

A POINT STAR-CONFIGURATION IN \mathbb{P}^n HAVING GENERIC HILBERT FUNCTION

YONG-SU SHIN*

ABSTRACT. We find a necessary and sufficient condition for which a point star-configuration in \mathbb{P}^n has generic Hilbert function. More precisely, a point star-configuration in \mathbb{P}^n defined by general forms of degrees d_1, \dots, d_s with $3 \leq n \leq s$ has generic Hilbert function if and only if $d_1 = \dots = d_{s-1} = 1$ and $d_s = 1, 2$. Otherwise, the Hilbert function of a point star-configuration in \mathbb{P}^n is NEVER generic.

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper, $R = \mathbb{k}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is an $(n + 1)$ -variable polynomial ring over an infinite field \mathbb{k} of any characteristic, and the symbol \mathbb{P}^n will denote the projective n -space over a field \mathbb{k} . Let F_1, \dots, F_s be general forms in R of degrees $d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_s$ with $2 \leq n \leq s$. The variety \mathbb{X} in \mathbb{P}^n of the ideal

$$\bigcap_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq s} (F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_r})$$

is called a *star-configuration in \mathbb{P}^n of type (r, s)* . If the F_i are all general linear forms in R , the star-configuration \mathbb{X} is called a *linear star-configuration in \mathbb{P}^n* . In particular, if $r = n$, then the variety \mathbb{X} is called a *point star-configuration in \mathbb{P}^n* , which we shall discuss in this paper.

Let I be a homogeneous ideal of R . Then the numerical function

$$\mathbf{H}_{R/I}(t) := \dim_k R_t - \dim_k I_t \quad (t \geq 0)$$

Received October 31, 2014; Accepted January 27, 2015.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 13P40; Secondary 14M10.

Key words and phrases: Hilbert functions, star-configurations, point star-configurations.

This research was supported by a grant from Sungshin Women's University in 2014.

is called the *Hilbert function* of the ring R/I . If $I := I_{\mathbb{X}}$ is the ideal of a subscheme \mathbb{X} in \mathbb{P}^n , then we denote

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}}(t) := \mathbf{H}_{R/I_{\mathbb{X}}}(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0$$

and call it the *Hilbert function* of \mathbb{X} .

Many interesting problems in the study of Hilbert functions and minimal free resolutions of star-configurations in \mathbb{P}^n have been extensively studied (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]). In this paper, we study point star-configurations in \mathbb{P}^n defined by general forms for $n \geq 3$ (see [4]). Based on the degrees of these general forms, we find a necessary and sufficient condition for a point star-configuration in \mathbb{P}^n to have generic Hilbert function for $n \geq 3$ (see Theorem 2.8).

2. A star-configuration in \mathbb{P}^n

The authors [1] showed that a star-configuration \mathbb{X} in \mathbb{P}^2 has generic Hilbert function if \mathbb{X} is defined by general forms F_1, \dots, F_s of the same degree $d = 1$, or 2, and that \mathbb{X} has NEVER generic Hilbert function if \mathbb{X} is defined by general forms F_1, \dots, F_s of the same degree $d \geq 3$. In [6], the author generalized the result as follows.

THEOREM 2.1 ([6, Theorem 2.7]). *Let \mathbb{X} be a star-configuration in \mathbb{P}^2 defined by general forms F_1, \dots, F_s of degrees d_1, \dots, d_s with $3 \leq s$. Then \mathbb{X} has generic Hilbert function if and only if $d_i \leq 2$ for every $i = 1, \dots, s$.*

The following is an immediate corollary of Theorem 3.4 in [4] (see also [2, 3]).

COROLLARY 2.2 ([4, Corollary 2.4]). *Let \mathbb{X} be a linear star-configuration in \mathbb{P}^n of type (n, s) with $2 \leq n \leq s$. Then \mathbb{X} has generic Hilbert function i.e.,*

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}}(i) = \min \left\{ \deg(\mathbb{X}), \binom{i+n}{n} \right\}$$

for every $i \geq 0$.

The following examples are motivation to this study: under what conditions given point star configurations in \mathbb{P}^n to have generic Hilbert functions.

EXAMPLE 2.3.

- (a) Consider a point star-configuration \mathbb{X} in \mathbb{P}^3 defined by 5-general forms of degrees 1, 1, 1, 1, 2. Then, by Theorem 3.4 in [4], \mathbb{X} has generic Hilbert function

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}} : 1 \quad 4 \quad 10 \quad 16 \quad \rightarrow .$$

- (b) However, if we consider a point star-configuration \mathbb{Y} in \mathbb{P}^3 defined by 5-forms of degrees 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, then the Hilbert function of \mathbb{Y} is

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Y}} : 1 \quad 4 \quad 10 \quad 19 \quad 25 \quad \rightarrow ,$$

which is not generic.

As seen in Example 2.3 (a), there exists a non-linear point star-configuration in \mathbb{P}^3 having generic Hilbert function. This motivates the following proposition, which generalises Corollary 2.2.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let \mathbb{X} be a point star-configuration in \mathbb{P}^n defined by general forms of degrees $1 \leq d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_s$ with $2 \leq n \leq s$. If $d_1 = \dots = d_{s-1} = 1$ and $d_s = 2$, then \mathbb{X} has generic Hilbert function.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, if $n = 2$, then \mathbb{X} has generic Hilbert function. We assume $n > 2$. If $s = n$, then \mathbb{X} is a complete intersection of 2-points in \mathbb{P}^n , and hence the result is immediate. Now suppose $n < s$.

Note that, by Theorem 3.4 in [4], the degrees of the minimal generators are $s - n + 1$ or $s - n + 2$. Hence the Hilbert function of \mathbb{X} up to degrees $\leq s - n$ is

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}} : 1 \quad \binom{1+n}{n} \quad \dots \quad \binom{(s-n)+n}{n} \quad \dots ,$$

and

$$\deg(\mathbb{X}) = \binom{s-1}{n} + 2 \cdot \binom{s-1}{n-1}.$$

Recall that $\binom{\alpha}{\beta} = \binom{\alpha-1}{\beta} + \binom{\alpha-1}{\beta-1}$ for $1 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$. Since the ideal $I_{\mathbb{X}}$ has $\binom{s-1}{n-2}$ -generators in degree $s - n + 1$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}}(s - n + 1) &= \binom{(s-n+1)+n}{n} - \binom{s-1}{n-2} = \binom{s+1}{n} - \binom{s-1}{n-2} \\ &= \binom{s-1}{n} + 2 \cdot \binom{s-1}{n-1} = \deg(\mathbb{X}), \end{aligned}$$

and thus \mathbb{X} has generic Hilbert function, as we wished. □

LEMMA 2.5. *Let \mathbb{X} be a point star-configuration in \mathbb{P}^n defined by general forms of degrees $1 \leq d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_s$ with $3 \leq n \leq s$. If $d_1 = \dots = d_{s-1} = 1$ and $2 < d_s$, then the Hilbert function of \mathbb{X} is NEVER generic.*

Proof. If $s = n$, then \mathbb{X} is a complete intersection in \mathbb{P}^n and the first two values of the Hilbert function of \mathbb{X} are 1 and 2. Moreover, since $\deg(\mathbb{X}) = d_s > 2$, the Hilbert function of \mathbb{X} is not generic.

Now assume that $s > n$. Notice that $I_{\mathbb{X}}$ has $\binom{s-1}{n-2}$ -generators in degree $s - n + 1$, and $\deg(\mathbb{X}) = \binom{s-1}{n} + d_s \cdot \binom{s-1}{n-1}$. Moreover, since

$$\begin{aligned} & \deg(\mathbb{X}) - \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}}(s - n + 1) \\ &= \binom{s-1}{n} + d_s \cdot \binom{s-1}{n-1} - \left[\binom{(s-n+1)+n}{n} - \binom{s-1}{n-2} \right] \\ &= \binom{s-1}{n} + d_s \cdot \binom{s-1}{n-1} - \left[\binom{s+1}{n} - \binom{s-1}{n-2} \right] \\ &= \binom{s-1}{n} + d_s \cdot \binom{s-1}{n-1} - \left[\binom{s-1}{n} + 2\binom{s-1}{n-1} + \binom{s-1}{n-2} - \binom{s-1}{n-2} \right] \\ &= (d_s - 2) \cdot \binom{s-1}{n-1} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which means that \mathbb{X} does not have generic Hilbert function. This completes the proof. \square

To show that the condition in Lemma 2.4 is actually an equivalent relation for a point star-configuration \mathbb{X} in \mathbb{P}^n to have generic Hilbert function, we need one more notion $\sigma(\mathbb{X})$ which is defined to be

$$\sigma(\mathbb{X}) = \min\{d \mid \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}}(d - 1) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}}(d)\}.$$

We will use the following proposition, which generalizes Proposition 3.6 in [6], in the proof of the main theorem (see Theorem 2.8).

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let $\mathbb{X} := \mathbb{X}^{(n,s)}$ be a point star-configuration in \mathbb{P}^n defined by general forms F_1, \dots, F_s of degrees $1 \leq d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_s$ with $2 \leq n \leq s$. Then*

$$\sigma(\mathbb{X}) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^s d_i \right] - (n - 1).$$

Proof. We shall prove this by double induction on n and s . If $n = 2$, then by Proposition 3.6 in [6] it holds. Suppose $n > 2$. If $s = n$, then \mathbb{X} is a complete intersection, and thus the statement is true. Now we assume that $n < s$. By Proposition 2.6 in [3], for $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}}(t) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n-1,s-1)}}(t) + \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n,s-1)}}(t - d_s) - \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n-1,s-1)}}(t - d_s)$$

Define $d := \sum_{i=1}^s d_i$, and $d' := \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} d_i = d - d_s$. By induction on s ,

$$\sigma(\mathbb{X}^{(n,s-1)}) = d' - (n - 1), \quad \text{i.e.,}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n,s-1)}}(d' - (n + 1)) < \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n,s-1)}}(d' - n) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n,s-1)}}(d' - (n - 1)).$$

Since $\mathbb{X}^{(n-1,s-1)}$ is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay and $\sigma(\mathbb{X}^{(n-1,s-1)}) = d' - (n - 2)$ as a point star-configuration in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} , we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}}(d - (n - 1)) - \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}}(d - n) \\
= & \left[\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n-1, s-1)}}(d - (n - 1)) + \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n, s-1)}}(d' - (n - 1)) - \right. \\
& \left. \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n-1, s-1)}}(d' - (n - 1)) \right] - \\
& \left[\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n-1, s-1)}}(d - n) + \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n, s-1)}}(d' - n) - \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n-1, s-1)}}(d' - n) \right] \\
= & \left[\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n-1, s-1)}}(d - (n - 1)) - \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n-1, s-1)}}(d - n) \right] + \\
& \left[\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n, s-1)}}(d' - (n - 1)) - \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n, s-1)}}(d' - n) \right] - \\
& \left[\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n-1, s-1)}}(d' - (n - 1)) - \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n-1, s-1)}}(d' - n) \right] \\
= & \left[\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n-1, s-1)}}(d - (n - 1)) - \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n-1, s-1)}}(d - n) \right] - \\
& \left[\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n-1, s-1)}}(d' - (n - 1)) - \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n-1, s-1)}}(d' - n) \right] \\
& \text{(since } \sigma(\mathbb{X}^{(n, s-1)}) = d' - (n - 1) \text{ by induction on } s) \\
= & \Delta \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n-1, s-1)}}(d - (n - 1)) - \Delta \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n-1, s-1)}}(d' - (n - 1)) \\
= & 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By the same argument as above, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}}(d - n) - \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}}(d - (n + 1)) \\
= & \Delta \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n-1, s-1)}}(d - n) - \Delta \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n-1, s-1)}}(d' - n) > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}}(d - (n + 1)) < \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}}(d - n) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}}(d - (n - 1)),$$

and thus

$$\sigma(\mathbb{X}) = d - (n - 1) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^s d_i \right] - (n - 1),$$

as we wished. \square

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let \mathbb{X} be a point star-configuration in \mathbb{P}^n defined by general forms of degrees $1 \leq d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_s$ for $3 \leq n \leq s$. If $d_1 = \dots = d_{\ell-1} = 1$ and $2 \leq d_\ell \leq \dots \leq d_s$ with $1 \leq \ell \leq s - 1$, then the Hilbert function of \mathbb{X} is NEVER generic.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.6 in [3],

$$\begin{aligned}
& \deg(\mathbb{X}) - \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}}(d - (n + 1)) \\
= & \deg(\mathbb{X}) - \left[\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n-1, s-1)}}(d - (n + 1)) - \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n-1, s-1)}}(d' - (n + 1)) \right. \\
& \left. + \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n, s-1)}}(d' - (n + 1)) \right] \\
= & \deg(\mathbb{X}) - \left[(d_s - 1) \cdot \deg(\mathbb{X}^{(n-1, s-1)}) + \Delta \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n-1, s-1)}}(d' - n) \right. \\
& \left. + \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n, s-1)}}(d' - (n + 1)) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&> \deg(\mathbb{X}) - [d_s \cdot \deg(\mathbb{X}^{(n-1,s-1)}) + \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n,s-1)}}(d' - (n+1))] \\
&\quad \text{(by Proposition 2.6, } \sigma(\mathbb{X}^{(n-1,s-1)}) = d' - (n-2) \text{ in } \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\text{)} \\
&> [\deg(\mathbb{X}) - d_s \cdot \deg(\mathbb{X}^{(n-1,s-1)})] - \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n,s-1)}}(d' - (n+1)) \\
&= \deg(\mathbb{X}^{(n,s-1)}) - \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}^{(n,s-1)}}(d' - (n+1)) \quad \text{(by Proposition 2.6 in [3])} \\
&> 0 \quad \text{(by Proposition 2.6, } \sigma(\mathbb{X}^{(n,s-1)}) = d' - (n-1)\text{)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that, by Theorem 3.4 in [4], $I_{\mathbb{X}}$ has at least one generator in degree $d - (d_{s-n+2} + \cdots + d_n) = d_1 + \cdots + d_{s-n+1}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[d - (n+1)] - [d_1 + \cdots + d_{s-n+1}] \\
&= (d_{s-n+2} + \cdots + d_{s-1} + d_s) - (n+1) \\
&= (d_{s-n+2} + \cdots + (d_{s-1} - 1) + (d_s - 1)) - (n-1) \\
&\geq 0 \quad \text{(since } 2 \leq d_{s-1} \leq d_s\text{)},
\end{aligned}$$

i.e., $d_1 + \cdots + d_{s-n+1} \leq d - (n+1)$, which implies that

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}}(d - (n+1)) < \binom{d-(n+1)+n}{n} = \binom{d-1}{n}.$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{X}}(d - (n+1)) < \min\{\deg(\mathbb{X}), \binom{d-1}{n}\}$, and thus the Hilbert function of \mathbb{X} is not generic. This completes the proof. \square

It is from Corollary 2.2, Lemmas 2.4, 2.5, and Proposition 2.7 that the following main theorem is immediate.

THEOREM 2.8. *Let $\mathbb{X} := \mathbb{X}^{(n,s)}$ be a point star-configuration in \mathbb{P}^n defined by general forms of degrees $1 \leq d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_s$ with $3 \leq n \leq s$. Then \mathbb{X} has generic Hilbert function if and only if $d_1 = \cdots = d_{s-1} = 1$ and $d_s = 1, 2$.*

References

- [1] J. Ahn and Y. S. Shin, *The Minimal Free Resolution of A Star-Configuration in \mathbb{P}^n and The Weak Lefschetz Property*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **49** (2012), no. 2, 405-417.
- [2] E. Carlini, E. Guardo, and A. V. Tuyl, *Star configurations on generic hypersurfaces*, J. Algebra **407** (2014), 1-20.
- [3] A. V. Geramita, B. Harbourne, and J. C. Migliore, *Star Configurations in \mathbb{P}^n* , J. Algebra **376** (2013), 279-299.
- [4] J. P. Park and Y. S. Shin, *The Minimal Free Graded Resolution of A Star-configuration in \mathbb{P}^n* , J. Pure Appl. Algebra **219** (2015), 2124-2133.
- [5] Y. S. Shin, *Secants to The Variety of Completely Reducible Forms and The Union of Star-Configurations*, Journal of Algebra and its Applications **11** (2012), no. 6, 1250109 (27 pages).
- [6] Y. S. Shin, *Star-Configurations in \mathbb{P}^2 Having Generic Hilbert Functions and The weak-Lefschetz Property*, Comm. in Algebra **40** (2012), 2226-2242.

*

Department of Mathematics
Sungshin Women's University
Seoul 136-742, Republic of Korea
E-mail: ysshin@sungshin.ac.kr