

FINITE p -GROUPS WHOSE NON-CENTRAL CYCLIC SUBGROUPS HAVE CYCLIC QUOTIENT GROUPS IN THEIR CENTRALIZERS

LIHUA ZHANG, JIAO WANG, AND HAIPENG QU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we classified finite p -groups G such that

$$C_G(x)/\langle x \rangle$$

is cyclic for all non-central elements $x \in G$. This solved a problem proposed By Y. Berkovoch.

1. Introduction

If G is a finite group, then $1 \leq \langle x \rangle \leq C_G(x) \leq G$ for all elements $x \in G$. In particular, G is abelian if and only if $|G : C_G(x)| = 1$ for all $x \in G$. Moreover, K. Ishikawa [5] classified finite p -groups with $|G : C_G(x)| \leq p^2$. Along another line, X. H. Li and J. Q. Zhang [6] classified finite p -groups with $|C_G(x) : \langle x \rangle| \leq p^k$, where $k = 1, 2$ and $p > 2$. Y. Berkovich [1] proposed the following:

Problem 116(ii). Classify the p -groups G such that $C_G(H)/H$ is cyclic for all noncentral cyclic $H < G$.

In other words, Problem 116(ii) requires to classify finite p -groups G such that $C_G(x)/\langle x \rangle$ is cyclic for all non-central elements $x \in G$.

For convenience, the groups in Problem 116(ii) are called \mathcal{P} -groups. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{G \mid G \text{ is a } \mathcal{P}\text{-group}\}$. In this paper, \mathcal{S} is determined, and hence the Problem 116(ii) is solved.

2. Preliminaries

Assume G is a finite p -group. Let $r(G) = \max\{\log_p |E| \mid E \leq G \text{ and } E \text{ is elementary abelian}\}$ and $r_n(G) = \max\{\log_p |E| \mid E \trianglelefteq G \text{ and } E \text{ is elementary}\}$

Received April 9, 2013; Revised September 2, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20D15.

Key words and phrases. centralizers, non-central elements, normal rank, p -groups of maximal class.

This work was supported by NSFC (no.11371232, 11471198), by NSF of Shanxi Province (no.2013011001) and FRF for the Central Univ.(Grant No.BUPT2013RC0901).

abelian}. $r(G)$ is called the rank of G and $r_n(G)$ is called the normal rank of G .

Let G be a finite p -group. We use C_{p^m} , $C_{p^m}^n$ and $H * K$ to denote the cyclic group of order p^m , the direct product of n cyclic groups of order p^m , and a central product of H and K , respectively. $M < \cdot G$ means M is a maximal subgroup of G .

We use $M_p(m, n)$ to denote the group

$$\langle a, b \mid a^{p^m} = b^{p^n} = 1, a^b = a^{1+p^{m-1}} \rangle, \text{ where } m \geq 2,$$

and $M_p(m, n, 1)$ to denote the group

$$\langle a, b, c \mid a^{p^m} = b^{p^n} = c^p = 1, [a, b] = c, [c, a] = [c, b] = 1 \rangle,$$

where $m \geq n$, and $m + n \geq 3$ if $p = 2$. For other notation and terminology the reader is referred to [4].

A non-abelian group G is said to be *minimal non-abelian* if every proper subgroup of G is abelian. A concept which is more general than that of minimal non-abelian p -groups was introduced by Y. Berkovich and Z. Janko in [2]. For a positive integer t , a finite p -group G is said to be an \mathcal{A}_t -group if all subgroups of index p^t of G are abelian, and at least one subgroup of index p^{t-1} of G is not abelian. Obviously, \mathcal{A}_1 -groups are exactly the minimal non-abelian p -groups.

Lemma 2.1 ([7, Lemma 2.2]). *Suppose that G is a finite nonabelian p -group. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) G is minimal nonabelian;
- (2) $d(G) = 2$ and $|G'| = p$;
- (3) $d(G) = 2$ and $\Phi(G) = Z(G)$.

Lemma 2.2 ([1, Proposition 72.1]). *Assume G is a metacyclic p -group. Then G is an \mathcal{A}_t -group if and only if $|G'| = p^t$.*

Lemma 2.3. *Assume G is a finite p -group and $c(G) = 2$. Then G' is elementary abelian if and only if $G/Z(G)$ is elementary abelian.*

Proof. It follows from $[a^p, b] = 1 \iff [a, b]^p = 1$ for all $a, b \in G$. □

Lemma 2.4 ([1, Section 1, Exercise 69(a)]). *Assume G is a finite p -group. If two distinct maximal subgroups of G are abelian, then $|G'| \leq p$.*

Lemma 2.5 ([1, Theorem 41.1]). *If G is a minimal non-metacyclic 2-group, then $|G'| \leq 2^5$.*

Lemma 2.6 ([3, Theorem 4.1]). *Assume G is a group of order p^n with $p > 2$ and $n \geq 5$. If $r_n(G) = 2$, then G is one of the following groups.*

- (1) G is metacyclic;
- (2) $G \cong M_p(1, 1, 1) * C_{p^{n-2}}$;
- (3) G is a 3-group of maximal class of order $\geq 3^5$;
- (4) $G = \langle a, x, y \mid a^{p^{n-2}} = 1, x^p = y^p = 1, [a, x] = y, [x, y] = a^{ip^{n-3}}, [y, a] = 1 \rangle$,
 $i = 1$ or σ , where σ is a fixed square non-residue modulo p .

Lemma 2.7 ([1, Theorem 9.10]). *If a group G of order $p^m > p^3$ has a subgroup M of order p^{m-1} of maximal class, then G is either of maximal class or $G/G' \cong C_p^3$.*

Lemma 2.8 ([1, Section 9, Exercise 1(c)]). *Assume G is a group of maximal class and order p^n . If $p > 2$ and $n > 3$, then G has no cyclic normal subgroups of order p^2 .*

Lemma 2.9 ([1, Section 9, Exercise 10]). *Let G be a 3-group of maximal class. Then the fundamental subgroup G_1 of G is abelian or metacyclic minimal nonabelian.*

3. Some properties of \mathcal{P} -groups

Lemma 3.1. *If G is a \mathcal{P} -group, then $r(G) \leq 2$.*

Proof. If not, then there exists $A \leq G$ and $A \cong C_p^3$. If $A \not\leq Z(G)$, then there exists $x \in A \setminus Z(G)$. Since A is abelian, $A \leq C_G(x)$. Since $A/\langle x \rangle \cong C_p^2$, $C_G(x)/\langle x \rangle$ is not cyclic. This contradicts hypothesis. If $A \leq Z(G)$, then $A\langle x \rangle/\langle x \rangle \leq C_G(x)/\langle x \rangle$ for all $x \in G \setminus Z(G)$. Since $A\langle x \rangle/\langle x \rangle \cong A/A \cap \langle x \rangle \cong C_p^2$ or C_p^3 , $C_G(x)/\langle x \rangle$ is not cyclic. This contradicts hypothesis again. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Assume G is a metacyclic nonabelian p -group and $p > 2$. Then G is a \mathcal{P} -group if and only if G is minimal nonabelian.*

Proof. \Leftarrow : Let $x \in G \setminus Z(G)$. By Lemma 2.1(3), $Z(G) = \Phi(G)$. Since $\Phi(C_G(x)) \leq \Phi(G)$, $x \notin \Phi(C_G(x))$. Since G is metacyclic, $C_G(x)$ is metacyclic. So $d(C_G(x)) \leq 2$. It follows that there exists $y \in G$ such that $C_G(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$. Hence $C_G(x)/\langle x \rangle$ is cyclic. That is, G is a \mathcal{P} -group.

\Rightarrow : Since $p > 2$ and G is metacyclic, $\Omega_1(G) \cong C_p^2$. Let $G = \langle a, b \rangle$ and $H = \langle a \rangle \Omega_1(G)$, where $\langle a \rangle \triangleleft G$. Then $H' \leq \langle a \rangle \cap \Omega_1(G)$. In particular, $|H'| \leq p$. Thus $\langle a^p \rangle \Omega_1(G)$ is abelian. Hence $H \leq C_G(a^p)$. Since G is a \mathcal{P} -group and $H/\langle a^p \rangle = H/\Omega_1(H) \cong C_p^2$, we get $a^p \in Z(G)$. Since $p > 2$ and G is metacyclic, G is regular. Hence $[a, b]^p = 1$ is equivalent to $[a^p, b] = 1$. It follows that $|G'| = p$. By Lemma 2.1(2), G is minimal nonabelian. \square

It is easy to see that the argument in Lemma 3.2 is true for ordinary metacyclic 2-groups. Thus we have:

Corollary 3.3. *Assume G is an ordinary metacyclic 2-group. Then G is a \mathcal{P} -group if and only if G is minimal nonabelian.*

Lemma 3.4. *Assume G is a \mathcal{P} -group and H is a nonabelian subgroup of G . Then H is a \mathcal{P} -group.*

Proof. $\forall x \in H \setminus Z(H)$, we have $x \notin Z(G)$. If not, $x \in Z(G) \cap H \leq Z(H)$, a contradiction. Thus $x \in G \setminus Z(G)$. Since G is a \mathcal{P} -group, $C_G(x)/\langle x \rangle$ is cyclic. Since $C_H(x) \leq C_G(x)$, $C_H(x)/\langle x \rangle$ is cyclic. \square

4. \mathcal{P} -groups of order odd

Theorem 4.1. *Let p be an odd prime. Then G is a \mathcal{P} -group if and only if G is one of the following pairwise non-isomorphic groups.*

- (1) metacyclic minimal nonabelian p -groups of order p^n , where $n > 3$;
- (2) $M_p(1, 1, 1)$;
- (3) $G = \langle a, b, c \mid a^9 = c^3 = 1, b^3 = a^3, [a, b] = c, [c, a] = 1, [c, b] = a^{-3} \rangle$;
- (4) $G \cong M_p(1, 1, 1) * C_{p^{n-2}}$, where $n > 2$;
- (5) $G = \langle a, x, y \mid a^{p^{n-2}} = 1, x^p = y^p = 1, [a, x] = y, [x, y] = a^{ip^{n-3}}, [y, a] = 1 \rangle$, $i = 1$ or σ , where σ is a fixed square non-residue modulo p .

Proof. If $|G| \leq p^4$, then, the conclusion holds by checking the list of groups of order p^3 and p^4 . Assume $|G| \geq p^5$. By Lemma 3.1, $r(G) \leq 2$. Thus $r_n(G) \leq 2$. If $r_n(G) = 1$, then G is cyclic, a contradiction. So $r_n(G) = 2$. Thus G is one of the groups listed in Lemma 2.6. We discuss case by case.

If G is the group (1) in Lemma 2.6, then, by Lemma 3.2, G is the group (1).

If G is the group (2) in Lemma 2.6, then $Z(G)$ is a cyclic subgroup of index p^2 . Let $g \in G \setminus Z(G)$. Then $C_G(g) < G$. Obviously, $C_G(g) \geq \langle g \rangle Z(G)$. Thus $C_G(g) = \langle g \rangle Z(G)$. Hence $C_G(g)/\langle g \rangle \cong Z(G)/Z(G) \cap \langle g \rangle$ is cyclic. That is, G is a \mathcal{P} -group. This is the group (4).

If G is the group (3) in Lemma 2.6, then, by Lemma 2.9, G_1 is abelian or metacyclic non-abelian. Since $|G| \geq 3^5$, $|G_1| \geq 3^4$. It follows that there exists a subgroup $H \leq G$ such that $|H| = 3^4$ with $d(H) \geq 2$ and H is abelian or metacyclic non-abelian. By Lemma 3.1, $d(H) = 2$. By Lemma 2.8, $\mathcal{U}_1(H) \not\cong C_9$. Hence $H \cong C_9^2$ or $M_3(2, 2)$. Thus $\mathcal{U}_1(H) \leq Z(H)$ and $\mathcal{U}_1(H) \cong C_3^2$. Since G is of maximal class, $|Z(G)| = 3$. So there exists $x \in \mathcal{U}_1(H) \setminus Z(G)$. Since H is not cyclic, $H/\langle x \rangle$ is not cyclic. It follows from $H \leq C_G(x)$ that $C_G(x)/\langle x \rangle$ is not cyclic. That means G is not a \mathcal{P} -group.

If G is the group (4) in Lemma 2.6, then, by calculations, we get $Z(G) = \langle a^p \rangle$ is a subgroup of index p^3 and $\langle a, y \rangle$ is a abelian maximal subgroup. Since $|G'| = p^2$, G has a unique abelian maximal subgroup by Lemma 2.4. Let $g \in G \setminus Z(G)$. Then $\langle a^p, g \rangle \leq C_G(g) < G$. If $C_G(g) = \langle a^p, g \rangle$, then $C_G(g)/\langle g \rangle$ is cyclic. If $C_G(g) > \langle a^p, g \rangle$, then $C_G(g) \triangleleft G$. Since $\langle a^p, g \rangle \leq Z(C_G(g))$ and $|C_G(g)/\langle a^p, g \rangle| = p$, $C_G(g)$ is abelian. Thus $C_G(g) = \langle a, y \rangle$ and $g = a^i y^j$, where $(i, p) = 1$ or $(j, p) = 1$. It follows that $C_G(g)/\langle g \rangle$ is cyclic. Hence G is a \mathcal{P} -group. This is the group (5). □

5. \mathcal{P} -groups of order even

Lemma 5.1. *Assume G is a \mathcal{P} -group of order 2^n and $n \geq 5$, M is a maximal subgroup of G . If M is of maximal class, then G is of maximal class.*

Proof. Otherwise, by Lemma 2.7, $G/G' \cong C_p^3$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{U}_1(G) = G' = M' = \mathcal{U}_1(M)$$

and hence $\exp(G) = \exp(M)$. Since M is of maximal class, M has a maximal subgroup H which is cyclic by the classification of 2-groups of maximal class. Let $K = \Omega_2(H)$. Then $K \cong C_4$ and $K \text{ char } H \text{ char } M \trianglelefteq G$. Hence $K \trianglelefteq G$. By N/C theorem,

$$G/C_G(K) \lesssim \text{Aut}(K) \cong C_2.$$

Since M is of maximal class, $|Z(M)| = 2$. Hence $K \not\leq Z(M)$. Thus $G/C_G(K) \cong C_2$. Since G is not of maximal class, $C_G(K)$ is not cyclic. Since $n \geq 5$,

$$K \leq \mathcal{U}_1(M') \leq \Phi(C_G(K)).$$

It follows that $C_G(K)/K$ is not cyclic. This contradicts that G is a \mathcal{P} -group. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Assume G is a \mathcal{P} -group of order 2^n and $n \geq 6$, M is a maximal subgroup of G and $M = \langle a, b, c \mid a^{2^{n-4}} = b^2 = 1, c^2 = a^2b^2, [a, b] = b^2, [c, a] = [c, b] = 1 \rangle$. Then*

- (1) $Z(M) = \langle a^2, c \rangle = \langle b^2, c \rangle \cong C_2 \times C_{2^{n-4}}$ and $\Omega_1(M) = \langle a^{2^{n-5}}, b^2 \rangle \cong C_2^2$;
- (2) $\Phi(G) = \mathcal{U}_1(G) \leq Z(M) \leq Z(G)$;
- (3) $G' \leq \Omega_1(Z(M)) = \Omega_1(M)$;
- (4) $M = \Omega_{n-4}(G)$, in particular, $o(x) = 2^{n-3}$ for all $x \in G \setminus M$.

Proof. (1) Obviously.

(2) Firstly, we prove $Z(M) \leq Z(G)$. If $a^2 \notin Z(G)$, then $C_G(a^2)/\langle a^2 \rangle$ is cyclic since G is a \mathcal{P} -group. Since $C_G(a^2) \geq M$, $M/\langle a^2 \rangle$ is cyclic. This is a contradiction. Thus $a^2 \in Z(G)$. Similarly, $c \in Z(G)$.

Secondly, we prove $x^2 \in Z(M)$ for all $x \in G$. Since $x^2 \in M$, it suffices to prove $x^2 \in Z(G)$. If not, then, since $C_G(x^2)/\langle x^2 \rangle \geq Z(M)\langle x \rangle/\langle x^2 \rangle$ and G is a \mathcal{P} -group, $Z(M)\langle x \rangle/\langle x^2 \rangle$ is cyclic. Since $\langle x^2 \rangle \leq \Phi(Z(M)\langle x \rangle)$, $Z(M)\langle x \rangle$ is cyclic. In particular, $Z(M)$ is cyclic. This is a contradiction.

(3) By (2), $G/Z(G)$ is elementary abelian. By Lemma 2.3, G' is elementary abelian. In particular, $G' \leq \Omega_1(Z(M)) = \Omega_1(M)$.

(4) If not, then $\Omega_{n-4}(G) = G$. By (2) and (3), G is 4-abelian. Since $n-4 \geq 2$, $\exp(G) = \exp(\Omega_{n-4}(G)) = 2^{n-4}$. Hence $\exp(\mathcal{U}_1(G)) = 2^{n-5}$. It follows that

$$\Phi(G) = \mathcal{U}_1(G) \leq \Omega_{n-5}(Z(M)) = \langle b^2, c^2 \rangle = \Phi(M).$$

Thus $d(G) = d(M) + 1 = 4$.

Take $x \in G \setminus M$. Then $\langle a, b, c, x \rangle = G$. Since

$$G' \leq \Omega_1(M) = \langle a^{2^{n-5}}, b^2 \rangle,$$

$[a, x] \in \langle a^{2^{n-5}} \rangle$ or $[a, bx] \in \langle a^{2^{n-5}} \rangle$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $[a, x] \in \langle a^{2^{n-5}} \rangle$. If $[a, x] = 1$, then

$$C_G(a)/\langle \langle a \rangle \Phi(G) \rangle \geq \langle \bar{c}, \bar{x} \rangle \cong C_2^2.$$

Hence $C_G(a)/\langle a \rangle$ is not cyclic. This is a contradiction. So $[a, x] = a^{2^{n-5}}$.

Note that $c \in Z(G)$ and $[a, x] = [a, xc^i]$, where i is an integer. Since

$$x^2 \in \Phi(G) = \langle b^2, c^2 \rangle,$$

$(xc^i)^2 = c^2$ or $(xc^i)^2 = c^2b^2 = a^2$ for a suitable i . Without loss of generality, we assume $x^2 = c^2$ or a^2 . If $x^2 = c^2$, then $o(xc^{-1}) = 2$. If $x^2 = a^2$, then $o(xa^{-1+2^{n-6}}) = 2$. In either case, there is an involution $y \in G \setminus M$. Hence $\langle y \rangle \Omega_1(M) \cong C_2^3$, This contradicts Lemma 3.1. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Assume G and M are the same as Lemma 5.2. Then G is isomorphic to one of the following non-isomorphic groups:*

- (1) $\langle a, b, c \mid a^{2^{n-3}} = b^2 = 1, c^2 = a^2b^2, [a, b] = b^2, [c, a] = [c, b] = 1 \rangle$;
- (2) $\langle a, b, c \mid a^{2^{n-3}} = b^2 = 1, c^2 = a^2b^2, [a, b] = b^2, [c, a] = a^{2^{n-4}}, [c, b] = 1 \rangle$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.2(4), $\exp(G) = 2^{n-3}$ and $o(x) = 2^{n-3}$ for all $x \in G \setminus M$. By Lemma 5.2(2), $x^2 \in Z(M) = \langle b^2, c \rangle$. We can assume $x^2 = c$ or $x^2 = cb^2$. By Lemma 5.2(3), $G' \cong C_2$ or $G' \cong C_2^2$.

If $G' \cong C_2$, then $G' = M' = \langle b^2 \rangle$. If $[b, x] = 1$ and $[a, x] = 1$, then let $a_1 = ax^{-1}$. Thus

$$a_1^{2^{n-3}} = 1, [a_1, b] = [ax^{-1}, b] = [a, b] = b^2 \text{ and } a_1^2b^2 = x^2.$$

Hence

$$G = \langle a_1, b, x \mid a_1^{2^{n-3}} = b^2 = 1, x^2 = a_1^2b^2, [a_1, b] = b^2, [x, a_1] = [x, b] = 1 \rangle.$$

Here G is isomorphic to the group (1). If $[b, x] = 1$ and $[a, x] = b^2$, then let $x_1 = bx$. Thus $[b, x_1] = 1$ and $[a, x_1] = 1$. If $[b, x] = b^2$, then let $x_1 = ax$. Thus $[b, x_1] = 1$. In the two cases, we also get G is isomorphic to the group (1).

If $G' \cong C_2^2$, then $G' = \Omega_1(M)$. We consider the possible cases of $[b, x]$.

Case 1. $[b, x] = 1$

Then $[a, x] = a^{2^{n-5}}$ or $[a, x] = a^{2^{n-5}}b^2$.

If $[a, x] = a^{2^{n-5}}$, then let $a_1 = ax^{-1+2^{n-5}}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^{2^{n-3}} &= 1, x^2 = a_1^2b^2, [a_1, b] = [ax^{-1+2^{n-5}}, b] = [a, b] = b^2, \\ [a_1, x] &= [ax^{-1+2^{n-5}}, x] = [a, x] = a^{2^{n-5}} = a_1^{2^{n-4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $G = \langle a, b, x \rangle = \langle a_1, b, x \rangle$ with defining relations as above. Here G is isomorphic to the group (2).

If $[a, x] = a^{2^{n-5}}b^2$, then let $x_1 = bx$. Thus $[b, x_1] = 1$ and $[a, x_1] = a^{2^{n-5}}$. We get the group (2) as that of $[a, x] = a^{2^{n-5}}$.

Case 2. $[b, x] = b^2$

Let $x_1 = ax$. Then $[b, x_1] = 1$. This is reduced to Case 1.

Case 3. $[b, x] = a^{2^{n-5}}$

If $[a, x] = 1$, then let $a_1 = ax^{-1}$, $b_1 = bx^{2^{n-5}}$ and $x_1 = x^{1+2^{n-5}}$. By calculations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} o(a_1) &= 2^{n-3}, o(b_1) = 2^2, [a_1, b_1] = b^2x^{2^{n-4}} = b_1^2, \\ [a_1, x_1] &= 1, [b_1, x_1] = x_1^{2^{n-4}}, a_1^2b_1^2 = x_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $G = \langle a, b, x \rangle = \langle a_1, b_1, x_1 \rangle$ with defining relations as above. By a simple checking we get G is isomorphic to the group (2).

If $[a, x] = b^2$, then let $a_1 = a$ and $x_1 = bx$. If $[a, x] = a^{2^{n-5}}$, then let $a_1 = ab$ and $x_1 = x$. If $[a, x] = a^{2^{n-5}}b^2$, then let $a_1 = ab$ and $x_1 = bx$. In this three cases, we get $[a_1, x_1] = 1$ and $[b, x_1] = a_1^{2^{n-5}}$. This is reduced to the case of $[a, x] = 1$.

Case 4. $[b, x] = a^{2^{n-5}}b^2$

Let $x_1 = ax$. Then $[b, x_1] = a^{2^{n-5}}$. This is reduced to Case 3. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Assume G is a \mathcal{P} -group of order 2^n and $n \geq 6$, M is a maximal subgroup of G and $M = \langle a, b, c \mid a^{2^{n-4}} = b^2 = 1, c^2 = a^2b^2, [a, b] = b^2, [c, a] = a^{2^{n-5}}, [c, b] = 1 \rangle$. Then $n = 6$ and $G \cong \langle a, b, c, d \mid a^4 = b^4 = 1, c^2 = a^2b^2, b^2 = d^2, [a, b] = b^2, [a, c] = a^2, [a, d] = 1, [b, c] = 1, [b, d] = a^2, [c, d] = c^2 \rangle$.*

Proof. By a similar argument as that of Lemma 5.2, we get

- (1) $\Phi(M) = Z(M) = \langle a^2, b^2 \rangle \cong C_2 \times C_{2^{n-5}}$ and $\Omega_1(M) = M' = \langle a^{2^{n-5}}, b^2 \rangle \cong C_2^2$;
- (2) $\Phi(G) = \Phi(M) = Z(M) \leq Z(G)$, in particular, $d(G) = 4$ and $\exp(G) = 2^{n-4}$;
- (3) $G' = \Omega_1(Z(M)) = \Omega_1(M) = M'$;
- (4) $G \setminus M$ has no element of order 2.

Noting $[a, M] = M' = G'$, we can take a suitable $d \in G \setminus M$ such that $[a, d] = 1$. Then $G = \langle a, b, c, d \rangle$. Assume $d^2 = a^{2i}b^{2j}$, where i and j are integers. Replacing d by da^{-i} , we can assume $d^2 = b^{2j}$. By (4), $j \neq 0$. Hence $d^2 = b^2$.

If $[b, d] \in \langle b^2 \rangle$, then $[b, d] = 1$ or $[b, ad] = 1$. Hence $|C_G(b)/(\langle b \rangle \Phi(G))| \geq 4$. This contradicts that G is a \mathcal{P} -group. Thus $[b, d] = a^{2^{n-5}}$ or $a^{2^{n-5}}b^2$. Similarly, $[c, d] = b^2$ or $b^2a^{2^{n-5}}$.

If $n \geq 7$, then $a^{2^{n-6}} \in \mathcal{U}_1(G) \leq Z(G)$. Hence $(bda^{2^{n-6}})^2 = (bd)^2a^{2^{n-5}} = [b, d]a^{2^{n-5}}$. By (4), $[b, d]a^{2^{n-5}} \neq 1$. Thus $[b, d] = b^2a^{2^{n-5}}$. It follows that $(abcd)^2 = b^2[c, d]$. By (4), $b^2[c, d] \neq 1$. Thus $[c, d] = b^2a^{2^{n-5}} = [b, d]$. So $[bc, d] = 1$. It follows that $|C_G(d)/(\langle d \rangle \Phi(G))| \geq 4$. This contradicts that G is a \mathcal{P} -group. Hence $n = 6$.

By (4), $1 \neq (abd)^2 = a^2b^2[b, d]$. Hence $[b, d] = a^2$. By (4) again, $1 \neq (bcd)^2 = b^2[c, d]$. Hence $[c, d] = b^2a^2 = c^2$, and we get the desired group G . \square

Lemma 5.5. *Assume G is a \mathcal{P} -group of order 2^n and $n \geq 5$, M is a maximal subgroup of G and $M = \langle a, b, c \mid a^{2^{n-3}} = b^2 = c^2 = 1, [c, b] = a^{2^{n-4}}, [b, a] = [c, a] = 1 \rangle \cong D_8 * C_{2^{n-3}}$. Then $G \cong D_8 * C_{2^{n-2}}$.*

Proof. By a similar and more simple argument as that of Lemma 5.2, we get

- (1) $Z(M) = \langle a \rangle \cong C_{2^{n-3}}$ and $\Omega_1(Z(M)) = \langle a^{2^{n-4}} \rangle$;
- (2) $\Phi(G) = \mathcal{U}_1(G) \leq Z(M) \leq Z(G)$, in particular, $\exp(G) \leq 2^{n-2}$;

$$(3) G' = \Omega_1(Z(M)) = M';$$

$$(4) M = \Omega_{n-3}(G), \text{ in particular, } o(x) = 2^{n-2} \text{ for all } x \in G \setminus M.$$

By (4), we can assume $x^2 = a$. We consider $[b, x]$ and $[c, x]$. If $[b, x] = 1$ and $[c, x] = 1$, then $G = \langle b, c \rangle * \langle x \rangle \cong D_8 * C_{2^{n-2}}$. If $[b, x] = 1$ and $[c, x] = a^{2^{n-4}}$, then, by letting $x_1 = bx$, we get $[b, x_1] = 1$ and $[c, x_1] = [c, bx] = 1$. Thus $G = \langle b, c \rangle * \langle x_1 \rangle \cong D_8 * C_{2^{n-2}}$. If $[b, x] = a^{2^{n-4}}$, then, by letting $x_1 = cx$, we get $[b, x_1] = 1$. This is reduced to that of $[b, x] = 1$. \square

Lemma 5.6. *Assume G is a \mathcal{P} -group of order 2^6 . Then G has no subgroup $M \cong \langle a, b, c \mid a^4 = c^4 = 1, a^2 = b^2, [a, b] = a^2, [c, a] = c^2, [c, b] = 1 \rangle$.*

Proof. Otherwise, by a similar argument as that of Lemma 5.2, we get

$$(1) \Phi(M) = Z(M) = \Omega_1(M) = M' = \langle a^2, c^2 \rangle \cong C_2^2;$$

$$(2) \Phi(G) = \Phi(M) = Z(M) \leq Z(G), \text{ in particular, } d(G) = 4 \text{ and } \exp(G) = 4;$$

$$(3) G' = \Omega_1(Z(M)) = \Omega_1(M) = M';$$

$$(4) G \setminus M \text{ has no element of order 2.}$$

Notice that $[a, M] = M' = G'$. We can take a suitable $x \in G \setminus M$ such that $[a, x] = 1$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $x^2 = c^2$. By a similar argument as that of Lemma 5.4, we have $[b, x] = c^2$ or a^2c^2 and $[c, x] = a^2$ or a^2c^2 .

By (4), $1 \neq (abx)^2 = a^2c^2[b, x]$ and $1 \neq (acx)^2 = a^2c^2[c, x]$. Hence $[b, x] = c^2$ and $[c, x] = a^2$. It follows that $(abcx)^2 = 1$. This contradicts (4). \square

Lemma 5.7. *Assume G is a \mathcal{P} -group of order 2^7 . Then G has no subgroup $M \cong \langle a, b, c, d \mid a^4 = b^4 = 1, c^2 = a^2b^2, b^2 = d^2, [a, b] = b^2, [a, c] = a^2, [a, d] = 1, [b, c] = 1, [b, d] = a^2, [c, d] = c^2 \rangle$.*

Proof. Otherwise, by a similar argument as that of Lemma 5.2, we get

$$(1) \Phi(M) = Z(M) = \Omega_1(M) = M' = \langle a^2, b^2 \rangle \cong C_2^2;$$

$$(2) \Phi(G) = \Phi(M) = Z(M) \leq Z(G), \text{ in particular, } d(G) = 5 \text{ and } \exp(G) = 4;$$

$$(3) G' = \Omega_1(Z(M)) = \Omega_1(M) = M';$$

Notice that $[a, M] = M' = G'$. We can take a suitable $x \in G \setminus M$ such that $[a, x] = 1$. Hence $C_G(a)/\langle a \rangle \Phi(G) \geq \langle \bar{d}, \bar{x} \rangle \cong C_2^2$. This contradicts that G is a \mathcal{P} -group. \square

Theorem 5.8. *Assume G is a group of order 2^n . Then G is a \mathcal{P} -group if and only if G is one of the following pairwise non-isomorphic groups.*

- (1) metacyclic minimal nonabelian p -groups;
- (2) 2-groups of maximal class;
- (3) $D_8 * C_{2^{n-2}}$;
- (4) $\langle a, b, c \mid a^{2^{n-3}} = b^{2^2} = 1, c^2 = a^2b^2, [a, b] = b^2, [c, a] = [c, b] = 1 \rangle$;
- (5) $\langle a, b, c \mid a^{2^{n-3}} = b^{2^2} = 1, c^2 = a^2b^2, [a, b] = b^2, [c, a] = a^{2^{n-4}}, [c, b] = 1 \rangle$;
- (6) $Q_8 \times C_2$;
- (7) $\langle a, b, c \mid a^4 = c^4 = 1, a^2 = b^2, [a, b] = a^2, [c, a] = c^2, [c, b] = 1 \rangle$;

$$(8) \langle a, b, c, d \mid a^4 = b^4 = 1, c^2 = a^2b^2, b^2 = d^2, [a, b] = b^2, [a, c] = a^2, [a, d] = 1, [b, c] = 1, [b, d] = a^2, [c, d] = c^2 \rangle.$$

Proof. If $n \leq 5$, then, by classification of 2-groups of order $\leq 2^5$, the conclusion holds. In following assume $n \geq 6$ and G is a \mathcal{P} -group.

By induction hypothesis, each maximal subgroup of G is abelian or isomorphic to one of the groups (1)–(5), (7) and (8). If G has a maximal subgroup which is isomorphic to one of the groups (2)–(5), (7) and (8), then G is isomorphic to one of the groups (2)–(5) and (8) by Lemma 5.1, Lemmas 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6 and 5.7.

Assume every maximal subgroup of G is abelian or metacyclic minimal non-abelian. By Lemma 3.1, every maximal subgroup of G is metacyclic. If G is not metacyclic, then G is minimal non-metacyclic. It follows that $|G| \leq 2^5$ by Lemma 2.5. This contradicts $|G| \geq 2^6$. Thus G is metacyclic.

If G is minimal non-abelian, then we get the group (1).

If G is not minimal nonabelian, then G is a metacyclic \mathcal{A}_2 -group. By Lemma 2.2, $|G'| = 4$. Assume $G = \langle a, b \rangle$, where $G' < \langle a \rangle$. Then $o(a) \geq 8$ and $a^t \in Z(G)$ if and only if $4|t$. Hence $a^2 \notin Z(G)$. Since $|G| \geq 2^6$ and $|G'| = 4$, G has no cyclic maximal subgroup. It follows that $C_G(a^2) = \langle a, b^2 \rangle$ is not cyclic. Notice that $\langle a^2 \rangle \leq \cup_1(C_G(a^2))$. $C_G(a^2)/\langle a^2 \rangle$ is not cyclic. This contradicts G is a \mathcal{P} -group.

It is easy to see that those groups in the theorem are pairwise non-isomorphic. In following we prove those groups in the theorem are \mathcal{P} -groups.

If G is the group (1), then G is a \mathcal{P} -group by Corollary 3.3.

If G is the group (2), then G has a cyclic subgroup of index 2 and G is metacyclic by the classification of 2-groups of maximal class. Let $\langle a \rangle$ be a cyclic subgroup of index 2 of G . Then $\Phi(G) = \langle a^2 \rangle$ and $Z(G) = \langle a^{2^{n-2}} \rangle$. Let $x \in G \setminus Z(G)$. If $x \notin \Phi(G)$, then $x \notin \Phi(C_G(x))$. Since G is metacyclic, $C_G(x)$ is metacyclic. Hence $d(C_G(x)) \leq 2$. Thus there exists $y \in G$ such that $C_G(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$. It follows that $C_G(x)/\langle x \rangle = \langle \bar{y} \rangle$ is cyclic. If $x \in \Phi(G) \setminus Z(G)$, then $C_G(x) = \langle a \rangle$. Obviously, $C_G(x)/\langle x \rangle$ is cyclic. So G is a \mathcal{P} -group.

If G is one of the groups (3)–(7), then $|G : Z(G)| \leq 8$. It follows that $|G : \langle x, Z(G) \rangle| \leq 4$ for all $x \in G \setminus Z(G)$. Notice that $\langle x, Z(G) \rangle \leq Z(C_G(x))$ and $C_G(x) < G$. We have $|C_G(x)/Z(C_G(x))| \leq 2$. Hence $C_G(x)$ is abelian. It is easy to check $r(G) = 2$. Hence $d(C_G(x)) \leq 2$. Thus there exists $y \in G$ such that $C_G(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$. It follows that $C_G(x)/\langle x \rangle = \langle \bar{y} \rangle$ is cyclic.

If G is the group (8), then $Z(G) = \Phi(G) = \Omega_1(G) \cong C_2^2$. It is easy to check $Z(M) = Z(G)$ for all subgroups M of order 32. Since $Z(C_G(x)) \geq \langle x, Z(G) \rangle$ for all $x \in G \setminus M$, $Z(C_G(x)) > Z(G)$. Thus $|C_G(x)| \leq 16$. It follows that $C_G(x)$ is abelian and hence $d(C_G(x)) \leq 2$. Thus there exists $y \in G$ such that $C_G(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$. It follows that $C_G(x)/\langle x \rangle = \langle \bar{y} \rangle$ is cyclic. \square

Acknowledgments. We cordially thank the referee for valuable comments. All these helped us to improve the whole paper substantially.

References

- [1] Y. Berkovich, *Groups of Prime Power Order, Volume 1*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2008.
- [2] Y. Berkovich and Z. Janko, *Structure of finite p -groups with given subgroups*, Contemp. Math. 402, 13–93, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [3] N. Blackburn, *Generalizations of certain elementary theorems on p -groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **11** (1961), 1–22.
- [4] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer-Verlag, 1967.
- [5] K. Ishikawa, *Finite p -groups up to isoclinism, which have only two conjugacy lengths*, J. Algebra **220** (1999), no. 1, 333–354.
- [6] X. H. Li and J. Q. Zhang, *Finite p -groups and centralizers of non-central elements*, Comm. Algebra **41** (2013), no. 9, 3267–3276.
- [7] M. Y. Xu, L. J. An, and Q. H. Zhang, *Finite p -groups all of whose non-abelian proper subgroups are generated by two elements*, J. Algebra **319** (2008), no. 9, 3603–3620.

LIHUA ZHANG
BEIJING UNIVERSITY OF POSTS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS
BEIJING 100876, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: zhlh@bupt.edu.cn

JIAO WANG
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SHANXI NORMAL UNIVERSITY
LINFEN, SHANXI, 041004 P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: wangjiaotiedan@163.com

HAIPENG QU
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SHANXI NORMAL UNIVERSITY
LINFEN, SHANXI, 041004 P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: orcawhale@163.com