

APPROXIMATELY QUINTIC MAPPINGS IN NON-ARCHIMEDEAN 2-NORMED SPACES BY FIXED POINT THEOREM

CHANG IL KIM AND KAP HUN JUNG*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, using the fixed point method, we investigate the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the system of quintic functional equation

$$\begin{cases} f(x_1 + x_2, y) + f(x_1 - x_2, y) = 2f(x_1, y) + 2f(x_2, y) \\ f(x, 2y_1 + y_2) + f(x, 2y_1 - y_2) = f(x, y_1 - 2y_2) + f(x, y_1 + y_2) \\ -f(x, y_1 - y_2) + 15f(x, y_1) + 6f(x, y_2). \end{cases}$$

in non-Archimedean 2-Banach spaces.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 39B82, 46S10.

Key words and phrases : quintic functional equation, Hyers-Ulam stability, non-Archimedean 2-normed spaces, fixed point method.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

In 1940, Ulam [22] posed the following problem concerning the stability of functional equations:

Let G_1 be a group and let G_2 be a metric group with the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if a mapping $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality $d(h(xy), h(x)h(y)) < \delta$ for all $x, y \in G_1$, then there exists a homomorphism $H : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ with $d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$ for all $x \in G_1$?

Hyers [8] solved the Ulam's problem for the case of approximately additive functions in Banach spaces. Since then, the stability of several functional equations has been extensively investigated by several mathematicians [3, 5, 9, 10, 11, 14, 17]. The Hyers-Ulam stability for the quadratic functional equation

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

was proved by Skof [21] for a function $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$, where E_1 is a normed space and E_2 is a Banach space and later by Jung [13] on unbounded domains.

Received December 22, 2014. Revised January 20, 2015. Accepted February 5, 2015.

*Corresponding author.

© 2015 Korean SIGCAM and KSCAM.

Rassias [20] investigated the stability for the following cubic functional equation

$$f(2x + y) - 3f(x + y) + 3f(x) - f(x - y) = 6f(y)$$

and Jun and Kim [12] investigated the stability for the following cubic functional equation

$$f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = 2f(x + y) + 2f(x - y) + 12f(x). \quad (1)$$

A *valuation* is a function $|\cdot|$ from a field \mathbb{K} into $[0, \infty)$ such that for any $r, s \in \mathbb{K}$, the following conditions hold: (i) $|r| = 0$ if and only if $r = 0$, (ii) $|rs| = |r||s|$, and (iii) $|r + s| \leq |r| + |s|$. A field \mathbb{K} is called a *valued field* if \mathbb{K} carries a valuation. The usual absolute values of \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} are examples of valuations. If the triangle inequality is replaced by $|r + s| \leq \max\{|r|, |s|\}$ for all $r, s \in \mathbb{K}$, then the valuation $|\cdot|$ is called a *non-Archimedean valuation* and the field with a non-Archimedean valuation is called a *non-Archimedean field*. If $|\cdot|$ is a non-Archimedean valuation on \mathbb{K} , then clearly, $|1| = |-1|$ and $|n| \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a vector space over a non-Archimedean field \mathbb{K} . A function $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a *non-Archimedean norm* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$,
- (b) $\|rx\| = |r|\|x\|$, and
- (c) $\|x + y\| \leq \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all $r \in \mathbb{K}$.

If $\|\cdot\|$ is a non-Archimedean norm, then $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a *non-Archimedean normed space*.

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a non-Archimedean normed space and $\{x_n\}$ a sequence in X . Then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be *convergent* in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ if there exists an $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| = 0$. In case, x is called *the limit of the sequence* $\{x_n\}$, and one denotes it by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be *Cauchy* in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+p} - x_n\| = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. By (c) in Definition 1.1,

$$\|x_n - x_m\| \leq \max\{\|x_{j+1} - x_j\| \mid m \leq j \leq n - 1\} \quad (n > m),$$

a sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ if and only if $\{x_{n+1} - x_n\}$ converges to zero in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. By a *complete non-Archimedean space* we mean one in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Gähler [6, 7] has introduced the concept of 2-normed spaces and White [23] introduced the concept of 2-Banach spaces. In 1999 to 2003, Lewandowska published a series of papers on 2-normed sets and generalized 2-normed spaces [15, 16].

Definition 1.2. Let X be a linear space over a non-Archimedean field \mathbb{K} with $\dim X > 1$ and $\|\cdot, \cdot\| : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a function satisfying the following properties

- (NA1) $\|x, y\| = 0$ if and only if x and y are linearly dependent,
- (NA2) $\|x, y\| = \|y, x\|$,

- (NA3) $\|x, ay\| = |a|\|x, y\|$, and
- (NA4) $\|x, y + z\| \leq \max\{\|x, y\|, \|x, z\|\}$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $a \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ is called a *non-Archimedean 2-norm* and $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is called a *non-Archimedean 2-normed spaces*.

Definition 1.3. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a non-Archimedean 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is called a *Cauchy sequence* if

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_m, x\| = 0$$

for all $x \in X$.

Definition 1.4. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a non-Archimedean 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is called *convergent* if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x, y\| = 0$$

for all $y \in X$ and for some $x \in X$. In case, x is called *the limit of the sequence* $\{x_n\}$, and we denoted by $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a non-Archimedean 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$. It follows from (NA4) that

$$\|x_m - x_n, y\| \leq \max\{\|x_{j+1} - x_j, y\| \mid n \leq j \leq m - 1\} \quad (n < m),$$

for all $y \in X$ and so a sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ if and only if $\{x_{m+1} - x_m\}$ converges to zero in $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$.

A non-Archimedean 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is called a *non-Archimedean 2-Banach space* if every Cauchy sequence in $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is convergent. Now, we state the following results as lemma [18].

Lemma 1.5. Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a non-Archimedean 2-normed space. Then we have the following :

- (1) $\|\|x, z\| - \|y, z\|\| \leq \|x - y, z\|$ for all $x, y, z \in X$,
- (2) $\|x, z\| = 0$ for all $z \in X$ if and only if $x = 0$, and
- (3) for any convergent sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n, z\| = \|\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n, z\|$$

for all $z \in X$.

In 2003, Radu [19] proposed a new method for obtaining the existence of exact solutions and error estimations, based on the fixed point alternative (see also [1, 2]).

We recall the following theorem by Margolis and Diaz.

Theorem 1.6 ([4]). Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space and let $J : X \rightarrow X$ be a strictly contractive mapping with some Lipschitz constant L with $0 < L < 1$. Then for each given element $x \in X$, either $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = \infty$ for all nonnegative integers n or there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

- (1) $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) < \infty$ for all $n \geq n_0$

- (2) the sequence $\{J^n x\}$ converges to a fixed point y^* of J
 (3) y^* is the unique fixed point of J in the set $Y = \{y \in X \mid d(J^{n_0}x, y) < \infty\}$
 (4) $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, Jy)$ for all $y \in Y$.

In this paper, we investigate the following cubic functional equation

$$f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) = f(x-2y) + f(x+y) - f(x-y) + 15f(x) + 6f(y) \quad (2)$$

and using fixed point method, we investigate the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for the system of the quintic functional equation

$$\begin{cases} f(x_1+x_2, y) + f(x_1-x_2, y) = 2f(x_1, y) + 2f(x_2, y) \\ f(x, 2y_1+y_2) + f(x, 2y_1-y_2) = f(x, y_1-2y_2) + f(x, y_1+y_2) \\ -f(x, y_1-y_2) + 15f(x, y_1) + 6f(x, y_2), \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

and prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for (3) in non-Archimedean 2-Banach spaces. In this paper, we will assume that $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a non-Archimedean normed space and $(Y, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is a non-Archimedean 2-Banach space.

2. Stability of quintic mappings

In this section, using the fixed point method, we investigate the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for the system of quintic functional equation (3) in non-Archimedean 2-Banach spaces. We start the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping with (2). Then f is a cubic mapping.*

Proof. Suppose that f satisfies (2). Letting $x = y = 0$ in (2), we have $f(0) = 0$ and letting $y = 0$ in (2), we have

$$f(2x) = 8f(x) \quad (4)$$

for all $x \in X$. Letting $x = 0$ in (2), by (4), we have $f(y) = -f(-y)$ for all $y \in X$ and so f is odd. Letting $y = -y$ in (2), we have

$$f(2x-y) + f(2x+y) - f(x+2y) - f(x-y) + f(x+y) - 15f(x) + 6f(y) = 0 \quad (5)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and by (2) and (5), we have

$$f(x+2y) - f(x-2y) - 2f(x+y) + 2f(x-y) - 12f(y) = 0 \quad (6)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Interchanging x and y in (6), since f is odd, f satisfies (1) and hence f is cubic. \square

The function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(x, y) = cx^2y^3$ is a solution of (3). In particular, letting $y = x$ in (3), we get a quintic function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in one variable given by $g(x) = f(x, x) = cx^5$.

Proposition 2.2. *If a mapping $f : X^2 \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (3), then $f(\lambda x, \mu y) = \lambda^2\mu^3f(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all rational numbers λ, μ .*

Theorem 2.3. Let $\phi_1, \phi_2 : X^3 \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be functions such that

$$\phi_i(2x, 2y, 2z, w) \leq |2|^5 L \phi_i(x, y, z, w) \quad (i = 1, 2) \tag{7}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X, w \in Y$ and some L with $0 < L < 1$. Suppose that $f : X^2 \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping such that $f(x, 0) = f(0, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$,

$$\|f(x_1 + x_2, y) + f(x_1 - x_2, y) - 2f(x_1, y) - 2f(x_2, y), w\| \leq \phi_1(x_1, x_2, y, w), \tag{8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x, 2y_1 + y_2) + f(x, 2y_1 - y_2) - f(x, y_1 - 2y_2) - f(x, y_1 + y_2) \\ & + f(x, y_1 - y_2) - 15f(x, y_1) - 6f(x, y_2), w\| \leq \phi_2(x, y_1, y_2, w) \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

for all $w \in Y$ and all $x, y, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in X$. Then there exists a unique quintic mapping $T : X^2 \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3) and

$$\|f(x, y) - T(x, y), w\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - L} \Phi(x, y, w) \tag{10}$$

for all $w \in Y$ and all $x, y \in X$, where

$$\Phi(x, y, w) = \max\{ |2|^{-2} \phi_1(x, x, y, w), |2|^{-6} \phi_2(2x, y, 0, w) \}.$$

Proof. Putting $y_2 = 0$ and $y_1 = y$ in (9), we get

$$\|f(x, 2y) - 2^3 f(x, y), w\| \leq |2|^{-1} \phi_2(x, y, 0, w) \tag{11}$$

for all $w \in Y$ and all $x, y \in X$. Putting $x_1 = x_2 = x$ in (8), we get

$$\|f(2x, y) - 2^2 f(x, y), w\| \leq \phi_1(x, x, y, w) \tag{12}$$

for all $w \in Y$ and all $x, y \in X$. Thus by (11) and (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(2x, 2y) - 2^5 f(x, y), w\| \\ & = \|f(2x, 2y) - 2^3 f(2x, y) + 2^3 [f(2x, y) - 2^2 f(x, y)], w\| \\ & \leq \max\{ \|f(2x, 2y) - 2^3 f(2x, y), w\|, |2|^3 \|f(2x, y) - 2^2 f(x, y), w\| \} \\ & \leq \max\{ |2|^3 \phi_1(x, x, y, w), |2|^{-1} \phi_2(2x, y, 0, w) \} \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

for all $w \in Y$ and all $x, y \in X$. It follows from (13) that

$$\|2^{-5} f(2x, 2y) - f(x, y), w\| \leq \Phi(x, y, w) \tag{14}$$

for all $w \in Y$ and all $x, y \in X$.

Consider the set $S = \{h \mid h : X \times X \rightarrow Y \text{ with } h(x, 0) = h(0, x) = 0, \forall x \in X\}$ and the generalized metric d on S defined by

$$d(g, h) = \inf\{\varepsilon \in [0, \infty) \mid \|g(x, y) - h(x, y), w\| \leq \varepsilon \Phi(x, y, w), \forall w \in Y, \forall x, y \in X\}.$$

Then (S, d) is a complete metric space [2]. Define a mapping $J : S \rightarrow S$ by $Jg(x, y) = 2^{-5}g(2x, 2y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all $g \in S$. Let $g, h \in S$ and

$d(g, h) \leq \varepsilon$ for some non-negative real number ε . Then by (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Jg(x, y) - Jh(x, y), w\| &= |2|^{-5} \|g(2x, 2y) - h(2x, 2y), w\| \\ &\leq |2|^{-5} \varepsilon \Phi(2x, 2y, w) \\ &= |2|^{-5} \varepsilon \max\{|2|^{-2} \phi_1(2x, 2x, 2y, w), |2|^{-6} \phi_2(4x, 2y, 0, w)\} \\ &\leq \varepsilon L \Phi(x, y, w), \end{aligned}$$

and so $d(Jg, Jh) \leq \varepsilon L$. This means that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq Ld(g, h)$ for all $g, h \in S$ and so J is a strictly contractive mapping. By (14), we get $d(Jf, f) \leq 1 < \infty$. By Theorem 1.6, there exists a mapping $T : X^2 \rightarrow Y$ which is a fixed point of J such that $d(J^n f, T) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which implies the equality $T(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-5n} f(2^n x, 2^n y)$. Since $d(Jf, f) \leq 1 < \infty$, by (4) in Theorem 1.6, we have (10). By (8) and (9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|T(x_1 + x_2, y) + T(x_1 - x_2, y) - 2T(x_1, y) - 2T(x_2, y), w\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |2|^{-5n} \phi_1(2^n x_1, 2^n x_2, 2^n y, w) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L^n \phi_1(x_1, x_2, y, w) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|T(x, 2y_1 + y_2) + T(x, 2y_1 - y_2) - T(x, y_1 - 2y_2) - T(x, y_1 + y_2) \\ &\quad + T(x, y_1 - y_2) - 15T(x, y_1) - 6T(x, y_2), w\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |2|^{-5n} \phi_2(2^n x, 2^n y_1, 2^n y_2, w) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L^n \phi_2(x, y_1, y_2, w) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $w \in Y$ and all $x, y, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in X$. Hence T satisfies (3).

To prove the uniqueness of T , assume that $T_1 : X^2 \rightarrow Y$ is another solution of (3) satisfying (10). Then T_1 is a fixed point of J and by (10),

$$d(Jf, T_1) = d(Jf, JT_1) \leq \frac{L}{1-L} < \infty.$$

By (3) in Theorem 1.6, we have $T = T_1$. □

Theorem 2.4. Let $\phi_1, \phi_2 : X^3 \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be functions such that

$$\phi_i(x, y, z, w) \leq |2|^{-5} L \phi_i(2x, 2y, 2z, w) \quad (i = 1, 2) \quad (15)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, $w \in Y$ and some L with $0 < L < 1$. Suppose that $f : X^2 \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping satisfying $f(x, 0) = f(0, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$, (8) and (9). Then there exists a unique quintic mapping $T : X^2 \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3) and

$$\|f(x, y) - T(x, y), w\| \leq \frac{L}{1-L} \Psi(x, y, w) \quad (16)$$

for all $w \in Y$ and all $x, y \in X$, where

$$\Psi(x, y, w) = \max\{|2|^{-5} \phi_1(x, x, 2y, w), |2|^{-4} \phi_2(x, y, 0, w)\}.$$

Proof. Putting $y_2 = 0$ and $y_1 = \frac{y}{2}$ in (9), we get

$$\left\| 2^3 f\left(x, \frac{y}{2}\right) - f(x, y), w \right\| \leq |2|^{-1} \phi_2\left(x, \frac{y}{2}, 0, w\right) \tag{17}$$

for all $w \in Y$ and all $x, y \in X$. Putting $x_1 = x_2 = \frac{x}{2}$ in (8), we get

$$\left\| 2^2 f\left(\frac{x}{2}, y\right) - f(x, y), w \right\| \leq \phi_1\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, y, w\right) \tag{18}$$

for all $w \in Y$ and all $x, y \in X$. Thus by (17) and (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2^5 f\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) - f(x, y), w \right\| \\ &= \left\| 2^5 f\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) - 2^2 f\left(\frac{x}{2}, y\right) + 2^2 \left[f\left(\frac{x}{2}, y\right) - 2^{-2} f(x, y) \right], w \right\| \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \left\| 2^2 \left[2^3 f\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2}, y\right) \right], w \right\|, \left\| 2^2 f\left(\frac{x}{2}, y\right) - f(x, y), w \right\| \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ |2| \phi_2\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, 0, w\right), \phi_1\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, y, w\right) \right\} \\ &\leq L \max \left\{ |2|^{-5} \phi_1(x, x, 2y, w), |2|^{-4} \phi_2(x, y, 0, w) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $w \in Y$. That is, we have

$$\left\| 2^5 f\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) - f(x, y), w \right\| \leq L \Psi(x, y, w) \tag{19}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $w \in Y$.

Consider the set $S = \{h \mid h : X \times X \rightarrow Y \text{ with } h(x, 0) = h(0, x) = 0, \forall x \in X\}$ and the generalized metric d on S defined by

$$d(g, h) = \inf \{ \varepsilon \in [0, \infty) \mid \|g(x, y) - h(x, y), w\| \leq \varepsilon \Psi(x, y, w), \forall w \in Y, \forall x, y \in X \}.$$

Then (S, d) is a complete metric space([2]). Define a mapping $J : S \rightarrow S$ by $Jg(x, y) = 2^5 g\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all $g \in S$. Let $g, h \in S$ and $d(g, h) \leq \varepsilon$ for some non-negative real number ε . Then by (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Jg(x, y) - Jh(x, y), w\| &= |2|^5 \left\| g\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) - h\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right), w \right\| \\ &\leq |2|^5 \varepsilon \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, w\right) \\ &\leq \varepsilon L \Psi(x, y, w), \end{aligned}$$

and so $d(Jg, Jh) \leq \varepsilon L$. This mean that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq L d(g, h)$ for all $g, h \in S$ and so J is a strictly contractive mapping. By (19), we get $d(Jf, f) \leq L < \infty$. By Theorem 1.6, there exists a mapping $T : X^2 \rightarrow Y$ which is a fixed point of J such that $d(J^n f, T) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which implies the equality $T(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{5n} f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right)$. Since $d(Jf, f) \leq L$, by (4) in Theorem 1.6, we have (16)

and by (8) and (9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T(x_1 + x_2, y) + T(x_1 - x_2, y) - 2T(x_1, y) - 2T(x_2, y), w\| \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |2|^{5n} \phi_1\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}, \frac{x_2}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, w\right) \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L^n \phi_1(x_1, x_2, y, w) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T(x, 2y_1 + y_2) + T(x, 2y_1 - y_2) - T(x, y_1 - 2y_2) - T(x, y_1 + y_2) \\ & \quad + T(x, y_1 - y_2) - 15T(x, y_1) - 6T(x, y_2), w\| \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |2|^{5n} \phi_2\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y_1}{2^n}, \frac{y_2}{2^n}, w\right) \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L^n \phi_2(x, y_1, y_2, w) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $w \in Y$ and all $x, y, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in X$. Hence T satisfies (3).

To prove the uniqueness of T , assume that $T_1 : X^2 \rightarrow Y$ is another solution of (3) satisfying (16). Then T_1 is a fixed point of J and by (16),

$$d(Jf, T_1) = d(Jf, JT_1) \leq \frac{L^2}{1 - L} < \infty.$$

By (3) in Theorem 1.6, we have $T = T_1$. □

As example of $\phi_1(x_1, x_2, y, w)$ and $\phi_2(x, y_1, y_2, w)$ in Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4, we can take $\phi_1(x_1, x_2, y, w) = \theta (\|x_1\|^p + \|x_2\|^p + \|y\|^p)\|w\|$ and $\phi_2(x, y_1, y_2, w) = |2|^4 \theta (\|x\|^p + \|y_1\|^p + \|y_2\|^p)\|w\|$ for all $x, y, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in X$, all $w \in Y$ and some positive real number θ . Then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. *Let θ, p be positive real numbers with $p \neq 5$. Suppose that $f : X^2 \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping satisfying $f(x, 0) = f(0, x) = 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x_1 + x_2, y) + f(x_1 - x_2, y) - 2f(x_1, y) - 2f(x_2, y), w\| \\ & \leq \theta(\|x_1\|^p + \|x_2\|^p + \|y\|^p)\|w\|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x, 2y_1 + y_2) + f(x, 2y_1 - y_2) - f(x, y_1 - 2y_2) - f(x, y_1 + y_2) + f(x, y_1 - y_2) \\ & \quad - 15f(x, y_1) - 6f(x, y_2), w\| \leq |2|^4 \theta (\|x\|^p + \|y_1\|^p + \|y_2\|^p)\|w\| \end{aligned}$$

for all $w \in Y$ and all $x, y, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in X$. Then there exists a unique quintic mapping $T : X^2 \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x, y) - T(x, y), w\| \\ & \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|2|^{3\theta}}{|2|^5 - |2|^p} \left[\max\{2, |2|^p\} \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p \right] \|w\|, & p > 5 \\ \frac{\theta}{|2|^p - |2|^5} \max\{2\|x\|^p + |2|^p \|y\|^p, |2|^5 (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)\} \|w\|, & p < 5 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

for all $w \in Y$ and $x, y \in X$.

Proof. Let $\phi_1(x_1, x_2, y, w) = \theta (\|x_1\|^p + \|x_2\|^p + \|y\|^p)\|w\|$ and $\phi_2(x, y_1, y_2, w) = |2|^4 \theta (\|x\|^p + \|y_1\|^p + \|y_2\|^p)\|w\|$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i(2x, 2y, 2z, w) &= |2|^p \phi_i(x, y, z, w), \\ &= |2|^5 |2|^{p-5} \phi_i(x, y, z, w) \quad (i = 1, 2). \end{aligned}$$

So if $p > 5$, by Theorem 2.3, we have (20). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{z}{2}, w\right) &= |2|^{-p} \phi_i(x, y, z, w), \\ &= |2|^{-5} |2|^{5-p} \phi_i(x, y, z, w) \quad (i = 1, 2). \end{aligned}$$

So if $p < 5$, by Theorem 2.4, we have (20). □

As another example of $\phi_1(x, y, z, w)$ and $\phi_2(x, y, z, w)$ in Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4, we can take $\phi_1(x, y, z, w) = \phi_2(x, y, z, w) = \theta \|x\|^p \|y\|^q \|z\|^r \|w\|$ for all $x, y, z \in X$, all $w \in Y$ and some positive real number p, q, r, θ . Then we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.6. *Let p, q, r and θ be positive real numbers with $p + q + r \neq 5$. Suppose that $f : X^2 \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping satisfying $f(x, 0) = 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f(x_1 + x_2, y) + f(x_1 - x_2, y) - 2f(x_1, y) - 2f(x_2, y), w\| \\ &\leq \theta \|x_1\|^p \|x_2\|^q \|y\|^r \|w\|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f(x, 2y_1 + y_2) + f(x, 2y_1 - y_2) - f(x, y_1 - 2y_2) - f(x, y_1 + y_2) + f(x, y_1 - y_2) \\ &- 15f(x, y_1) - 6f(x, y_2), w\| \leq \theta \|x\|^p \|y_1\|^q \|y_2\|^r \|w\| \end{aligned}$$

for all $w \in Y$ and all $x, y, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in X$. Then there exists a unique quintic mapping $T : X^2 \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\|f(x, y) - T(x, y), w\| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|2|^{3\theta}}{|2|^5 - |2|^{p+q+r}} \|x\|^{p+q} \|y\|^r \|w\|, & p + q + r > 5 \\ \frac{|2|^r \theta}{|2|^{p+q+r} - |2|^5} \|x\|^{p+q} \|y\|^r \|w\|, & p + q + r < 5 \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

for all $w \in Y$ and all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. Let $\phi_1(x, y, z, w) = \phi_2(x, y, z, w) = \theta \|x\|^p \|y\|^q \|z\|^r \|w\|$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i(2x, 2y, 2z, w) &= |2|^{p+q+r} \phi_i(x, y, z, w) \\ &= |2|^5 |2|^{p+q+r-5} \phi_i(x, y, z, w) \quad (i = 1, 2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $p + q + r > 5$, by Theorem 2.3, we have (21). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i(x, y, z, w) &= |2|^{-(p+q+r)} \phi_i(2x, 2y, 2z, w), \\ &= |2|^{-5} |2|^{5-p-q-r} \phi_i(2x, 2y, 2z, w) \quad (i = 1, 2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $p + q + r < 5$, by Theorem 2.4, we have (21). □

REFERENCES

1. L. Cădariu and V. Radu, *Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **4** Article ID 4 (2003).
2. L. Cădariu and V. Radu, *On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed point approach*, Grazer Math. Ber., **346** (2004), 43-52.
3. S. Czerwik, *Functional equations and Inequalities in several variables*, World Scientific. New Jersey, London, 2002.
4. J.B. Diaz and Beatriz Margolis, *A fixed points theorem of the alternative, for contractions on a generalized complete metric space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **74** (1968), 305-309.
5. G.L. Forti, *Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations in several variables*, Aequationes Math., **50** (1995), 143-190.
6. S. Gähler, *2-metrische Räumen und ihr topologische structure*, Math. Nachr., **26** (1963), 115-148.
7. S. Gähler, *Linear 2-normierte Räumen*, Math. Nachr., **28** (1964), 1-43.
8. D.H. Hyers, *On the stability of linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., **27** (1941), 222-224.
9. D.H. Hyers and T.M. Rassias, *Approximate homomorphisms*, Aequationes Math., **44** (1992), 125-153.
10. D.H. Hyers, G. Isac, and T.M. Rassias, *Stability of functional equations in several variables*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
11. M. Janfada and R. Shourvarzi, *On solutions and stability of a generalized quadratic on non-Archimedean normed spaces*, J. Appl. Math. and Informatics, **30** (2012), 829-845.
12. K.W. Jun and H.M. Kim, *The generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a cubic functional equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **274** (2002), 867-878.
13. S.M. Jung, *On the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation that have the quadratic property*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **222** (1998), 126-137.
14. C.I. Kim and K.H. Jung, *Stability of a cubic functional equation in 2-normed spaces*, J. Appl. Math. and Informatics, **32** (2014), No. 5-6, 817-825.
15. Z. Lewandowska, *Ggeneralized 2-normed spaces*, Stuspske Prace Matematyczno-Fizyczne, **1** (2001), 33-40.
16. Z. Lewandowska, *On 2-normed sets*, Glasnik Mat. Ser. III, **42** (58) (2003), 99-110.
17. A. Najati and F. Moradlou, *Stability of a mixed quadratic and additive functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces*, J. Appl. Math. and Informatics, **27** (2009), No. 5-6, 1177-1194.
18. W.G. Park, *Approximate additive mapping in 2-Banach spaces and related topics*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **376** (2011), 193-202.
19. V. Radu, *The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations*, Fixed Point Theory, **4** (2003), 91-96.
20. J.M. Rassias, *Solution of the Ulam stability problem for cubic mappings*, Glasnik Matematički., **36** (56) (2001), 63-72.
21. F. Skof, *Approssimazione di funzioni δ -quadratic su dominio restretto*, Atti. Accad. Sci. Torino Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur., **118** (1984), 58-70.
22. S.M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 8, Interscience, New York, NY, USA, 1960.
23. A. White, *2-Banach spaces*, Math. Nachr., **42** (1969), 43-60.

Chang Il Kim received M.Sc. from Sogang University and Ph.D at Sogang Uniner-sity. Since 1993 he has been at Dankook University. His research interests include general topology and functional analysis.

Department of Mathematics Education, Dankook University, 152, Jukjeon, Suji, Yongin, Gyeonggi, 448-701, Korea.

e-mail: kci206@hanmail.net

Kap Hun Jung received M.Sc. and Ph.D. from Dankook University. He is now teaching at Seoul National University of Science and Technology as a lecturer. His research interests include functional analysis.

School of Liberal Arts, Seoul National University of Science and Technology, Seoul 139-743, Korea.

e-mail: jkh58@hanmail.net