

## Approximation of Common Fixed Points of Two Strictly Pseudononspreading Multivalued Mappings in $\mathbb{R}$ -Trees

WITHUN PHUENGRATTANA

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Nakhon Pathom Rajabhat University, Nakhon Pathom 73000, Thailand*

*e-mail: withun\_ph@yahoo.com*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce and study a new multivalued mapping in  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees, called *k*-strictly pseudononspreading. We also introduce a new two-step iterative process for two *k*-strictly pseudononspreading multivalued mappings in  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees. Strong convergence theorems of the proposed iteration to a common fixed point of two *k*-strictly pseudononspreading multivalued mappings in  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees are established. Our results improve and extend the corresponding results existing in the literature.

### 1. Introduction

$\mathbb{R}$ -trees were introduced by Tits [19] in 1977. Fixed point theory for single-valued mappings in  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees was first studied by Kirk [10]. He proved that every continuous single-valued mappings defined on a geodesically bounded complete  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree always has a fixed point. Since then fixed point theorems for various types of single-valued and multivalued mappings in  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees has been rapidly developed and many of papers have appeared (see e.g. [1],[2],[3],[8],[12]). It is worth mentioning that fixed point theorems in  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees can be applied to graph theory, biology and computer science (see e.g., [4],[6],[11],[17]).

In 2009, Shahzad and Zegeye [18] proved strong convergence theorems of the Ishikawa iteration for quasi-nonexpansive multivalued mappings satisfying the end-point condition in Banach spaces. Later in 2010, Puttasontiphot [15] obtained similar results in complete CAT(0) spaces. In 2012, Samanmit and Panyanak [16] introduced a condition on mappings in  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees which is more general than the end-point condition, call it the *gate condition*, and proved strong convergence theorems of a modified Ishikawa iteration for quasi-nonexpansive multivalued mappings satisfying such condition.

---

Received December 26, 2013; accepted April 11, 2014.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H09, 47H10.

Key words and phrases: fixed point,  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree, strictly pseudononspreading mapping, convergence theorems.

In 2011, Osilike and Isiogugu [14] introduced a new single-valued mapping in a Hilbert space, namely  $k$ -strictly pseudononspreading. Recall that a single-valued mapping  $T$  is called  $k$ -strictly pseudononspreading if

$$(1.1) \quad \|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + k\|x - Tx - (y - Ty)\|^2 + 2\langle x - Tx, y - Ty \rangle$$

for all  $x, y \in D(T)$ . In a Hilbert space, it is easy to show that (1.1) is equivalent to

$$(2 - k)\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq k\|x - y\|^2 + (1 - k)\|y - Tx\|^2 + (1 - k)\|x - Ty\|^2 \\ + k\|x - Tx\|^2 + k\|y - Ty\|^2$$

for all  $x, y \in D(T)$ . Osilike and Isiogugu also proved weak and strong convergence theorems for approximating fixed points of  $k$ -strictly pseudononspreading mappings in Hilbert spaces.

However, up to now, no researchers have studied fixed point theorems for multivalued  $k$ -strictly pseudononspreading mappings even in Hilbert spaces, Banach spaces, CAT(0) spaces and  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees setting. The propose of this paper is to introduce the concept of  $k$ -strictly pseudononspreading multivalued mappings and to obtain the strong convergence theorems for approximating a common fixed point of those multivalued mappings in the framework of  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees under the gate condition.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A geodesic path joining  $x \in X$  to  $y \in X$  is a map  $c$  from a closed interval  $[0, l] \subset \mathbb{R}$  to  $X$  such that  $c(0) = x$ ,  $c(l) = y$ , and  $d(c(t_1), c(t_2)) = |t_1 - t_2|$  for all  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, l]$ . In particular,  $c$  is an isometry and  $d(x, y) = l$ . The image of  $c$  is called a *geodesic* (or *metric*) *segment* joining  $x$  and  $y$ . When it is unique this geodesic segment is denoted by  $[x, y]$ . For each  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , we denote the point  $z \in [x, y]$  such that  $d(x, z) = \alpha d(x, y)$  by  $(1 - \alpha)x \oplus \alpha y$ . The space  $(X, d)$  is said to be a *geodesic metric space* if every two points of  $X$  are joined by a geodesic, and  $X$  is said to be *uniquely geodesic* if there is exactly one geodesic joining  $x$  and  $y$  for each  $x, y \in X$ .

A nonempty subset  $D$  of  $X$  is said to be *convex* if  $D$  includes every geodesic segment joining any two of its points. A nonempty subset  $D$  of  $X$  is said to be *gated* if for any point  $x \notin D$  there is a unique point  $y_x$  such that for any  $z \in D$ ,

$$d(x, z) = d(x, y_x) + d(y_x, z).$$

Clearly gated sets in a complete geodesic space are always closed and convex. The point  $y_x$  is called the *gate* of  $x$  in  $D$ . It is easy to see that  $y_x$  is also the unique nearest point of  $x$  in  $D$ .

**Definition 2.1.** An  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree is a geodesic metric space  $X$  satisfying

- (i) there is a unique geodesic segment  $[x, y]$  joining each pair of points  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (ii) if  $[y, x] \cap [x, z] = \{x\}$ , then  $[y, x] \cup [x, z] = [y, z]$ .

It follows by (i) and (ii) that

- (iii) if  $u, v, w \in X$ , then  $[u, v] \cap [u, w] = [u, z]$  for some  $z \in X$ .

An  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree is a special case of a CAT(0) space. Note that a metric space  $X$  is a complete  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree if and only if  $X$  is hyperconvex with unique metric segments; see [9].

Let  $T : D \rightarrow 2^D$  be a multivalued mapping. An element  $z \in D$  is called *fixed point* of  $T$  if  $z \in Tz$ . An element  $p \in D$  is said to be an *endpoint* of  $T$  if  $p$  is a fixed point of  $T$  and  $Tp = \{p\}$  (see [20]). We shall denote by  $F(T)$  the set of all fixed points of  $T$  and by  $End(T)$  the set of all endpoints of  $T$ . It is easy to see that for each  $T$ ,  $End(T) \subseteq F(T)$  and the converse is not true in general. If  $End(T) = F(T)$  then we say that  $T$  satisfies the *endpoint condition*.

We shall denote the family of nonempty closed bounded subsets of  $D$  by  $CB(D)$ , the family of nonempty closed convex subsets of  $D$  by  $CC(D)$ , and the family of nonempty compact convex subsets of  $D$  by  $KC(D)$ . The *Hausdorff metric* on  $CB(D)$  is defined by

$$H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} \text{dist}(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} \text{dist}(y, A) \right\} \text{ for } A, B \in CB(D).$$

The multivalued mapping  $T : D \rightarrow CB(D)$  is called

- (i) *nonexpansive* if  $H(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in D$ ;
- (ii) *quasi-nonexpansive* if  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$  and  $H(Tx, Tz) \leq d(x, z)$  for all  $x \in D$  and  $z \in F(T)$ ;
- (iii)  *$L$ -Lipschitzian* if there exists a constant  $L > 0$  such that  $H(Tx, Ty) \leq Ld(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in D$ ;
- (iv) *hemicompact* if for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $D$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  converges strongly to  $p \in D$ . We note that if  $D$  is compact, then every multivalued mapping  $T : D \rightarrow CB(D)$  is hemicompact.

It is clear that every nonexpansive multivalued mapping  $T$  with  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$  is quasi-nonexpansive but there exist quasi-nonexpansive mappings that are not nonexpansive.

Let  $T : D \rightarrow CC(D)$  be a multivalued mapping with  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . We say that a point  $u \in D$  is a *key* of  $T$  if, for each  $x \in F(T)$ ,  $x$  is the gate of  $u$  in  $Tx$ . We say that  $T$  satisfies the *gate condition* if  $T$  has a key in  $D$ . It is clear that the endpoint condition implies the gate condition but the converse is not true.

We now collect some basic properties of  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a complete  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) [7] if  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$d(z, \alpha x \oplus (1 - \alpha)y)^2 \leq \alpha d(z, x)^2 + (1 - \alpha)d(z, y)^2 - \alpha(1 - \alpha)d(x, y)^2;$$

- (ii) [7] if  $x, y, z \in X$ , then  $d(x, z) + d(z, y) = d(x, y)$  if and only if  $z \in [x, y]$ ;  
 (iii) [12] if  $A$  and  $B$  are bounded closed convex subsets of  $X$ , then

$$d(P_A(u), P_B(u)) \leq H(A, B)$$

for any  $u \in X$ , where  $P_A(u), P_B(u)$  are respectively the unique nearest points of  $u$  in  $A$  and  $B$ ;

- (iv) [8] the gate subsets of  $X$  are precisely its closed and convex subsets.

We state the following conditions in  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees:

A multivalued mapping  $T : D \rightarrow CB(D)$  is said to satisfy *condition (I)* if there exists a nondecreasing function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(r) > 0$  for all  $r > 0$  such that  $\text{dist}(x, Tx) \geq f(\text{dist}(x, F(T)))$  for all  $x \in D$ .

Two multivalued mappings  $T_1, T_2 : D \rightarrow CB(D)$  are said to satisfy *condition (II)* if there exists a nondecreasing function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(r) > 0$  for all  $r > 0$  such that either  $\text{dist}(x, T_1x) \geq f(\text{dist}(x, F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)))$  or  $\text{dist}(x, T_2x) \geq f(\text{dist}(x, F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)))$  for all  $x \in D$ .

The following results are needed for proving our results.

**Lemma 2.3.** ([13]) *Let  $X$  be a complete metric space,  $A$  be a bounded closed subset of  $X$ , and  $B$  be a compact subset of  $X$ . If  $x \in A$  then there exists  $y \in B$  such that  $d(x, y) \leq H(A, B)$ .*

**Proposition 2.4.** ([5]) *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $F$  be a nonempty closed subset of  $X$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that  $d(x_{n+1}, p) \leq d(x_n, p)$  for all  $p \in F$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to some point in  $F$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_n, F) = 0$ .*

### 3. Main Results

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $D$  be a nonempty subset of a complete  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree  $X$ . A multivalued mapping  $T : D \rightarrow CB(D)$  is called

- (i) *nonspreading* if

$$2H(Tx, Ty)^2 \leq \text{dist}(y, Tx)^2 + \text{dist}(x, Ty)^2$$

for all  $x, y \in D$ .

- (ii) *k-strictly pseudononspreading* if there exists  $k \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$(2 - k)H(Tx, Ty)^2 \leq kd(x, y)^2 + (1 - k)\text{dist}(y, Tx)^2 + (1 - k)\text{dist}(x, Ty)^2 \\ + k\text{dist}(x, Tx)^2 + k\text{dist}(y, Ty)^2$$

for all  $x, y \in D$ .

Clearly every nonspreading multivalued mapping is 0-strictly pseudononspreading. It is clear that if  $T$  is  $k$ -strictly pseudononspreading and has a fixed point, then for all  $x \in D$  and  $p \in F(T)$  we have

$$H(Tx, Tp)^2 \leq d(x, p)^2 + k \operatorname{dist}(x, Tx)^2.$$

So,  $T$  may not be quasi-nonexpansive. It is easy to show that if  $T$  is a  $k$ -strictly pseudononspreading multivalued mapping with  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $F(T)$  is closed. Indeed, we let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $F(T)$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By the definition of  $T$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(Tx, Tx_n)^2 &\leq d(x, x_n)^2 + k \operatorname{dist}(x, Tx)^2 \\ &\leq (d(x, x_n) + \sqrt{k} \operatorname{dist}(x, Tx))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$H(Tx, Tx_n) \leq d(x, x_n) + \sqrt{k} \operatorname{dist}(x, Tx).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{dist}(x, Tx) &\leq \operatorname{dist}(x, Tx_n) + H(Tx_n, Tx) \\ &\leq 2d(x, x_n) + \sqrt{k} \operatorname{dist}(x, Tx). \end{aligned}$$

By letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in above inequality, we have  $(1 - \sqrt{k}) \operatorname{dist}(x, Tx) \leq 0$ . Since  $k \in [0, 1)$ , we get  $\operatorname{dist}(x, Tx) = 0$ . Hence,  $x \in Tx$  so that  $F(T)$  is closed.

In order to prove our main results, the following lemma is needed.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $D$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a complete  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree  $X$ . Assume that  $T : D \rightarrow KC(D)$  is a  $k$ -strictly pseudononspreading multivalued mapping. If  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $D$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $\operatorname{dist}(x_n, Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $x \in Tx$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $D$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $\operatorname{dist}(x_n, Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For each  $n$ , let  $y_n \in Tx_n$  such that  $d(x_n, y_n) = \operatorname{dist}(x_n, Tx_n)$ . Since  $Tx$  is compact, by Lemma 2.3, there exist  $z_n \in Tx$  such that  $d(y_n, z_n) \leq H(Tx_n, Tx)$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2-k)d(y_n, z_n)^2 &\leq (2-k)H(Tx_n, Tx)^2 \\ &\leq kd(x_n, x)^2 + (1-k) \operatorname{dist}(x, Tx_n)^2 + (1-k) \operatorname{dist}(x_n, Tx)^2 \\ &\quad + k \operatorname{dist}(x_n, Tx_n)^2 + k \operatorname{dist}(x, Tx)^2 \\ &\leq kd(x_n, x)^2 + (1-k)(d(x, y_n)^2 + d(x_n, z_n)^2) + k \operatorname{dist}(x_n, Tx_n)^2 \\ &\quad + k \operatorname{dist}(x, Tx)^2 \\ &\leq kd(x_n, x)^2 + (1-k)(d(x, y_n) + d(x_n, z_n))^2 + k \operatorname{dist}(x_n, Tx_n)^2 \\ &\quad + k \operatorname{dist}(x, Tx)^2 \\ (3.1) \quad &\leq kd(x_n, x)^2 + (1-k)(d(x, x_n) + 2d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, z_n))^2 \\ &\quad + k \operatorname{dist}(x_n, Tx_n)^2 + k \operatorname{dist}(x, Tx)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Compactness of  $Tx$  implies that there exists a subsequence  $\{z_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{z_n\}$  such that  $z_{n_i} \rightarrow z \in Tx$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, it follows by (3.1) that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{n_i}, z_{n_i}) \leq \sqrt{k} \operatorname{dist}(x, Tx).$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, z) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_i}, z) \\ &\leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_i}, y_{n_i}) + d(y_{n_i}, z_{n_i}) + d(z_{n_i}, z) \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{n_i}, z_{n_i}) \\ &\leq \sqrt{k} \operatorname{dist}(x, Tx) \\ &\leq \sqrt{k} d(x, z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(1 - \sqrt{k})d(x, z) = 0$ . Since  $k \in [0, 1)$ , it implies that  $x = z \in Tx$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $D$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a complete  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree  $X$ . Let  $T_1 : D \rightarrow KC(D)$  be a  $k$ -strictly pseudononspreading multivalued mapping and  $T_2 : D \rightarrow KC(D)$  be a  $k$ -strictly pseudononspreading and  $L$ -Lipschitzian multivalued mapping with  $\mathcal{F} = F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $T_1, T_2$  satisfy the gate condition. Let  $u_1, u_2$  be keys of  $T_1, T_2$ , respectively. For  $x_1 \in D$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by*

$$y_n = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n \oplus \alpha_n z_n^{(1)} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $z_n^{(1)}$  is the gate of  $u_1$  in  $T_1 x_n$ , and

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n)y_n \oplus \beta_n z_n^{(2)} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $z_n^{(2)}$  is the gate of  $u_2$  in  $T_2 y_n$ . Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  be sequences in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $0 < a \leq \alpha_n, \beta_n \leq b < 1 - k$ . If one of the following is satisfied:

- (i)  $T_1, T_2$  satisfy condition (II),
- (ii)  $T_1$  or  $T_2$  is hemicompact,

then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to an element of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

*Proof.* For each  $p \in \mathcal{F}$ , we obtain by the gate condition and Lemma 2.2(i) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_{n+1}, p)^2 &\leq (1 - \beta_n)d(y_n, p)^2 + \beta_n d(z_n^{(2)}, p)^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)d(y_n, z_n^{(2)})^2 \\
 &\leq (1 - \beta_n)d(y_n, p)^2 + \beta_n d(P_{T_2 y_n}(u_2), P_{T_2 p}(u_2))^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)d(y_n, z_n^{(2)})^2 \\
 &\leq (1 - \beta_n)d(y_n, p)^2 + \alpha_n H(T_2 y_n, T_2 p)^2 - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)d(y_n, z_n^{(2)})^2 \\
 &\leq (1 - \beta_n)d(y_n, p)^2 + \beta_n(d(y_n, p)^2 + k \operatorname{dist}(y_n, T_2 y_n)^2) \\
 &\quad - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)d(y_n, z_n^{(2)})^2 \\
 &= d(y_n, p)^2 - \beta_n(1 - k - \beta_n)d(y_n, z_n^{(2)})^2 \\
 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, p)^2 + \alpha_n d(z_n^{(1)}, p)^2 - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, z_n^{(1)})^2 \\
 &\quad - \beta_n(1 - k - \beta_n)d(y_n, z_n^{(2)})^2 \\
 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, p)^2 + \alpha_n d(P_{T_1 x_n}(u_1), P_{T_1 p}(u_1))^2 - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, z_n^{(1)})^2 \\
 &\quad - \beta_n(1 - k - \beta_n)d(y_n, z_n^{(2)})^2 \\
 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, p)^2 + \alpha_n H(T_1 x_n, T_1 p)^2 - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, z_n^{(1)})^2 \\
 &\quad - \beta_n(1 - k - \beta_n)d(y_n, z_n^{(2)})^2 \\
 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, p)^2 + \alpha_n(d(x_n, p)^2 + k \operatorname{dist}(x_n, T_1 x_n)^2) \\
 &\quad - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, z_n^{(1)})^2 - \beta_n(1 - k - \beta_n)d(y_n, z_n^{(2)})^2 \\
 (3.2) \quad &= d(x_n, p)^2 - \alpha_n(1 - k - \alpha_n)d(x_n, z_n^{(1)})^2 - \beta_n(1 - k - \beta_n)d(y_n, z_n^{(2)})^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies by  $\alpha_n, \beta_n < 1 - k$  that  $d(x_{n+1}, p) \leq d(x_n, p)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $\{d(x_n, p)\}$  is nonincreasing and bounded below. It follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, p)$  exists for each  $p \in \mathcal{F}$ . Using (3.2), we get that

$$\alpha_n(1 - k - \alpha_n)d(x_n, z_n^{(1)})^2 + \beta_n(1 - k - \beta_n)d(y_n, z_n^{(2)})^2 \leq d(x_n, p)^2 - d(x_{n+1}, p)^2.$$

This implies from  $0 < a \leq \alpha_n, \beta_n \leq b < 1 - k$  that

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z_n^{(1)}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, z_n^{(2)}) = 0.$$

Also

$$(3.4) \quad \operatorname{dist}(x_n, T_1 x_n) \leq d(x_n, z_n^{(1)}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the definition of  $T_2$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(x_n, T_2x_n) &\leq \text{dist}(x_n, T_2y_n) + H(T_2y_n, T_2x_n) \\ &\leq d(x_n, z_n^{(2)}) + Ld(y_n, x_n) \\ &\leq (1 + L)d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, z_n^{(2)}) \\ &\leq (1 + L)(d(x_n, z_n^{(1)}) + d(z_n^{(1)}, y_n)) + d(y_n, z_n^{(2)}) \\ &= (1 + L)(d(x_n, z_n^{(1)}) + (1 - \alpha_n)d(z_n^{(1)}, x_n)) + d(y_n, z_n^{(2)}) \\ &= (1 + L)(2 - \alpha_n)d(x_n, z_n^{(1)}) + d(y_n, z_n^{(2)}) \\ &\leq (1 + L)(2 - a)d(x_n, z_n^{(1)}) + d(y_n, z_n^{(2)}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies by (3.3) that

$$(3.5) \quad \text{dist}(x_n, T_2x_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Case (i):  $T_1, T_2$  satisfy the condition (II). Then, we have by (3.4) and (3.5) that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ . By the closedness of  $\mathcal{F}$  and Proposition 2.4, we have  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to some point in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Case (ii):  $T_1$  or  $T_2$  is hemicompact. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $T_1$  is hemicompact. Then there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  converges strongly to  $z \in D$ . By (3.4) and (3.5), it follows by Lemma 3.2 that  $z \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, p)$  exists for each  $p \in \mathcal{F}$ , it implies that  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $z \in \mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

Since any nonspreading multivalued mapping is 0-strictly pseudononspreading multivalued mapping, the next corollary is obtained immediately from Theorem 3.3.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $D$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a complete  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree  $X$ . Let  $T_1 : D \rightarrow KC(D)$  be a nonspreading multivalued mapping and  $T_2 : D \rightarrow KC(D)$  be a nonspreading and  $L$ -Lipschitzian multivalued mapping with  $\mathcal{F} = F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $T_1, T_2$  satisfy the gate condition. Let  $u_1, u_2$  be keys of  $T_1, T_2$ , respectively. For  $x_1 \in D$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by*

$$y_n = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n \oplus \alpha_n z_n^{(1)} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $z_n^{(1)}$  is the gate of  $u_1$  in  $T_1x_n$ , and

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n)y_n \oplus \beta_n z_n^{(2)} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $z_n^{(2)}$  is the gate of  $u_2$  in  $T_2y_n$ . Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  be sequences in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $0 < a \leq \alpha_n, \beta_n \leq b < 1$ . If one of the following is satisfied:

- (i)  $T_1, T_2$  satisfy condition (II),
- (ii)  $T_1$  or  $T_2$  is hemicompact,



then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to an element of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.3, we obtain the following result for approximating a fixed point of a  $k$ -strictly pseudononspreading multivalued mapping in  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $D$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a complete  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree  $X$ . Let  $T : D \rightarrow KC(D)$  be a  $k$ -strictly pseudononspreading multivalued mapping with  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $T$  satisfies the gate condition. Let  $u$  be a key of  $T$ . For  $x_1 \in D$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by*

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n \oplus \alpha_n z_n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $z_n$  is the gate of  $u$  in  $Tx_n$ . Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  be a sequence in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $0 < a \leq \alpha_n \leq b < 1 - k$ . If either  $T$  satisfy condition (I) or  $T$  is hemicompact, then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to an element of  $F(T)$ .

*Proof.* In Theorem 3.3, put  $T_1 = T$  and  $T_2 = I$  (identity mapping). Hence, we obtain the desired result from Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** Theorem 3.3 extends [16] to the case of two  $k$ -strictly pseudononspreading multivalued mappings and our iteration process is different from the iteration process defined by Samanmit and Panyanak [16].

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank the referees for valuable suggestions on the paper.

## References

- [1] A. G. Aksoy and M. A. Khamsi, *A selection theorem in metric trees*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **134**(2006), 2957-2966.
- [2] S. M. A. Aleomraninejad, Sh. Rezapour and N. Shahzad, *Some fixed point results on a metric space with a graph*, Topology Appl., **159**(2012), 659-663.
- [3] A. Amini-Harandi and A. P. Farajzadeh, *Best approximation, coincidence and fixed point theorems for set-valued maps in  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees*, Nonlinear Anal., **71**(2009), 1649-1653.
- [4] I. Bartolini, P. Ciaccia and M. Patella, *String matching with metric trees using an approximate distance*, SPIR Lecture notes in Comput. Sci. 2476, Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [5] H. H. Bauschke and P. L. Combettes, *Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2011.
- [6] M. Bestvina,  *$\mathbb{R}$ -trees in topology, geometry, and group theory*, in: Handbook of Geometric Topology, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002, pp. 55-91.
- [7] S. Dhompongsa and B. Panyanak, *On  $\Delta$ -convergence theorems in  $CAT(0)$  spaces*, Comp. Math. Appl., **56**(10)(2008), 2572-2579.

- [8] R. Espínola, W. A. Kirk, *Fixed point theorems in  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees with applications to graph theory*, Topology and its Applications, **153**(2006), 1046-1055.
- [9] W. A. Kirk, Hyperconvexity of  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees, Fundamenta Mathematicae, **156**(1)(1998), 67-72.
- [10] W. A. Kirk, *Fixed point theorems in  $CAT(0)$  spaces and  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2004**(2004), 309-316.
- [11] W. A. Kirk, *Some recent results in metric fixed point theory*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2**(2007), 195-207.
- [12] J. T. Markin, *Fixed points, selections and best approximation for multivalued mappings in  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees*, Nonlinear Anal., **67**(2007), 2712-2716.
- [13] S. B. Nadler Jr., *Multi-valued contraction mappings*, Pacific J. Math., **30**(1969), 475-488.
- [14] M. O. Osilike and F. O. Isiogugu, *Weak and strong convergence theorems for nonspreading-type mappings in Hilbert spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., **74**(2011), 1814-1822.
- [15] T. Puttasontiphot, *Mann and Ishikawa iteration schemes for multivalued mappings in  $CAT(0)$  spaces*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, **4**(61)(2010), 3005-3018.
- [16] K. Samanmit and B. Panyanak, *On multivalued nonexpansive mappings in  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees*, Journal of Applied Mathematics, Volume 2012, Article ID 629149, 13 pages.
- [17] C. Semple and M. Steel, *Phylogenetics*, Oxford Lecture Ser. Math. Appl., vol. 24, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2003.
- [18] N. Shahzad and H. Zegeye, *On Mann and Ishikawa iteration schemes for multi-valued maps in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., **71**(2009), 838-844.
- [19] J. Tits, *A Theorem of LieKolchin for Trees. Contributions to Algebra: A Collection of Papers Dedicated to Ellis Kolchin*, Academic Press, New York, 1977.
- [20] K. Włodarczyk, D. Klim and R. Plebaniak, *Existence and uniqueness of endpoints of closed set-valued asymptotic contractions in metric spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **328**(2007), 46-57.