Bull. Korean Math. Soc.  ${\bf 52}$  (2015), No. 5, pp. 1489–1493 http://dx.doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.2015.52.5.1489

# ON SIMULTANEOUS LOCAL DIMENSION FUNCTIONS OF SUBSETS OF $\mathbb{R}^d$

### LARS OLSEN

ABSTRACT. For a subset  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the local Hausdorff dimension function of E at x and the local packing dimension function of E at x are defined by

$$\begin{split} \dim_{\mathsf{H},\mathsf{loc}}(x,E) &= \lim_{r\searrow 0} \dim_{\mathsf{H}}(E\cap B(x,r))\,,\\ \dim_{\mathsf{P},\mathsf{loc}}(x,E) &= \lim_{r\searrow 0} \dim_{\mathsf{P}}(E\cap B(x,r))\,, \end{split}$$

where dim<sub>H</sub> and dim<sub>P</sub> denote the Hausdorff dimension and the packing dimension, respectively. In this note we give a short and simple proof showing that for any pair of continuous functions  $f, g: \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, d]$  with  $f \leq g$ , it is possible to choose a set E that simultaneously has f as its local Hausdorff dimension function and g as its local packing dimension function.

## 1. Introduction and statement of results

For a subset  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we define the local Hausdorff dimension function of E at x by

$$\dim_{\mathsf{H},\mathsf{loc}}(x,E) = \lim_{r \searrow 0} \dim_{\mathsf{H}}(E \cap B(x,r)),$$

where  $\dim_{\mathsf{H}}$  denotes the Hausdorff dimension. The local packing dimension function of E at x is defined similarly, i.e., by

$$\dim_{\mathsf{P},\mathsf{loc}}(x,E) = \lim_{r \searrow 0} \dim_{\mathsf{P}}(E \cap B(x,r)),$$

where dim<sub>P</sub> denotes the packing dimension. The reader is referred to [1] for the definitions of the Hausdorff and the packing dimensions. The local Hausdorff dimension function of a set has recently found several applications in fractal geometry and information theory, cf. [2, 4]. In [3] we proved that any continuous function is the local Hausdorff dimension function of some set, i.e., if  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to$ 

©2015 Korean Mathematical Society

Received June 19, 2014.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 28A80.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  Hausdorff dimension, packing dimension, local Hausdorff dimension, local packing dimension.

L. OLSEN

[0, d] is continuous, then there exists a set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$f(x) = \dim_{\mathsf{H,loc}}(x, E)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . In this note we give a short and simple proof showing that for any pair of continuous functions  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, d]$  with  $f \leq g$ , it is, in fact, possible to choose the set E such that it simultaneously has f as its local Hausdorff dimension function and g as its local packing dimension function, i.e., such that

$$\begin{split} f(x) &= \dim_{\mathsf{H},\mathsf{loc}}(x,E) \,, \\ g(x) &= \dim_{\mathsf{P},\mathsf{loc}}(x,E) \,, \end{split}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . In fact, our result also provides information about the rate at which the dimensions  $\dim_{\mathsf{H}}(E \cap B(x, r))$  and  $\dim_{\mathsf{P}}(E \cap B(x, r))$  converge to f(x) and g(x), respectively, as  $r \searrow 0$ , see (1.1) below. For an arbitrary function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we let

$$\omega_{\varphi}(x,r) = \sup_{x_1, x_2 \in B(x,r)} |\varphi(x_1) - \varphi(x_2)|$$

denote the modulus of continuity of  $\varphi$  at x, and observe that  $\varphi$  is continuous at x if and only if  $\omega_{\varphi}(x,r) \to 0$  as  $r \searrow 0$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, d]$  be continuous functions with  $f \leq g$ . Then there exists an  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$  set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

(1.1) 
$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - \dim_{\mathsf{H}}(E \cap B(x,r))| &\leq \omega_f(x,r), \\ |g(x) - \dim_{\mathsf{P}}(E \cap B(x,r))| &\leq \omega_g(x,r), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and all r > 0. In particular,

$$\begin{split} f(x) &= \dim_{\mathsf{H},\mathsf{loc}}(x,E)\,,\\ g(x) &= \dim_{\mathsf{P},\mathsf{loc}}(x,E)\,, \end{split}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section we prove Theorem 1. We need the following well-known result in order to prove Theorem 1.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let G be a non-empty open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $0 \leq t \leq s \leq d$ . Then there exists a compact set  $E \subseteq G$  such that  $\dim_{\mathsf{H}}(E) = t$  and  $\dim_{\mathsf{P}}(E) = s$ .

*Proof.* For a proof see, for example, [5]. In fact, the result in [5] is formulated and proved for the case where d = 1, but the techniques in [5] can clearly be adapted to prove the same result in the general case.

1490

We can now prove Theorem 1. We first introduce some notation. For a function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and positive number r > 0, write

$$\begin{split} m(\varphi;x,r) &= \inf_{y \in B(x,r)} \varphi(y) \,, \\ M(\varphi;x,r) &= \sup_{y \in B(x,r)} \varphi(y) \,. \end{split}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let  $0 \le t < \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x)$  and  $0 \le s < \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} g(x)$  with  $t \le s$  Fix  $x \in \{t < f, s < g\}$  and r > 0. Since f and g are continuous, we conclude that the set  $B(x,r) \cap \{t < f, s < g\}$  is open, and it therefore follows from Lemma 2.1 that we can find a compact set  $E_{t,s}(x,r)$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} E_{t,s}(x,r) &\subseteq B(x,r) \cap \{t < f, s < g\}, \\ \dim_{\mathsf{H}}(E_{t,s}(x,r)) &= t, \\ \dim_{\mathsf{P}}(E_{t,s}(x,r)) &= s. \end{aligned}$$

Next choose a countable dense subset  $U_{t,s}$  of  $\{t < f, s < g\}$ . We now define the set E as

$$E = \bigcup_{\substack{0 \le t < \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(y) \\ 0 \le s < \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} g(y) \\ t, s \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \\ t \le s}} \bigcup_{\substack{r \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \\ t < s}} E_{t,s}(x,r) .$$

The set E is clearly  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$ . We will now prove that f is the local Hausdorff dimension function of E and that g is the local packing dimension function of E, i.e.,  $f(x) = \dim_{\mathsf{H,loc}}(x, E)$  and  $g(x) = \dim_{\mathsf{P,loc}}(x, E)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Claim 1.** For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and all r > 0, we have

$$\dim_{\mathsf{H},\mathsf{loc}}(x,E) \le M(f;x,r) ,$$
$$\dim_{\mathsf{P},\mathsf{loc}}(x,E) \le M(g;x,r) .$$

Proof of Claim 1. Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and r > 0. We now have

$$(2.1) \qquad E \cap B(x,r) \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{0 \le t < \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(y) \\ 0 \le s < \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} g(y) \\ t, s \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \\ t \le s}} \bigcup_{\substack{\rho \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \\ t \le s}} \left( E_{t,s}(z,\rho) \cap B(x,r) \right).$$

Next observe that since  $E_{t,s}(z,\rho) \subseteq \{t < f, s < g\}$ , we conclude that

(2.2) 
$$E_{t,s}(z,\rho) \cap B(x,r) \subseteq \{t < f, s < g\} \cap B(x,r) = \emptyset$$

for  $M(f; x, r) \leq t$  and  $M(g; x, r) \leq s$ . Combining (2.1) and (2.2) yields

(2.3) 
$$E \cap B(x,r) \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{0 \le t < M(f;x,r) \\ 0 \le s < M(g;x,r) \\ t, s \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \\ t \le s}} \bigcup_{\substack{\rho \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \\ t \le s}} \left( E_{t,s}(z,\rho) \cap B(x,r) \right)$$

L. OLSEN

$$\subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{0 \le t < M(f;x,r) \\ 0 \le s < M(g;x,r) \\ t,s \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \\ t < s}} \bigcup_{\substack{\rho \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \\ t < s}} E_{t,s}(z,\rho) \, .$$

Since the union in (2.3) is countable, it follows from (2.3) and the fact that the Hausdorff dimension is countable stable that

$$\dim_{\mathsf{H}}(E \cap B(x,r)) \leq \sup_{\substack{0 \leq t < M(f;x,r) \ \rho \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \\ 0 \leq s < M(g;x,r) \ z \in U_{t,s} \\ t,s \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \\ t \leq s}} \sup_{\substack{0 \leq t < M(f;x,r) \ \rho \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \\ 0 \leq s < M(g;x,r) \ z \in U_{t,s} \\ t,s \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \\ t \leq s}} \sup_{\substack{0 \leq t < M(f;x,r) \ \rho \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \\ t \leq s}} t$$

for all r > 0. Similarly, it follows that

$$\dim_{\mathsf{P}}(E \cap B(x,r)) \le M(g;x,r)$$

for all r > 0 This completes the proof of Claim 1.

Claim 2. For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and all r > 0, we have  $m(f; x, r) \leq \dim_{\mathsf{H,loc}}(x, E)$ ,  $m(g; x, r) \leq \dim_{\mathsf{P,loc}}(x, E)$ .

Proof of Claim 2. Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and r > 0. Next, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that  $m(f; x, r) - \varepsilon, m(g; x, r) - \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ . Write  $t = m(f; x, r) - \varepsilon$  and  $s = m(g; x, r) - \varepsilon$ , and observe that  $t \leq s$ . We clearly have  $x \in \{t < f, s < g\}$ , and we can therefore find  $u \in U_{t,s}$  with  $|u - x| \leq \frac{r}{2}$ . Now, pick any  $\rho \in \mathbb{Q}_+$  with  $\rho \leq \frac{r}{2}$ . It now follows that

$$E_{t,s}(u,\rho) \subseteq E$$

and that  $E_{t,s}(u,\rho) \subseteq B(u,\rho) \subseteq B(x,r)$ , whence

$$E \cap B(x,r) \supseteq E_{t,s}(u,\rho) \cap B(x,r) = E_{t,s}(u,\rho).$$

We therefore conclude that

(2.4) 
$$\dim_{\mathsf{H}}(E \cap B(x,r)) \ge \dim_{\mathsf{H}}(E_{t,s}(u,\rho)) = t \ge m(f;x,r) - \varepsilon.$$

Similarly, we conclude that

(2.5) 
$$\dim_{\mathsf{P}}(E \cap B(x,r)) \ge \dim_{\mathsf{P}}(E_{t,s}(u,\rho)) = s \ge m(g;x,r) - \varepsilon.$$

Claim 2 follows from (2.4) and (2.5) by letting  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$  through values such that  $m(f; x, r) - \varepsilon, m(g; x, r) - \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ .

Theorem 1 follows immediately from Claim 1 and Claim 2.  $\Box$ 

1492

#### References

- [1] K. J. Falconer, Fractal Geometry, John Wiley & Sons, 1990.
- H. Jürgensen and L. Staiger, Local Hausdorff dimension, Acta Inform. 32 (1995), no. 5, 491–507.
- [3] L. Olsen, Applications of divergence points to local dimension functions of subsets of R<sup>d</sup>, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 48 (2005), no. 1, 213–218.
- [4] T. Rushing, Hausdorff dimension of wild fractals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 334 (1992), no. 2, 597–613.
- [5] D. Spear, Sets with different dimensions in [0, 1], Real Anal. Exchange 24 (1998/99), no. 1, 373–389.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF ST. ANDREWS ST. ANDREWS, FIFE KY16 9SS, SCOTLAND *E-mail address*: lo@st-and.ac.uk