

SUBSTITUTION OPERATORS IN THE SPACES OF FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED VARIATION $BV_\alpha^2(I)$

WADIE AZIZ, JOSÉ ATILIO GUERRERO, AND NELSON MERENTES

In memory of Professor José Aguilera

ABSTRACT. The space $BV_\alpha^2(I)$ of all the real functions defined on interval $I = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, which are of bounded second α -variation (in the sense De la Vallée Poussin) on I forms a Banach space. In this space we define an operator of substitution H generated by a function $h : I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and prove, in particular, that if H maps $BV_\alpha^2(I)$ into itself and is globally Lipschitz or uniformly continuous, then h is an affine function with respect to the second variable.

1. Introduction

Let $(F(I), \mathbb{R})$ be the vector space of all the real functions defined on interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. Every function $h : I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ generates the Nemytskii (or substitution) operator $H : F(I, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow F(I, \mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$(1.1) \quad (Hf)(t) = h(t, f(t)), \quad t \in I.$$

In many applications to differential, integral, or functional equations, more than just continuity the operator (1.1) is required in order to make use of the basic principles of nonlinear analysis. For instance, in order to solve the functional equation $f(t) = h(t, f(t))$ with respect to $f \in (X, \|\cdot\|) \subset F(I)$, one could try to apply the classical Banach fixed point theorem by imposing on $H : X \rightarrow X$ a global Lipschitz condition. However, it is well known that this leads to a strong degeneracy for the corresponding function h , the global Lipschitz condition on operator (1.1) implies that h must have the form

$$h(y, y) = f_0(t)y + f_1(t), \quad t \in I, \quad y \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $f_0, f_1 \in X$, which means that h must be *affine*. This phenomenon was proved first by Matkowski [10, 11] in the space of Lipschitz continuous or continuously differentiable functions, and by Matkowska, Matkowski and

Received May 1, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47B33; Secondary 26B30.

Key words and phrases. variation in the sense of De la Vallée Poussin, uniformly continuous operator, Nemytskii (substitution) operator, Jensen equation.

Merentes [8, 9]. Subsequently, parallel results have been proved in various spaces of functions of bounded variation (cf. [15, 16, 17]).

In this paper, we prove that if H maps the space $BV_\alpha^2(I)$ of functions of bounded α -second variation into itself and it is globally Lipschitz or uniformly continuous, then h , the generator function of the operator H , is an affine function with respect to the second variable.

This generalizes the results of Kostrzewski [6], where it is assumed that H is globally Lipschitzian. The uniformly continuous composition operators were firstly considered in [12] for the space of differentiable functions and absolutely continuous functions, later in [13] for the space of Hölder function, and in [14] for the space of bounded variation functions. Later, these were used in the main result of the papers [1, 2, 3, 5].

2. Notation and preliminaries

In this section we present some necessary notations and definitions and recall some knowledge concerning the bounded α -second variation and α -derivative.

De la Vallée Poussin (cf. [20]) defined the second variation of a function f on the interval $I = [a, b]$ by

$$V^2(f) = V^2(f; I) = \sup \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left| \frac{f(t_{j+1}) - f(t_j)}{t_{j+1} - t_j} - \frac{f(t_j) - f(t_{j-1})}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \right|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all partitions $\pi : a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b$ of I . If $V^2(f; I) < +\infty$, we say that f is of bounded second variation on I . The class of all functions which are of bounded second variation is denoted by $BV^2(I)$.

This class of functions was generalized with respect to a strictly increasing continuous function $\alpha : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (α is called a *weight* function). Throughout the paper α will be weight function (cf. [19, Definition 3]).

Let f be a real function defined on I . For a given partition of the form: $\pi : a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b$ of I , we set

$$\sigma_{(2,\alpha)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |f_\alpha[t_j, t_{j+1}] - f_\alpha[t_j, t_{j-1}]|,$$

where

$$f_\alpha[t_j, t_{j+1}] = \frac{f(t_{j+1}) - f(t_j)}{\alpha(t_{j+1}) - \alpha(t_j)},$$

and

$$V_\alpha^2(f) = V_\alpha^2(f, I) = \sup_{\pi} \sigma_{(2,\alpha)}(f; \pi),$$

where the supremum is taken over all partitions π of I .

If $V_\alpha^2(f; I) < \infty$, we say that f is of bounded second α -variation on I . The set of all these functions will be denoted by $BV_\alpha^2(I)$.

A function f is α -derivable at $x_0 \in I$ if $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{\alpha(x) - \alpha(x_0)}$ exists. If this limit exists, we denote its value by $f'_\alpha(x_0)$, which we call the α -derivative of f at x_0 .

Modifying a little the argument (cf. [18, Th. B, p. 24]), we conclude that if $f \in BV_\alpha^2(I)$, there exist the left α -derivative $f'_{\alpha-}$ on $(a, b]$ and the right α -derivative $f'_{\alpha+}$ on $[a, b)$.

The class $BV_\alpha^2(I)$ is a Banach space equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_\alpha = |f(a)| + |f'_{\alpha+}(a)| + V_\alpha^2(f).$$

Definition 2.1. A function $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be α -Lipschitz if there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq L|\alpha(x) - \alpha(y)|, \quad x, y \in I.$$

By α -Lip(I) we will denote the space of functions which are α -Lipschitz. If $f \in \alpha$ -Lip(I) we define

$$Lip_\alpha(f) = \sup \left\{ \left| \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{\alpha(x) - \alpha(y)} \right| : x \neq y \in I \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.2. If $f \in BV_\alpha^2(I)$, then

$$(2.1) \quad Lip_\alpha(f) \leq V_\alpha^2(f) + |f'_{\alpha+}(a)|.$$

Proof. For all $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in I$, with $a < t_1 < t_2 < t_3 \leq b$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{f(t_3) - f(t_2)}{\alpha(t_3) - \alpha(t_2)} \right| - \left| \frac{f(t_1) - f(a)}{\alpha(t_1) - \alpha(a)} \right| \\ &= \left(\left| \frac{f(t_3) - f(t_2)}{\alpha(t_3) - \alpha(t_2)} \right| - \left| \frac{f(t_2) - f(t_1)}{\alpha(t_2) - \alpha(t_1)} \right| \right) + \left(\left| \frac{f(t_2) - f(t_1)}{\alpha(t_2) - \alpha(t_1)} \right| - \left| \frac{f(t_1) - f(a)}{\alpha(t_1) - \alpha(a)} \right| \right) \\ &\leq \left| \frac{f(t_3) - f(t_2)}{\alpha(t_3) - \alpha(t_2)} - \frac{f(t_2) - f(t_1)}{\alpha(t_2) - \alpha(t_1)} \right| + \left| \frac{f(t_2) - f(t_1)}{\alpha(t_2) - \alpha(t_1)} - \frac{f(t_1) - f(a)}{\alpha(t_1) - \alpha(a)} \right| \\ &\leq V_\alpha^2(f), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\left| \frac{f(t_3) - f(t_2)}{\alpha(t_3) - \alpha(t_2)} \right| \leq \left| \frac{f(t_1) - f(a)}{\alpha(t_1) - \alpha(a)} \right| + V_\alpha^2(f).$$

Passing to the limit on the right side in this inequality, as $t_1 \rightarrow a^+$, we get

$$\left| \frac{f(t_3) - f(t_2)}{\alpha(t_3) - \alpha(t_2)} \right| \leq |f'_{\alpha+}(a)| + V_\alpha^2(f).$$

and inequality (2.1) follows. \square

Lemma 2.3 ([4, Th. 4.1]). If $f, g \in BV_\alpha^2(I)$, then $f \cdot g \in BV_\alpha^2(I)$. Moreover

$$V_\alpha^2(f \cdot g) \leq \|f\|_\infty V_\alpha^2(g) + \|g\|_\infty V_\alpha^2(f) + 2(\alpha(b) - \alpha(a))Lip_\alpha(f)Lip_\alpha(g).$$

3. First main result

In this section we prove the first main result of the paper, which reads as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *If the Nemytskii operator H transforms the space $BV_\alpha^2(I)$ into itself and H is globally Lipschitzian map, i.e., there is an $L \geq 0$ such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \|Hf_1 - Hf_2\|_\alpha \leq L\|f_1 - f_2\|_\alpha, \quad f_1, f_2 \in BV_\alpha^2(I),$$

then, there are functions $A, B \in BV_\alpha^2(I)$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad h(t, y) = A(t)y + B(t), \quad t \in I, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover, if $A, B \in BV_\alpha^2(I)$, then the Nemytskii operator H generated by a function h of the form (3.2) maps $BV_\alpha^2(I)$ into itself and fulfils inequality (3.1).

Proof. Suppose that the operator H fulfils condition (3.1). Since $Hf \in BV_\alpha^2(I)$ for every function $f \in BV_\alpha^2(I)$, so putting $f(t) = u$ for $t \in I$, we see that for every $u \in \mathbb{R}$ the function $h(\cdot, u) \in BV_\alpha^2(I)$. Then $h(\cdot, u)$ is a continuous function. From the definition of the norm and (3.1), we get

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & V_\alpha^2(h(\cdot, f_1(\cdot)) - h(\cdot, f_2(\cdot))) + |(h(\cdot, f_1(\cdot)) - h(\cdot, f_2(\cdot)))'_{\alpha+}(a)| \\ & \quad + |h(a, f_1(a)) - h(a, f_2(a))| \\ & \leq L \left(V_\alpha^2(f_1 - f_2) + |(f_1 - f_2)'_{\alpha+}(a)| + |(f_1 - f_2)(a)| \right). \end{aligned}$$

For fixed $t, \bar{t} \in (a, b)$, $t < \bar{t}$ and let $y_1, y_2, \bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $y_1 \neq y_2 \neq \bar{y}_1 \neq \bar{y}_2$. we define the function $\eta_{t, \bar{t}} : I \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\eta_{t, \bar{t}}(s) = \begin{cases} y_i, & a \leq s < t \\ \frac{\alpha(s) - \alpha(t)}{\alpha(\bar{t}) - \alpha(t)}, & t \leq s \leq \bar{t}, \\ \bar{y}_i, & \bar{t} < s \leq b. \end{cases}$$

Consider the functions $f_i : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for $i = 1, 2$ defined by

$$f_i(\tau) := \eta_{t, \bar{t}}(\tau)(\bar{y}_i - y_i) + y_i; \quad \tau \in I, i = 1, 2$$

we have that $f_i \in BV_\alpha^2(I)$ for all $i = 1, 2$; because

$$V_\alpha^2(f_i) = 2 \left| \frac{y_i - \bar{y}_i}{\alpha(\bar{t}) - \alpha(t)} \right| \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2,$$

and if $\alpha(s) \nearrow \alpha(\bar{t})$, we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad \|f_1 - f_2\|_\alpha = 2 \left| \frac{y_1 - y_2 - \bar{y}_1 + \bar{y}_2}{\alpha(\bar{t}) - \alpha(t)} \right| + |y_1 - y_2|.$$

For the functions f_1, f_2 we have the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} & V_\alpha^2(h(\cdot, f_1(\cdot)) - h(\cdot, f_2(\cdot))) \\ & \geq \left| \frac{h(\bar{t}, f_1(\bar{t})) - h(\bar{t}, f_2(\bar{t})) - h(t, f_1(t)) + h(t, f_2(t))}{\alpha(\bar{t}) - \alpha(t)} \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left| \frac{h(t, f_1(t)) - h(t, f_2(t)) - h(a, f_1(a)) + h(a, f_2(a))}{\alpha(t) - \alpha(a)} \right| \\ \geq & \left| \frac{h(\bar{t}, f_1(\bar{t})) - h(\bar{t}, f_2(\bar{t})) - h(t, f_1(t)) + h(t, f_2(t))}{\alpha(\bar{t}) - \alpha(t)} \right| \\ & - \left| \frac{h(t, f_1(t)) - h(t, f_2(t)) - h(a, f_1(a)) + h(a, f_2(a))}{\alpha(t) - \alpha(a)} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into consideration (3.4) the above inequality, we see that inequality (3.3) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{h(\bar{t}, f_1(\bar{t})) - h(\bar{t}, f_2(\bar{t})) - h(t, f_1(t)) + h(t, f_2(t))}{\alpha(\bar{t}) - \alpha(t)} \right| \\ & - \left| \frac{h(t, f_1(t)) - h(t, f_2(t)) - h(a, f_1(a)) + h(a, f_2(a))}{\alpha(t) - \alpha(a)} \right| \\ & + |(h(\cdot, f_1(\cdot)) - h(\cdot, f_2(\cdot)))'_{\alpha^+}(a)| + |h(a, y_1) - h(a, y_2)| \\ \leq & L \left(2 \left| \frac{y_1 - y_2 - \bar{y}_1 + \bar{y}_2}{\alpha(t) - \alpha(\bar{t})} \right| + |y_1 - y_2| \right), \end{aligned}$$

equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} & |h(\bar{t}, f_1(\bar{t})) - h(\bar{t}, f_2(\bar{t})) - h(t, f_1(t)) + h(t, f_2(t))| \\ & - \left| \frac{h(t, f_1(t)) - h(t, f_2(t)) - h(a, f_1(a)) + h(a, f_2(a))}{\alpha(t) - \alpha(a)} \right| |\alpha(\bar{t}) - \alpha(t)| \\ & + |(h(\cdot, f_1(\cdot)) - h(\cdot, f_2(\cdot)))'_{\alpha^+}(a)| |\alpha(\bar{t}) - \alpha(t)| \\ & + |h(a, y_1) - h(a, y_2)| |\alpha(\bar{t}) - \alpha(t)| \\ \leq & 2L|y_1 - y_2 - \bar{y}_1 + \bar{y}_2| + L|y_1 - y_2| |\alpha(\bar{t}) - \alpha(t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limits on both sides of this inequality as $\alpha(\bar{t}) \nearrow \alpha(t)$, we get

$$(3.5) \quad |h(\bar{t}, f_1(\bar{t})) - h(\bar{t}, f_2(\bar{t})) - h(t, f_1(t)) + h(t, f_2(t))| \leq 2L|y_1 - y_2 - \bar{y}_1 + \bar{y}_2|.$$

Setting here

$$y_1 = w + z, \quad y_2 = w, \quad \bar{y}_1 = z, \quad \bar{y}_2 = 0,$$

we have

$$(3.6) \quad |h(\bar{t}, z) - h(\bar{t}, 0) - h(t, w + z) + h(t, w)| \leq 0.$$

For any $t \in I$, we define $F_t : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$(3.7) \quad F_t(y) := h(t, y) - h(t, 0).$$

Hence by (3.6) we have

$$F_t(w + z) = F_t(w) + F_t(z),$$

i.e., F_t is additive. Setting $\bar{y}_1 = \bar{y}_2 = 0$ in the (3.5) we have

$$|h(\bar{t}, z) - h(\bar{t}, 0) - h(t, w + z) + h(t, w)| \leq L|y_1 - y_2|,$$

that is

$$|F_t(y_2) - F_t(y_1)| \leq L|y_1 - y_2|, \quad t \in I, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R},$$

which implies the continuity of F_t . Therefore, there exists $A(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$F_t(y) = A(t)y, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Setting $B(t) = h(t, 0)$ for $t \in I$, we have by (3.7)

$$h(t, y) = A(t)y + B(t), \quad t \in I, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The function $B \in BV_\alpha^2(I)$. Since $A(t) = h(t, 1) - h(t, 0)$, so $A \in BV_\alpha^2(I)$.

Now, suppose that $A, B \in BV_\alpha^2(I)$ and operator H is generated by the function h defined by (3.2).

It follows from the Lemma 2.3 that $H : BV_\alpha^2(I) \rightarrow BV_\alpha^2(I)$. Moreover, for every functions $f_1, f_2 \in BV_\alpha^2(I)$ and $f := f_1 - f_2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Hf_1 - Hf_2\|_\alpha &= \|Af\|_\alpha = V_\alpha^2(Af) + |(Af)'_{\alpha^+}(a)| + |(Af)(a)| \\ &\leq \sup_I |A|V_\alpha^2(f) + \sup_I |f|V_\alpha^2(A) + L_A L_f(\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)) \\ &\quad + |A(a)f'_{\alpha^+}(a)| + |f(a)A'_{\alpha^+}(a)| + |A(a)f(a)|. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2 and the inequalities

$$|f(t)| \leq |f(t) - f(a)| + |f(a)| \leq L_f|\alpha(t) - \alpha(a)| + |f(a)| \leq L_f(\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)) + |f(a)|$$

for all $t \in I$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|Hf_1 - Hf_2\|_\alpha \\ &\leq \sup_I |A|V_\alpha^2(f) + |f(a)|V_\alpha^2(A) + V_\alpha^2(f)V_\alpha^2(A)(\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)) \\ &\quad + |f'_{\alpha^+}(a)|V_\alpha^2(A)(\alpha(b) - \alpha(a))L_A V_\alpha^2(f)(\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)) \\ &\quad + L_A |f'_{\alpha^+}(a)|(\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)) + |A(a)||f'_{\alpha^+}(a)| \\ &\quad + |f(a)||A'_{\alpha^+}(a)| + |A(a)||f(a)| \\ &= \left[\sup_I |A| + V_\alpha^2(A)(\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)) + L_A(\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)) \right] V_\alpha^2(f) \\ &\quad + \left[|A(a)| + V_\alpha^2(A)(\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)) + L_A(\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)) \right] |f'_{\alpha^+}(a)| \\ &\quad + \left[|A(a)| + |A'_{\alpha^+}(a)V_\alpha^2(A)| \right] |f(a)| \\ &\leq \left[\sup_I |A| + V_\alpha^2(A)(\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)) + L_A(\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)) \right] V_\alpha^2(f) \\ &\quad + \left[\sup_I |A|V_\alpha^2(A)(\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)) + L_A(\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)) \right] |f'_{\alpha^+}(a)| \\ &\quad + \left[\sup_I |A| + V_\alpha^2(A)L_A \right] |f(a)|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus setting here

$$\delta := \sup_I |A| \quad \text{and} \quad \eta := \max \left\{ 1, \alpha(b) - \alpha(a) \right\},$$

we get $\|Hf_1 - Hf_2\|_\alpha \leq L\|f_1 - f_2\|_\alpha$, where $L := \delta + \eta(V_\alpha^2(A) + L_A)$. \square

4. Uniformly continuous composition operator

Now, we shall weaken the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1 and we get a proposition that holds only the necessary condition for the Nemytskii operator, for this, we need to recall some definitions and results that we will use for this purpose.

We put

$$\mathbf{p}(f) := \mathbf{p}(f; I) = \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : V_\alpha^2(f/\epsilon) \leq 1 \right\}, \quad f \in BV_\alpha^2(I),$$

so

$$\|f\|_\alpha := |f(a)| + |f'_{\alpha^+}(a)| + \mathbf{p}(f).$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f \in BV_\alpha^2(I)$ and $1 < p < +\infty$. We have:*

- (1) *if $\mathbf{p}(f) > 0$, then $V_\alpha^2(f/\mathbf{p}(f)) \leq 1$;*
- (2) *if $\rho > 0$, then $V_\alpha^2(f/\rho) \leq 1$ if and only if $\mathbf{p}(f) \leq \rho$;*
- (3) *if $\rho > 0$ and $V_\alpha^2(f/\rho) \leq 1$, then $\mathbf{p}(f) = \rho$.*

Proof. (1) The definition of $\mathbf{p}(f)$ implies $V_\alpha \leq 1$ for all $\rho > \mathbf{p}(f)$. Choose a sequence $\rho_n > \mathbf{p}(f)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which converges to $\mathbf{p}(f)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Then $f/\rho_n \rightarrow f/\mathbf{p}(f)$ uniformly on I . So that

$$V_\alpha^2(f/\mathbf{p}(f)) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} V_\alpha^2(f/\rho_n) \leq 1.$$

It follows that $\mathbf{p}(f) \in \left\{ \rho > 0 : V_\alpha^2(f/\mathbf{p}(f)) \leq 1 \right\}$ and

$$\mathbf{p}(f) = \left\{ \rho > 0 : V_\alpha^2(f/\mathbf{p}(f)) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

(2) If $V_\alpha^2(f/\mathbf{p}(f)) \leq 1$, by definition of $\mathbf{p}(f)$ implies $\mathbf{p}(f) \leq \rho$. If $\mathbf{p}(f) = \rho$, then $V_\alpha^2(f/\mathbf{p}(f)) \leq 1$ by (1). Let us show that

$$(4.1) \quad \text{if } \mathbf{p}(f) < 1, \text{ then } V_\alpha^2(f/\rho) < 1.$$

If $\mathbf{p}(f) = 0$, then f is a constant function and $V_\alpha^2(f/\rho) = 0$, so, assume that $\mathbf{p}(f) > 0$. From the convexity of $V_\alpha^2(f)$ and the item above we have:

$$V_\alpha^2(f/\rho) \leq \mathbf{p}(f)/\rho V_\alpha^2(f/\mathbf{p}(f)) \leq \mathbf{p}(f)/\rho < 1.$$

(3) Let $V_\alpha^2(f/\rho) = 1$. By (2), if $\mathbf{p}(f) > \rho$, then $V_\alpha^2(f) > 1$, which is impossible. Taking in account (4.1) we conclude that $\mathbf{p}(f) = \rho$. \square

Now, we will prove the second main result of the paper, which reads as follows:

Theorem 4.2. *If the Nemytskii operator H transforms the space $BV_\alpha^2(I)$ into itself and H is uniformly continuous map, i.e.,*

$$\|Hf_1 - Hf_2\|_\alpha \leq \omega(\|f_1 - f_2\|_\alpha), \quad f_1, f_2 \in BV_\alpha^2(I),$$

where $\omega : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is the modulus continuity of H . Then there are functions $A, B \in BV_\alpha^2(I)$ such that

$$h(t, y) = A(t)y + B(t), \quad t \in I, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the constant function $u(t) = x, t \in I$, belongs to $BV_\alpha^2(I)$. Since the Nemytskii operator H maps the space $BV_\alpha^2(I)$ into $BV_\alpha^2(I)$, it follows that, the function $t \mapsto h(t, u(t)) = h(t, x)$ belongs to $BV_\alpha^2(I)$.

The uniform continuous of H on $BV_\alpha^2(I)$ implies

$$\|Hf_1 - Hf_2\|_\alpha \leq \omega(\|f_1 - f_2\|_\alpha), \quad f_1, f_2 \in BV_\alpha^2(I),$$

where $\omega : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is the modulus continuity of H , i.e.,

$$\omega(\beta) := \sup \left\{ \|H(f_1) - H(f_2)\|_\alpha : \|f_1 - f_2\|_\alpha \leq \beta, f_1, f_2 \in BV_\alpha^2(I) \right\}$$

for $\beta > 0$. From the definitions of the norm $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$, we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad \mathbf{p}(H(f_1) - H(f_2)) \leq \|H(f_1) - H(f_2)\|_\alpha \quad \text{for } f_1, f_2 \in BV_\alpha^2(I).$$

Hence, in view of Lemma 4.1 and (4.2), if $\mathbf{p}(H(f_1) - H(f_2)) \leq \omega(\|f_1 - f_2\|_\alpha)$, then

$$V_\alpha^2 \left(\frac{H(f_1) - H(f_2)}{\omega(\|f_1 - f_2\|_\alpha)} \right) \leq 1.$$

Therefore, from definitions of V_α^2 and the operator H , for any $f_1, f_2 \in BV_\alpha^2(I)$ and $\delta, \gamma, \beta \in I, \delta < \gamma < \beta$, we get

$$(4.3) \quad \left| \frac{h(\beta, f_1(\beta)) - h(\beta, f_2(\beta)) - h(\gamma, f_1(\gamma)) + h(\gamma, f_2(\gamma))}{[\alpha(\beta) - \alpha(\gamma)]} \right| \\ \leq \omega(\|f_1 - f_2\|_\alpha) + \left| \frac{h(\gamma, f_1(\gamma)) - h(\gamma, f_2(\gamma)) - h(\delta, f_1(\delta)) + h(\delta, f_2(\delta))}{[\alpha(\gamma) - \alpha(\delta)]} \right|.$$

For every $y_1, y_2, \bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, the functions $f_i : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f_i(t) = \frac{y_i - \bar{y}_i}{\alpha(\gamma) - \alpha(\beta)} (\alpha(t) - \alpha(\gamma)) + y_i, \quad i \in \{1, 2\},$$

belongs to $BV_\alpha^2(I)$. Moreover

$$f_1(\beta) = \bar{y}_1, \quad f_2(\beta) = \bar{y}_2, \quad f_1(\gamma) = f_1(\delta) = y_1, \quad f_2(\gamma) = f_2(\delta) = y_2$$

and

$$\|f_1 - f_2\|_\alpha = \left| \frac{y_1 - \bar{y}_1 - y_2 + \bar{y}_2}{\alpha(\gamma) - \alpha(\beta)} (\alpha(a) - \alpha(\gamma)) + y_1 - y_2 \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{y_1 - \bar{y}_1 - y_2 + \bar{y}_2}{\alpha(\gamma) - \alpha(\beta)} \alpha'_+(a) \right|.$$

Making the correspond substitutions in (4.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{h(\beta, \bar{y}_1) - h(\beta, \bar{y}_2) - h(\gamma, y_1) + h(\gamma, y_2)}{[\alpha(\beta) - \alpha(\gamma)]} \right| \\ \leq & \omega \left(\left| \frac{y_1 - \bar{y}_1 - y_2 + \bar{y}_2}{\alpha(\gamma) - \alpha(\beta)} (\alpha(a) - \alpha(\gamma)) + y_1 - y_2 \right| + \left| \frac{y_1 - \bar{y}_1 - y_2 + \bar{y}_2}{\alpha(\gamma) - \alpha(\beta)} \alpha'_+(a) \right| \right) \\ & + \left| \frac{h(\gamma, y_1) - h(\gamma, y_2) - h(\delta, y_1) + h(\delta, y_2)}{[\alpha(\gamma) - \alpha(\delta)]} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking arbitrary $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ and setting in this inequality

$$y_1 = \bar{y}_2 = \frac{u+v}{2}, \quad \bar{y}_1 = u, \quad y_2 = v$$

result

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| h(\beta, u) - h\left(\beta, \frac{u+v}{2}\right) - h\left(\gamma, \frac{u+v}{2}\right) + h(\gamma, v) \right| \\ \leq & \omega \left(\left| \frac{u-v}{2} \right| |\alpha(\beta) - \alpha(\gamma)| \right) \\ & + \left| h\left(\gamma, \frac{u+v}{2}\right) - h(\gamma, v) - h\left(\delta, \frac{u+v}{2}\right) + h(\delta, v) \right| \left| \frac{\alpha(\beta) - \alpha(\gamma)}{\alpha(\gamma) - \alpha(\delta)} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Letting β tend to γ and making use of the continuity of h , we hence get

$$h\left(\gamma, \frac{u+v}{2}\right) = \frac{h(\gamma, u) + h(\gamma, v)}{2}$$

for all $\gamma \in I$. This shows that for any $t \in I$, the function $h(t, \cdot)$ is Jensen and, by assumptions, it is continuous. Consequently (cf. [7, Th. 1, p. 315]), there exists functions $A, B : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad h(t, y) = A(t)y + B(t), \quad t \in I, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since $h(\cdot, y) \in BV_\alpha^2(I)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, and, by (4.4),

$$B(t) = h(t, 0), \quad A(t) = h(t, 1) - B(t), \quad t \in I,$$

therefore $A, B \in BV_\alpha^2(I)$. □

Acknowledgements. Wadie Aziz was a visiting professor, during the 2013, at the Mathematics School, and his research was inscribed at the CIMPA by Vicerrectoría de Investigación of Universidad de Costa Rica, under the project 821-B3-182.

J. A. Guerrero partially funded by the Decanato de Investigación-Universidad Nacional Experimental del Táchira-Venezuela.

The authors express his gratitude to the referee for his valuable comments.

References

- [1] A. Acosta, W. Aziz, J. Matkowski, and N. Merentes, *Uniformly continuous composition operator in the space of φ -variation functions in the sense of Riesz*, Fasc. Math. **43** (2010), 5–11.
- [2] W. Aziz, A. Azocar, J. Guerrero, and N. Merentes, *Uniformly continuous composition operator in the space of functions of φ -variation with weight in the sense of Riesz*, Nonlinear Anal. **74** (2011), no. 2, 573–576.
- [3] W. Aziz, J. Guerrero, and N. Merentes, *Uniformly continuous set-valued composition operators in the spaces of functions of bounded variation in the sense of Riesz*, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math. **58** (2010), no. 1, 39–45.
- [4] R. Castillo and E. Trousselot, *An application of the generalized Maligranda-Orlicz's Lemma*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **9** (2008), no. 3, Article 84, 6 pp.
- [5] J. A. Guerrero, H. Leiva, J. Matkowski, and N. Merentes, *Uniformly continuous composition operators in the space of bounded φ -variation functions*, Nonlinear Anal. **72** (2010), no. 6, 3119–3123.
- [6] T. Kostzewski, *Globally Lipschitz operators of substitution in Banach space BCI*, Sci. Bull. Łódzka Technical Univ. **602**, (1993), 17–25.
- [7] M. Kuczma, *An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities*, Polish Scientific Editors and Silesian University, Warszawa-Kraków-Katowice, 1985.
- [8] A. Matkowska, *On characterization of Lipschitzian operators of substitution in the class of Hölder functions*, Sci. Bull. Łódzka Technical Univ. **17** (1984), 81–85.
- [9] A. Matkowska, J. Matkowski, and N. Merentes, *Remark on globally Lipschitzian composition operators*, Demonstratio Math. **28** (1995), no. 1, 171–175.
- [10] J. Matkowski, *Functional equations and Nemytskii operators*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **25** (1982), no. 2, 127–132.
- [11] ———, *Form of Lipschitz operators of substitution in Banach spaces of differentiable functions*, Sci. Bull. Łódzka Tech. Univ. **17**, (1984), 5–10.
- [12] ———, *Uniformly continuous superposition operators in space of differentiable function and absolutely continuous functions*, Internat. Ser. Numer. Math. **157** (2008), 155–166.
- [13] ———, *Uniformly continuous superposition operators in Banach space of Hölder functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **359** (2009), no. 1, 56–61.
- [14] ———, *Uniformly continuous superposition operators in the space of bounded variation functions*, Math. Nachr. **283** (2010), no. 7, 1060–1064.
- [15] J. Matkowski and J. Miś, *On a characterization of Lipschitzian operators of substitution in the space $BV[a, b]$* , Math. Nachr. **117** (1984), 155–159.
- [16] N. Merentes, *On a characterization of Lipschitzian operators of substitution in the space of bounded Riesz φ -variation*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math. **34** (1991), 139–144.
- [17] N. Merentes and S. Rivas, *On a characterization of the Lipschitzian composition operators between spaces of functions of bounded p -variation*, Czechoslov. Math. J. **45** (1995), no. 4, 43–48.
- [18] A. W. Roberts and D. E. Varberg, *Convex Functions*, New York and London, 1973.
- [19] A. M. Russell, *Functions of bounded second variation and Stieltjes-type integrals*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **2** (1970), 193–208.
- [20] Ch. J. de la Vallée Poussin, *Sur la convergence des formules d'interpolation entre ordonnées équidistantes*, Acad. Roy. Belge. Bull. Cl. Sci. (6) **4** (1908), 319–410.

WADIE AZIZ
 UNIVERSIDAD DE LOS ANDES
 DEPARTAMENTO DE FÍSICA Y MATEMÁTICA
 TRUJILLO-VENEZUELA
 E-mail address: wadie@ula.ve

JOSÉ ATILIO GUERRERO
UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL EXPERIMENTAL DEL TÁCHIRA
DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA Y FÍSICA
SAN CRISTÓBAL-VENEZUELA
E-mail address: jaguerrero4@gmail.com

NELSON MERENTES
UNIVERSIDAD CENTRAL DE VENEZUELA
ESCUELA DE MATEMÁTICAS
CARACAS-VENEZUELA
E-mail address: nmer@ciens.ucv.ve