

UPPERS TO ZERO IN POLYNOMIAL RINGS WHICH ARE MAXIMAL IDEALS

GYU WHAN CHANG

ABSTRACT. Let D be an integrally closed domain with quotient field K , X be an indeterminate over D , $f = a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_nX^n \in D[X]$ be irreducible in $K[X]$, and $Q_f = fK[X] \cap D[X]$. In this paper, we show that Q_f is a maximal ideal of $D[X]$ if and only if $(\frac{a_1}{a_0}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{a_0}) \subseteq P$ for all nonzero prime ideals P of D ; in this case, $Q_f = \frac{1}{a_0}fD[X]$. As a corollary, we have that if D is a Krull domain, then D has infinitely many height-one prime ideals if and only if each maximal ideal of $D[X]$ has height ≥ 2 .

1. Introduction

Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K , \bar{D} the integral closure of D in K , X an indeterminate over D , and $D[X]$ the polynomial ring over D . We say that a nonzero prime ideal Q of $D[X]$ is an *upper to zero* in $D[X]$ if $Q \cap D = (0)$; so each upper to zero in $D[X]$ has height-one. Clearly, if Q is an upper to zero in $D[X]$, then $Q = fK[X] \cap D[X]$ for some $f \in D[X]$ which is irreducible in $K[X]$.

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be indeterminates over D . It is known that the intersection of the nonzero prime ideals of D is zero if and only if $M \cap D \neq (0)$ for all maximal ideals M of $D[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ [11, Theorem 14.10]. This result was first proved by Artin-Tate [2] in the Noetherian case and then by Nagata [11] in the general case. In [10], May used this result to give an elementary proof of the Nullstellensatz: if F is an algebraically closed field, then an ideal M of $F[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is maximal if and only if $M = (X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n)$ for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F$. Let A be the intersection of the nonzero prime ideals of D . It is clear by [11, Theorem 14.10] that $A \neq (0)$ if and only if there is a maximal ideal M of $D[X]$ with $M \cap D = (0)$. In this case, if we let $f = 1 + aX$ for $0 \neq a \in A$, then $Q_f := fK[X] \cap D[X]$ is a maximal ideal of $D[X]$ with $Q_f \cap D = (0)$ [9, Proof of Theorem 24] and $Q_f = fD[X]$. In this paper, we completely characterize uppers to zero in $D[X]$ that are maximal ideals.

Received February 21, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A15, 13B25, 13G05.

Key words and phrases. upper to zero, maximal ideal, polynomial ring, G-domain.

Let $f = a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_nX^n \in D[X]$ be such that f is irreducible in $K[X]$ and $Q_f = fK[X] \cap D[X]$. We show that $\sqrt{fD[X]}$ is a maximal ideal of $D[X]$ if and only if a_0 is a unit in D and $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \subseteq P$ for all nonzero prime ideals P of D . Then we use this result to show that if D is integrally closed, then Q_f is a maximal ideal if and only if $(\frac{a_1}{a_0}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{a_0}) \subseteq P$ for all nonzero prime ideals P of D ; in this case, $Q_f = \frac{1}{a_0}fD[X]$. Also, we give an example of a non-integrally closed integral domain D with $g \in D[X]$ such that $gK[X] \cap D[X]$ is a maximal ideal, but not a principal ideal. Finally, we show that if D is a Krull domain, then D has infinitely many height-one prime ideals if and only if each maximal ideal of $D[X]$ has height ≥ 2 .

Let I be a nonzero fractional ideal of D . Then $I^{-1} = \{x \in K \mid xI \subseteq D\}$, $I_v = (I^{-1})^{-1}$, and $I_t = \cup\{J_v \mid J \subseteq I \text{ is a nonzero finitely generated ideal}\}$. We say that I is a t -ideal if $I_t = I$. A t -ideal of D is a *maximal t -ideal* if it is maximal among proper integral t -ideals. Let $t\text{-Max}(D)$ denote the set of maximal t -ideals of D . It is well known that a maximal t -ideal is a prime ideal; each integral t -ideal is contained in a maximal t -ideal; $D = \cap_{P \in t\text{-Max}(D)} D_P$; and each prime ideal minimal over a t -ideal is a t -ideal (and hence each height-one prime ideal is a t -ideal and $t\text{-Max}(D) \neq \emptyset$ if D is not a field). A nonzero ideal I of D is said to be *t -invertible* if $(II^{-1})_t = D$; equivalently, $II^{-1} \not\subseteq P$ for all $P \in t\text{-Max}(D)$.

2. Main results

Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K , X be an indeterminate over D , and $D[X]$ be the polynomial ring over D .

Lemma 1. *Let $f = a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_nX^n \in D[X]$ and I an ideal of D . Then $fD[X] + I[X] = D[X]$ if and only if $a_0D + I = D$ and $(a_1, \dots, a_n)^m \subseteq I$ for some integer $m \geq 1$.*

Proof. Note that $fD[X] + I[X] = D[X]$ if and only if $D[X]/I[X] \cong (D/I)[X] = \overline{(f)}$; $a_0D + I = D$ if and only if $\overline{a_0}$ is a unit in D/I ; and $\overline{a_1}, \dots, \overline{a_n}$ are nilpotent in D/I if and only if $a_i^{m_i} \in I$ for some integer $m_i \geq 1$, if and only if $(a_1, \dots, a_n)^m \subseteq I$ for some integer $m \geq 1$. Thus the result follows directly from [3, Exercise 2, page 10] that $g = b_0 + b_1X + \cdots + b_mX^m \in (D/I)[X]$ is a unit if and only if b_0 is a unit in D/I and b_1, \dots, b_m are nilpotent in D/I . \square

Let $f = a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_nX^n \in D[X]$. We denote by $c_D(f)$ (or simply $c(f)$) the ideal of D generated by the coefficients of f , i.e., $c_D(f) = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$. It is well known that if $g, h \in D[X]$, then there exists a positive integer m such that $c(g)^{m+1}c(h) = c(g)^m c(gh)$ [5, Theorem 28.1]. Using this result, we can easily show that $fK[X] \cap D[X] = fD[X]$ if and only if $c(f)^{-1} = D$ (see, for example, [1, Lemma 2.1(1)]). Also, it is well known that if D is integrally closed, then $gK[X] \cap D[X] = gc(g)^{-1}[X]$ for all $0 \neq g \in D[X]$ [5, Corollary 34.9].

Lemma 2. *Let $f = a_0 + a_1X + \dots + a_nX^n \in D[X]$ be irreducible in $K[X]$. Then $\sqrt{fD[X]}$ is a maximal ideal of $D[X]$ if and only if a_0 is a unit in D and $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \subseteq P$ for all nonzero prime ideals P of D . In this case, $\sqrt{fD[X]} = fD[X]$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose that $\sqrt{fD[X]}$ is a maximal ideal of $D[X]$, and let P be a nonzero prime ideal of D ; then $P \not\subseteq \sqrt{fD[X]}$, and hence $fD[X] + P[X] = D[X]$. Thus by Lemma 1, $a_0 \notin P$ and $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \subseteq P$. In particular, since P is arbitrary, a_0 must be a unit in D .

(\Leftarrow) First, note that $fK[X] \cap D[X] = fD[X]$ since a_0 is a unit in D . Let Q be a prime ideal of $D[X]$ with $f \in Q$. If $Q \cap D \neq (0)$, then $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \subseteq Q \cap D$ and $fD[X] + (Q \cap D)[X] \subseteq Q$. Note that $a_0D + Q \cap D = D$ because a_0 is a unit; so by Lemma 1, $D[X] = fD[X] + (Q \cap D)[X] \subseteq Q$, a contradiction. Hence $Q \cap D = (0)$, and thus $Q = fK[X] \cap D[X]$ and Q is the unique prime ideal of $D[X]$ that contains f . Therefore $\sqrt{fD[X]} = Q = fD[X]$ is a maximal ideal. \square

We are now ready to prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3. *Let D be an integrally closed domain, $f = a_0 + a_1X + \dots + a_nX^n \in D[X]$ be such that f is irreducible in $K[X]$, and $Q_f = fK[X] \cap D[X]$. Then Q_f is a maximal ideal of $D[X]$ if and only if $(\frac{a_1}{a_0}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{a_0}) \subseteq P$ for all nonzero prime ideals P of D . In this case, $Q_f = \frac{1}{a_0}fD[X]$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Since Q_f is maximal, Q_f is a maximal t -ideal, and hence Q_f is t -invertible [7, Theorem 1.4]. Note that $Q_f = f c_D(f)^{-1}[X]$ and $(ID[X])_t = I_t D[X]$ for all nonzero fractional ideals I of D [6, Proposition 3.4]; hence $c_D(f)^{-1}$ is t -invertible. Let P be a maximal t -ideal of D . Then $(Q_f)_{D \setminus P}$ is a maximal ideal of $D_P[X]$. Note that $c_D(f)c_D(f)^{-1} \not\subseteq P$; so $c_D(f)D_P$ is invertible. Hence $c_D(f)D_P = (c_D(f)D_P)_t = (c_D(f)_t D_P)_t \supseteq c_D(f)_t D_P \supseteq c_D(f)D_P$ [8, Lemma 3.4(3)] and $c_D(f)D_P = a_i D_P$ for some i [5, Proposition 7.4(2)], and thus $c_D(f)_t D_P = a_i D_P$. Note also that $(Q_f)_{D \setminus P} = fK[X] \cap D_P[X] = \frac{1}{a_i} f D_P[X]$. Hence by Lemma 2, $\frac{a_0}{a_i}$ is a unit in D_P ; so $a_i D_P = a_0 D_P$. Thus $c_D(f)_t = \cap_{P \in t\text{-Max}(D)} c_D(f)_t D_P = \cap_{P \in t\text{-Max}(D)} a_0 D_P = a_0 D$ [8, Proposition 2.8(3)]. This implies that $Q_f = fK[X] \cap D[X] = \frac{1}{a_0} f D[X]$. Again, by Lemma 2, $(\frac{a_1}{a_0}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{a_0}) \subseteq P$ for all nonzero prime ideals P of D .

(\Leftarrow) Let $h = \frac{1}{a_0}f = 1 + \frac{a_1}{a_0}X + \dots + \frac{a_n}{a_0}X^n$. Then by Lemma 2, $Q_f = hK[X] \cap D[X] = hD[X]$ is a maximal ideal of $D[X]$. \square

We next give an example which shows that Theorem 3 does not hold for a non-integrally closed domain.

Example 4. Let D be a one-dimensional quasi-local domain with maximal ideal P such that \bar{D} is quasi-local with maximal ideal Q and $P\bar{D} \subsetneq Q$. (For example, let F be a field, t an indeterminate over F , and $D = F[[t^2, t^3]]$ be a subring of the power series ring $F[[t]]$. Then (t^2, t^3) is a maximal ideal of

D , $\bar{D} = F[[t]]$ is a local PID with maximal ideal $t\bar{D}$, and $(t^2, t^3)\bar{D} \subsetneq t\bar{D}$.) Choose $u = \frac{b}{a} \in Q \setminus P\bar{D}$, where $a, b \in D$, and let $f = a + bX \in D[X]$. Then $fK[X] \cap D[X]$ is a maximal ideal of $D[X]$, but not a principal ideal.

Proof. Obviously, $fK[X] \cap \bar{D}[X] = fc_{\bar{D}}(f)^{-1}[X] = \frac{1}{a}f\bar{D}[X]$. Hence $fK[X] \cap \bar{D}[X]$ is a maximal ideal by Theorem 3, and thus $fK[X] \cap D[X]$ is a maximal ideal of $D[X]$ because $\bar{D}[X]$ is integral over $D[X]$. Next, assume that $fK[X] \cap D[X]$ is principal. Then there exists an $h \in D[X]$ such that $fK[X] \cap D[X] = hD[X]$. Note that $hK[X] = fK[X]$; so $f = ah$ for some $\alpha \in K$ and $c_D(h) = D$. Hence $(a, b) = c_D(f) = \alpha D$, and thus (a, b) is invertible. But, in this case, either $(a, b) = aD$ or $(a, b) = bD$ because D is quasi-local. If $(a, b) = aD$, then $\frac{b}{a} \in D \subseteq \bar{D}$, and hence $\frac{b}{a} \in Q \cap D = P \subseteq P\bar{D}$, a contradiction. Assume $(a, b) = bD$. Then $\frac{a}{b} \in D \subseteq \bar{D}$, and hence $1 = \frac{b}{a} \cdot \frac{a}{b} \in Q$, a contradiction. Thus $fK[X] \cap D[X]$ is not principal. \square

Corollary 5. *Let $f = a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_nX^n \in D[X]$ be such that f is irreducible in $K[X]$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $Q_f := fK[X] \cap D[X]$ is a maximal ideal of $D[X]$.
- (2) $M_f := fK[X] \cap \bar{D}[X]$ is a maximal ideal of $\bar{D}[X]$.
- (3) $(\frac{a_1}{a_0}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{a_0}) \subseteq P$ for all nonzero prime ideals P of \bar{D} .

In particular, if a_0 is a unit in D , then Q_f is maximal if and only if $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \subseteq P$ for all nonzero prime ideals P of D .

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) This follows from [9, Theorem 44] because $M_f \cap D[X] = Q_f$ and $\bar{D}[X]$ is integral over $D[X]$. (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) Theorem 3. The ‘‘in particular’’ part follows because \bar{D} is integral over D . \square

Corollary 6. *Let R be a subring of K containing D , $f \in D[X]$ be irreducible in $K[X]$, and $Q_f = fK[X] \cap D[X]$. If Q_f is a maximal ideal, then $fK[X] \cap R[X]$ is a maximal ideal of $R[X]$.*

Proof. Let \bar{R} be the integral closure of R in K . Then $\bar{D} \subseteq \bar{R} \subseteq K$, and hence each $a \in \bar{D}$ that is contained in all nonzero prime ideals of \bar{D} is contained in all nonzero prime ideals of \bar{R} . Thus, by Corollary 5, $fK[X] \cap R[X]$ is a maximal ideal of $R[X]$. \square

An integral domain D is called a *G-domain* if $K = D[\frac{1}{c}]$ for some $0 \neq c \in D$. It is clear that D is a G-domain if and only if the intersection of the nonzero prime ideals of D is nonzero [4, Lemma 3].

Corollary 7. *Let A be the intersection of the nonzero prime ideals of D .*

- (1) ([9, Proof of Theorem 24]) *If $f = 1 + aX$ for $0 \neq a \in A$, then $Q_f = fK[X] \cap D[X]$ is a maximal ideal of $D[X]$ and $Q_f = fD[X]$.*
- (2) ([9, Theorem 24]) *D is a G-domain (i.e., $A \neq (0)$) if and only if there exists a maximal ideal M of $D[X]$ which satisfies $M \cap D = (0)$.*
- (3) (cf. [11, Theorem 14.10]) *$A = (0)$ if and only if $M \cap D \neq (0)$ for all maximal ideals M of $D[X]$.*

Proof. Note that $A \subseteq P$ for all nonzero prime ideals P of \bar{D} ; so if we let B be the intersection of the nonzero prime ideals of \bar{D} , then $A \neq (0)$ if and only if $B \neq (0)$.

(1) It is clear that f is irreducible in $K[X]$ and $Q_f = fD[X]$. Thus, the result is an immediate consequence of Corollary 5.

(2) If D is a G-domain, then $K = D[\frac{1}{c}]$ for some $0 \neq c \in D$. Clearly, $c \in A$. So if we set $f = 1 + cX$ and $Q_f = fK[X] \cap D[X]$, then Q_f is a maximal ideal of $D[X]$ by (1) and $Q_f \cap D = (0)$. For the converse, note that $B \neq (0)$ by Corollary 5. Thus $A \neq (0)$.

(3) This follows from (2). \square

Let $X^1(D)$ be the set of height-one prime ideals of D . Clearly, if each nonzero prime ideal of D contains a height-one prime ideal, then $\bigcap_{P \in X^1(D)} P$ is equal to the intersection of the nonzero prime ideals of D . So if D is a Krull domain (resp., principal ideal domain (PID)), then the intersection of the nonzero prime ideals of D is zero if and only if $|X^1(D)| = \infty$. Also, it is well known and easy to prove that D is a Krull domain with $|X^1(D)| < \infty$ if and only if D is a semilocal PID [4, Theorem 1]. Thus by Corollary 7(3), we have:

Corollary 8. *A Krull domain D has infinitely many height-one prime ideals if and only if each maximal ideal of $D[X]$ has height ≥ 2 .*

Corollary 9 ([12, Theorem 2]). *A PID D has infinitely many non-associate prime elements if and only if each maximal ideal of $D[X]$ has height 2.*

Proof. This follows because the (Krull) dimension of $D[X]$ over a PID D is 2. \square

We end this paper with a concrete example of uppers to zero in $D[X]$ that are maximal ideals. This also shows that the converse of Corollary 6 does not hold.

Example 10. Let \mathbb{Z} be the ring of integers, \mathbb{Q} be the field of rational numbers, $f = a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_nX^n \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ with $\gcd(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$, $\Delta = \{P \in \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid a_i \in P \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } a_0 \notin P\}$, $S = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \bigcup_{P \in \Delta} P$, and $D = \mathbb{Z}_S$. Note that $f\mathbb{Q}[X] \cap \mathbb{Z}[X] = f\mathbb{Z}[X]$ and $f\mathbb{Q}[X] \cap D[X] = fD[X]$. Hence if f is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$, then $fD[X]$ is a maximal ideal by Lemma 2, but $f\mathbb{Z}[X]$ is not a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ by Corollary 9. (In fact, if R is a ring such that $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq R \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ and $fR[X]$ is maximal, then $D \subseteq R$.) For example, let $f = 10 + 15X + 45X^3 + 3X^4$. Then (i) $5 \mid 10$, $5 \mid 15$, and $5 \mid 45$, (ii) $5 \nmid 3$ and $5^2 \nmid 10$, and hence f is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ by Eisenstein's Criterion. Thus if we set $D = \mathbb{Z}_{3\mathbb{Z}}$, then $fD[X]$ is a maximal ideal of $D[X]$, while $f\mathbb{Z}[X]$ is not a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the referee for his/her careful reading of the manuscript and several comments. This work was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research

Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology(2010-0007069).

References

- [1] D. F. Anderson and G. W. Chang, *Almost splitting sets in integral domains. II*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **208** (2007), no. 1, 351–359.
- [2] E. Artin and J. Tate, *A note on finite ring extensions*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **3** (1951), 74–77.
- [3] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, 1969.
- [4] R. Gilmer, *The pseudo-radical of a commutative ring*, Pacific J. Math. **19** (1966), 275–284.
- [5] ———, *Multiplicative Ideal Theory*, Dekker, New York, 1972.
- [6] J. R. Hedstrom and E. Houston, *Some remarks on star-operations*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **18** (1980), no. 1, 37–44.
- [7] E. Houston and M. Zafrullah, *On t -invertibility. II*, Comm. Algebra **17** (1989), no. 8, 1955–1969.
- [8] B. G. Kang, *Prüfer v -multiplication domains and the ring $R[X]_{N_v}$* , J. Algebra **123** (1989), no. 1, 151–170.
- [9] I. Kaplansky, *Commutative Rings*, Revised edition. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill.-London, 1974.
- [10] P. May, *Munshi's proof of the Nullstellensatz*, Amer. Math. Monthly **110** (2003), no. 2, 133–140.
- [11] M. Nagata, *Local Rings*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [12] F. Zanella, *When are there infinitely many irreducible elements in a principal ideal domain?*, Amer. Math. Monthly **111** (2004), no. 2, 150–152.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
INCHEON NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
INCHEON 406-772, KOREA
E-mail address: whan@incheon.ac.kr