

SPLIT HYPERHOLOMORPHIC FUNCTION IN CLIFFORD ANALYSIS

SU JIN LIM^a AND KWANG HO SHON^{b,*}

ABSTRACT. We define a hyperholomorphic function with values in split quaternions, provide split hyperholomorphic mappings on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ and research the properties of split hyperholomorphic functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

A set of quaternions can be represented as

$$\mathcal{H} = \{z = x_0 + e_1x_1 + e_2x_2 + e_3x_3 : x_k \in \mathbb{R}, k = 0, 1, 2, 3\},$$

where $e_1^2 = e_2^2 = e_3^2 = -1$ and $e_1e_2e_3 = -1$, which is non-commutative division algebra. A set of split quaternions can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{S} = \{z = x_0 + e_1x_1 + e_2x_2 + e_3x_3 : x_k \in \mathbb{R}, k = 0, 1, 2, 3\},$$

where $e_1^2 = -1$, $e_2^2 = e_3^2 = 1$ and $e_1e_2e_3 = 1$, which is also non-commutative. On the other hand, unlike quaternion algebra, a set of split quaternions contains zero divisors, nilpotent elements and non-trivial idempotents. Because split quaternions are used to express Lorentzian rotations, studies of the geometric and physical applications of split quaternions require solving split quaternionic equations (see [6], [9]). Deavours [3] generated regular functions in a quaternion analysis and provided the Cauchy-Fueter integral formulas for regular quaternion functions. Carmody [1, 2] investigated the properties of hyperbolic quaternions, octonions, and sedenions, and Sangwine and Bihan [10] provided a new polar representation of quaternions that is represented by a pair of complex numbers in the Cayley-Dickson form.

We shall denote by \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{R} , respectively, the field of complex numbers and the field of real numbers. We [4, 5] showed that any complex-valued harmonic function

Received by the editors October 14, 2014. Accepted November 22, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 30G35, 32W50, 32A99.

Key words and phrases. split quaternion, split hyperholomorphic function, Clifford analysis.

*Corresponding author.

f_1 in a pseudoconvex domain D of $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2$ has a conjugate function f_2 in D such that the quaternion-valued function $f_1 + f_2j$ is hyperholomorphic in D and gave a regeneration theorem in a quaternion analysis in view of complex and Clifford analysis method. We define a split hyperholomorphic function with values in split quaternions and examine the properties of split hyperholomorphic functions based on [7].

2. PRELIMINARY

The split quaternionic field \mathcal{S} is a four-dimensional non-commutative \mathbb{R} -field generated by four base elements e_0, e_1, e_2 , and e_3 with the following non-commutative multiplication rules :

$$e_1^2 = -1, \quad e_2^2 = e_3^2 = 1, \quad e_k e_l = -e_l e_k, \quad \bar{e}_k = -e_k \quad (k \neq l, k \neq 0, l \neq 0),$$

$$e_1 e_2 = e_3, \quad e_2 e_3 = -e_1, \quad e_3 e_1 = e_2.$$

The element e_0 is the identity of \mathcal{S} , and e_1 identifies the imaginary unit $i = \sqrt{-1}$ in the \mathbb{C} -field of complex numbers. A split quaternion z is given by

$$z = \sum_{k=0}^3 e_k x_k = z_1 + z_2 e_2,$$

where $z_1 = x_0 + e_1 x_1$, $z_2 = x_2 + e_1 x_3$, $\bar{z}_1 = x_0 - e_1 x_1$ and $\bar{z}_2 = x_2 - e_1 x_3$ are complex numbers in \mathbb{C} and x_k ($k = 0, 1, 2, 3$) are real numbers.

The multiplications of two pure split quaternions $\tilde{z} = e_1 x_1 + e_2 x_2 + e_3 x_3$ and $\tilde{w} = e_1 y_1 + e_2 y_2 + e_3 y_3$ ($y_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $k = 1, 2, 3$) is defined as follows:

$$\tilde{z} \cdot \tilde{w} := -x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + x_3 y_3,$$

$$\tilde{z} \times \tilde{w} := \begin{vmatrix} -e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ y_1 & y_2 & y_3 \end{vmatrix}.$$

For pure split quaternions \tilde{z} , \tilde{w} and \tilde{t} , the cross product satisfies two rules as follows:

$$\tilde{z} \times \tilde{w} = -\tilde{w} \times \tilde{z},$$

$$\tilde{z} \times (\tilde{w} \times \tilde{t}) + \tilde{w} \times (\tilde{t} \times \tilde{z}) + \tilde{t} \times (\tilde{z} \times \tilde{w}) = 0.$$

The split quaternionic conjugate z^* , the multiplicative modulus $M(z)$ and the inverse z^{-1} of z in \mathcal{S} are defined as

$$z^* = \sum_{k=0}^3 \bar{e}_k x_k = \bar{z}_1 - z_2 e_2,$$

$$M(z) := zz^* = z^*z = x_0^2 + x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2 = |z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2,$$

$$z^{-1} = \frac{z^*}{M(z)} \quad (M(z) \neq 0).$$

We let

$$J = \frac{e_1x_1 + e_2x_2 + e_3x_3}{\sqrt{-x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}} \quad \text{with } J^2 = e_0 = id.$$

The split quaternion number z of \mathcal{S} is

$$z = \xi_0 + J\xi_1,$$

where $\xi_0 = x_0$ and $\xi_1 = \sqrt{-x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}$. Then the split quaternionic conjugate number of z is $z^* = \xi_0 - J\xi_1$, and the multiplicative modulus of z is $M(z) = \xi_0^2 - \xi_1^2$. Let Ω be an open set in \mathbb{C}^2 and consider a function f defined on Ω with values in \mathcal{S} :

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^3 u_k e_k = u + Jv$$

$$z = (\xi_0, \xi_1) \in \Omega \mapsto f(z) = u(z) + Jv(z) \in \mathcal{S},$$

where $u = u_0$ and $v = \frac{\tilde{z} \cdot \tilde{f} + \tilde{z} \times \tilde{f}}{\sqrt{-x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}}$ with $\tilde{f} = \sum_{k=1}^3 u_k e_k$.

We give differential operators as

$$D := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_0} - J \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad D^* = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_0} + J \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \right),$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}$ and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} = \frac{\tilde{z} \cdot \tilde{D}^* + \tilde{z} \times \tilde{D}^*}{\sqrt{-x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}},$$

where $\tilde{D}^* = \sum_{k=1}^3 e_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$. Then the Coulomb operator (see [8]) is

$$M(D) = DD^* = D^*D = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k^2} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_0^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_1^2} \right).$$

Definition 2.1. Let Ω be an open set in \mathbb{C}^2 . A function $f(z) = f_1(z) + f_2(z)e_2$ is said to be an $L(R)$ -split hyperholomorphic function on Ω if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ are continuously differential functions on Ω , and
- (2) $D^*f(z) = 0$ ($f(z)D^* = 0$) on Ω .

In this paper, we consider a L-split hyperholomorphic function on Ω in \mathbb{C}^2 .

3. SPLIT HYPERHOLOMORPHIC FUNCTION

Let $\xi_0 = r \cosh \theta$ and $\xi_1 = r \sinh \theta$ with $r^2 = |zz^*|$. Then any $z = \xi_0 + J\xi_1$ can be expressed as $z = r(\cosh \theta + J \sinh \theta)$, where θ is the angle between the vector $z \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and the real axis.

Theorem 3.1. *Let Ω be a domain of holomorphy in \mathbb{C}^2 . If $u(r, \theta)$ is a split quaternion function satisfying $M(D)f = 0$ on Ω , then there exists a split hyper-conjugate quaternion function $v(r, \theta)$ satisfying $M(D)f = 0$ such that $u(r, \theta) + Jv(r, \theta)$ is a split hyperholomorphic function on Ω .*

Proof. We put

$$\phi(r, \theta) := -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} dr - r \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} d\theta.$$

We operate the operator ∂ from the left-hand side of $\phi(r, \theta)$ on Ω .

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\phi(r, \theta) &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} dr + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} d\theta \right) \left(-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} dr - r \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} d\theta \right) \\ &= \left(-\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} - r \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} \right) dr \wedge d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\partial f}{\partial r} = \cosh \theta \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_0} + \sinh \theta \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_1}$, $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial r^2} = \cosh^2 \theta \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \xi_0^2} + 2 \sinh \theta \cosh \theta \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \xi_0 \partial \xi_1} + \sinh^2 \theta \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \xi_1^2}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta^2} = r \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} + r^2 \left(\sinh^2 \theta \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \xi_0^2} + 2 \sinh \theta \cosh \theta \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \xi_0 \partial \xi_1} + \cosh^2 \theta \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \xi_1^2} \right)$, we get $\partial\phi(r, \theta)$ is zero. Since Ω is a domain of holomorphy, the ∂ -closed form $\phi(r, \theta)$ is a ∂ -exact form on Ω . Hence, there exists a split hyper-conjugate quaternion function $v(r, \theta)$ satisfying $M(D)f = 0$ on Ω such that $u(r, \theta) + Jv(r, \theta)$ is a split hyperholomorphic function on Ω . \square

Example 3.2. If the split quaternion function

$$u(r, \theta) = r^n \cosh(n\theta) + \left(r + \frac{1}{r}\right) \cosh \theta$$

in a domain of holomorphy $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\}$ is known, then a split hyper-conjugate quaternion function $v(r, \theta)$ of $u(r, \theta)$ on Ω can be found. That is,

$$v(r, \theta) = -r^n \sinh(n\theta) - \left(r - \frac{1}{r}\right) \sinh \theta$$

and $f(r, \theta) = u(r, \theta) + Jv(r, \theta)$ is a split hyperholomorphic function satisfying $M(D)f = 0$ on Ω .

Theorem 3.3. *Let Ω be an open set in \mathbb{C}^2 and f be a split quaternion function satisfying $M(D)f = 0$ on Ω . Then the multiplicative modulus of Df is*

$$M(Df) = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_0} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_1} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi_1} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi_0} \right)^2.$$

Proof. For $f = u + Jv$ and $\bar{f} = u - Jv$,

$$\begin{aligned} M(Df) &= DfD^*\bar{f} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_0} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_0} - 2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_0} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi_1} - \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \xi_0} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi_0} + \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \xi_0} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_1} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi_0} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_1} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi_1} \right) + J \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi_0} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi_1} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi_1} + \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi_0} - \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_1} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{v} = \frac{\bar{z}\bar{f} - \bar{z} \times \bar{f}}{\sqrt{-x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}}$. Since $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_0} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi_1}$ and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi_0} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_1}$, we have

$$M(Df) = \frac{1}{4} \left(4 \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_0} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_0} - 4 \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_1} \right) = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_0} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_1} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi_1} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi_0} \right)^2. \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a polar coordinates mapping defined by

$$f(r, \theta) = (r \cosh \theta, r \sinh \theta).$$

Then the determinant of this mapping is

$$\det \Delta_{\mathbb{R}} f(r, \theta) = 1,$$

where $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}} f := \frac{\partial(u,v)}{\partial(\xi_0, \xi_1)}$.

Proof. The chain rule gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_0} &= \cosh \theta \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r} \sinh \theta \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}, & -\frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi_1} &= \sinh \theta \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r} \cosh \theta \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_1} &= -\sinh \theta \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \cosh \theta \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}, & -\frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi_0} &= -\cosh \theta \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \sinh \theta \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}} f(r, \theta) &= \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \theta & -\frac{1}{r} \sinh \theta \\ -\sinh \theta & \frac{1}{r} \cosh \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \theta & -\frac{1}{r} \sinh \theta \\ -\sinh \theta & \frac{1}{r} \cosh \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \theta & \sinh \theta \\ r \sinh \theta & r \cosh \theta \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.5. *Let $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a polar coordinates mapping defined by*

$$f(r, \theta) = (e^r \cosh \theta, e^r \sinh \theta).$$

Then the determinant of this mapping is

$$\det \Delta_{\mathbb{R}} f(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{r} e^{2r}.$$

Proof. We can prove as above Theorem 3.4. □

Theorem 3.6. *Let Ω be an open set in \mathbb{C}^2 and f be a split hyperholomorphic function on Ω . Then there exists a differentiable function φ on Ω such that the vector field*

$$f(\xi_0, \xi_1) = (u(\xi_0, \xi_1), v(\xi_0, \xi_1)) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_0} \varphi(\xi_0, \xi_1), -\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \varphi(\xi_0, \xi_1) \right).$$

Proof. We let any point (ξ'_0, ξ'_1) on Ω . Consider

$$\varphi(\xi_0, \xi_1) = \int_{\xi'_0}^{\xi_0} u(t, \xi_1) dt + \mu(\xi_1),$$

where $\mu(\xi_1)$ is a split quaternion-valued function. By the fundamental theorem of calculus, we can find

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_0} \varphi(\xi_0, \xi_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_0} \int_{\xi'_0}^{\xi_0} u(t, \xi_1) dt + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_0} \mu(\xi_1) = u(\xi_0, \xi_1).$$

Since f is a split hyperholomorphic function on Ω and differentiating with respect to ξ_1 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \varphi(\xi_0, \xi_1) &= \int_{\xi'_0}^{\xi_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} u(t, \xi_1) dt + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \mu(\xi_1) \\ &= - \int_{\xi'_0}^{\xi_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_0} v(t, \xi_1) dt + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \mu(\xi_1) \\ &= - \int_{\xi'_0}^{\xi_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_0} \sum_{k=0}^3 e_k v_k(t, \xi_1) dt + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \mu(\xi_1) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^3 e_k (-v_k(\xi_0, \xi_1) + v_k(\xi'_0, \xi_1)) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \mu(\xi_1) \\ &= -v(\xi_0, \xi_1) + v(\xi'_0, \xi_1) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \mu(\xi_1), \end{aligned}$$

where $v_0 = -\frac{x_1 u_1 - x_2 u_2 - x_3 u_3}{\sqrt{-x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}}$, $v_1 = -\frac{x_2 u_3 - x_3 u_2}{\sqrt{-x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}}$, $v_2 = -\frac{x_1 u_3 - x_3 u_1}{\sqrt{-x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}}$ and $v_3 = -\frac{x_2 u_1 - x_1 u_2}{\sqrt{-x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}}$. Putting $\mu(\xi_1) = -\int v(\xi'_0, \xi_1) d\xi_1$ and then we have $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \varphi(\xi_0, \xi_1) = -v(\xi_0, \xi_1)$. □

REFERENCES

1. K. Carmody: Circular and hyperbolic quaternions, octonions and sedenions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **28** (1988), no. 1, 47-72.
2. ———: Circular and hyperbolic quaternions, octonions and sedenions-Further results. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **84** (1997), no. 1, 27-47.
3. C.A. Deavous: The quaternion calculus. *Am. Math. Mon.* **80** (1973), no. 9, 995-1008.
4. J. Kajiwara, X.D. Li & K.H. Shon: *Regeneration in complex, quaternion and Clifford analysis*. in: International Colloquium on Finite or Infinite Dimensional Complex Analysis and its Applications, vol. 2., Kluwer Academic Publishers, Vietnam (2004).
5. ———: *Function spaces in complex and Clifford analysis*. in: International Colloquium on Finite or Infinite Dimensional Complex Analysis and its Applications, vol. 14., Hue University, Vietnam (2006).
6. L. Kula & Y. Yayl: Split quaternions and rotations in semi Euclidean space E_4 . *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **44** (2007), no. 6, 1313-1327.
7. S. Lang: *Calculus of several variables*. New York : Springer-Verlag (1987).
8. E. Obolashvili: Some partial differential equations in Clifford analysis. *Banach Center Publ.* **37** (1996), no. 1, 173-179.
9. M. Özdemir & A.A. Ergin: Rotations with unit timelike quaternions in Minkowski 3-space. *J. Geom. Phys.* **56** (2006), no. 2, 322-336.
10. S.J. Sangwine & N.L. Bihan: Quaternion polar representation with a complex modulus and complex argument inspired by the Cayley-Dickson form. *Adv. in Appl. Cliff. Algs.* **20** (2010), no. 1, 111-120.

^aDEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PUSAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, BUSAN 609-735, KOREA
Email address: sjlim@pusan.ac.kr

^bDEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PUSAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, BUSAN 609-735, KOREA
Email address: khshon@pusan.ac.kr