from (3.10), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle F v_{t}, v_{t}-z_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \\
\geq & \left\langle F v_{t}, v_{t}-z_{n_{i}}\right\rangle-\left\langle F z_{n_{i}}, v_{t}-z_{n_{i}}\right\rangle-\left\langle v_{t}-z_{n_{i}}, \frac{z_{n_{i}}-u_{n_{i}}}{r_{n_{i}}}\right\rangle  \tag{3.11}\\
= & \left\langle F v_{t}-F z_{n_{i}}, v_{t}-z_{n_{i}}\right\rangle-\left\langle v_{t}-z_{n_{i}}, \frac{z_{n_{i}}-u_{n_{i}}}{r_{n_{i}}}\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

By Step 2 and Step 4, we obtain that $\frac{z_{n_{i}}-u_{n_{i}}}{r_{n_{i}}} \rightarrow 0$ and $z_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup z$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Since $F$ is monotone, we also have that $\left\langle F v_{t}-F z_{n_{i}}, v_{t}-z_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \geq 0$. Thus, it follows from (3.11) that

$$
0 \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle F v_{t}, v_{t}-z_{n_{i}}\right\rangle=\left\langle F v_{t}, v_{t}-z\right\rangle
$$

and hence

$$
\left\langle F v_{t}, v-z\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in C .
$$

If $t \rightarrow 0$, the continuity of $F$ yields that

$$
\langle F z, v-z,\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in C .
$$

This implies that $z \in V I(C, F)$.
Thirdly, we prove that $z \in \operatorname{Fix}(T)$. In fact, from the definition of $w_{n_{i}}=$ $T_{r_{n_{i}}} z_{n_{i}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T w_{n_{i}}, y-w_{n_{i}}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{r_{n_{i}}}\left\langle y-w_{n_{i}},\left(1+r_{n_{i}}\right) w_{n_{i}}-z_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $v_{t}=t v+(1-t) z$ for all $t \in(0,1]$ and $v \in C$. Then, $v_{t} \in C$, and from (3.12) and pseudocontractivity of $T$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle T v_{t}, w_{n_{i}}-v_{t}\right\rangle \\
\geq & \left\langle T v_{t}, w_{n_{i}}-v_{t}\right\rangle+\left\langle T w_{n_{i}}, v_{t}-w_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \\
& -\frac{1}{r_{n_{i}}}\left\langle v_{t}-w_{n_{i}},\left(1+r_{n_{i}}\right) w_{n_{i}}-z_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \\
= & -\left\langle T v_{t}-T w_{n_{i}}, v_{t}-w_{n_{i}}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{r_{n_{i}}}\left\langle v_{t}-w_{n_{i}}, w_{n_{i}}-z_{n_{i}}\right\rangle  \tag{3.13}\\
& -\left\langle v_{t}-w_{n_{i}}, w_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \\
\geq & -\left\|v_{t}-w_{n_{i}}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{r_{n_{i}}}\left\langle v_{t}-w_{n_{i}}, w_{n_{i}}-z_{n_{i}}\right\rangle-\left\langle v_{t}-w_{n_{i}}, w_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \\
= & -\left\langle v_{t}-w_{n_{i}}, v_{t}\right\rangle-\left\langle v_{t}-w_{n_{i}}, \frac{w_{n_{i}}-z_{n_{i}}}{r_{n_{i}}}\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

By Step 3 and Step 5, we get that $\frac{w_{n_{i}}-z_{n_{i}}}{r_{n_{i}}} \rightarrow 0$ and $w_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup z$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, as $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.13), it follows that

$$
\left\langle T v_{t}, z-v_{t},\right\rangle \geq\left\langle z-v_{t}, v_{t}\right\rangle,
$$

and hence

$$
-\left\langle T v_{t}, v-z\right\rangle \geq-\left\langle v-z, v_{t}\right\rangle, \quad \forall v \in C
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow 0$ and using the fact that $T$ is continuous, we get

$$
-\langle T z, v-z,\rangle \geq-\langle v-z, z\rangle, \quad \forall v \in C
$$

Now, let $v=T z$. Then we obtain $z=T z$ and hence $z \in \operatorname{Fix}(T)$. Therefore, $z \in \Omega_{1}$.

Now, we prove that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup z \in \Omega_{1}$. To this end, let $\left\{x_{n_{j}}\right\}$ be another subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $x_{n_{j}} \rightharpoonup z^{\prime}$. Then, by the above argument, $z^{\prime} \in$ $\Omega_{1}$. If $z \neq z^{\prime}$, then the Opial condition yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\| & =\liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-z\right\| \\
& <\liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{i}}-z^{\prime}\right\| \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z^{\prime}\right\| \\
& =\liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-z^{\prime}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <\liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{j}}-z\right\| \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. So, $z=z^{\prime}$. Thus, we conclude that

$$
x_{n} \rightharpoonup z \in \Omega_{1} .
$$

Also from Step 2, it follows that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup z \in \Omega_{1}$.
Step 7. We show that $z=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\Omega_{1}}\left(x_{n}\right)$. For this purpose, let $v_{n}=$ $P_{\Omega_{1}}\left(x_{n}\right)$. Since $z \in \Omega_{1}$, it follows from (2.1) that

$$
\left\langle x_{n}-v_{n}, v_{n}-z\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

Since $\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$ for $p \in \Omega_{1}$, Lemma 2.3 implies that $v_{n} \rightarrow z_{0}$ for some $z_{0} \in \Omega_{1}$. Since $x_{n} \rightharpoonup z$ by Step 6 , we obtain

$$
\left\langle z-z_{0}, z_{0}-z\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

and hence $z=z_{0}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\Omega_{1}}\left(x_{n}\right)$. This completes the proof.
If we take $C \equiv H$ in Theorem 3.1, then we obtain the following result.
Corollary 3.2. Let $\Omega_{2}:=\operatorname{GMEP}(\Theta, \varphi, B) \cap F^{-1}(0) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(T)$. The sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ generated by Algorithm 3.1 converge weakly to $z \in \Omega_{2}$, where $z=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\Omega_{2}}\left(x_{n}\right)$.
Proof. Since $D(F)=H$, we have $V I(H, F)=F^{-1}(0)$. Thus the result follows from Theorem 3.1.

Now, in order to obtain direct consequences of Theorem 3.1, we recall special cases of the GMEP again.

If $\varphi=0$ in (1.1), then the GMEP reduces the following generalized equilibrium problem (for short, GEP) of finding $x \in C$ such that

$$
\Theta(x, y)+\langle B x, y-x\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C
$$

The set of solutions of the GEP is denoted by $\operatorname{GEP}(\Theta, B)$.
If $B=0$ in (1.1), then the GMEP reduces the following mixed equilibrium problem (for short, MEP) of finding $x \in C$ such that

$$
\Theta(x, y)+\varphi(y)-\varphi(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C
$$

The set of solutions of the MEP is denoted by $\operatorname{MEP}(\Theta, \varphi)$.
If $B=0$ and $\varphi=0$ in (1.1), then the GMEP reduces the following equilibrium problem (for short, EP) of finding $x \in C$ such that

$$
\Theta(x, y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C
$$

The set of solutions of the EP is denoted by $E P(\Theta)$.
If we take $\varphi \equiv 0$ in Theorem 3.1, then we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.3. Let $\Omega_{3}:=\operatorname{GEP}(\Theta, B) \cap V I(C, F) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$, and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be sequences generated by $x_{1} \in C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Theta\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\left\langle B u_{n}, y-u_{n}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, u_{n}-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{r_{n}} F_{r_{n}} u_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converge weakly to $z \in \Omega_{3}$, where $z=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\Omega_{3}}\left(x_{n}\right)$.
If $F \equiv 0$, then $F_{r}$ in Lemma 2.4 is the identity mapping. Thus, from Corollary 3.3, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 3.4. Let $\Omega_{4}:=\operatorname{GEP}(\Theta, B) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$, and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be sequences generated by $x_{1} \in C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Theta\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\left\langle B u_{n}, y-u_{n}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, u_{n}-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{r_{n}} u_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converge weakly to $z \in \Omega_{4}$, where $z=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\Omega_{4}}\left(x_{n}\right)$.
It we take $B \equiv 0$ and $\varphi \equiv 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following result.
Corollary 3.5. Let $\Omega_{5}:=E P(\Theta) \cap V I(C, F) \cap F i x(T) \neq \emptyset$, and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be sequences generated by $x_{1} \in C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Theta\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, u_{n}-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{r_{n}} F_{r_{n}} u_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converge weakly to $z \in \Omega_{5}$, where $z=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\Omega_{5}}\left(x_{n}\right)$.
It we take $F \equiv 0$ in Corollary 3.5, we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 3.6. Let $\Omega_{6}:=E P(\Theta) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$, and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be sequences generated by $x_{1} \in C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Theta\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, u_{n}-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{r_{n}} u_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converge weakly to $z \in \Omega_{6}$, where $z=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\Omega_{6}}\left(x_{n}\right)$.
If we take $B \equiv 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we get the following result.
Corollary 3.7. Let $\Omega_{7}:=\operatorname{MEP}(\Theta, \varphi) \cap V I(C, F) \cap F i x(T) \neq \emptyset$, and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be sequences generated by $x_{1} \in C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Theta\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\varphi(y)-\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, u_{n}-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{r_{n}} F_{r_{n}} u_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converge weakly to $z \in \Omega_{7}$, where $z=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\Omega_{7}}\left(x_{n}\right)$.
If we take $F \equiv 0$ in Corollary 3.7, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.8. Let $\Omega_{8}:=\operatorname{MEP}(\Theta, \varphi) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$, and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be sequences generated by $x_{1} \in C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Theta\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\varphi(y)-\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, u_{n}-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{r_{n}} u_{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converge weakly to $z \in \Omega_{8}$, where $z=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\Omega_{8}}\left(x_{n}\right)$.
Remark 3.9.1) For finding a common element of $\operatorname{GMEP}(\Theta, \varphi, B) \cap V I(C, F)$ $\cap \operatorname{Fix}(T)$, where $B$ is a continuous monotone mapping, $F$ is a continuous monotone mapping, and $T$ is a continuous pseudocontractive mapping, Theorem 3.1 is a new ones different from previous those introduced by several authors. Consequently, in the sense that our convergence is for the more general class of continuous monotone and continuous pseudocontractive mappings, our results improve, develop and complement the corresponding results, which were obtained recently by several authors in references; for example, see [5, 18, 25, 29, 31] and references therein.
2) We recall some special cases of the GMEP as follows:
(i) If $\Theta(x, y)=0$ for all $x, y \in C$ in (1.1), the GMEP reduces the following generalized variational inequality problem (for short, GVI) of finding $x \in C$ such that

$$
\langle B x, y-x\rangle+\varphi(y)-\varphi(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C .
$$

(ii) If $B=0$ and $\Theta(x, y)=0$ for all $x, y \in C$ in (1.1), the GMEP reduces the following minimization problem (for short, MP) finding $x \in C$ such that

$$
\varphi(y)-\varphi(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C
$$

Applying Theorem 3.1, we can also establish the new corresponding results for the GVI and the MP.
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