

**GLOBAL EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR A SYSTEM OF
SINGULAR FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS
WITH IMPULSE EFFECTS[†]**

YUJI LIU* AND PATRICIA J.Y. WONG

ABSTRACT. By employing a fixed point theorem in a weighted Banach space, we establish the existence of a solution for a system of impulsive singular fractional differential equations. Some examples are presented to illustrate the efficiency of the results obtained.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 92D25, 34A37, 34K15.

Key words and phrases : Solvability, singular fractional differential system, impulsive effect, fixed point theorem.

1. Introduction

Fractional differential equation is a generalization of ordinary differential equation to arbitrary non-integer orders. The origin of fractional calculus goes back to Newton and Leibniz in the seventeenth century. Recent investigations have shown that many physical systems can be represented more accurately through fractional derivative formulation [14]. Fractional differential equations therefore find numerous applications in different branches of physics, chemistry and biological sciences such as visco-elasticity, feed back amplifiers, electrical circuits, electro analytical chemistry, fractional multipoles and neuron modelling [16]. The reader may refer to the books and monographs [15, 6, 8] for fractional calculus and developments on fractional differential and fractional integro-differential equations with applications.

On the other hand, the theory of impulsive differential equations describes processes which experience a sudden change of their state at certain moments.

Received August 23, 2014. Revised January 20, 2015. Accepted February 13, 2015.

*Corresponding author. [†]This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No: 11401111), the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong province (No: S2011010001900) and the Guangdong Higher Education Foundation for High-level talents.

© 2015 Korean SIGCAM and KSCAM.

Processes with such characteristics arise naturally and often, for example, phenomena studied in physics, chemical technology, population dynamics, biotechnology and economics. For an introduction of the basic theory of impulsive differential equation, we refer the reader to [11].

On the other hand, in recently, there have been many papers [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 12, 19, 17, 18] concerned with the existence of solutions for different initial value problems involving impulsive fractional differential equations. However, there has been few papers discussed the Global existence of solutions of initial value problems for impulsive fractional differential systems on half line.

Motivated by the above reason, we discuss the following initial value problem of singular fractional differential system on the half line

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0+}^\alpha u(t) = m(t)f(t, u(t), v(t)), & t \in (t_s, t_{s+1}], s \in N_0, \\ {}^C D_{0+}^\beta v(t) = n(t)g(t, u(t), v(t)), & t \in (t_s, t_{s+1}], s \in N_0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(t) = \int_0^\infty \phi(s)F(s, u(s), v(s))ds, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} v(t) = \int_0^\infty \psi(s)G(s, u(s), v(s))ds, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow t_s^+} u(t) - u(t_s) = I(t_s, u(t_s), v(t_s)), & s \in N, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow t_s^+} v(t) - v(t_s) = J(t_s, u(t_s), v(t_s)), & s \in N \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where

- (a) $0 < \alpha, \beta \leq 1$, ${}^C D_{0+}^\alpha$ and ${}^C D_{0+}^\beta$ are the Caputo fractional derivatives of orders α and β respectively,
- (b) $N = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_s < \dots$ with $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} t_s = \infty$,
- (c) $m, n : (0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ satisfy $m|_{(t_s, t_{s+1}]}, n|_{(t_s, t_{s+1}]} \in C^0(t_s, t_{s+1}]$ ($s \in N_0$), both m and n may be singular at $t = 0$, there exist constants $L_1, L_2 > 0$ and $k, l > -1$ such that $|m(t)| \leq L_1 t^k$ and $|n(t)| \leq L_2 t^l$, $t \in (0, \infty)$,
- (d) $\phi, \psi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ satisfy $\phi, \psi \in L^1(0, \infty)$, and
- (e) f, g, F, G defined on $(0, \infty) \times R \times R$ are Carathéodory functions, I, J defined on $\{t_s : s \in N\} \times R^2$ Carathéodory sequences.

A pair of functions (x, y) with $x : (0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ and $y : (0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ is said to be a *solution* of (1.1) if

$$x|_{(t_s, t_{s+1}]}, y|_{(t_s, t_{s+1}]} \in C^0(t_s, t_{s+1}], s \in N_0, \lim_{t \rightarrow t_s^+} x(s), \lim_{t \rightarrow t_s^+} y(t) \text{ exist, } s \in N_0, {}^C D_{0+}^\alpha x(t), {}^C D_{0+}^\beta y(t) \text{ exist and } (x, y) \text{ satisfies all equations in (1.1).}$$

We construct a weighted Banach space and apply the Leray-Schauder non-linear alternative to obtain the existence of at least one solution of (1.1). Our results are new and naturally complement the literature on fractional differential equations.

The paper is outlined as follows. Section 2 contains some preliminary results. The main results are presented in Section 3. Finally, in Section 4 we give two examples to illustrate the efficiency of the results obtained.

2. Preliminaries

For the convenience of the readers, we shall state the necessary definitions from fractional calculus theory.

For $h \in L^1(0, \infty)$, denote $\|h\|_1 = \int_0^\infty |h(s)| ds$. For $r > 0$, $p > 0$, $q > 0$, let the Gamma and beta functions $\Gamma(r)$ and $\mathbf{B}(p, q)$ be defined by

$$\Gamma(r) = \int_0^{+\infty} x^{r-1} e^{-x} dx, \quad \mathbf{B}(p, q) = \int_0^1 x^{p-1} (1-x)^{q-1} dx.$$

Definition 2.1 ([6]). Let $a \in R$. The *Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order* $\alpha > 0$ of a function $h : (a, \infty) \rightarrow R$ is given by

$$I_{a+}^\alpha h(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} h(s) ds,$$

provided that the right-hand side exists.

Definition 2.2 ([6]). Let $a \in R$. The *Caputo fractional derivative of order* $\alpha > 0$ of a function $h : (a, \infty) \rightarrow R$ is given by

$${}^C D_{a+}^\alpha h(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_a^t \frac{h^{(n)}(s)}{(t-s)^{\alpha-n+1}} ds,$$

where $n-1 \leq \alpha < n$, provided that the right-hand side exists.

Remark 2.1. Let $a, b \in R$ with $a < b$. From Theorem 2.14 in [6], we know that ${}^C D_{a+}^\alpha I_{a+}^\alpha h(t) = h(t)$ almost all $t \in (a, b)$ for every $h \in L^1(a, b)$. From Theorem 2.23 in [6], we have $I_{a+}^\alpha {}^C D_{a+}^\alpha h(t) = h(t) - \frac{\lim_{t \rightarrow a+} I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} h(t)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ if $I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} h \in A^1(a, b)$ which is the set of all absolutely continuous functions see ([6], page 10), i.e. the functions h for which there exists (almost everywhere) a function $H \in L^1(a, b)$ such that $\int_a^t H(s) ds = h(t) - h(a)$.

Definition 2.3 ([10]). An odd homeomorphism Φ of the real line R onto itself is called a *sup-multiplicative-like function* if there exists a homeomorphism ω of $[0, +\infty)$ onto itself which *supports* Φ in the sense that for all $v_1, v_2 \geq 0$,

$$\Phi(v_1 v_2) \geq \omega(v_1) \Phi(v_2). \quad (2.1)$$

ω is called the *supporting function* of Φ .

Remark 2.2. Note that any sup-multiplicative function is sup-multiplicative-like function. Also any function of the form $\Phi(u) := \sum_{j=0}^k c_j |u|^j u$, $u \in R$ is sup-multiplicative-like, provided that $c_j \geq 0$. Here a supporting function is defined by $\omega(u) := \min\{u^{k+1}, u\}$, $u \geq 0$.

Remark 2.3. It is clear that a sup-multiplicative-like function Φ and any corresponding supporting function ω are increasing functions vanishing at zero.

Moreover, their inverses Φ^{-1} and ν respectively are increasing and such that for all $w_1, w_2 \geq 0$,

$$\Phi^{-1}(w_1 w_2) \leq \nu(w_1) \Phi^{-1}(w_2). \tag{2.2}$$

ν is called the *supporting function* of Φ^{-1} .

In this paper we always suppose that Φ is a sup-multiplicative-like function with its supporting function ω . The inverse function Φ^{-1} has its supporting function ν .

Definition 2.4. Let $\sigma > k + \alpha$ and $\delta > l + \beta$. We say $K : (0, +\infty) \times R^2 \rightarrow R$ is a *Carathéodory function* if it satisfies the following:

- (i) $t \rightarrow K(t, (1 + t^\sigma)x, (1 + t^\delta)y)$ is continuous on $(t_s, t_{s+1}]$ ($s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), and for any $(x, y) \in R^2$ there exist the limits

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_s^+} K(t, (1 + t^\sigma)x, (1 + t^\delta)y), \quad s = 0, 1, 2, \dots;$$

- (ii) $(x, y) \rightarrow K(t, (1 + t^\sigma)x, (1 + t^\delta)y)$ is continuous on R^2 for all $t \in (0, +\infty)$;
- (iii) for each $r > 0$ there exists a constant $A_r > 0$ such that

$$|K(t, (1 + t^\sigma)x, (1 + t^\delta)y)| \leq A_r, \quad t \in (0, +\infty), |x|, |y| \leq r.$$

Definition 2.5. $\{G : \{t_s : s \in N\} \times R^2\}$ is called a *Carathéodory sequence* if

- (i) $(x, y) \rightarrow G(t_s, (1 + t_s^\sigma)x, (1 + t_s^\delta)y)$ is continuous on R^2 for all $s \in N$;
- (ii) for each $r > 0$ there exists $A_{r,s} \geq 0$ such that

$$|G(t_s, (1 + t_s^\sigma)x, (1 + t_s^\delta)y)| \leq A_{r,s}, s \in N, |x|, |y| \leq r \text{ and } \sum_{s=1}^{+\infty} A_{r,s} < +\infty.$$

To obtain the main results, we need the Leray-Schauder nonlinear alternative.

Lemma 2.6 (Leray-Schauder Nonlinear Alternative [13]). *Let X be a Banach space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a completely continuous operator. Suppose Ω is a nonempty open subset of X centered at zero. Then, either there exists $x \in \partial\Omega$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that $x = \lambda Tx$, or there exists $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ such that $x = Tx$.*

3. Main Results

In this section we shall establish the existence of at least one solution of system (1.1). Throughout, we assume that the functions and parameters in (1.1) satisfy (a)–(e) (stated in Section 1) and the following:

- (A) f, g, F, G are Carathéodory functions;
- (B) I, J are Carathéodory sequences.

Let

$$X = \left\{ x : \begin{array}{l} x|_{(t_s, t_{s+1}]} \in C^0(t_s, t_{s+1}] \ (s = 0, 1, 2, \dots), \text{ the following limits exist} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow t_s^+} x(t) \ (s = 0, 1, 2, \dots), \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{x(t)}{1 + t^\sigma} \end{array} \right\}.$$

It is easy to show that X is a real Banach space. Thus, $(X \times X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space with the norm defined by $\|(x, y)\| = \max\{\|x\|_X, \|y\|_X\}$, $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

Let $x \in X$ and $y \in X$. Then, there exists $r > 0$ such that $\|(x, y)\| = r < +\infty$. From (A), f is a Carathéodory function, thus there exists $A_r \geq 0$ such that

$$|f(t, x(t), y(t))| = \left| f\left(t, (1+t^\sigma)\frac{x(t)}{1+t^\sigma}, (1+t^\delta)\frac{y(t)}{1+t^\delta}\right) \right| \leq A_r, t \in (0, +\infty). \quad (3.1)$$

Similarly, there exist positive constants A'_r and $A_{r,s}$ ($s = 1, 2, \dots$) such that

$$\begin{aligned} |F(t, x(t), y(t))| &\leq A'_r, |I(t_s, x(t_s), y(t_s))| \leq A_{r,s}, \\ (s = 1, 2, \dots), t \in (0, \infty), \sum_{s=1}^{+\infty} A_{r,s} &< \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Likewise, g , G and J_s are also Carathéodory functions, so there exist positive constants B_r , B'_r and $B_{r,s}$ ($s = 1, 2, \dots$) such that

$$\begin{aligned} |g(t, x(t), y(t))| &\leq B_r, |G(t, x(t), y(t))| \leq B'_r, \\ |J_s(t, x(t), y(t))| &\leq B_{r,s} \quad (s = 1, 2, \dots), \quad t \in (0, +\infty), \quad \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} B_{r,s} < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $x, y \in X$. Then, $u \in X$ is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0+}^\alpha u(t) = m(t)f(t, x(t), y(t)), & t \in (t_s, t_{s+1}], \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(t) = \int_0^\infty \phi(s)F(s, x(s), y(s))ds, \\ \Delta u(t_s) = I(t_s, x(t_s), y(t_s)), & s = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

if and only if $u \in X$ satisfies the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} m(s)f(s, x(s), y(s))ds + \int_0^\infty \phi(s)F(s, x(s), y(s))ds \\ &+ \sum_{s=1}^i I(t_s, x(t_s), y(t_s)), \quad t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}], \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. Let $u \in X$ be a solution of (3.4). Then, it follows from (3.4) that for $t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), there exist constants $c_i \in R$ such that

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} m(s)f(s, x(s), y(s))ds + c_i, t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}], \quad i \in N_0. \quad (3.6)$$

From $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(t) = \int_0^\infty \phi(s)F(s, x(s), y(s))ds$, we get $c_0 = \int_0^\infty \phi(s)F(s, x(s), y(s))ds$. From $\Delta u(t_i) = I(t_i, x(t_i), y(t_i))$, we have $c_i - c_{i-1} = I(t_i, x(t_i), y(t_i))$, which leads to $c_i = c_0 + \sum_{s=1}^i I(t_s, x(t_s), y(t_s))$. On substituting c_i into (3.6), we obtain for $t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$),

$$u(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} m(s)f(s, m(s), y(s))ds$$

$$+ \int_0^\infty \phi(s)F(s, x(s), y(s))ds + \sum_{s=1}^i I(t_s, x(t_s), y(t_s)),$$

which is simply the same as (3.5). Moreover, since $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, we have (3.1)–(3.3) which will lead to the expression of u in (3.5) is indeed in X .

On the other hand, if $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ and $u \in X$ satisfies (3.5), then we can prove that $u \in X$ is a solution of (3.4). The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $x, y \in X$. Then, $v \in Y$ is a solution of*

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^\beta v(t) = n(t)g(t, x(t), y(t)), & t \in (0, \infty), t \neq t_s, s = 1, 2, \dots, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} v(t) = \int_0^\infty \psi(s)G(s, x(s), y(s))ds, \\ \Delta v(t_s) = J(t_s, x(t_s), y(t_s)), & s = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

if and only if $v \in Y$ satisfies the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) = & \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} n(s)g(s, x(s), y(s))ds + \int_0^\infty \psi(s)G(s, x(s), y(s))ds \\ & + \sum_{s=1}^i J(t_s, x(t_s), y(t_s)), t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}], i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.1. \square

Now, we define the operator T on $X \times Y$ by $T(x, y)(t) = (T_1(x, y)(t), T_2(x, y)(t))$ where

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(x, y)(t) = & \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} m(s)f(s, x(s), y(s))ds \\ & + \int_0^\infty \phi(s)F(s, x(s), y(s))ds \\ & + \sum_{s=1}^i I(t_s, x(t_s), y(t_s)), t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}], i \in N_0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_2(x, y)(t) = & \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} n(s)g(s, x(s), y(s))ds \\ & + \int_0^\infty \psi(s)G(s, x(s), y(s))ds \\ & + \sum_{s=1}^i J(t_s, x(t_s), y(t_s)), t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}], i \in N_0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Remark 3.1. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ is a solution of system (1.1) if and only if $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ is a fixed point of the operator T .

Lemma 3.3. *The operator $T : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ is well defined and is completely continuous.*

Proof. The proof is long and will be divided into parts. First, we prove that T is well defined. Next, we show that T is continuous, and finally we prove that T is compact. Hence, T is completely continuous.

Step 1. We shall prove that $T : X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ is well defined. For $(x, y) \in \overline{X \times Y}$, we have $\|(x, y)\| = r > 0$. Then, (3.1)–(3.4) hold. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{1+t^\sigma} \left| \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} m(s) f(s, x(s), y(s)) ds \right| \\ & \leq \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{1+t^\sigma} \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |m(s) f(s, x(s), y(s))| ds \\ & \leq A_r L_1 \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{1+t^\sigma} \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} s^k ds \quad \left(\text{let } w = \frac{s}{t} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1+t^\sigma} \left| \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} m(s) f(s, x(s), y(s)) ds \right| \\ & \leq \frac{A_r L_1 t^{\alpha+k}}{1+t^\sigma} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-w)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} w^k dw \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{1+t^\sigma} \left| \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} m(s) f(s, x(s), y(s)) ds \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{3.12}$$

We see that $T_1(x, y)(t)$ is defined on $(0, +\infty)$ and is continuous on $(t_s, t_{s+1}]$ ($s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). Next, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_s^+} T_1(x, y)(t) (s \in N_0)$ exist.

Also, in view of (3.1)–(3.3) and (3.12), we find for $t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1+t^\sigma} |T_1(x, y)(t)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{1+t^\sigma} \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |m(s) f(s, x(s), y(s))| ds \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{1+t^\sigma} \left[\int_0^\infty |\phi(s) F(s, x(s), y(s))| ds + \sum_{s=1}^i |I_s(t_s, x(t_s), y(t_s))| \right] \\ & \leq \frac{A_r L_1 t^{\alpha+k}}{1+t^\sigma} \frac{\mathbf{B}(\alpha, k+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{\|\phi\|_1 A'_r}{1+t^\sigma} + \frac{1}{1+t^\sigma} \sum_{s=1}^\infty A_{r,s} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

With this we have shown that $T_1(x, y) \in X$. Similarly we can show that $T_2(x, y) \in X$. Hence, $(T_1(x, y), T_2(x, y)) \in X \times X$ and $T : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ is well defined.

Step 2. We shall prove that T is continuous. Let $(x_n, y_n) \in X \times X$ with $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We shall show that $T(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow T(x_0, y_0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., $T_1(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow T_1(x_0, y_0)$ and $T_2(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow T_2(x_0, y_0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In fact, there exists $r > 0$ such that $\|(x_n, y_n)\| \leq r$, ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). Then, (3.1)–(3.4) hold for $(x, y) = (x_n, y_n)$. Also,

$$\sup_{t \in (0, +\infty)} \frac{|x_n(t) - x_0(t)|}{1 + t^\sigma} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \in (0, +\infty)} \frac{|y_n(t) - y_0(t)|}{1 + t^\delta} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Noting

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(x_n, y_n)(t) &= \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} m(s) f(s, x_n(s), y_n(s)) ds + \int_0^\infty \phi(s) F(s, x_n(s), y_n(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=1}^i I_s(t_s, x_n(t_s), y_n(t_s)), \quad t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}], \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

from the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\sup_{t \in (0, +\infty)} \frac{|T_1(x_n, y_n)(t) - T_1(x_0, y_0)(t)|}{1 + t^\sigma} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Similarly,

$$\sup_{t \in (0, +\infty)} \frac{|T_2(x_n, y_n)(t) - T_2(x_0, y_0)(t)|}{1 + t^\delta} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence, T is continuous.

Step 3. We shall prove that T is compact, i.e., for each nonempty open bounded subset Ω of $X \times Y$, we shall prove that $T(\overline{\Omega})$ is relatively compact. For this, we shall show that $T(\overline{\Omega})$ is uniformly bounded, equicontinuous on each $(t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), both $t \rightarrow \frac{(T_1(x, y))(t)}{1+t^\sigma}$ and $t \rightarrow \frac{(T_2(x, y))(t)}{1+t^\mu}$ are equiconvergent as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Let Ω be an open bounded subset of $X \times Y$. There exists $r > 0$ such that (3.1) holds for all $(x, y) \in \overline{\Omega}$. Hence, (3.2)–(3.4) also hold for all $(x, y) \in \overline{\Omega}$.

Step 3a. We shall show that $T(\overline{\Omega})$ is uniformly bounded. Let $(x, y) \in \overline{\Omega}$. For $t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), from (3.13) we have

$$\frac{1}{1 + t^\sigma} |T_1(x, y)(t)| \leq A_r L_1 \frac{\mathbf{B}(\alpha, k + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sup_{t \in (0, +\infty)} \frac{t^{\alpha+k}}{1 + t^\sigma} + \|\phi\|_1 A'_r + \sum_{s=1}^\infty A_{r,s} < \infty.$$

Similarly, we can obtain for $t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$),

$$\frac{1}{1 + t^\delta} |T_2(x, y)(t)| \leq B_r L_2 \frac{\mathbf{B}(\beta, l + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sup_{t \in (0, +\infty)} \frac{t^{\beta+l}}{1 + t^\mu} + \|\psi\|_1 B'_r + \sum_{s=1}^\infty B_{r,s} < \infty.$$

Hence, it is easy to see that $T(\overline{\Omega})$ is uniformly bounded.

Step 3b. We shall prove that $T(\overline{\Omega})$ is equicontinuous on each $(t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). We define

$$\overline{(T_1(x, y))}(t) = \begin{cases} (T_1(x, y))(t), & t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}], \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow t_i^+} (T_1(x, y))(t), & t = t_i. \end{cases}$$

Then $\overline{(T_1(x, y))}$ is continuous on $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$. So $\{\overline{(T_1(x, y))} : (x, y) \in \overline{\Omega}\}$ is equicontinuous on $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$. Thus $\{(T_1(x, y)) : (x, y) \in \overline{\Omega}\}$ is equicontinuous on $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$.

Similarly, we can show that $\{(T_2(x, y)) : (x, y) \in \overline{\Omega}\}$ is equicontinuous on $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$.

So $T(\overline{\Omega})$ is equicontinuous on each $(t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$).

Step 3c. We shall show that $T(\overline{\Omega})$ is equiconvergent as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

it comes from the following items:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1+t^\sigma} |T_1(x, y)(t)| &\leq A_r L_1 \frac{\mathbf{B}(\alpha, k+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{t^{\alpha+k}}{1+t^\sigma} + \frac{\|\phi\|_1 A'_r + \sum_{s=1}^\infty A_{r,s}}{1+t^\sigma} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly as } t \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain for $t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1+t^\delta} |T_2(x, y)(t)| &\leq B_r L_2 \frac{\mathbf{B}(\beta, l+1)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{t^{\beta+l}}{1+t^\mu} + \frac{\|\psi\|_1 B'_r + \sum_{s=1}^\infty B_{r,s}}{1+t^\mu} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly as } t \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

We have established that $T(\overline{\Omega})$ is relatively compact. So T is completely continuous. This completes the proof. \square

We are now ready to present the main theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Let (a)–(e) and (A)–(B) hold, $\Phi : R \rightarrow R$ be a sup-multiplicative-like function with supporting function ω , and its inverse function $\Phi^{-1} : R \rightarrow R$ with supporting function ν . Furthermore, suppose that*

- (i) *there exist nonnegative numbers $c_f, b_f, a_f, C_F, B_F, A_F, C_{I,s}, B_{I,s}$ and $A_{I,s}$ such that $\sum_{s=1}^\infty C_{I,s}, \sum_{s=1}^\infty B_{I,s}$ and $\sum_{s=1}^\infty A_{I,s}$ are convergent, and the following hold for all $(U, V) \in R^2$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$:*

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, (1+t^\sigma)U, (1+t^\delta)V)| &\leq c_f + b_f|U| + a_f\Phi^{-1}(|V|), \\ |F(t, (1+t^\sigma)U, (1+t^\delta)V)| &\leq C_F + B_F|U| + A_F\Phi^{-1}(|V|), \\ |I(t_s, (1+t_s^\sigma)U, (1+t_s^\delta)V)| &\leq C_{I,s} + B_{I,s}|U| + A_{I,s}\Phi^{-1}(|V|); \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) *there exist nonnegative numbers $c_g, b_g, a_g, C_G, B_G, A_G, C_{J,s}, B_{J,s}$ and $A_{J,s}$ such that $\sum_{s=1}^\infty C_{J,s}, \sum_{s=1}^\infty B_{J,s}$ and $\sum_{s=1}^\infty A_{J,s}$ are convergent, and the following hold for all $(U, V) \in R^2$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$:*

$$\begin{aligned} |g(t, (1+t^\sigma)U, (1+t^\delta)V)| &\leq c_g + b_g\Phi(|U|) + a_g|V|, \\ |G(t, (1+t^\sigma)U, (1+t^\delta)V)| &\leq C_G + B_G\Phi(|U|) + A_G|V|, \\ |J(t_s, (1+t_s^\sigma)U, (1+t_s^\delta)V)| &\leq C_{J,s} + B_{J,s}\Phi(|U|) + A_{J,s}|V|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the system (1.1) has at least one solution in $X \times X$ if

$$\Sigma_3 < 1, \quad \Theta_2 < 1, \quad \frac{\Theta_3}{1-\Theta_2} \nu \left(\frac{2\Sigma_2}{1-\Sigma_3} \right) < 1 \tag{3.14}$$

or

$$\Sigma_3 < 1, \quad \Theta_2 < 1, \quad \frac{\Sigma_2}{1 - \Sigma_3} \frac{1}{w \left(\frac{1 - \Theta_2}{2\Theta_3} \right)} < 1, \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_2 &= L_1 \frac{\mathbf{B}(\alpha, k + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} b_f + \|\phi\|_1 B_F + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} B_{I,s}, \\ \Theta_3 &= L_1 \frac{\mathbf{B}(\alpha, k + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} a_f + \|\phi\|_1 A_F + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} A_{I,s}, \\ \Sigma_2 &= L_2 \frac{\mathbf{B}(\beta, l + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta)} b_g + \|\psi\|_1 B_G + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} B_{J,s}, \\ \Sigma_3 &= L_2 \frac{\mathbf{B}(\beta, l + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta)} a_g + \|\psi\|_1 A_G + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} A_{J,s}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Proof. We shall apply Lemma 2.6. From Lemma 3.3 we note that T is completely continuous. Let us consider the operator equation

$$(x, y) = \lambda T(x, y) \tag{3.17}$$

where $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. We shall show that any solution (x, y) of (3.17) satisfies

$$\|(x, y)\| \leq M \tag{3.18}$$

where M is a constant independent of λ . Now, in the context of Lemma 2.1, let

$$\Omega = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \|(x, y)\| < M + 1\}.$$

In view of (3.18), it is not possible to have $(x, y) \in \partial\Omega$ satisfying $(x, y) = \lambda T(x, y)$, hence we conclude by Lemma 2.6 that there exists $(x, y) \in \bar{\Omega}$ such that $(x, y) = T(x, y)$, i.e., the system (1.1) has a solution in $X \times X$. This completes the proof.

We shall now proceed to prove (3.18). Let (x, y) be a solution of the operator equation (3.17). It follows that $x = \lambda T_1(x, y)$ and $y = \lambda T_2(x, y)$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \lambda T_1(x, y)(t) \\ &= \lambda \int_0^t \frac{(t - s)^{\alpha - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} m(s) f(s, x(s), y(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \lambda \left[\int_0^\infty \phi(s) F(s, x(s), y(s)) ds + \sum_{s=1}^i I(t_s, x(t_s), y(t_s)) \right], \\ &\quad t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}], \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 y(t) &= \lambda T_2(x, y)(t) \\
 &= \lambda \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} n(s) g(s, x(s), y(s)) ds \\
 &\quad + \lambda \left[\int_0^\infty \psi(s) G(s, x(s), y(s)) ds + \sum_{s=1}^i J(t_s, x(t_s), y(t_s)) \right], \\
 &\quad t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}], \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

It is easy to see from condition (i) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(t, x(t), y(t))| &= \left| f \left(t, (1+t^\sigma) \frac{x(t)}{1+t^\sigma}, (1+t^\delta) \frac{y(t)}{1+t^\delta} \right) \right| \\
 &\leq c_f + b_f \frac{|x(t)|}{1+t^\sigma} + a_f \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{|y(t)|}{1+t^\delta} \right) \\
 &\leq c_f + b_f \|x\| + a_f \Phi^{-1} (\|y\|).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |F(t, x(t), y(t))| &\leq C_F + B_F \|x\| + A_F \Phi^{-1} (\|y\|), \\
 |I(t_s, x(t_s), y(t_s))| &\leq C_{I,s} + B_{I,s} \|x\| + A_{I,s} \Phi^{-1} (\|y\|).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

From (3.19), using (3.21) and (3.22), we find for $t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{|x(t)|}{1+t^\sigma} &\leq \frac{1}{1+t^\sigma} |T_1(x, y)(t)| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{1+t^\sigma} \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |m(s) f(s, x(s), y(s))| ds \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{1+t^\sigma} \left[\int_0^\infty |\phi(s) F(s, x(s), y(s))| ds + \sum_{s=1}^i |I(t_s, x(t_s), y(t_s))| \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{1+t^\sigma} \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} L_1 s^k [c_f + b_f \|x\| + a_f \Phi^{-1} (\|y\|)] ds \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{1+t^\sigma} \left\{ \int_0^\infty |\phi(s)| [C_F + B_F \|x\| + A_F \Phi^{-1} (\|y\|)] ds \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{s=1}^i [C_{I,s} + B_{I,s} \|x\| + A_{I,s} \Phi^{-1} (\|y\|)] \right\} \\
 &\leq L_1 \frac{1}{1+t^\sigma} \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} s^k ds [c_f + b_f \|x\| + a_f \Phi^{-1} (\|y\|)] \\
 &\quad + \|\phi\|_1 [C_F + B_F \|x\| + A_F \Phi^{-1} (\|y\|)] \\
 &\quad + \sum_{s=1}^\infty [C_{I,s} + B_{I,s} \|x\| + A_{I,s} \Phi^{-1} (\|y\|)]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= L_1 \frac{t^{\alpha+k}}{1+t^\sigma} \frac{\mathbf{B}(\alpha, k+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} [c_f + b_f \|x\| + a_f \Phi^{-1}(\|y\|)] \\
&\quad + \|\phi\|_1 [C_F + B_F \|x\| + A_F \Phi^{-1}(\|y\|)] \\
&\quad + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} [C_{I,s} + B_{I,s} \|x\| + A_{I,s} \Phi^{-1}(\|y\|)] \\
&\leq L_1 \frac{\mathbf{B}(\alpha, k+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} [c_f + b_f \|x\| + a_f \Phi^{-1}(\|y\|)] \\
&\quad + \|\phi\|_1 [C_F + B_F \|x\| + A_F \Phi^{-1}(\|y\|)] \\
&\quad + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} [C_{I,s} + B_{I,s} \|x\| + A_{I,s} \Phi^{-1}(\|y\|)] \\
&= \Theta_1 + \Theta_2 \|x\| + \Theta_3 \Phi^{-1}(\|y\|)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Theta_1 = L_1 \frac{\mathbf{B}(\alpha, k+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} c_f + \|\phi\|_1 C_F + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} C_{I,s}.$$

It follows that

$$\|x\| = \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \frac{1}{1+t^\sigma} |x(t)| \leq \Theta_1 + \Theta_2 \|x\| + \Theta_3 \Phi^{-1}(\|y\|),$$

or equivalently

$$\|x\| \leq \frac{\Theta_1}{1-\Theta_2} + \frac{\Theta_3}{1-\Theta_2} \Phi^{-1}(\|y\|). \quad (3.23)$$

Similarly, from (3.20) we can show that

$$\|y\| \leq \frac{\Sigma_1}{1-\Sigma_3} + \frac{\Sigma_2}{1-\Sigma_3} \Phi(\|x\|) \quad (3.24)$$

where

$$\Sigma_1 = L_2 \frac{\mathbf{B}(\beta, l+1)}{\Gamma(\beta)} c_g + \|\psi\|_1 C_G + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} t_s^{1-\beta} C_{J,s}.$$

Case 1. Suppose (3.14) holds. If $\|x\| \leq \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{\Sigma_1}{\Sigma_2}\right)$, by (3.24) we have $\|y\| \leq \frac{\Sigma_1}{1-\Sigma_3} + \frac{\Sigma_2}{1-\Sigma_3} \frac{\Sigma_1}{\Sigma_2}$. Then (3.18) holds with $M_1 = \max\left\{\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{\Sigma_1}{\Sigma_2}\right), \frac{\Sigma_1}{1-\Sigma_3} + \frac{\Sigma_2}{1-\Sigma_3} \frac{\Sigma_1}{\Sigma_2}\right\}$.
If

$$\|x\| \geq \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{\Sigma_1}{\Sigma_2}\right). \quad (3.25)$$

Then, using (3.24) in (3.23) as well as (3.25) and (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &\leq \frac{\Theta_1}{1-\Theta_2} + \frac{\Theta_3}{1-\Theta_2} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{\Sigma_1}{1-\Sigma_3} + \frac{\Sigma_2}{1-\Sigma_3} \Phi(\|x\|) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\Theta_1}{1-\Theta_2} + \frac{\Theta_3}{1-\Theta_2} \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{2\Sigma_2}{1-\Sigma_3} \Phi(\|x\|) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\Theta_1}{1-\Theta_2} + \frac{\Theta_3}{1-\Theta_2} \nu \left(\frac{2\Sigma_2}{1-\Sigma_3} \right) \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(\|x\|)) \\ &= \frac{\Theta_1}{1-\Theta_2} + \frac{\Theta_3}{1-\Theta_2} \nu \left(\frac{2\Sigma_2}{1-\Sigma_3} \right) \|x\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

From (3.14) we have $\frac{\Theta_3}{1-\Theta_2} \nu \left(\frac{2\Sigma_2}{1-\Sigma_3} \right) < 1$, therefore it follows from (3.26) that

$$\|x\| \leq \frac{\Theta_1}{1-\Theta_2} \left[1 - \frac{\Theta_3}{1-\Theta_2} \nu \left(\frac{2\Sigma_2}{1-\Sigma_3} \right) \right]^{-1} \equiv W. \quad (3.27)$$

From the above discussion, we have either $\|x\| \leq W$. Substituting (3.27) into (3.24) yields

$$\|y\| \leq \frac{\Sigma_1}{1-\Sigma_3} + \frac{\Sigma_2}{1-\Sigma_3} \Phi(W) \equiv M_2. \quad (3.28)$$

Combining (3.27) and (3.28), we have proved that (3.18) holds with $M = \max\{W, M_1, M_2\}$.

Case 2. Suppose (3.16) holds. If $\|y\| \leq \Phi \left(\frac{\Theta_1}{\Theta_3} \right)$, then (3.23) implies that $\|x\| \leq \frac{\Theta_1}{1-\Theta_2} + \frac{\Theta_3}{1-\Theta_2} \frac{\Theta_1}{\Theta_3}$. Thus (3.18) holds with $M_3 = \max \left\{ \frac{\Theta_1}{1-\Theta_2} + \frac{\Theta_3}{1-\Theta_2} \frac{\Theta_1}{\Theta_3}, \Phi \left(\frac{\Theta_1}{\Theta_3} \right) \right\}$.
If

$$\|y\| \geq \Phi \left(\frac{\Theta_1}{\Theta_3} \right). \quad (3.29)$$

Then, using (3.23) in (3.24) and together with (3.29) and (2.1), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\| &\leq \frac{\Sigma_1}{1-\Sigma_3} + \frac{\Sigma_2}{1-\Sigma_3} \Phi \left(\frac{\Theta_1}{1-\Theta_2} + \frac{\Theta_3}{1-\Theta_2} \Phi^{-1}(\|y\|) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\Sigma_1}{1-\Sigma_3} + \frac{\Sigma_2}{1-\Sigma_3} \Phi \left(\frac{2\Theta_3}{1-\Theta_2} \Phi^{-1}(\|y\|) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\Sigma_1}{1-\Sigma_3} + \frac{\Sigma_2}{1-\Sigma_3} \frac{\Phi(\Phi^{-1}(\|y\|))}{w \left(\frac{1-\Theta_2}{2\Theta_3} \right)} \\ &= \frac{\Sigma_1}{1-\Sigma_3} + \frac{\Sigma_2}{1-\Sigma_3} \frac{1}{w \left(\frac{1-\Theta_2}{2\Theta_3} \right)} \|y\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

Since $\frac{\Sigma_2}{1-\Sigma_3} \left[w \left(\frac{1-\Theta_2}{2\Theta_3} \right) \right]^{-1} < 1$, it is clear from (3.30) that

$$\|y\| \leq \frac{\Sigma_1}{1-\Sigma_3} \left[1 - \frac{\Sigma_2}{1-\Sigma_3} \frac{1}{w \left(\frac{1-\Theta_2}{2\Theta_3} \right)} \right]^{-1} \equiv W', \tag{3.31}$$

which on substituting into (3.23) gives

$$\|x\| \leq \frac{\Theta_1}{1-\Theta_2} + \frac{\Theta_3}{1-\Theta_2} \Phi^{-1}(W') \equiv M_4. \tag{3.32}$$

Coupling (3.31) and (3.32), we have shown that (3.18) holds with $M = \max\{W', M_3, M_4\}$. The proof is complete. □

4. An example

In this section, we present an example to illustrate the main theorem.

Example 4.1. Consider the following initial value problem of impulsive fractional differential system:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0+}^{\frac{2}{5}} u(t) = t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[c_0 + b_0 \frac{u(t)}{1+t^{2/3}} + a_0 \frac{(v(t))^3}{(1+t^{2/3})^3} \right], & t \in (s, s+1], s \in N_0, \\ {}^C D_{0+}^{\frac{3}{5}} v(t) = t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[c_1 + b_1 \frac{(u(t))^{\frac{1}{3}}}{(1+t^{2/3})^{1/3}} + a_1 \frac{v(t)}{1+t^{2/3}} \right], & t \in (s, s+1], s \in N_0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{3}{5}} u(t) = B_0 \int_0^\infty e^{-s} \frac{u(s)}{1+s^{2/3}} ds, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{2}{5}} v(t) = B_1 \int_0^\infty e^{-s} \frac{(u(s))^{\frac{1}{3}}}{(1+s^{2/3})^{1/3}} ds, \\ \Delta u(s) = 2^{-s}, \Delta v(s) = 3^{-s}, s = 1, 2, \dots, \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

where $c_0, b_0, a_0, c_1, b_1, a_1, B_0$ and B_1 are constants.

Corresponding to system (1.1) we have

- (a) $\alpha = \frac{2}{5}, \beta = \frac{3}{5}$,
- (b) $0 = t_0 < t_1 = 1 < \dots < t_s = s < \dots$ with $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s = \infty$,
- (c) $m(t) = t^{-\frac{1}{2}} = n(t)$ are singular at $t = 0, |m(t)| = |n(t)| \leq L_1 t^k = L_2 t^l$ with $L_1 = L_2 = 1$ and $k = l = -\frac{1}{2}$,
- (d) $\phi(t) = e^{-t} = \psi(t)$ satisfy $\phi, \psi \in L^1(0, \infty)$, and
- (e) f, g, F, G, I_s and J_s are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x, y) &= c_0 + b_0 \frac{x}{1+t^{2/3}} + a_0 \frac{y^3}{(1+t^{2/3})^3}, \\ g(t, x, y) &= c_1 + b_1 \frac{x^{1/3}}{(1+t^{2/3})^{1/3}} + a_1 \frac{y}{1+t^{2/3}}, \\ F(t, x, y) &= B_0 \frac{x}{1+t^{2/3}}, \quad G(t, x, y) = B_1 \frac{x^{1/3}}{(1+t^{2/3})^{1/3}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$I(s, x, y) = 2^{-s}, \quad J(s, x, y) = 3^{-s}, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots$$

Choose $\sigma = \delta = \frac{2}{3}$. Then, $\sigma > k + 1$ and $\delta > l + 1$. It is easy to show that

- (A) f, g, F, G are Carathéodory functions,
 (B) $I(s, x, y), J(s, x, y)$ are Carathéodory sequences.

Furthermore, in the context of Theorem 3.1, we have $\Phi^{-1}(x) = x^3$ with supporting function $w(x) = x^{\frac{1}{3}}$, and $\Phi(x) = x^{\frac{1}{3}}$ with supporting function $\nu(x) = x^3$. It is easy to see that conditions (i) and (ii) in Theorem 3.1 are satisfied with

$$\begin{aligned} c_f &= |c_0|, \quad b_f = |b_0|, \quad a_f = |a_0|, \quad C_F = 0, \quad B_F = |B_0|, \quad A_F = 0, \\ C_{I,s} &= 2^{-s}, \quad B_{I,s} = A_{I,s} = 0, \\ c_g &= |c_1|, \quad b_g = |b_1|, \quad a_g = |a_1|, \quad C_G = 0, \quad B_G = |B_1|, \quad A_G = 0, \\ C_{J,s} &= 3^{-s}, \quad B_{J,s} = A_{J,s} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By direct computation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_2 &= \frac{\mathbf{B}(2/5, 1/2)}{\Gamma(2/5)} |b_0| + |B_0|, \quad \Theta_3 = \frac{\mathbf{B}(2/5, 1/2)}{\Gamma(2/5)} |a_0|, \\ \Sigma_2 &= \frac{\mathbf{B}(3/5, 1/2)}{\Gamma(3/5)} |b_1| + |B_1|, \quad \Sigma_3 = \frac{\mathbf{B}(3/5, 1/2)}{\Gamma(3/5)} |a_1|. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 3.1, we see that system (4.1) has at least one solution if (3.14) or (3.15) holds, i.e., if

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbf{B}(3/5, 1/2)}{\Gamma(3/5)} |a_1| < 1, \quad \frac{\mathbf{B}(2/5, 1/2)}{\Gamma(2/5)} |b_0| + |B_0| < 1, \quad \text{and} \\ \frac{\frac{\mathbf{B}(2/5, 1/2)}{\Gamma(2/5)} |a_0|}{1 - \frac{\mathbf{B}(2/5, 1/2)}{\Gamma(2/5)} |b_0| - |B_0|} \left[\frac{2 \frac{\mathbf{B}(3/5, 1/2)}{\Gamma(3/5)} |b_1| + 2|B_1|}{1 - \frac{\mathbf{B}(3/5, 1/2)}{\Gamma(3/5)} |a_1|} \right]^3 < 1 \quad \text{or} \quad (4.2) \\ \frac{\frac{\mathbf{B}(3/5, 1/2)}{\Gamma(3/5)} |b_1| + |B_1|}{1 - \frac{\mathbf{B}(3/5, 1/2)}{\Gamma(3/5)} |a_1|} \left[\frac{1 - \frac{\mathbf{B}(2/5, 1/2)}{\Gamma(2/5)} |b_0| - |B_0|}{2 \frac{\mathbf{B}(2/5, 1/2)}{\Gamma(2/5)} |a_0|} \right]^{-\frac{1}{3}} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.1. It is easy to see from (4.2) that system (4.1) has at least one solution for sufficiently small $|a_0|, |b_0|, |a_1|, |b_1|, |B_0|$ and $|B_1|$.

REFERENCES

1. R.P. Agarwal, M. Benchohra and S. Hamani, *A survey on existence results for boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations and inclusions*, Acta Appl. Math. **109** (2010), 973-1033.
2. R.P. Agarwal, M. Benchohra and B.A. Slimani, *Existence results for differential equations with fractional order and impulses*, Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys. **44** (2008), 1-21.
3. B. Ahmad and J.J. Nieto, *Existence of solutions for impulsive anti-periodic boundary value problems of fractional order*, Taiwanese J. Math. **15** (2011), 981-993.

4. B. Ahmad and S. Sivasundaram, *Existence of solutions for impulsive integral boundary value problems involving fractional differential equations*, *Nonlinear Anal. Hybrid Syst.* **3** (2009), 251-258.
5. B. Ahmad and S. Sivasundaram, *Existence of solutions for impulsive integral boundary value problems of fractional order*, *Nonlinear Anal. Hybrid Syst.* **4** (2010), 134-141.
6. K. Diethelm, *The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2010.
7. K.M. Furati and N. Tatar, *An existence result for a nonlocal fractional differential problem*, *J. Fract. Calc.* **26** (2004), 43-51.
8. R. Hilfer, *Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics*, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 2000.
9. J. Henderson and A. Ouahab, *Impulsive differential inclusions with fractional order*, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59** (2010), 1191-1226.
10. G.L. Karakostas, *Positive solutions for the Φ -Laplacian when Φ is a sup-multiplicative-like function*, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, **68** (2004), 1-12.
11. V.V. Lakshmikantham, D.D. Bainov and P.S. Simeonov, *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
12. V. Lashmikanthan and A.S. Vatsala, *Basic theory of fractional differential equations*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **69** (2008), 2715-2682.
13. J. Mawhin, *Topological degree methods in nonlinear boundary value problems*, in: CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 40, American Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.
14. F. Mainardi, *Fractional Calculus: Some basic problems in continuum and statistical mechanics*, in: *Fractals and Fractional Calculus in Continuum Mechanics*, 291-348, CISM Courses and Lectures 378, Springer, Vienna, 1997.
15. K.S. Miller and B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*, John Wiley, New, York, 1993.
16. I. Podlubny, *Geometric and physical interpretation of fractional integration and fractional differentiation*, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **5** (2002), 367-386.
17. Y. Tian and Z. Bai, *Existence results for three-point impulsive integral boundary value problems involving fractional differential equations*, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59** (2010), 2601-2609.
18. J.R. Wang, Y. Yang and W. Wei, *Nonlocal impulsive problems for fractional differential equations with time-varying generating operators in Banach spaces*, *Opuscula Math.* **30** (2010), 361-381.
19. S. Zhang, *Monotone iterative method for initial value problem involving Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **71** (2009), 2087-2093.

Yuji Liu

Department of Mathematics, Guangdong University of Business Studies, Guangzhou 510320, P.R.China.

e-mail: liuyuji888@sohu.com

Patricia J.Y. Wong

School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, 50 Nanyang Avenue, Singapore 639798, Singapore.

e-mail: ejoywong@ntu.edu.sg