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#### Abstract

In this work we obtain conditions for nonspecial line bundles on general $k$-gonal curves failing to be normally generated. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a nonspecial very ample line bundle on a general $k$-gonal curve $X$ with $k \geq 4$ and $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L} \geq \frac{3}{2} g+\frac{g-2}{k}+1$. If $\mathcal{L}$ fails to be normally generated, then $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(n g_{k}^{1}+B\right)+R$ for some $n \geq 1, B$ and $R$ satisfying (1) $h^{0}(R)=h^{0}(B)=1$, (2) $n+3 \leq \operatorname{deg} R \leq 2 n+2$, (3) $\operatorname{deg}(R \cap F) \leq 1$ for any $F \in g_{k}^{1}$. Its converse also holds under some additional restrictions. As a corollary, a very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-g_{d}^{0}+\xi_{e}^{0}$ is normally generated if $g_{d}^{0} \in X^{(d)}$ and $\xi_{e}^{0} \in X^{(e)}$ satisfy $d \leq \frac{g}{2}-\frac{g-2}{k}-3, \operatorname{supp}\left(g_{d}^{0} \cap \xi_{e}^{0}\right)=\emptyset$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{d}^{0} \cap F\right) \leq k-2$ for any $F \in g_{k}^{1}$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a smooth algebraic curve of genus $g$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. A very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$ is said to be normally generated if $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{N}, \mathcal{O}(m)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{m}\right)$ is surjective for all $m \geq 0$, where $\mathbb{P}^{N}:=\mathbb{P} H^{0}(\mathcal{L})^{*}$. Any line bundle on $X$ of degree at least $2 g+1$ is normally generated $[2,7,8]$ and any very ample line bundle on $X$ of degree $2 g$ is normally generated unless $X$ is hyperelliptic ([5]). On the other hand, if $X$ is hyperelliptic, any line bundle of degree $\leq 2 g$ is not normally generated (Corollary 3.4 in [5]). If $X$ is a trigonal curve of genus $g>4$ with $2 g-m_{X} \leq$ $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L} \leq 2 g$, then by Corollary 1 in [6] a nonspecial very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ fails to be normally generated under the following specific condition:

$$
\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\beta g_{3}^{1}+R \quad \text { for some } R \geq 0
$$
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where $\beta=2 g-\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}$ and $m_{X}$ is the Maroni invariant of $X$.
The aim of this work is to characterize nonspecial very ample line bundles on general $k$-gonal curves which fail to be normally generated. If a nonspecial very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on a general $k$-gonal curve $X$ fails to be normally generated, then by Theorem 3 in [3] and Theorem 2.1 in [4] we obtain an equivalence $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(r g_{k}^{1}+\tilde{B}\right)+\tilde{R}$ for some $r \geq 1, \tilde{B} \geq 0$ and $\tilde{R} \geq 0$ with $\operatorname{deg} \tilde{R} \leq 2 r+2$. Here, $g_{k}^{1}$ is the unique pencil of degree $k$ on $X$. In order to figure out sufficient conditions for the failure of normal generation, it is helpful to more specify conditions on those divisors $\tilde{R}$ and $\tilde{B}$ (see the proof of Theorem 3.2). Since one of typical ways to deal with multiple coverings is to exploit properties of their base curves, it is useful to relate $\tilde{R}$ or $\tilde{B}$ to a fiber of the covering morphism. Thus we transform the above equivalence to the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(n g_{k}^{1}+B\right)+R \text { with } \operatorname{deg}(R \cap F) \leq 1 \text { for any } F \in g_{k}^{1} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore we may assume that the transformation (1.1) satisfies $\operatorname{deg}(B \cap$ $F) \leq k-2$ for any $F \in g_{k}^{1}$, which guaranties the uniqueness of the presentation (1.1) of $\mathcal{L}$ (Proposition 2.2).

Through this observation we get a result on a general $k$-gonal curve $X$ of genus $g$ and $4 \leq k \leq \frac{g+1}{2}$ as follows (Theorem 3.2). If a nonspecial very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$ with $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L} \geq \frac{3}{2} g+\frac{g-2}{k}+1$ fails to be normally generated, then $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(n g_{k}^{1}+B\right)+R$ for some $n \geq 1, R \geq 0$ and $B \geq 0$ satisfying the following:
$(*(n)) \quad n+3 \leq \operatorname{deg} R \leq 2 n+2, h^{0}(R)=1, h^{0}(B)=1, \operatorname{supp}(R \cap B)=\emptyset$,
$(* *) \quad \operatorname{deg}(R \cap F) \leq 1$ and $\operatorname{deg}(B \cap F) \leq k-2$ for any $F \in g_{k}^{1}$.
Such a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ has an equivalence $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(g_{n k-n}^{0}+B\right)+\left(R-R_{n}\right)$ for $R_{n} \in X^{(n)}$ and $g_{n k-n}^{0}:=n g_{k}^{1}-R_{n}$, and hence there exists a divisor $\tilde{F} \in g_{k}^{1}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\tilde{F} \cap g_{n k-n}^{0}\right)=k-1$ since $n \geq 1$ and $\operatorname{deg}(R \cap F) \leq 1$ for any $F \in g_{k}^{1}$. In fact, a line bundle $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-g_{d}^{0}+\xi_{e}^{0}$ is very ample and normally generated if $g_{d}^{0} \in X^{(d)}$ and $\xi_{e}^{0} \in X^{(e)}$ satisfy $\operatorname{supp}\left(g_{d}^{0} \cap \xi_{e}^{0}\right)=\emptyset, d \leq \frac{g}{2}-\frac{g-2}{k}-3, \xi_{e}^{0} \nless F$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{d}^{0} \cap F\right) \leq k-2$ for any $F \in g_{k}^{1}$ (Corollary 3.3). Therefore, in Theorem 3.2 , the condition $n \geq 1$ is crucial for failing to be normally generated.

Conversely, a line bundle $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(n g_{k}^{1}+B\right)+R$ which satisfies the conditions $(*(n)),(* *)$ and $2 n(k-2)+\operatorname{deg} B \leq \frac{g-4}{2}$ is very ample and fails to be normally generated (Theorem 3.4). In addition, for both hyperelliptic and trigonal cases, nonspecial non-normally generated very ample line bundles which were already classified in [5] and [6] also admit the same type of presentation as (1.1) with conditions $(*(n))$ and ( $* *$ ) (Remark 3.5, Remark 3.6).

Regarding special line bundles on general $k$-gonal curves, it was shown that if a special very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ of $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}>\frac{3 g-1}{2}$ on a general $k$-gonal curve $X$ with $4 \leq k \leq \frac{g+1}{2}$ fails to be normally generated, then we have $\operatorname{deg} B>\frac{c(k-2)}{2}$,
where $c:=\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}-\frac{3 g-1}{2}$ and $B$ is the base locus of $\mathcal{K}_{X} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ ([1]). Note that the special line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(n g_{k}^{1}+B\right)$ for some $n \geq 0$ and a divisor $B$ with $\operatorname{deg}(B \cap F)<k-2$ for any $F \in g_{k}^{1}$ by the very ampleness of $\mathcal{L}$.

## Notations.

(1) $H^{i}(\mathcal{L}):=H^{i}(X, \mathcal{L}), h^{0}(D):=h^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(D)\right)$.
(2) $g_{d}^{0}$ : an effective divisor of degree $d$ with $h^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(g_{d}^{0}\right)\right)=1$.
(3) $\mathcal{L}-g_{d}^{n}:=\mathcal{L}(-D)$ where $g_{d}^{n}=|D|$.
(4) $\langle D\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$ : the linear space spanned by the points of $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}}(D)$ for a very ample $\mathcal{L}$.
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## 2. Preliminaries

The aim of this work is to characterize nonspecial line bundles on a general $k$-gonal curve $X$ which are very ample and fail to be normally generated. To do this we in advance want to find out conditions such as $(*(n))$ and $(* *)$ for a description $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(n g_{k}^{1}+B\right)+R$ on $X$. This can be done through Proposition 2.2 which will be proved by the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 ([4], Theorem 2.1). Let $X$ be a general $k$-gonal curve of genus $g \geq 4, k \geq 4$, and let $g_{k}^{1}$ be the unique pencil of degree $k$ on $X$. If $X$ has a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ with $\operatorname{Cliff}(\mathcal{L}) \leq \frac{g-4}{2}$ and $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L} \leq g-1$, then $|\mathcal{L}|$ is compounded of $g_{k}^{1}$, that is, $|\mathcal{L}|=(\operatorname{dim}|\mathcal{L}|) g_{k}^{1}+B$ for some $B \geq 0$.

The following proposition will be used for the proof of Theorem 3.2 which demonstrates necessary conditions for nonspecial line bundles on general $k$ gonal curves not to be normally generated. The condition $\operatorname{deg}(R \cap F) \leq 1$ in $(* *)$ which enables us to use properties of the base curve $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ is essential to show the converse of Theorem 3.2 with some restrictions.

Proposition 2.2. Let $X$ be a general $k$-gonal curve of genus $g$. Assume that a very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$ is given by $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(r g_{k}^{1}+\tilde{B}\right)+\tilde{R}$ for some $r \geq 1$, divisors $\tilde{R} \geq 0$ and $\tilde{B} \geq 0$ on $X$ satisfying $r(k-2)+\operatorname{deg} \tilde{B} \leq \frac{g-4}{2}$ and the condition $(*(r))$. Then we have the following:
(1) $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(n g_{k}^{1}+B\right)+R$ for some $n \geq 1$, divisors $R \geq 0$ and $B \geq 0$ satisfying $n(k-2)+\operatorname{deg} B \leq \frac{g-4}{2},(*(n))$ and $(* *)$.
(2) Furthermore, the above presentation is unique if $n k+\operatorname{deg} B \leq \frac{g-4}{2}$.

Proof. (1) Suppose that $\operatorname{deg}(\tilde{R} \cap \tilde{F})=t \geq 2$ for some $\tilde{F} \in g_{k}^{1}$. Set $D_{t}:=\tilde{R} \cap \tilde{F}$. Then the equivalence $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(r g_{k}^{1}+\tilde{B}\right)+\tilde{R}$ gives

$$
\left.\left|\mathcal{K}_{X} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\tilde{R}-D_{t}\right)\right|=\mid(r-1) g_{k}^{1}+\left(\tilde{F}-D_{t}\right)+\tilde{B}\right) \mid .
$$

By the following inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cliff}\left((r-1) g_{k}^{1}+\left(\tilde{F}-D_{t}\right)+\tilde{B}\right) & \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(r g_{k}^{1}+\tilde{B}\right)-t-2(r-1) \\
& =\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(r g_{k}^{1}+\tilde{B}\right)-2 r\right)-(t-2) \\
& \leq r(k-2)+\operatorname{deg} \tilde{B} \leq \frac{g-4}{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.1 implies that $\left|(r-1) g_{k}^{1}+\left(\tilde{F}-D_{t}\right)+\tilde{B}\right|$ is compounded of $g_{k}^{1}$, i.e.,

$$
\left|(r-1) g_{k}^{1}+\left(\tilde{F}-D_{t}\right)+\tilde{B}\right|=s g_{k}^{1}+\{\text { base locus }\} \text { for some } s \geq r-1
$$

Then we have

$$
\left|(r-1) g_{k}^{1}+\left(\tilde{F}-D_{t}\right)+\tilde{B}\right|=(r-1) g_{k}^{1}+\left(\tilde{F}-D_{t}+\tilde{B}\right)
$$

since the conditions $D_{t} \leq \tilde{R}$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\tilde{B} \cap \tilde{R})=\emptyset$ imply $h^{0}\left(\tilde{F}-D_{t}+\tilde{B}\right)=1$. Thus $\mathcal{L}$ admits the following presentation:

$$
\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left((r-1) g_{k}^{1}+\left(\tilde{F}-D_{t}\right)+\tilde{B}\right)+\left(\tilde{R}-D_{t}\right) .
$$

From $\operatorname{supp}(\tilde{R} \cap \tilde{B})=\emptyset$ and $\tilde{R} \cap \tilde{F}=D_{t}$ we get

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(\left(\tilde{F}-D_{t}+\tilde{B}\right) \cap\left(\tilde{R}-D_{t}\right)\right)=\emptyset
$$

And we have $(r-1)+3 \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(\tilde{R}-D_{t}\right) \leq 2(r-1)+2$ since $\mathcal{L}$ is very ample and $\operatorname{deg} \tilde{R} \leq 2 r+2$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(\tilde{R}-D_{t}\right) \leq \operatorname{deg} \tilde{R}-2$. Iterating such processes as many as possible, by $\operatorname{deg} \tilde{R} \leq 2 r+2$ we finally obtain for some $n^{\prime} \geq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(n^{\prime} g_{k}^{1}+B^{\prime}\right)+R^{\prime} \text { with } n^{\prime}(k-2)+\operatorname{deg} B^{\prime} \leq \frac{g-4}{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $R^{\prime} \geq 0$ and $B^{\prime} \geq 0$ satisfy $\left(*\left(n^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(R^{\prime} \cap F\right) \leq 1$ for any $F \in g_{k}^{1}$.
Assume that there exists a divisor $\tilde{F} \in g_{k}^{1}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(B^{\prime} \cap \tilde{F}\right)=k-1$. Let $E_{k-1}:=B^{\prime} \cap \tilde{F}$ and $P:=\tilde{F}-E_{k-1}$. The presentation (2.1) gives
$\left|\mathcal{K}_{X} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(R^{\prime}+P\right)\right|=\left|n^{\prime} g_{k}^{1}+\left(B^{\prime}-E_{k-1}\right)+\left(E_{k-1}+P\right)\right|=\left|\left(n^{\prime}+1\right) g_{k}^{1}+\left(B^{\prime}-E_{k-1}\right)\right|$,
whose Clifford index does not exceed $\frac{g-4}{2}$ due to $n^{\prime}(k-2)+\operatorname{deg} B^{\prime} \leq \frac{g-4}{2}$. Hence Theorem 2.1 implies

$$
\left|\mathcal{K}_{X} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(R^{\prime}+P\right)\right|=\left(n^{\prime}+1\right) g_{k}^{1}+\left(B^{\prime}-E_{k-1}\right)
$$

whence

$$
\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(\left(n^{\prime}+1\right) g_{k}^{1}+\left(B^{\prime}-E_{k-1}\right)\right)+\left(R^{\prime}+P\right)
$$

Since $P \nless B^{\prime}, \operatorname{supp}\left(B^{\prime} \cap R^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset$ and $E_{k-1}+P=\tilde{F}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(\left(B^{\prime}-E_{k-1}\right) \cap\left(R^{\prime}+P\right)\right)=\emptyset, \operatorname{deg}\left(\left(R^{\prime}+P\right) \cap \tilde{F}\right)=1
$$

The hypothesis $k \geq 4$ gives $\operatorname{deg} B^{\prime} \geq k-1 \geq 3$ and thus $\operatorname{Cliff}\left(R^{\prime}+P\right) \leq$ $2 n^{\prime}+3 \leq n^{\prime}(k-2)+\operatorname{deg} B^{\prime} \leq \frac{g-4}{2}$. According to Theorem 2.1 it turns out $h^{0}\left(R^{\prime}+P\right)=1$ since $\operatorname{deg}\left(R^{\prime} \cap F\right) \leq 1$ for any $F \in g_{k}^{1}$.

By iterating the same arguments as the above, we finally get the following equivalence:

$$
\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(n g_{k}^{1}+B\right)+R \text { with } n(k-2)+\operatorname{deg} B \leq \frac{g-4}{2}
$$

for some integer $n \geq 1, R \geq 0$ and $B \geq 0$ satisfying the conditions $(*(n))$ and (**).
(2) Suppose that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ admits another presentation for an integer $m \geq 1$ such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(m g_{k}^{1}+\hat{B}\right)+\hat{R} \text { with } m k+\operatorname{deg} \hat{B} \leq \frac{g-4}{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfies the conditions $(*(m))$ and $(* *)$. Then the equivalences

$$
\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(n g_{k}^{1}+B\right)+R \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(m g_{k}^{1}+\hat{B}\right)+\hat{R}
$$

imply

$$
n g_{k}^{1}+B+\hat{R} \simeq m g_{k}^{1}+\hat{B}+R .
$$

Set $\mathcal{D}:=\left|n g_{k}^{1}+B+\hat{R}\right|=\left|m g_{k}^{1}+\hat{B}+R\right|$. If $\mathcal{D}$ is not compounded of $g_{k}^{1}$, then $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{D} \geq \max \{n+1, m+1\}$. If $m \geq n$, then we get $\operatorname{dim}|\mathcal{D}| \geq m+1$, whence the condition $\operatorname{deg} \hat{R} \leq 2 m+2$ yields

$$
\operatorname{Cliff}(\mathcal{D}) \leq n k+\operatorname{deg} B+\operatorname{deg} \hat{R}-2(m+1) \leq n k+\operatorname{deg} B \leq \frac{g-4}{2}
$$

It is a contradiction to Theorem 2.1. We have the same conclusion in case $m \leq n$ and hence $\mathcal{D}$ is compounded of $g_{k}^{1}$. Since $\operatorname{deg}(R \cap F) \leq 1, \operatorname{deg}(\hat{R} \cap F) \leq 1$, $\operatorname{deg}(B \cap F) \leq k-2$ and $\operatorname{deg}(\hat{B} \cap F) \leq k-2$ for all $F \in g_{k}^{1}$, it turns out that $\mathcal{D}=n g_{k}^{1}+B+\hat{R}=m g_{k}^{1}+\hat{B}+R$, whence $m=n$ and $B+\hat{R}=\hat{B}+R$. By $\operatorname{supp}(B \cap R)=\emptyset$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\hat{B} \cap \hat{R})=\emptyset$ we conclude $B=\hat{B}$ and $R=\hat{R}$. Thus the proof is completed.

## 3. Main theorems

This section is devoted to characterizing nonspecial line bundles on general $k$-gonal curves which fail to be normally generated. The following theorem given by M. Green and R. Lazarsfeld plays a key role to prove our results.
Theorem 3.1 ([3], Theorem 3). Let $X$ be a smooth curve of genus $g$ and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a nonspecial very ample line bundle on $X$ with $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}>\frac{3 g+1}{2}$. If $\mathcal{L}$ fails to be normally generated, then there is a line bundle $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{L}(-R), R>0$, which satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (i) } \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{A} \geq \frac{g-1}{2}, & \text { (ii) } \operatorname{Cliff}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \operatorname{Cliff}(\mathcal{L})  \tag{3.1}\\
\text { (iii) } h^{1}(\mathcal{A}) \geq h^{1}(\mathcal{L})+2, & \text { (iv) } h^{0}(\mathcal{A}) \geq 2
\end{array}
$$

By Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 2.2 we obtain necessary conditions for the failure of the normal generation of nonspecial line bundles on general $k$-gonal curves.

Theorem 3.2. Let $X$ be a general $k$-gonal curve of genus $g$ with $4 \leq k \leq \frac{g+1}{2}$ and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a nonspecial very ample line bundle with $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L} \geq \frac{3}{2} g+\frac{g-2}{k}+1$. If $\mathcal{L}$ fails to be normally generated, then $\mathcal{L}$ admits the following presentation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(n g_{k}^{1}+B\right)+R \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some integer $n \geq 1$, divisors $R$ and $B$ satisfying the conditions $(*(n))$ and $(* *)$. Furthermore, the presentation (3.2) is unique in case $n k+\operatorname{deg} B \leq \frac{g-4}{2}$.
Proof. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is not normally generated and $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}>\frac{3 g+1}{2}$, there is a line bundle $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{L}(-\tilde{R}), \tilde{R}>0$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the conditions in (3.1). The assumption $4 \leq k \leq \frac{g+1}{2}$ yields the inequalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{k-2}{2 k}(g-2)-\frac{g-4}{2} & =\frac{(k-2)(g-2)-(g-4) k}{2 k} \\
& =\frac{2 k-2 g+4}{2 k} \leq \frac{-g+5}{2 k}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

whence we have $\operatorname{Cliff}(\mathcal{A})<\frac{g-4}{2}$ by $\operatorname{Cliff}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \operatorname{Cliff}(\mathcal{L})=2 g-\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L} \leq \frac{k-2}{2 k}(g-$ $2)$.

Assume $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{A} \leq g-1$. By Theorem 2.1, we get $|\mathcal{A}|=(\operatorname{dim}|\mathcal{A}|) g_{k}^{1}+\tilde{B}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}|\mathcal{A}| \leq \frac{g-2}{2 k}-\frac{\operatorname{deg} \tilde{B}}{k-2}
$$

by $\operatorname{Cliff}(\mathcal{A})=(k-2) \operatorname{dim}|\mathcal{A}|+\operatorname{deg} \tilde{B} \leq \operatorname{Cliff}(\mathcal{L}) \leq \frac{(k-2)}{2 k}(g-2)$. This yields

$$
\frac{g-1}{2} \leq \operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \frac{g-2}{2}+\left(1-\frac{k}{k-2}\right) \operatorname{deg} \tilde{B}<\frac{g-1}{2}
$$

which cannot occur. Thus $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{A} \geq g$, i.e., $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{K}_{X} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{-1} \leq g-2$.
According to Theorem 2.1 we have $\left|\mathcal{K}_{X} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{-1}\right|=r g_{k}^{1}+\tilde{B}$, where $r:=$ $\operatorname{dim}\left|\mathcal{K}_{X} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{-1}\right|$. Thus the conditions that $\operatorname{Cliff}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \operatorname{Cliff}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{L}(\tilde{R})$ yield
$r(k-2)+\operatorname{deg} \tilde{B}=\operatorname{Cliff}\left(\mathcal{K}_{X} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{-1}\right) \leq \operatorname{Cliff}(\mathcal{L})=2 g-\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}=r k+2+\operatorname{deg} \tilde{B}-\operatorname{deg} \tilde{R}$,
whence $\operatorname{deg} \tilde{R} \leq 2 r+2$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is very ample, it turns out $r+3 \leq \operatorname{deg} \tilde{R} \leq 2 r+2$ and hence $r \geq 1$. Therefore,

$$
\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(r g_{k}^{1}+\tilde{B}\right)+\tilde{R} \text { with } r \geq 1, r+3 \leq \operatorname{deg} \tilde{R} \leq 2 r+2
$$

Since $\operatorname{deg} \tilde{R} \leq 2 r+2 \leq \operatorname{Cliff}\left(r g_{k}^{1}+\tilde{B}\right) \leq \frac{g-4}{2}$ for $k \geq 4$, Theorem 2.1 forces

$$
|\tilde{R}|=l g_{k}^{1}+R^{\prime} \text { with } l \leq r-1,
$$

whence

$$
\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left((r-l) g_{k}^{1}+\tilde{B}\right)+R^{\prime}, \quad R^{\prime}:=\tilde{R}-l g_{k}^{1}
$$

which satisfies that $r-l \geq 1, h^{0}\left(R^{\prime}\right)=1, h^{0}(\tilde{B})=1$ and $\operatorname{deg} R^{\prime} \leq 2(r-l)+2$. Here, we may assume $\operatorname{supp}\left(R^{\prime} \cap \tilde{B}\right)=\emptyset$. As a result, by Proposition 2.2 the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ can be expressed by

$$
\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(n g_{k}^{1}+B\right)+R
$$

for some divisors $R$ and $B$ satisfying $(*(n))$ and $(* *)$. The uniqueness of the presentation (3.2) follows from Proposition 2.2.

From Theorem 3.2 we obtain a result on the normal generation of line bundles on general $k$-gonal curves as follows.

Corollary 3.3. Let $X$ be a general $k$-gonal curve of genus $g$ with $4 \leq k \leq \frac{g+1}{2}$. And let $g_{d}^{0} \in X^{(d)}$ and $\xi_{e}^{0} \in X^{(e)} \operatorname{satisfy} \operatorname{supp}\left(g_{d}^{0} \cap \xi_{e}^{0}\right)=\emptyset, \xi_{e}^{0} \nless F$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{d}^{0} \cap F\right) \leq k-2$ for any $F \in g_{k}^{1}$. If $e \geq 3$ and $d \leq \frac{g}{2}-\frac{g-2}{k}-3$, then $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-g_{d}^{0}+\xi_{e}^{0}$ is a nonspecial normally generated line bundle.

Proof. The line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ is trivially nonspecial and the condition $d \leq \frac{g}{2}-\frac{g-2}{k}-$ 3 is equivalent to $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L} \geq \frac{3}{2} g+\frac{g-2}{k}+1+e$. Suppose that $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-g_{d}^{0}+\xi_{e}^{0}$ is not very ample. Then,

$$
\mathcal{K}_{X}-g_{d}^{0}+\xi_{e}^{0} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-h_{t}^{0}+\zeta_{2}^{0} \text { for some } h_{t}^{0} \in X^{(t)}, \zeta_{2}^{0} \in X^{(2)} .
$$

This implies that $g_{d}^{0}+\zeta_{2}^{0} \simeq h_{t}^{0}+\xi_{e}^{0}$ and $g_{d}^{0}+\zeta_{2}^{0} \neq h_{t}^{0}+\xi_{e}^{0}$ as divisors, whence $\operatorname{dim}\left|g_{d}^{0}+\zeta_{2}^{0}\right| \geq 1$. Since $d+2 \leq \frac{g-4}{2}$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{d}^{0}, F\right) \leq k-2$ for any $F \in g_{k}^{1}$, Theorem 2.1 implies

$$
\left|h_{t}^{0}+\xi_{e}^{0}\right|=\left|g_{d}^{0}+\zeta_{2}^{0}\right|=g_{k}^{1}+B \quad \text { and } \quad B \leq g_{d}^{0}
$$

Hence the condition $\operatorname{supp}\left(g_{d}^{0} \cap \xi_{e}^{0}\right)=\emptyset$ gives $\xi_{e}^{0} \leq \tilde{F}$ for some $\tilde{F} \in g_{k}^{1}$. Therefore $\mathcal{L}$ is very ample by the hypothesis $\xi_{e}^{0} \nless F$ for any $F \in g_{k}^{1}$.

Assume that $\mathcal{L}$ fails to be normally generated. Since $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L} \geq \frac{3}{2} g+\frac{g-2}{k}+1$, Theorem 3.2 gives $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(n g_{k}^{1}+B\right)+R$ for some $R$ and $B$ satisfying the conditions $(*(n))$ and $(* *)$. The equivalence $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-g_{d}^{0}+\xi_{e}^{0}$ yields

$$
n g_{k}^{1}+B+\xi_{e}^{0} \simeq g_{d}^{0}+R,
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Cliff}\left(g_{d}^{0}+R\right) \leq d+2 n+2-2 n \leq \frac{g-4}{2}
$$

According to Theorem 2.1, the linear system $\left|n g_{k}^{1}+B+\xi_{e}^{0}\right|=\left|g_{d}^{0}+R\right|$ is compounded of $g_{k}^{1}$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left|g_{d}^{0}+R\right| \geq n \geq 1$. It can not occur since $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{d}^{0} \cap\right.$ $F) \leq k-2$ and $\operatorname{deg}(R, F) \leq 1$ for any $F \in g_{k}^{1}$. Thus $\mathcal{L}$ is normally generated.

The converse of Theorem 3.2 holds under the condition (3.3) in Theorem 3.4 .

Theorem 3.4. Let $X$ be a general $k$-gonal curve of genus $g \geq 12$ with $k \geq$ 4 and let $R$ and $B$ be divisors on $X$ satisfying $(*(n))$ for some $n \geq 1$ and $\operatorname{deg}(R \cap F) \leq 1$ for any $F \in g_{k}^{1}$. Then $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(n g_{k}^{1}+B\right)+R$ is very ample and fails to be normally generated if

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 n(k-2)+\operatorname{deg} B \leq \frac{g-4}{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is not very ample. Then there exist divisors $g_{d}^{0}$ and $\xi_{2}^{0}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(n g_{k}^{1}+B\right)+R \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-g_{d}^{0}+\xi_{2}^{0},
$$

with $\operatorname{supp}\left(g_{d}^{0} \cap \xi_{2}^{0}\right)=\emptyset$. Then we have $n g_{k}^{1}+B+\xi_{2}^{0} \simeq g_{d}^{0}+R$. Let

$$
\mathcal{D}:=\left|n g_{k}^{1}+B+\xi_{2}^{0}\right|=\left|g_{d}^{0}+R\right|
$$

The equation (3.3) gives

$$
\operatorname{Cliff}\left(n g_{k}^{1}+B+\xi_{2}^{0}\right) \leq n k+\operatorname{deg} B+2-2 n=n(k-2)+\operatorname{deg} B+2 \leq \frac{g-4}{2}
$$

and hence $\mathcal{D}=(\operatorname{dim}|\mathcal{D}|) g_{k}^{1}+B^{\prime}$ by Theorem 2.1 where $B^{\prime}$ is a base locus. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}|\mathcal{D}|=\operatorname{dim}\left|n g_{k}^{1}+B+\xi_{2}^{0}\right|=\operatorname{dim}\left|g_{d}^{0}+R\right| \leq n+2
$$

since $\operatorname{dim}\left|n g_{k}^{1}+B\right|=n$. It gives a contradiction since $\operatorname{deg} R \geq n+3, \operatorname{supp}(R \cap$ $B)=\emptyset$, and $\operatorname{deg}(R \cap F) \leq 1$ for any $F \in g_{k}^{1}$. Thus $\mathcal{L}$ is very ample.

To show the failure of the normal generation of $\mathcal{L}$, we observe that

$$
h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{R / X}(2)\right)=0
$$

which comes from the inequality

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\mathcal{K}_{X} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-2}(R)\right)=2 n k+2 \operatorname{deg} B-(2 g-2)-\operatorname{deg} R<0
$$

due to the equation (3.3). Therefore, $\mathcal{L}$ is not normally generated if $R$ fails to impose independent conditions on quadrics in $\langle R\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$ by the exact sequences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{R}(2) \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(2) \quad \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{R}(2) \rightarrow 0, \\
& 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{X / \mathbb{P}^{N}}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{R / \mathbb{P}^{N}}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{R / X}(2) \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbb{P}^{N}:=\mathbb{P} H^{0}(\mathcal{L})^{*}$.
We claim that $R$ fails to impose independent conditions on quadrics in $\langle R\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$. Set $s:=\operatorname{dim}\langle R\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$. The Riemann-Roch theorem gives $\operatorname{deg} R=n+s+2$ which forces $s \leq n$ for $\operatorname{deg} R \leq 2 n+2$. Theorem 2.1 implies $\operatorname{dim}\left|s g_{k}^{1}\right|=s$ by $s(k-2) \leq$ $\frac{g-4}{2}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{0}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(-s g_{k}^{1}\right)\right) & \geq \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}-s k-g+1 \\
& =2 g-2-n k-\operatorname{deg} B+\operatorname{deg} R-s k-g+1 \\
& =2 g-2-n k-\operatorname{deg} B+s+n+2-s k-g+1 \\
& =(g+1)-(k-1)(n+s)-\operatorname{deg} B \\
& \geq(g+1)-(2 n(k-1)+\operatorname{deg} B) \geq 1 \text { by }(3.3),
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 1.
there exists an effective divisor $M \in\left|\mathcal{L}\left(-s g_{k}^{1}\right)\right|$. Note that $|\mathcal{L}(-M)|=s g_{k}^{1}$. Accordingly we obtain the commutative diagram in Figure 1, where $\pi_{\langle M\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}}$ is a natural projection from $\langle M\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Let $P$ be a point of $X$ with $P \leq R$. Then we have $h^{0}\left((n+s) g_{k}^{1}+B-R\right)=$ $h^{0}\left((n+s) g_{k}^{1}+B-(R-P)\right)=0$, since $\operatorname{deg} R=n+s+2, \operatorname{supp}(B \cap R)=\emptyset$, $\operatorname{deg}(R \cap F) \leq 1$ for any $F \in g_{k}^{1}$ and

$$
\left|(n+s) g_{k}^{1}+B\right|=(n+s) g_{k}^{1}+B
$$

by (3.3). Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{0}(M)-h^{0}(M-P) \\
= & h^{0}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(-s g_{k}^{1}\right)\right)-h^{0}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(-s g_{k}^{1}-P\right)\right) \\
= & h^{0}\left((n+s) g_{k}^{1}+B-R\right)-h^{0}\left((n+s) g_{k}^{1}+B-(R-P)\right)+1 \\
= & 1
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $P$ is not a base point of $|M|$. This implies $\langle M\rangle_{\mathcal{L}} \cap\langle R\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}=\emptyset$ since $\operatorname{dim}\langle M+R\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}=N, \operatorname{dim}\langle M\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}=N-s-1$ and $\operatorname{dim}\langle R\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}=s$. Hence $\pi_{\langle M\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}}$ can be regarded as a projection to $\langle R\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$. The condition that $\operatorname{deg}(R \cap F) \leq 1$ for any $F \in g_{k}^{1}$ implies that $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}}(R)$ lies on a rational normal curve $\iota\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \subset \mathbb{P}^{s}$ with $\operatorname{deg} R \geq 2 s+2$. Since any $2 s+2$ points on a rational normal curve fails to impose independent conditions on quadrics, $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}}(R)$ does fail to impose independent conditions on quadrics in $\langle R\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$.

The following two remarks tell that for a non-normally generated line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ with $h^{1}(\mathcal{L})=0$, the presentation (3.2) with $(*(n))$ and $(* *)$ also holds in case $k=2,3$.

Remark 3.5. Let $X$ be a hyperelliptic curve and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a very ample line bundle of degree $2 g-\beta$ on $X$ with $\beta \geq 0$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is very ample, it is nonspecial and thus there exist divisors $\xi_{e}^{0} \in X^{(e)}$ and $g_{d}^{0} \in X^{(d)}$ with $\left(\xi_{e}^{0} \cap g_{d}^{0}\right)=\emptyset$ such that

$$
\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-g_{d}^{0}+\xi_{e}^{0}, d=\beta+e-2
$$

The very ampleness of $\mathcal{L}$ gives $e \geq 3$. Set $h_{d}^{0}:=d g_{2}^{1}-g_{d}^{0}$ and $R:=\xi_{e}^{0}+h_{d}^{0}$. Then we get

$$
\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-d g_{2}^{1}+R \text { with }(*(d)) \text { and }(* *),
$$

since the conditions that $\operatorname{supp}\left(\xi_{e}^{0} \cap g_{d}^{0}\right)=\emptyset$ and $g_{d}^{0}=d g_{2}^{1}-h_{d}^{0}$ imply $h^{0}\left(\xi_{e}^{0}+h_{d}^{0}\right)=$ 1.

Remark 3.6. Let $X$ be a trigonal curve of genus $g>4$ and Maroni-invariant $m_{X}$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a nonspecial very ample line bundle of degree $2 g-\beta$ with $0 \leq \beta \leq m_{X}$. If $\mathcal{L}$ fails to be normally generated, then Corollary 1 in [6] tells that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\beta g_{3}^{1}+\tilde{R} \text { with } \operatorname{deg} \tilde{R}=2 \beta+2 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that the form (3.4) is equivalent to the presentation (3.2) in the following. For the presentation (3.4), set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{F_{1}, \ldots, F_{f}\right\}:=\left\{\tilde{R} \cap F \mid \tilde{R} \cap F=F, F \in g_{3}^{1}\right\} \\
& \left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{l}\right\}:=\left\{\tilde{R} \cap F \mid \operatorname{deg}(\tilde{R} \cap F)=2, F \in g_{3}^{1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $\operatorname{deg}\left(\left(\tilde{R}-\sum F_{i}-\sum D_{j}\right) \cap F\right) \leq 1$ for any $F \in g_{k}^{1}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} & \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\beta g_{3}^{1}+\tilde{R} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-(\beta-f) g_{3}^{1}+\left(\tilde{R}-f g_{3}^{1}\right) \\
& \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left((\beta-f-l) g_{3}^{1}+B\right)+R
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B:=\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left(g_{3}^{1}-D_{j}\right)$ and $R:=\tilde{R}-f g_{3}^{1}-\sum_{i=1}^{l} D_{i}$. The conditions $h^{1}(\mathcal{L})=0$ and $\operatorname{deg} \tilde{R} \leq 2 \beta+2$ yield $\beta-f-l \geq 1$, whence $R$ and $B$ satisfy conditions $(*(\beta-f-l))$ and $(* *)$.

Conversely, any line bundle which has a presentation (3.2) can be written in the form (3.4): Assume that there exist some divisors $R$ and $B$ satisfying $(*(n))$ and $(* *)$ for an integer $n \geq 1$ such that $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(n g_{3}^{1}+B\right)+R$. Let $B^{\prime}$ be a divisor such that $B+B^{\prime}=f g_{3}^{1}$ where $f:=\operatorname{deg} B$ and let $\gamma:=2 n+2-\operatorname{deg} R$ and $\beta:=n+f+\gamma$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} & \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\left(n g_{3}^{1}+B\right)+R \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-(n+f) g_{3}^{1}+\left(R+B^{\prime}\right) \\
& \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-(n+f+\gamma) g_{3}^{1}+\left(R+B^{\prime}+\gamma g_{3}^{1}\right) \\
& \simeq \mathcal{K}_{X}-\beta g_{3}^{1}+R_{2 \beta+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{2 \beta+2}:=R+B^{\prime}+\gamma g_{3}^{1}$. Therefore two presentations (3.2) and (3.4) are equivalent.
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