

# Effects of multiple herb formula SEC-22 supplementation on dietary intake, picky eating behaviors, and growth indices in thin preschool children

Kijoon Kim<sup>1,2</sup>, Joonsuk Lee<sup>2</sup>, Hee Young Paik<sup>1</sup>, Jihyun Yoon<sup>1</sup>, Bongha Ryu<sup>3</sup> and Jae Eun Shim<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Food and Nutrition, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea

<sup>2</sup>BOM Research Institute, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea

<sup>3</sup>3rd department of Internal Medicine, College of Oriental Medicine, Kyung-Hee University, Seoul 130-701, Korea

<sup>4</sup>Department of Food and Nutrition, Daejeon University, 62 Daehak-ro, Dong-gu, Daejeon 300-716, Korea

**BACKGROUND/OBJECTIVES:** Thin children may have insufficient intake of energy and nutrients, resulting in reduced immune function and growth. This study aimed to identify the effects of multiple herb formula SEC-22 supplementation on growth, dietary changes, and picky eating behaviors in thin children.

**SUBJECTS/METHODS:** A double-blind, randomized clinical trial was conducted on 79 children aged 2-5 years with poor appetites, BMI percentile < 25, and without any illness. Subjects were given either SEC-22 (n = 35) or placebo (n = 44) for 2 months and followed for an additional 2 months. Three-day dietary records, questionnaires on picky eating behaviors, and anthropometric measures were collected.

**RESULTS:** Energy, carbohydrate intake, and feeding difficulty improved in both groups during the intervention period. However, changes were maintained only in the SEC-22 group after 2 months of follow-up post-supplementation. 'Frequency of trying to feed' was improved in the SEC-22 group compared to the placebo group after the first month of follow-up ( $P < 0.05$ ). Intakes of potassium and thiamine were improved in the SEC-22 group compared to the placebo group after the first month of intervention ( $P < 0.05$ ). 'Frequency of food reward', eating amount, and intakes of carbohydrate, potassium, and vitamin C showed significant improvement compared to the placebo group after the second month of follow-up ( $P < 0.05$ ).

**CONCLUSIONS:** These results suggest that SEC-22 supplementation can improve parental feeding difficulty resulting from insufficient eating amount or picky eating as well as increase nutrient intake in thin children. Although these improvements were observable at least 2 months after supplementation, effects beyond this time frame need to be confirmed.

Nutrition Research and Practice 2015;9(4):393-399; doi:10.4162/nrp.2015.9.4.393; pISSN 1976-1457 eISSN 2005-6168

**Keywords:** Underweight, picky eating, thin children, feeding difficulty, herb extracts

## INTRODUCTION

Early childhood is a critical time for physical and intellectual development, as well as development of the muscular, skeletal, nervous systems [1]. Anthropometric measures such as height and weight are the most practical means for evaluating nutritional status. In preschool children, sufficient energy and nutrient intake are very important for immune function, brain, and bone development. Early studies on underweight children have focused on only extreme cases, defined as a BMI less than the 5th percentile [2], and attributed the main cause of underweight status to lack of food intake due to poverty or illness [3-5]. Little research has been published on thin children without any apparent disease. However, thin children deserve attention and care since they may consume an inadequate

amount of energy or other nutrients, which may lead to poor growth and development. If thin children chronically consume less than their Estimated Energy Requirements (EER), they are at greater risk of hindered physical growth and intellectual development [6]. Furthermore, long-term malnutrition can interfere with potential growth and may have a negative effect on cognitive development such as learning performance and concentration.

Bhagowalia and colleagues stated that mild underweight status, defined as being thinner than the median, deserves greater attention as a useful signal of changing health conditions among preschool children [7]. Fawzi and colleagues showed that lower weight-for-height is associated with rising subsequent mortality even between the underweight threshold (< -2SD) and median [8]. There have been several studies on

We thank Kwang Dong pharmaceutical company of Korea for assisting in making placebo. This study received no specific grant from any funding agency, not-for-profit or commercial sectors.

<sup>5</sup> Corresponding Author: Jae Eun Shim, Tel. 82-10-2323-0637, Fax. 82-42-280-2468, Email. [jshim@dju.kr](mailto:jshim@dju.kr)

Received: October 31, 2014, Revised: February 5, 2015, Accepted: April 5, 2015

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

the use of nutritional supplements for increasing the weight of underweight children [9-11]. There is also evidence that prokinetic agent therapy, which enhances gastrointestinal motility, might be beneficial to children with poor appetites and delayed gastric emptying [12]. Thin children may exhibit diseases relating to impaired digestion such as functional dyspepsia. Talley [13] and Tack [14] showed that early satiety is associated with a lower BMI. Picky eating is a possible risk factor for thinness or underweight status [15,16]. Children with eating problems may gain less weight, and children with picky eating behaviors may be at increased risk of being underweight. Bad eating habits in early childhood are known to increase risk of disease in adulthood [17].

In Korean medicine, thin children with a chronic poor appetite may be diagnosed as having a weakened digestive system and are prescribed herbal extracts to improve their digestive system [18,19]. Medical help is often sought for children with poor appetite and growth, even though they may not be thin or underweight. However, there are few studies that have verified the effects of herbal extracts on the growth and eating behaviors of thin children. In this study, we used multiple herb formula SEC-22, which is formulated from eight herbs and used in Korean medicine for improving weakened digestive systems. We conducted a clinical trial to identify the effects of SEC-22 on growth, dietary changes, and eating behaviors in thin children.

## SUBJECTS AND METHODS

### Extract preparation

SEC-22 was prepared from aqueous extracts of eight food-grade herbs. The composition of SEC-22 is shown in Table 1. Herbs were purchased from Omniherb in Daegu, Korea. These eight herbs were root of *Atractylodes japonica* (Uiseong-gun, Korea), dried pericarpium of *Citrus unshiu* (Jeju, Korea), sprout of *Hordeum vulgare* (Muju-gun, Korea), fruit of *Crataegus pinnatifida* (Shandong, China), fruit of *Amomum xanthioides* (Laos), root of *Zingiber officinale* (Seosan-si, Korea), dried fruit of *Zizyphus Jujuba* (Miryang-si, Korea), and antler of *Cervus elaphus* (south island, New Zealand). These eight herbs were boiled in water at 100°C for 2 hours. The resulting aqueous extract (120 cc per day) was administered orally (60 cc) in the morning and evenings regardless of meal eating. The placebo was composed of molasses, citric acid, trisodium citrate

**Table 1.** Composition of multiple herb formula SEC-22

Herbs	Scientific Name	Dose (g/day/15 kg)
Shānzhā	Fruit of <i>Crataegus pinnatifida</i> BUNGE var. <i>typica</i> SCHNEIDER	4.67
báizhú	Root of <i>Atractylodes japonica</i> KOIDZUMI	3.73
chēnpí	Dried pericarpium of <i>Citrus unshiu</i> MARKOVICH	2.80
màiyá	Sprout of <i>Hordeum vulgare</i> LINNE	2.80
shā rén	Fruit of <i>Amomum xanthioides</i> WALLICH	1.87
shēngjiāng	Root of <i>Zingiber officinale</i> ROSCOE	1.40
dàzào	Dried fruit of <i>Zizyphus Jujuba</i> FRUCTUS	1.40
lùróng	Antler of <i>Cervus elaphus</i> LINNE	0.47
	Crystalline fructose	12.00

(crystalline), and herb flavor. The placebo was adjusted to the same energy as SEC-22 by adding crystalline fructose (Kwang Dong pharmaceutical company, Korea).

### Study design

An age-stratified, double-blind, and randomized clinical trial was conducted from July, 1, 2011 to April 30, 2013. The number of subjects necessary to achieve 80% power with an effect size of 40% was estimated to be 48 children per group using a two-sample test based on previous data. A total of 108 participants were recruited from childcare centers in Seoul, South Korea, and 79 subjects finished the study. Anthropometric measures were collected at Kim Kijoon BOM medicine clinic in Seoul, Korea. The experimental group was supplemented with SEC-22, and the placebo group was given a placebo without SEC-22 for 2 months. Each group was observed and followed for an additional 2 months after the supplementation period.

### Subject selection

Subjects were recruited following the inclusion and exclusion criteria established before starting the study. Eligibility for participating in the study were as follows: 24-72 months of age, without chronic rhinitis, suffering from common cold less than four times per year, BMI percentile below 15, BMI percentile between 15 and 25 if suffering from poor appetite for more than 6 months, and estimated energy intake under -1.0 standard deviation of EER in 3-day dietary records. Additionally, any children with conditions described in the Morley study of 1997, including starvation, diseases, and premature birth were excluded [20]. Twins or children taking drugs or herbs that affect weight within 2 weeks of beginning the study were also excluded. Of the 108 eligible participants initially recruited, 79 subjects (35 SEC-22 group, 44 placebo group) completed the study. There were no significant differences in baseline characteristics between the two groups. The mean age of the SEC-22 and placebo groups were 4.3 and 4.0 years, respectively. The proportions of male children in the SEC-22 and placebo groups were 44% and 51%, respectively. Two-thirds of subjects consumed less than their estimated energy requirement (EER) at baseline.

The protocol was approved by the Seoul National University Institutional Review Board (SNUIRB, IRB No. 1106/001-001), and written informed consent was obtained from all subject's caregivers.

### Data collection

During the 4-month study period, the child and caregiver visited the clinic every month. Three-day dietary records, questionnaires on eating behavior, and anthropometric measures were collected each month. Height and weight were measured to one decimal place using a stadiometer and balance (InBody J05, Biospace co. Ltd., Seoul, Korea). Z-scores for height-for-age (HAZ), weight-for-age (WAZ), weight-for-height (WFH), and BMI-for-age (BAZ) were calculated using the reference data from 2007 Korea Pediatrics standard growth charts.

Dietary records were collected from caregivers who were responsible for childcare. Three-day dietary records (i.e. two-

weekdays and one weekend day, in the absence of disease such as cold) were collected every month. Dietary energy, carbohydrates, proteins, fats, vitamins, and minerals were estimated using DES (Diet Evaluation System, DES-Korea co., Seoul, Korea). Total energy intakes were calculated using the Atwater factor. Eating time was recorded in minutes. We modified the picky eating questionnaire used by Carruth [21] and evaluated responses using a 7-point Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) with higher points indicating a greater degree of picky eating behavior.

#### Statistical analysis

Student's t-test was used to determine differences between the SEC-22 and placebo groups. Paired t-test was used to determine differences from baseline values within groups. All statistical analyses were carried out using SAS (Statistical Analysis System v9.1.3, SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

## RESULTS

### Picky eating behaviors

During the intervention period, average meal duration decreased in both groups. These improvements returned to baseline in the placebo group after the second month of follow-up, whereas they continued to improve in the SEC-22 group until the second month of follow-up (Table 2). Among eating behaviors, 'Frequency of trying to feed' and 'frequency of food reward' improved in the SEC-22 group compared with the placebo group during the intervention period, but there was no significant difference between the two groups. However, during the follow-up period, while the placebo group returned to baseline, the SEC-22 group continued to improve. 'Frequency of trying to feed' showed statistically significant improvement compared to the placebo group during the follow-up period ( $P < 0.05$ ) and 'frequency of food reward' showed significant

**Table 2.** Changes in picky eating behaviors compared to baseline visit during the study period (n = 79)

Variables	SEC-22 (n = 35) Placebo (n = 44)	Baseline	Change from baseline during treatment period		Change from baseline during follow-up period	
			1 month	2 months	1 month	2 months
Average meal duration (min)(%) <sup>1)</sup>	SEC-22	34.9 ± 15.5	-1.9 ± 11.6	-6.2 ± 11.1*	-6.7 ± 12.4*	-6.1 ± 13.3*
	Placebo	33.1 ± 14.2	-5.1 ± 11.3*	-3.9 ± 10.8*	-4.6 ± 12.1*	-1.9 ± 12.2
Eating problem <sup>2)</sup>	SEC-22	4.7 ± 1.5	-0.5 ± 1.3*	-0.9 ± 2.0*	-1.1 ± 1.9*	-1.0 ± 1.7*
	Placebo	4.5 ± 1.7	-0.4 ± 1.4	-0.4 ± 1.2*	-0.7 ± 1.5*	-0.6 ± 1.5*
Appetite <sup>3)</sup>	SEC-22	4.8 ± 1.2	0.3 ± 1.2*	0.4 ± 1.3	0.7 ± 1.3*	0.6 ± 1.1*
	Placebo	4.9 ± 1.1	0.6 ± 1.1*	0.4 ± 1.2*	0.7 ± 1.2*	0.4 ± 1.2*
Undereating frequency <sup>4)</sup>	SEC-22	4.5 ± 1.3	-0.1 ± 1.8	-0.3 ± 1.9	-0.5 ± 2.0	-0.6 ± 1.9*
	Placebo	4.5 ± 1.7	-0.3 ± 1.2	-0.5 ± 1.3*	-0.6 ± 1.7*	-0.5 ± 2.0
Frequency of trying to feed <sup>5)</sup>	SEC-22	5.3 ± 1.5	-0.4 ± 1.8	-0.9 ± 1.5*	-1.2 ± 1.6*	-1.2 ± 1.6*
	Placebo	4.9 ± 2.0	-0.2 ± 1.1	-0.5 ± 1.4*	-0.4 ± 1.4**	-0.4 ± 1.7**
Frequency of food reward <sup>6)</sup>	SEC-22	3.6 ± 1.5	-0.7 ± 1.8*	-0.7 ± 2.0*	-0.8 ± 1.8*	-1.0 ± 1.6*
	Placebo	3.4 ± 1.8	-0.5 ± 1.7	-0.3 ± 1.6	-0.3 ± 1.3	-0.2 ± 1.8**
Frequency of preparing special food <sup>7)</sup>	SEC-22	3.5 ± 1.8	-0.3 ± 1.1	-0.4 ± 1.3	-0.4 ± 1.4	-0.4 ± 1.7
	Placebo	3.5 ± 1.9	-0.1 ± 1.5	-0.4 ± 1.3	-0.2 ± 1.2	-0.4 ± 1.4
Frequency to try new foods <sup>8)</sup>	SEC-22	4.6 ± 1.4	0.1 ± 1.4	0.1 ± 1.7	0.1 ± 1.6	0.1 ± 1.6
	Placebo	5.0 ± 1.4	0.0 ± 1.3	-0.1 ± 1.3	-0.4 ± 1.8	-0.2 ± 1.7
Willingness to try new foods <sup>9)</sup>	SEC-22	4.7 ± 1.7	0.0 ± 1.3	0.2 ± 1.9	-0.1 ± 2.0	0.0 ± 2.0
	Placebo	4.9 ± 1.6	-0.2 ± 1.4	-0.1 ± 1.4	-0.2 ± 1.3	-0.3 ± 1.5
Food variety <sup>10)</sup>	SEC-22	4.2 ± 1.8	-0.3 ± 1.2	-0.7 ± 1.6*	-0.6 ± 1.6*	-0.7 ± 1.7*
	Placebo	4.0 ± 2.0	-0.2 ± 1.2	-0.1 ± 1.4	-0.5 ± 1.5*	-0.1 ± 1.4

Mean ± SD.

SEC-22: Multiple herb formula composed of eight food-grade herbs.

<sup>1)</sup> What is the average meal duration of your child during the previous month?

<sup>2)</sup> To what extent would you consider your child to have a feeding problem? Rated on 7-point scale with 7 = to a great extent and 1 = not at all.

<sup>3)</sup> Does your child have a good appetite? Rated on 7-point scale with 7 = to a great extent and 1 = not at all. Positively worded questions were reverse scored.

<sup>4)</sup> In general, at the end of each meal, how often has your child eaten the amount you think he/she should eat? Rated on 7-point scale with 7 = always or almost always and 1 = never or almost never.

<sup>5)</sup> How often do you attempt to persuade your child to eat a certain type of food? Rated on 7-point scale with 7 = always or almost always and 1 = never or almost never.

<sup>6)</sup> How often do you provide a food reward for eating a food you think your child should eat? Rated on 7-point scale with 7 = always or almost always and 1 = never or almost never.

<sup>7)</sup> How often do you prepare a special food for your child because he/she does not like what the rest of the family is eating? Rated on 7-point scale with 7 = always or almost always and 1 = never or almost never.

<sup>8)</sup> How often does your child try new and unfamiliar foods at home? Rated on 7-point scale with 7 = always or almost always and 1 = never or almost never. Positively worded questions were reverse scored.

<sup>9)</sup> How willing is your child to try new and unfamiliar foods when offered? Rated on 7-point scale with 7 = extremely willing and 1 = almost never or never. Positively worded questions were reverse scored.

<sup>10)</sup> Overall, to what extent does your child like a wide variety of foods from those that you think he/she should eat? Rated on 7-point scale with 7 = to a great extent and 1 = not at all. Positively worded questions were reverse scored.

\* Difference from baseline values was significant by paired t-test ( $P < 0.05$ )

\*\* Significantly different from placebo group by student's t-test ( $P < 0.05$ )

**Table 3.** Changes in nutrient intakes by 3-day dietary record during the study period

Variables	SEC-22 (n = 35) Placebo (n = 44)	Baseline	Change from baseline during treatment period		Change from baseline during follow-up period	
			1 month	2 months	1 month	2 months
Energy (kcal/day)	SEC-22	1,191 ± 299.7	131 ± 390*	116 ± 307*	87 ± 326	137 ± 380*
	Placebo	1,167.9 ± 275.7	23 ± 204	91 ± 300*	59 ± 235	6 ± 296
Eating amount (g/day)	SEC-22	1,050.8 ± 308.8	101 ± 339	31 ± 252	28 ± 265	99 ± 313
	Placebo	1,069.7 ± 249.6	27 ± 219	17 ± 269	45 ± 214	-46 ± 258**
Carbohydrate (g/day)	SEC-22	179.9 ± 45.1	21.8 ± 61.9*	17.8 ± 48.2*	16.6 ± 53.1	27.2 ± 58*
	Placebo	173.8 ± 43.9	5.7 ± 33.1	15.3 ± 41.6*	15.2 ± 39.8*	1.0 ± 45.8**
% energy <sup>1)</sup>	SEC-22	58.9 ± 5.5	0.6 ± 6.3	0.4 ± 5.8	0.9 ± 5.8	1.9 ± 6.4
	Placebo	57.9 ± 5.9	1.2 ± 6.9	1.2 ± 7	2.3 ± 6.2	0.9 ± 7.3
Protein (g/day)	SEC-22	49.8 ± 13.9	3.4 ± 15.5	3.7 ± 13.5	3.1 ± 14.1	4.4 ± 15.5
	Placebo	50.1 ± 15.7	-0.6 ± 13.8	3.2 ± 18.2	2.2 ± 14.3	0 ± 17.9
% energy <sup>1)</sup>	SEC-22	16.3 ± 2.3	-0.4 ± 2.3	-0.2 ± 2.7	-0.2 ± 2.5	-0.5 ± 2.5
	Placebo	16.6 ± 2.9	-0.2 ± 2.8	-0.1 ± 3.3	-0.2 ± 3	-0.1 ± 3.4
Fat (g/day)	SEC-22	34.6 ± 12.6	3.2 ± 14.5	3.4 ± 13.1	1.1 ± 12.8	2.5 ± 17.8
	Placebo	34.7 ± 10.4	-0.2 ± 11.6	1.8 ± 14.5	-0.7 ± 11.4	-0.4 ± 13.3
% energy <sup>1)</sup>	SEC-22	24.9 ± 4.5	-0.2 ± 5.2	-0.1 ± 4.8	-0.8 ± 4.9	-1.4 ± 6.2
	Placebo	25.5 ± 4.5	-0.9 ± 6.2	-1.1 ± 5.7	-2.1 ± 5.5	-0.8 ± 5.4
Calcium (mg/day)	SEC-22	407.2 ± 131.0	57.5 ± 286.7	30.0 ± 173.5	-16.9 ± 136.8	36.8 ± 176.5
	Placebo	459.4 ± 216.3	-24.7 ± 140.8	-38.0 ± 212	-10.9 ± 186.7	-37.4 ± 209.4
Iron (mg/day)	SEC-22	8.7 ± 3.5	0.8 ± 3.9	0.5 ± 3.1	0.1 ± 3.5	0.8 ± 4.0
	Placebo	9.2 ± 6.1	-0.8 ± 6.0	-0.8 ± 6.2	-0.4 ± 6.4	-0.6 ± 6.5
Potassium (mg/day)	SEC-22	1,525.9 ± 466.4	225.2 ± 687.3	107.4 ± 465.9	16.6 ± 431.9	219.7 ± 526.3*
	Placebo	1,693.2 ± 681.9	-68.5 ± 607.5**	-130.7 ± 706.1	-5.0 ± 625.2	-134.2 ± 684.0**
Vitamin A (µg RE/day)	SEC-22	385.5 ± 197.1	90.0 ± 221.2*	16.4 ± 212.5	10.2 ± 234.6	122.0 ± 603.4
	Placebo	419.5 ± 241.8	-11.1 ± 295.9	-36.8 ± 272.6	-15.8 ± 262.6	0.5 ± 318.7
Vitamin C (mg/day)	SEC-22	54.7 ± 28.9	11.7 ± 44.8	2.4 ± 44.2	2.5 ± 55.1	17.8 ± 40.9*
	Placebo	67.6 ± 49.6	-8.6 ± 47.7	-11.7 ± 53.1	-8.4 ± 46.6	-9.4 ± 51.9**
Thiamine (mg/day)	SEC-22	0.7 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.3*	0.1 ± 0.3*	0.1 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.3*
	Placebo	0.8 ± 0.7	-0.1 ± 0.7**	-0.1 ± 0.7	-0.1 ± 0.7	-0.1 ± 0.7
Riboflavin (mg/day)	SEC-22	0.9 ± 0.3	0 ± 0.4	0 ± 0.3	0 ± 0.2	0 ± 0.4
	Placebo	1.0 ± 0.4	-0.1 ± 0.4	-0.1 ± 0.4	0 ± 0.4	-0.1 ± 0.4
Niacin (mg NE/day)	SEC-22	8.3 ± 3.0	0.8 ± 3.4	1.1 ± 2.8*	0.6 ± 2.8	1.2 ± 3.4*
	Placebo	8.8 ± 3.6	-0.4 ± 3.2	0 ± 3.8	0 ± 3.7	-0.3 ± 3.5

Mean ± SD.

SEC-22: Multiple herb formula composed of eight food-grade herbs

<sup>1)</sup> Percentage of total energy intake (% energy) was calculated by using Atwater factor (Carbohydrate = 4 kcal/g, Protein = 4 kcal/g, Fat = 9 kcal/g).\* Difference from baseline values was significant by paired t-test ( $P < 0.05$ )\*\* Significantly different from placebo group by student's t-test ( $P < 0.05$ )**Table 4.** Changes in Growth Indices during the Study Period

Variables	SEC-22 (n = 35) Placebo (n = 44)	Baseline	Change from baseline during treatment period		Change from baseline during follow-up period	
			1 month	2 months	1 month	2 months
Height-for-age (z score)	SEC-22	-0.144 ± 0.800	-0.043 ± 0.116	-0.004 ± 0.165	0.005 ± 0.178	-0.039 ± 0.168
	Placebo	-0.149 ± 0.892	0.004 ± 0.141	-0.013 ± 0.168	-0.004 ± 0.178	-0.013 ± 0.226
Weight-for-age (z score)	SEC-22	-1.210 ± 0.625	0.035 ± 0.271	0.085 ± 0.234*	0.046 ± 0.248	0.082 ± 0.280
	Placebo	-1.191 ± 0.871	-0.008 ± 0.179	0.026 ± 0.216	-0.010 ± 0.283	-0.036 ± 0.324
Weight-for-height (z score)	SEC-22	-1.745 ± 0.596	0.109 ± 0.329	0.139 ± 0.334*	0.068 ± 0.363	0.152 ± 0.348*
	Placebo	-1.667 ± 0.581	-0.005 ± 0.333	0.058 ± 0.376	-0.014 ± 0.432	-0.031 ± 0.530
BMI-for-age (z score)	SEC-22	-1.661 ± 0.600	0.118 ± 0.360	0.152 ± 0.366*	0.074 ± 0.397	0.158 ± 0.373*
	Placebo	-1.616 ± 0.575	-0.013 ± 0.355	0.058 ± 0.410	-0.007 ± 0.468	-0.027 ± 0.571

Mean ± SD.

SEC-22: Multiple herb formula composed of eight food-grade herbs

\* Difference from baseline values was significant by paired t-test ( $P < 0.05$ )

improvement compared to the placebo group after the second month of follow-up ( $P < 0.05$ ). There was no significant difference in 'eating problem', 'appetite', 'undereating frequency', or food variety-related questions between the groups (Table 2).

#### Dietary intake

Dietary intake showed a similar pattern as picky eating behaviors. Energy, carbohydrate, protein, and lipid intakes as well as eating amounts increased in both groups during the intervention period. Intakes returned to baseline in the placebo group after the second month of follow-up, whereas they continued to increase in the SEC-22 group during the follow-up period. Compared with the placebo group, intakes of several vitamins and minerals in the SEC-22 group increased during both the intervention and follow-up periods. Carbohydrate intake significantly increased compared to the placebo group after the second month of follow-up. From the questionnaires given to caregivers, there was no significant improvement in 'appetite' or 'undereating frequency' of picky eating behaviors. However, there was a significant increase in the amount of food eaten in the SEC-22 group compared to the placebo group based on actual data obtained from dietary records. There was no significant difference in protein or fat intake between the two groups. Potassium intake significantly increased compared to the placebo group after the first month of intervention and second month of follow-up. There were significant increases in vitamin C and thiamine intakes in the SEC-22 group compared to the placebo group after the second month of follow-up and first month of the intervention period, respectively (Table 3).

#### Growth indices

There was greater improvement in WAZ, WFH, and BAZ compared to baseline values after the second month of intervention and follow-up period only in the SEC-22 group. However, there was no significant difference in growth indices between the two groups (Table 4).

## DISCUSSION

Although not underweight, thin children with poor appetites are at risk for insufficient intake of energy and other nutrients. At the beginning of our study, two-thirds of subjects with BMIs lower than the 25th percentile reported an average energy intake below their EER. If children continue to eat an inadequate amount of food, they become at risk for stunted growth and negative health outcomes [22,23]. Even if children are not underweight, some evidence suggests that thinness can be problematic. Two other studies have noted that mild underweight status is associated with an increase in subsequent mortality [7,8]. Here, we conducted a randomized, double-blind, and placebo-controlled clinical trial, which found that herbal extracts could improve thin children's weight and BMI. The current study also observed significant improvement in dietary intake and eating behaviors of thin children by SEC-22 supplementation.

In the questionnaire on picky eating behaviors, appetite and eating problems were improved in both the SEC-22 and placebo groups. While average meal duration in the placebo group decreased during the treatment period and then returned to

baseline level after the second month of follow-up, average meal duration in the SEC-22 group showed a tendency to maintain improvement into the second month of follow-up. The items 'frequency of food reward' and 'frequency of trying to feed' are questions about parental difficulty in child feeding. Feeding difficulty showed greater improvement in the SEC-22 group than the placebo group during the intervention period. During the follow-up period, this improvement persisted in the SEC-22 group. Carbohydrate intake increased in both groups during the intervention period and remained significantly elevated in the SEC-22 group. These patterns are similar to changes in the feeding difficulty of eating behaviors. Since rice is a main dietary staple for most Koreans, increasing the amount of food eaten will likely increase carbohydrate intake as well. Intake of most vitamins and minerals showed greater improvement only in the SEC-22 group during the whole study period, which can be attributed to improvements in eating amount and picky eating behavior. We believe that the increase in eating amount contributed to significant improvement of carbohydrate, vitamin C, potassium, and thiamine intakes in the SEC-22 group compared to the placebo group. This result suggests that SEC-22 could improve digestion as well as decrease abdominal discomfort in children, resulting in improvement of eating behaviors and more pleasant mealtime for caregivers and children.

These results were partly due to improvement of digestion through the function of extract components, which have been demonstrated to affect digestion [24]. Dried fruit of *Crataegus pinnatifida*, which contains lipase and enhances pepsin activity, has been used to treat indigestion in Korean medicine since it promotes digestion of protein and fat as well as enhances the contractility of rat gastric and intestinal smooth muscle strips [25,26]. The median lethal doses of *Crataegus pinnatifida* in mice and rats were found to be 1.85 and 3.38 g/kg, respectively [27]. Root of *Atractylodes japonica* has been used to help digestion and has been shown to improve gastrointestinal tract activity with no observed toxicity up to a dose of 4 g/kg in animal testing [28,29]. Dried pericarpium of *Citrus unshiu* may aid digestion by relaxing gastric smooth muscle and promote gastric juice secretion by increasing amylase activity [30]. Sprout of *Hordeum vulgare* is rich in  $\alpha$ -amylase and  $\beta$ -amylase and may improve digestion of carbohydrates [31]. There have been no documented reports of the toxicity of dried pericarpium of *Citrus unshiu* and sprout of *Hordeum vulgare*. Fruit of *Amomum xanthioides* has been used in the treatment of abdominal pain and indigestion and may ameliorate gastric ulcers and gastritis as well as promote peristalsis [32,33]. No signs of toxicity up to a dose of 25 g/kg were observed in a previous animal study [34]. Root of *Zingiber officinale* has been used frequently for treatment of dyspepsia, gastroparesis, and slow motility symptoms and may speed up gastric transit of foods [35-38]. *Zingiber officinale* has been listed as "Generally Recognized as Safe" (GRAS) by the US FDA, and its median lethal dose in rats is 170 g/kg [39,40]. Dried fruit of *Zizyphus Jujuba* has been used along with root of *Zingiber officinale* for purposes related to gastrointestinal health and digestion in traditional Korean medicine [41]. No signs of toxicity were observed up to a dose of 150 g/kg in mice [42]. Antler of *Cervus elaphus* was shown to play a role in bone healing by promoting the synthesis of

proteins and nucleic acids in animal testing [33].

Shim and the colleagues suggested that picky eating behaviors consist of two behavioral dimensions (i.e. eating amount and variety of diet) [43]. The results of this study show that supplementation of SEC-22 improved the eating amount component in picky eating behaviors, although there was no change related to preference for specific flavor and texture of foods (e.g. 'frequency of preparing special food', 'frequency to try new foods', 'willingness to try new foods', and 'food variety'). These findings represent that supplementation with SEC-22 did not alter thin children's food preferences, such as flavor and texture, but did have an effect on eating amount. In addition, improvements induced by SEC-22 were not only immediate but also maintained for at least 2 months. This maintenance of improved nutritional intake after intervention may benefit long-term growth. Shim and colleagues reported that preschool children with picky eating behaviors and insufficient intake show faltering linear growth, whereas those with picky eating behaviors of limited variety do not. Therefore, SEC-22 supplementation may help picky eaters' linear growth by improving the amount of food eaten. In the current study, there was no significant change between the two groups in HAZ, although a 4 months study period is not enough to observe a significant change in height. Further, there was no significant change between the two groups in WAZ, WFH, or BAZ as indicators of short-term nutritional status. However, *P* values of WAZ, WFH, and BAZ after the second month of follow-up were 0.093, 0.069, and 0.090 respectively. There was greater improvement in WAZ, WFH, and BAZ compared to baseline values after the second month of intervention and follow-up only in SEC-22 group.

This study has several limitations. First, as these results of picky eating behaviors and dietary records were based on questionnaires administered by caregivers, they may be errors. Second, as eating behaviors and dietary intake generally have some large variations, long-term study of a larger sample size is required to identify an exact effect on linear growth. This study included just 2 months of treatment and 2 months of follow-up. Thus, a study of longer duration is likely required to identify whether or not SEC-22 has an effect on growth outcomes in the aspect of linear growth. On the other hand, this study, to the best of our knowledge, is the first intervention study to investigate therapeutic effects on picky eating and dietary behaviors of thin children. Since there have been few studies on thin children without any illness or starvation, this study could provide insights into thin children with poor appetites or picky eaters in terms of feeding and amount of eating. While thin children can gain weight by increasing their eating amount, nutrition education targeted to caregivers and children regarding healthy dietary behaviors may be helpful for promoting appropriate growth.

In conclusion, supplementation with multiple herb formula SEC-22 may be effective in increasing eating amount and nutrient intakes or carbohydrates, vitamin C, potassium, and thiamine in thin children. This study also showed that SEC-22 supplementation is effective in improving parental feeding difficulty resulting from eating small amounts of food and picky eating. The results of this study suggest that supplementation with multiple herbal extracts based on Korean traditional

medicine may be beneficial in improving diet intake, growth, and eating behaviors in thin children.

## REFERENCES

1. Lee YS, Lim HS, Ahn HS, Chang NS. Nutrition Throughout the Life Cycle. Paju: Kyomunsa; 2006. p.199-207.
2. Kuczmariski RJ, Ogden CL, Guo SS, Grummer-Strawn LM, Flegal KM, Mei Z, Wei R, Curtin LR, Roche AF, Johnson CL. 2000 CDC Growth Charts for the United States: methods and development. *Vital Health Stat* 11 2002;1-190.
3. Ali D, Saha KK, Nguyen PH, Diressie MT, Ruel MT, Menon P, Rawat R. Household food insecurity is associated with higher child undernutrition in Bangladesh, Ethiopia, and Vietnam, but the effect is not mediated by child dietary diversity. *J Nutr* 2013;143:2015-21.
4. Hovhannisyann L, Demirchyan A, Petrosyan V. Estimated prevalence and predictors of undernutrition among children aged 5-17 months in Yerevan, Armenia. *Public Health Nutr* 2014;17:1046-53.
5. Singh A, Singh A, Ram F. Household food insecurity and nutritional status of children and women in Nepal. *Food Nutr Bull* 2014;35:3-11.
6. Sandjaja, Poh BK, Rojroonwasinkul N, Le Nyugen BK, Budiman B, Ng LO, Soonthorndhada K, Xuyen HT, Deurenberg P, Parikh P; SEANUTS Study Group. Relationship between anthropometric indicators and cognitive performance in Southeast Asian school-aged children. *Br J Nutr* 2013;110 Suppl 3:S57-64.
7. Bhagowalia P, Chen SE, Masters WA. Effects and determinants of mild underweight among preschool children across countries and over time. *Econ Hum Biol* 2011;9:66-77.
8. Fawzi WW, Herrera MG, Spiegelman DL, el Amin A, Nestel P, Mohamed KA. A prospective study of malnutrition in relation to child mortality in the Sudan. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1997;65:1062-9.
9. Jilcott SB, Ickes SB, Ammerman AS, Myhre JA. Iterative design, implementation and evaluation of a supplemental feeding program for underweight children ages 6-59 months in Western Uganda. *Matern Child Health J* 2010;14:299-306.
10. Maleta K, Kuittinen J, Duggan MB, Briend A, Manary M, Wales J, Kulmala T, Ashorn P. Supplementary feeding of underweight, stunted Malawian children with a ready-to-use food. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2004;38:152-8.
11. Thakwalakwa C, Ashorn P, Phuka J, Cheung YB, Briend A, Puumalainen T, Maleta K. A lipid-based nutrient supplement but not corn-soy blend modestly increases weight gain among 6- to 18-month-old moderately underweight children in rural Malawi. *J Nutr* 2010;140:2008-13.
12. Bekem O, Buyukgebiz B, Aydin A, Ozturk Y, Tasci C, Arslan N, Durak H. Prokinetic agents in children with poor appetite. *Acta Gastroenterol Belg* 2005;68:416-8.
13. Talley NJ, Quan C, Jones MP, Horowitz M. Association of upper and lower gastrointestinal tract symptoms with body mass index in an Australian cohort. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2004;16:413-9.
14. Tack J, Piessevaux H, Coulie B, Caenepeel P, Janssens J. Role of impaired gastric accommodation to a meal in functional dyspepsia. *Gastroenterology* 1998;115:1346-52.
15. Ekstein S, Laniado D, Glick B. Does picky eating affect weight-for-length measurements in young children? *Clin Pediatr (Phila)* 2010; 49:217-20.
16. Shim JE, Yoon JH, Kim K, Paik HY. Association between picky eating behaviors and growth in preschool children. *J Nutr Health* 2013;46:

- 418-26.
17. World Health Organization (CH); Food and Agriculture Organization (US). WHO Technical Report Series 916. Diet, Nutrition and the Prevention of Chronic Diseases. Report of a Joint WHO/FAO Expert Consultation. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2003.
  18. Heo J. Donguibogam. Seoul: Namsandang; 1994. p.435.
  19. Department of Internal Medicine. New Internal Medicine-Bi's System. Iksan: Wonkwang University Press; 1996. p.36-37.
  20. Morley JE. Anorexia of aging: physiologic and pathologic. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1997;66:760-73.
  21. Carruth BR, Skinner J, Houck K, Moran J 3rd, Coletta F, Ott D. The phenomenon of "picky eater": a behavioral marker in eating patterns of toddlers. *J Am Coll Nutr* 1998;17:180-6.
  22. Le Nguyen BK, Le Thi H, Nguyen Do VA, Tran Thuy N, Nguyen Huu C, Thanh Do T, Deurenberg P, Khouw I. Double burden of undernutrition and overnutrition in Vietnam in 2011: results of the SEANUTS study in 0.5-11-year-old children. *Br J Nutr* 2013;110 Suppl 3:S45-56.
  23. Poh BK, Ng BK, Siti Haslinda MD, Nik Shanita S, Wong JE, Budin SB, Ruzita AT, Ng LO, Khouw I, Norimah AK. Nutritional status and dietary intakes of children aged 6 months to 12 years: findings of the Nutrition Survey of Malaysian Children (SEANUTS Malaysia). *Br J Nutr* 2013;110 Suppl 3:S21-35.
  24. Kim KJ, Lee JS, Yoon JH, Ryu BH, Paik HY. The weight gain effects of Sungjangjeungbo-tang (Chéngzhàngzēngbū-tàng) on thin Korean preschool children. *J Korean Orient Intern Med* 2012;33:180-7.
  25. Wu J, Peng W, Qin R, Zhou H. *Crataegus pinnatifida*: chemical constituents, pharmacology, and potential applications. *Molecules* 2014;19:1685-712.
  26. Wen XP, Deng S, Lin Y, Diao YP, Shan HS, Zhang HL. Effects of folium crataegi water extract on the contractility of isolated gastric and intestinal muscle strips in rats. *China Pharm* 2010;21:978-80.
  27. Huang KC. *The Pharmacology of Chinese Herbs*. 2nd ed. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press; 1998. p.120.
  28. Jeong SI, Kim YS, Lee MY, Kang JK, Lee S, Choi BK, Jung KY. Regulation of contractile activity by magnolol in the rat isolated gastrointestinal tracts. *Pharmacol Res* 2009;59:183-8.
  29. Choi HK, Roh HS, Jeong JY, Ha HY. Acute oral toxicity of *Atractylodes macrocephala* KOIDZ. *Korean J Plant Resour* 2014;27:11-21.
  30. Kim HC. *Pharmacology of Herbal Medicines*. Paju: Jipmoondang; 2008. p.267.
  31. Kim HC. *Pharmacology of Herbal Medicines*. Paju: Jipmoondang; 2008. p.286
  32. Lee YS, Kang MH, Cho SY, Jeong CS. Effects of constituents of *Amomum xanthioides* on gastritis in rats and on growth of gastric cancer cells. *Arch Pharm Res* 2007;30:436-43.
  33. Hua Y. *Chinese Medicine Herbalogy*. Beijing: People's Medical Publishing House Co. Ltd.; 1991. p.321-322.
  34. Kim HC. *Pharmacology of Herbal Medicines*. Paju: Jipmoondang; 2008. p.216.
  35. Hu ML, Rayner CK, Wu KL, Chuah SK, Tai WC, Chou YP, Chiu YC, Chiu KW, Hu TH. Effect of ginger on gastric motility and symptoms of functional dyspepsia. *World J Gastroenterol* 2011;17:105-10.
  36. Lohsirawat S, Rukiat M, Chaikomin R, Leelakusolvong S. Effect of ginger on lower esophageal sphincter pressure. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2010;93:366-72.
  37. Shariatpanahi ZV, Taleban FA, Mokhtari M, Shahbazi S. Ginger extract reduces delayed gastric emptying and nosocomial pneumonia in adult respiratory distress syndrome patients hospitalized in an intensive care unit. *J Crit Care* 2010;25:647-50.
  38. Wood GB. *A Treatise on Therapeutics, and Pharmacology or Materia Medica*. 2nd ed. Philadelphia (PA): J. B. Lippincott & Co.; 1860. p.355.
  39. Bradley P. *British Herbal Compendium*. Volume 1. A Handbook of Scientific Information on Widely Used Plant Drugs. Bournemouth: British Herbal Medicine Association; 1992. p.112-4.
  40. Wu H, Ye D, Bai Y, Zhao Y. Effect of dry ginger and roasted ginger on experimental gastric ulcers in rats. *Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi* 1990;15:278-80, 317-8.
  41. Kim HC. *Pharmacology of Herbal Medicines*. Paju: Jipmoondang; 2008. p.84.
  42. Huang KC. *The Pharmacology of Chinese Herbs*. 2nd ed. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press; 1998. p.155-6.
  43. Shim JE, Kim J, Mathai RA; STRONG Kids Research Team. Associations of infant feeding practices and picky eating behaviors of preschool children. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2011;111:1363-8.