

SYMMETRIC BI-DERIVATIONS OF *BCH*-ALGEBRAS

KYUNG HO KIM*

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to introduce the notion of left-right (resp. right-left) symmetric bi-derivation of *BCH*-algebras and some related properties are investigated.

1. Introduction

In 1966, Imai and Iseki introduced two classes of abstract algebras, *BCK*-algebra and *BCI*-algebras [6]. It is known that the class of *BCI*-algebras is a generalization of the class of *BCK*-algebras. In 1983, Hu and Li [3] introduced the notion of a *BCH*-algebra, which is a generalization of the notions of *BCK*-algebras and *BCI*-algebras. They have studied a few properties of these algebras. In this paper, we introduce the notion of left-right (resp. right-left) symmetric bi-derivations of *BCH* algebras and investigate some properties of symmetric bi-derivations in a *BCH*-algebra. Moreover, we prove that the set of all symmetric bi-derivations on a medial *BCH*-algebra forms a semigroup under a suitably defined binary composition.

2. Preliminary

By a *BCH*-algebra, we mean an algebra $(X, *, 0)$ with a single binary operation “ $*$ ” that satisfies the following identities for any $x, y, z \in X$:

- (BCH1) $x * x = 0$,
- (BCH2) $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0$ imply $x = y$,
- (BCH3) $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$, where $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$.

In a *BCH*-algebra, the following identities are true for all $x, y \in X$:

Received July 17, 2015; Accepted October 26, 2015.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 03G25, 06B10, 06D99, 06B35, 06B99.

Key words and phrases: *BCH*-algebra, left (resp. right) symmetric bi-derivation, componentwise regular, d-regular.

This was supported by Korea National University of Transportation in 2015.

- (BCH4) $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$,
 (BCH5) $x * 0 = 0$ implies $x = 0$,
 (BCH6) $0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y)$,
 (BCH7) $x * 0 = x$,
 (BCH8) $(x * y) * x = 0 * y$,
 (BCH9) $x * y = 0$ implies $0 * x = 0 * y$.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let I be a nonempty subset of a BCH -algebra X . Then I is called an *ideal* of X if it satisfies:

- (i) $0 \in I$,
 (ii) $x * y \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply $x \in I$.

DEFINITION 2.2. A BCH -algebra is said to be *medial* if it satisfies

$$(x * y) * (z * w) = (x * z) * (y * w)$$

for all x, y, z, w .

In a medial BCH -algebra, the following identity hold:

(BCH10) $x * (x * y) = y$ for all $x, y \in X$.

DEFINITION 2.3. A BCH -algebra X is said to be *commutative* if $y * (y * x) = x * (x * y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. For a BCH -algebra X , we denote $x \wedge y = y * (y * x)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let X be a BCH -algebra. A map $d : X \rightarrow X$ is a *left-right derivation* (briefly, *(l, r)-derivation*) of X if it satisfies the identity

$$d(x * y) = (d(x) * y) \wedge (x * d(y))$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If d satisfies the identity

$$d(x * y) = (x * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then d is a *right-left derivation* (briefly, *(r, l)-derivation*) of X . Moreover, if d is both an *(l, r)* and *(r, l)*-derivation of X , then d is a *derivation* of X .

DEFINITION 2.5. A BCH -algebra is said to be *associative* if $(x * y) * z = x * (y * z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

DEFINITION 2.6. For any BCH -algebra, we define the set $G(X)$ by as follows

$$G(X) = \{x \in X \mid 0 * x = x\}.$$

DEFINITION 2.7. Let X be a BCH -algebra. Then the set $X_+ = \{x \in X \mid 0 * x = 0\}$ is called a *BCA-part* of X .

3. Symmetric bi-derivations of *BCH*-algebras

In what follows, let X denote a *BCH*-algebra unless otherwise specified.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a *BCH*-algebra. Define a binary composition “+” on X as follows:

$$x + y = x * (0 * y)$$

for any $x, y \in X$.

THEOREM 3.2. In any medial *BCH*-algebra $(X, *, 0)$, if we define “+” as $x + y = x * (0 * y)$ for any $x, y \in X$, Then the following properties hold:

- (1) $x + 0 = x = 0 + x$,
- (2) Addition is associative,
- (3) Addition is commutative,
- (4) Additive inverse of x is $0 * x$.

Proof. (1) Let X be a medial *BCH*-algebra and $x \in X$. Then

$$x + 0 = x * (0 * 0) = x * 0 = x = 0 * (0 * x) = 0 + x.$$

(2) Applying the definition of “+” repeatedly and simplifying, we have the result.

(3) For any $x, y \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} x + y &= 0 + (x + y) = (y * y) + (x * (0 * y)) \\ &= (y * y) * (0 * (x * (0 * y))) \\ &= (y * y) * ((0 * x) * (0 * (0 * y))) && ((x * y) * z = (x * z) * y) \\ &= (y * y) * ((0 * x) * y) && (y * (y * x) = x) \\ &= (y * (0 * x)) * (y * y) = y * (0 * x) \\ &= y * (0 * x) = y + x \end{aligned}$$

(4) For any $x \in X$,

$$x + (0 * x) = x * (0 * (0 * x)) = x * x = 0.$$

Hence the additive inverse of x is written as $-x = 0 * x$. \square

DEFINITION 3.3. Let X be a medial *BCH*-algebra. If we define an addition “+” as $x + y = x * (0 * y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, then $(X, +)$ is an abelian group with identity 0 and the additive inverse denoted by $-x = 0 * x$ for any $x \in X$.

If we have a medial *BCH*-algebra $(X, *, 0)$, it follows from the above definition that $(X, +)$ is an abelian group with $-y = 0 * y$ for any $y \in X$. Then we have $x - y = x * y$ for any $x, y \in X$. On the other hand, if we choose an abelian group $(X, +)$ with an identity 0 and define $x * y = x - y$, we obtain a medial *BCH*-algebra $(X, *, 0)$ where $x + y = x * (0 * y)$ for any $x, y \in X$.

Since $x + (0 * y) = x * (0 * (0 * y)) = x * y$, for all $x, y \in X$, we have $x * y = x + (0 * y) = x - y$.

DEFINITION 3.4. Let X, Y be *BCH*-algebras. An operation $*$ on the Cartesian product $X \times X$ of X, Y as follows: For $x_1, x_2 \in X, y_1, y_2 \in Y$,

1. $(x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2) = (x_1 * x_2, y_1 * y_2)$,
2. $(0, 0) = 0$.

LEMMA 3.5. A cartesian product of two *BCH*-algebras is again a *BCH*-algebras.

Proof. (1) For all $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, we have $(x, y) * (x, y) = (x * x, y * y) = (0, 0)$.

(2) For any $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in X \times Y$, let $(x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2) = (0, 0)$ and $(x_2, y_2) * (x_1, y_1) = (0, 0)$. Then we have $x_1 * x_2 = 0$ and $x_2 * x_1 = 0$, which means that $x_1 = x_2$. Also, $y_1 * y_2 = 0$ and $y_2 * y_1 = 0$. Thus we get $y_1 = y_2$. Hence $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2)$.

(3) For any $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3) \in X \times Y$, we get $((x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2)) * (x_3, y_3) = ((x_1 * x_2) * x_3, (y_1 * y_2) * y_3) = ((x_1 * x_3) * x_2, (y_1 * y_3) * y_2) = ((x_1, y_1) * (x_3, y_3)) * (x_2, y_2)$. □

DEFINITION 3.6. Let X be a *BCH*-algebra. A map $D : X \times X \rightarrow X$ is a *symmetric map* if $D(x, y) = D(y, x)$ holds for all pairs of elements $x, y \in X$.

EXAMPLE 3.7. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a *BCH*-algebra with Cayley table as follows:

$*$	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	2	1	0

The map $D : X \times X \rightarrow X$ defined by $D(x, y) = x * (0 * y)$ is a symmetric map.

DEFINITION 3.8. Let X be a *BCH*-algebra and let $D : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a symmetric mapping. A mapping $d : X \rightarrow X$ defined by $d(x) = D(x, x)$ is called a *trace* of D .

EXAMPLE 3.9. In Example 3.4, $d(0) = D(0, 0) = 0 + 0 = 0$, $d(1) = D(1, 1) = 1 + 1 = 0$, $d(2) = D(2, 2) = 2 + 2 = 0$, $d(3) = D(3, 3) = 3 + 3 = 0$.

DEFINITION 3.10. Let X be a BCH-algebra and let $D : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a symmetric mapping. If D satisfies the identity, $D(x * y, z) = (D(x, z) * y) \wedge (x * D(y, z))$ for all $x, y, z \in X$, then D is called a *left-right symmetric bi-derivation* (briefly, *(l, r)-symmetric bi-derivation*) of X .

If D satisfies the identity, $D(x * y, z) = (x * D(y, z)) \wedge (D(x, z) * y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$, then D is called a *right-left symmetric bi-derivation* (briefly, *(r, l)-symmetric bi-derivation*) of X .

If D is both an *(l, r)-symmetric bi-derivation* and an *(r, l)-symmetric bi-derivation*, then D is called a *symmetric bi-derivation* of X .

EXAMPLE 3.11. In Example 3.4, define a mapping $D : X \times X \rightarrow X$ by $D(x, y) = x * (0 * y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then D is a symmetric bi-derivation of X .

EXAMPLE 3.12. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a BCH-algebra with Cayley table as follows:

$*$	0	1	2
0	0	0	2
1	1	0	2
2	2	2	0

Define a map $D : X \times X \rightarrow X$ by

$$D(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (x, y) = (0, 0) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x, y) = (0, 1) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x, y) = (1, 0) \\ 2 & \text{if } (x, y) = (0, 2) \\ 2 & \text{if } (x, y) = (2, 0) \\ 1 & \text{if } (x, y) = (1, 1) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x, y) = (2, 2) \\ 2 & \text{if } (x, y) = (2, 1) \\ 2 & \text{if } (x, y) = (1, 2) \end{cases}$$

Then it is easily checked that D is a symmetric bi-derivation of X .

PROPOSITION 3.13. Let X be a medial BCH-algebra. Define a symmetric map $D : X \times X \rightarrow X$ by $D(x, y) = x + y$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then D is a *(l, r)-symmetric bi-derivation* of X .

Proof. For all $x, y, z \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(x * y, z) &= (x * y) + z = (x * y) * (0 * z) \\
 &= (x * (0 * z)) * y = (x + z) * y \quad (\because (x * y) * z = (x * z) * y) \\
 &= (x * (y + z)) * ((x * (y + z)) * ((x + z) * y)) \\
 &\hspace{15em} (\because y * (y * x) = x) \\
 &= ((x + z) * y) \wedge (x * (y + z)) \\
 &= (D(x, z) * y) \wedge (x * (D(y, z))).
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves that D is a (l, r) -symmetric bi-derivation of X . \square

THEOREM 3.14. *Let X be an associative medial BCH-algebra. Then the symmetric map $D : X \times X \rightarrow X$ defined by $D(x, y) = x + y$ for all $x, y \in X$ is a symmetric bi-derivation of X .*

Proof. By the above proposition, D is a (l, r) -symmetric bi-derivation of X . For all $x, y, z \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(x * y, z) &= (x * y) + z = (x * y) * (0 * z) \\
 &= (x * (0 * z)) * y = ((x * 0) * z) * y \quad (\because X \text{ is associative}) \\
 &= (x * z) * y = (x * y) * z. \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Also, we have for any $x, y, z \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x * D(y, z)) \wedge (D(x, z) * y) &= x * D(y, z) \quad (\because x \wedge y = y * (y * x) = x) \\
 &= x * (y + z) = x * (y * (0 * z)) \\
 &= x * ((y * 0) * z) \quad (\because X \text{ is associative}) \\
 &= x * (y * z) \\
 &= (x * y) * z. \tag{2} \quad (\because X \text{ is associative})
 \end{aligned}$$

From (1) and (2), $D(x * y, z) = (x * D(y, z)) \wedge (D(x, z) * y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. This proves that D is a (r, l) -symmetric bi-derivation, and so a symmetric bi-derivation of X . \square

PROPOSITION 3.15. *Let X be a medial BCH-algebra and let D be a symmetric map. Then we have for any $x \in X$,*

- (1) *if D is a (l, r) -symmetric bi-derivation of X and $(x * z) * (y * z) = x * y$, then $D(x, y) = D(x, y) \wedge x$,*
- (2) *if D is a (r, l) -symmetric bi-derivation of X , then $D(x, y) = x \wedge D(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ if and only if $D(0, y) = 0$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. (1) Let D be a (l, r) -symmetric bi-derivation of X . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(x, y) &= D(x * 0, y) = D(x, y) * 0 \wedge (x * D(0, y)) \\
 &= D(x, y) \wedge (x * D(0, y)) \\
 &= (x * D(0, y)) * ((x * D(0, y)) * D(x, y)) \\
 &= (x * D(0, y)) * ((x * D(x, y)) * D(0, y)) \\
 & \hspace{15em} (\because (x * y) * z = (x * z) * y) \\
 &= x * (x * D(x, y)) \hspace{10em} (\because (x * z) * (y * z) = x * y) \\
 &= D(x, y) \wedge x.
 \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let D be a (r, l) -symmetric bi-derivation of X and $D(0, y) = 0$ for all $y \in X$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(x, y) &= D(x * 0, y) \\
 &= (x * D(0, y)) \wedge (D(x, y) * 0) \\
 &= (x * 0) \wedge D(x, y) \\
 &= x \wedge D(x, y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if $D(x, y) = x \wedge D(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(0, y) &= 0 \wedge D(0, y) \\
 &= D(0, y) * (D(0, y) * 0) \\
 &= D(0, y) * D(0, y) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

PROPOSITION 3.16. *Let X be a medial BCH-algebra and let $D : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a (l, r) -symmetric bi-derivation of X . Then*

- (1) $D(a, y) = D(0, y) * (0, a) = D(0, y) + a$ for all $a, x, y \in X$,
- (2) $D(a + b, y) = D(a, y) + D(b, y) - D(0, y)$ for all $a, b, x, y \in X$,
- (3) $D(a, y) = a$ if and only if $D(0, y) = 0$ for all $a, y \in X$.

Proof. (1) Let (l, r) -symmetric bi-derivation of X and let $a = 0 * (0 * a)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(a, y) &= D(0 * (0 * a), y) \\
 &= (D(0, y) * (0 * a)) \wedge (0 * D(0 * a, y)) \\
 &= D(0, y) * (0 * a) \hspace{10em} (\because x \wedge y = x) \\
 &= D(0, y) + a
 \end{aligned}$$

for for any $a, x, y \in X$,

(2) By (1), we get for any $a, b, y \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} D(a + b, y) &= D(0, y) + a + b \\ &= D(0, y) + a + D(0, y) + b - D(0, y) \\ &= D(a, y) * D(b, y) - D(0, y). \end{aligned}$$

(3) Let $D(a, y) = a$ for any $a, y \in X$. Putting $a = 0$, then we get $D(0, y) = 0$ for any $y \in X$. Conversely, if $D(0, y) = 0$, then $D(a, y) = D(0, y) + a = 0 + a = a$. \square

PROPOSITION 3.17. *Let X be a medial BCH-algebra and let $D : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a (r, l) -symmetric bi-derivation of X . Then*

- (1) $D(a, y) \in G(X)$ for any $a \in G(X)$,
- (2) $D(a, y) = a * D(0, y) = a + D(0, y)$ for any $a, y \in X$,
- (3) $D(a + b, y) = D(a, y) + D(b, y) - D(0, y)$ for all $a, b, y \in X$,
- (4) $D(a, y) = a$ for any $a, y \in X$ if and only if $D(0, y) = 0$.

Proof. (1) Let $a \in G(X)$. Then $0 * a = a$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} D(a, y) &= D(0 * a, y) \\ &= (0 * (D(a, y))) \wedge (D(0, y) * a) \\ &= (D(0, y) * a) * ((D(0, y) * a) * (0 * D(a, y))) \\ &= 0 * D(a, y). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $D(a, y) \in G(X)$.

(2) For any $a, y \in X$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} D(a, y) &= D(a * 0, y) \\ &= (a * (D(0, y))) \wedge (D(a, y) * 0) \\ &= (a * (D(0, y))) \wedge D(a, y) \\ &= D(a, y) * (D(a, y) * (a * D(0, y))) \\ &= a * D(0, y). \end{aligned}$$

Again, for any $a, y \in X$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} D(a, y) &= a * D(0, y) \\ &= (a * (D(0, y))) \wedge (D(a, y) * 0) \\ &= a * D(0 * (D(0, y))) \wedge (D(0, y) * 0) \\ &= a * (0 * D(0, y)) \\ &= a + D(0, y). \end{aligned}$$

(3) For any a, b, y , we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(a + b, y) &= a + b + D(0, y) \\ &= a + D(0, y) + b + D(0, y) - D(0, y) \\ &= D(a, y) + D(b, y) - D(0, y). \end{aligned}$$

(4) If $D(0, y) = 0$, then $D(a, y) = D(a * 0, y) = a * D(0, y) = a * 0 = a$ by (2). Conversely, if $D(a, y) = a$ for any $a \in X$, we get $D(0, y) = 0$. \square

DEFINITION 3.18. Let X be a BCH-algebra and let $D : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a symmetric mapping. If $D(0, z) = 0$, for all $z \in X$, D is called *componentwise regular*. In particular, if $D(0, 0) = d(0) = 0$, D is called *d-regular*.

PROPOSITION 3.19. Let D be a (r, l) -symmetric bi-derivation of X and $0 * x = 0$ for all $x \in X$. Then D is *d-regular*.

Proof. Let D be a system bi-derivation of X and $0 * x = 0$ for all $x \in X$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(0, 0) &= D(0 * x, 0) = (0 * D(x, 0)) \wedge (D(0, 0) * x) \\ &= 0 \wedge (D(0, 0) * x) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence D is *d-regular*. \square

THEOREM 3.20. Let D be an (l, r) -symmetric bi-derivation of X . If there exists $a \in X$ such that $D(x, z) * a = 0$ for all $x, z \in X$, then D is *componentwise regular*.

Proof. Let $D(x, y) * a = 0$ for all $x, z \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D(x * a, z) * a = ((D(x * z) * a) \wedge (D(0, 0) * x)) * a \\ &= (0 \wedge (D(0, 0) * x)) * a \\ &= 0 * a, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $0 \leq a$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} D(0, z) &= D(0 * a, z) \\ &= (D(0, z) * a) \wedge (0 * D(a, z)) \\ &= 0 \wedge (0 * D(a, z)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence d is *componentwise regular*. \square

COROLLARY 3.21. Let D be an (l, r) -symmetric bi-derivation of X . If there exists $a \in X$ such that $D(x, z) * a = 0$ for all $x, z \in X$, then D is *d-regular*.

THEOREM 3.22. *Let D be an (r, l) -symmetric bi-derivation of X . If there exists $a \in X$ such that $a * D(x, z) = 0$ for all $x, z \in X$, then D is componentwise regular.*

Proof. Let $D(x, y) * a = 0$ for all $x, z \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a * D(x * a, z) = a * ((a * D(x * z)) \wedge (D(a, z) * x)) \\ &= a * (0 \wedge (D(a, z) * x)) \\ &= a * 0, \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} D(0, z) &= D(a * 0, z) \\ &= (a * D(0, z)) \wedge (D(a, z) * 0) \\ &= 0 \wedge D(a, z) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence D is componentwise regular. \square

COROLLARY 3.23. *Let D be an (r, l) -symmetric bi-derivation of X . If there exists $a \in X$ such that $a * D(x, z) = 0$ for all $x, z \in X$, then D is d -regular.*

Let D be a symmetric bi-derivation of X and $a \in X$. Define a set $Fix_a(X)$ by

$$Fix_a(X) := \{x \in X \mid D(x, a) = x\}$$

for all $x \in X$.

PROPOSITION 3.24. *Let D be a symmetric bi-derivation of X . Then $Fix_a(X)$ is a subalgebra of X .*

Proof. Let $x, y \in Fix_a(X)$. Then we have $D(x, a) = x$ and $D(y, a) = y$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} D(x * y, a) &= (D(x, a) * y) \wedge (x * D(y, a)) \\ &= (x * y) \wedge (x * y) \\ &= (x * y) * ((x * y) * (x * y)) \\ &= (x * y) * 0 = x * y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get $x * y \in Fix_a(X)$. This completes the proof. \square

PROPOSITION 3.25. *Let D be a symmetric bi-derivation of X . If $x, y \in Fix_a(X)$, we obtain $x \wedge y \in Fix_a(X)$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in \text{Fix}_a(X)$. Then we have $D(x, a) = x$ and $D(y, a) = y$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} D(x \wedge y, a) &= D(y * (y * x), a) = (D(y, a) * (y * x)) \wedge (y * D(y * x, a)) \\ &= (y * (y * x)) \wedge (y * ((D(y * a) * x) \wedge (y * D(x, a)))) \\ &= (y * (y * x)) \wedge (y * ((y * x) \wedge (y * x))) \\ &= y * (y * x) \wedge y * (y * x) \\ &= y * (y * x) = x \wedge y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get $x \wedge y \in \text{Fix}_a(X)$. This completes the proof. \square

PROPOSITION 3.26. *Let X be a commutative BCH-algebra and d a trace of D . Then, if $x \leq y$ for all $x, y \in X$, then $d(x \wedge y) = d(x)$.*

Proof. Let $x \leq y$. Then we get $x * y = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} d(x \wedge y) &= D(x \wedge y, x \wedge y) \\ &= D(y * (y * x), y * (y * x)) \\ &= D(x * (x * y), x * (x * y)) \\ &= D(x, x) = d(x). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

DEFINITION 3.27. Let X be a BCH-algebra. A self-map d on X is said to be *isotone* if $x \leq y$ implies $d(x) \leq d(y)$ for $x, y \in X$.

Let $\text{Der}(X)$ denote the set of all (l, r) -symmetric bi-derivation on X . Define the binary operation “ \wedge ” on $\text{Der}(X)$ as follows:

$$(D_1 \wedge D_2)(x, y) = D_1(x * y) \wedge D_2(x, y)$$

for any $D_1, D_2 \in \text{Der}(X)$ and $x, y \in X$.

PROPOSITION 3.28. *Let D_1 and D_2 are (l, r) -symmetric bi-derivations on X . Then $D_1 \wedge D_2$ is also a (l, r) -symmetric bi-derivation of X .*

Proof. Let D_1 and D_2 are (l, r) -symmetric bi-derivations on X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (D_1 \wedge D_2)(x * y, z) &= ((D_1 \wedge D_2)(x, z) * y) \wedge (x * ((D_1 \wedge D_2)(y, z))). \\ (D_1 \wedge D_2)(x * y, z) &= D_1(x * y, z) \wedge D_2(x * y, z) \\ &= D_2(x * y, z) * (D_2(x * y, z) * D_1(x * y, z)) \\ &= D_1(x * y, z) \\ &= (D_1(x, z) * y) \wedge (x * D_1(y, z)) \\ &= (x * D_1(y, z)) * ((x * D_1(y, z)) * (D_1(x, z) * y)) \\ &= D_1(x, z) * y \tag{1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((D_1 \wedge D_2)(x, z) * y) \\
&= (x * (D_1 \wedge D_2)(y, z) * ((x * (D_1 \wedge D_2)(y, z)) * ((D_1 \wedge D_2)(x, z) * y)) \\
&= (D_1(x, z) \wedge D_2(x * y, z) * (D_2(x * y, z) * D_1(x * y, z))) \\
&= D_1(x * y, z) \\
&= (D_1 \wedge D_2)(x, z) * y \\
&= (D_1(x, z) \wedge D_2(x, z)) * y \\
&= (D_2(x, z) * (D_2(x, z) * D_1(x, z))) * y \\
&= D_1(x, z) * y \tag{2}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (1) and (2), we prove that $D_1 \wedge D_2$ is a (l, r) -symmetric bi-derivation of X . \square

PROPOSITION 3.29. *The binary composition “ \wedge ” defined on $Der(X)$ is associative.*

Proof. Let D_1, D_2 and D_3 are (l, r) -symmetric bi-derivations on X . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((D_1 \wedge D_2) \wedge D_3)(x * y, z) \\
&= ((D_1 \wedge D_2)(x * y, z) \wedge D_3)(x * y, z) \\
&= (D_1(x, z) * y) \wedge D_3(x * y, z) \\
&= (D_3(x * y, z) * (D_3(x, z) * D_1(x, z) * y)) \\
&= D_1(x, z) * y \tag{1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (D_1 \wedge (D_2 \wedge D_3))(x * y, z) \\
&= (D_1(x * y, z) \wedge ((D_2 \wedge D_3)(x * y, z))) \\
&= (D_1(x * y, z) \wedge (D_2(x, z) * y)) \\
&= (D_2(x, z) * y) * ((D_2(x, z) * y) * (D_1(x * y, z))) \\
&= D_1(x * y, z) \\
&= (D_1(x, z) * y) \wedge (x * D_1(y, z)) \\
&= (x * D_1(y, z)) * ((x * D_1(y, z)) * (D_1(x, z) * y)) \\
&= D_1(x, z) * y. \tag{1}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (1) and (2), we have $(D_1 \wedge D_2) \wedge D_3 = D_1 \wedge (D_2 \wedge D_3)$, which implies that “ \wedge ” is associative. \square

Combining the above two propositions, we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.30. *Der(X) is a semigroup under the binary composition “ \wedge ”.*

References

- [1] M. A. Chaudhry, *On BCH-algebras*, Math. Japonica **36** (1991), no. 4, 665-676.
- [2] M. A. Chaudhry and H. Fakhar-ud-din, *Ideals and Filter in BCH-algebras*, Math. Japonica **44** (1996), no. 1, 101-112.
- [3] Q. P. Hu and X. Li, *On BCH-algebra*, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. **11** (1983), no. 2, 313-320.
- [4] Q. P. Hu and X. Li, *On proper BCH-algebra*, Math. Japon. **30** (1985), no. 4, 659-661.
- [5] K. Iseki, *An algebra related with a propositional calculus*, Proc. Japon Acad. **42** (1966), 26-29.
- [6] Y. Imai and K. Iseki, *On axiom system of propositional calculi XIV*, Proc. Japan Academy **42** (1966), 19-22.

*

Department of Mathematics
Korea National University of Transportation
Chungju 380-702, Republic of Korea
E-mail: ghkim@ut.ac.kr