

Risks and Pitfalls of Epidural Injections during Management of Lumbar Disc Herniation: Few Comments

Department of Anaesthesiology, *Research Center for Social Determinants of Health, Zoonoses Research Center, Jahrom University of Medical Sciences, Jahrom, Iran

Mohammad Sadegh Sanie and Mohamed Amin Ghobadifar*

LETTERS TO EDITORS

We read with curiosity the article entitled “Comparison of the efficacy of caudal, interlaminar, and transforaminal epidural injections in managing lumbar disc herniation: is one method superior to the other?” published in *the Korean Journal of Pain* [1]. Manchikanti et al. skillfully presented an intriguing review article of the effects of different epidural injection approaches in managing lumbar disc herniation. Their review showed that epidural injections provide relief in patients with the chronic lumbar disc herniation. However, we would like to offer suggestions to the authors about the complications and risks of epidural injections, as illustrated in the results discussed below.

It showed that probable mechanisms of spinal cord injury in patients undergoing cervical, thoracic, and lumbar epidural infiltration techniques include: spinal cord infarction due to needle-induced vasospasm, the embolization of particulate steroids, the mechanical disruption of radiculomedullary arteries, and compression from an epidural abscess or hematoma are [2]. Death as well as in-

farction of the brain stem, cerebellum, thalamus, and spinal cord have been reported after epidural injections, either by a transforaminal or an interlaminar procedure [3].

On the other hand, epidural injections have often been shown to be implicated in permanent and severe complications, including infection, intravascular injections, injections into the spinal fluid, nerve damage, hemorrhages, paralysis, weakening of the disc, or results of discitis and arachnoiditis [4]. Also, Manchikanti et al. [5] in a prospective, non-randomized study of patients undergoing epidural injections observed the following complications: intravascular injection, local bleeding, oozing, and local hematoma with profuse bleeding.

Thus, in the light of the unexpected complications and aforementioned detrimental outcomes, a critical re-assessment of the indication of epidural injection in the management of lumbar disc herniation is prompted. We feel that careful documentation and precise needle positioning are required. In addition, the application of non-particulate steroids is recommended. Finally, more studies are required on this topic.

Received March 13, 2015. Accepted April 3, 2015.

Correspondence to: Mohamed Amin Ghobadifar

Research Center for Social Determinants of Health, Medicine School, Jahrom University of Medical Sciences, Motahari Avenue, Jahrom 12345, Iran

Tel: +98-936-620-8078, Fax: +98-713-635-4094, E-mail: amin_m505@yahoo.com

© This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Copyright © The Korean Pain Society, 2015

REFERENCES

1. Manchikanti L, Singh V, Pampati V, Falco FJ, Hirsch JA. Comparison of the efficacy of caudal, interlaminar, and transforaminal epidural injections in managing lumbar disc herniation: is one method superior to the other? *Korean J Pain* 2015; 28: 11–21.
2. Dietrich TJ, Sutter R, Froehlich JM, Pfirrmann CW. Particulate versus non-particulate steroids for lumbar transforaminal or interlaminar epidural steroid injections: an update. *Skeletal Radiol* 2015; 44: 149–55.
3. Hodler J, Boos N, Schubert M. Must we discontinue selective cervical nerve root blocks? Report of two cases and review of the literature. *Eur Spine J* 2013; 22 Suppl 3: S466–70.
4. Cohen SP, Maine DN, Shockey SM, Kudchadkar S, Griffith S. Inadvertent disk injection during transforaminal epidural steroid injection: steps for prevention and management. *Pain Med* 2008; 9: 688–94.
5. Manchikanti L, Malla Y, Wargo BW, Cash KA, Pampati V, Fellows B. Complications of fluoroscopically directed facet joint nerve blocks: a prospective evaluation of 7,500 episodes with 43,000 nerve blocks. *Pain Physician* 2012; 15: E143–50.