# Eye Tracking Research on Cinemagraph e-Magazine

Ji Seob Park Jin Hwa Bae Kwang Su Cho

Abstract This study has performed a comparative analysis between groups based on Time To First Fixation, Fixation Duration, Fixation Count and Total Visit Duration, which are eye-tracking analysis indicators on what visual attention is shown compared to the e-magazine produced as regular images related to e-magazines in which experiment subjects have applied cinemagraph images as eye tracking research on the e-magazine produced with cinemagraph images and e-magazines produced with regular images. The experiment sample used e-magazines composed of nine pages while AOI (area of interest) has been set up on each page by classifying image and text regions. A combined 30 people took part in the experiment, which was performed by randomly assigning 15 to the experiment group and 15 to the control group. According to the results of the analysis, the experiment group recorded a shorter time than the control group on the e-magazine produced with cinemagraph images through Time To First Fixation. Though no significant difference was found between the experiment and control groups in Fixation Duration, a substantial difference did appear between Fixation Duration and Total Visit Duration.

J. S. Park · J. H. Bae · K. S. Cho UX Lab Cognitive Engineering Square Graduate School of Information / Yonsei University

J. S. Park e-mail: parkjiseob@yonsei.ac.kr

J. H. Bae e-mail: lina.hoho.pd@gmail.com

K. S. Cho e-mail: kwangsu.cho@yonsei.ac.kr (🖂) **Keywords** Cinemagraph, e-Magazine, Visual Attention, Tobii, Eye-tracker, Eye-tracking

## 1 Introduction

The term cinemagraph started being used in 2011 through the cinemagraph work produced by fashion photographers Jamie Beck and Kevin Burg of New York, and later became known to the public through Huffington Post, Gizmodo and Instagram of the U.S. Many people started sharing their own works through SNS using cinemagraph technique from 2012, offering an opportunity to become widely known, and the unique effect of cinemagraph in infinitely repeating only one region of a photograph drew much attention. Park Ji Seob (2014) researched the reactions of people after applying marketing by focusing on this cinemagraph effect [1][2][3][4]. The cinemagraph images' effect, especially on food, was verified and the scope of research was extended through applications on various places related to food. As the previous research of this study is follow-up research on the emotional reaction to the e-magazine performed by Park Ji Seob (2015)[3], this study has attempted to find out the differences in visual attention in e-magazines in which people applied cinemagraph images.

#### 2 Theoretic Background and Setup of Hypothesis

#### 2.1 Cinemagraph e-Magazine and Visual Attention

While a video temporarily and procedurally provides auditory and visual information due to the characteristics of Multimodal, a photograph expresses a message silently indicating the spatial location relationship (Sung J. & Cho K., 2012). Cinemagraph can show the dynamic information of video and silent message possessed by photographs by combining them as the intermediate stage between video and photographs, while such feature can provide a unique experience to people.

In the research of Park Ji Seob (2014), eye tracking research was performed by producing an image with detailed description of a shopping mall as a cinemagraph image[4]. The results showed participants who saw the cinemagraph image of the shopping mall as having longer Fixation Duration on average than those who saw the detailed description of the shopping mall made with a regular image, and also recording greater frequency even in the Fixation Count. In the research on emotional reaction evaluation related to the e-magazine produced as a cinemagraph image by Park Ji Seob (2015), various emotional reactions could be expected because cinemagraph images cause higher evocativeness in people. According to the research results, participants who saw the e-magazine produced as a cinemagraph image had emotional reactions such as love, cheerfulness, sense of refreshment, sense of activity, attractiveness, powerfulness, satisfaction, pleasure, interest, excitement, vigor, humor or surprise more than those who saw the e-magazine produced as a regular image [3]. So based on the research on the visual attention of cinemagraph images and that related to the e-magazine produced as a cinemagraph image, this research performed a statistical analysis by mainly dividing image and text regions after setting the AOI (area of interest) that can derive the attention and concentration levels of the set area on what effect the e-magazine produced as a cinemagraph image had on the e-magazine produced as a regular image and visual attention.

## 2.2 Understanding of Eye Tracker

Eye tracking research, also called the eye tracking technique, tracks the movement of the human eyeball to directly measure which subject people focus visual attention on [5]. This method is widely used in cognitive science, psychology, medical science, neurology and pharmacology as a fundamental way to analyze the data of reflecting infrared rays coming from eye tracking equipment on the cornea on the eyeball's surface[5]. Among analysis methods used in eye tracking analysis, Scan Path analyzes the path of eye movement and AOI (area of interest) can analyze attention/concentration level on the set region, as the user sets a specific region on the content while Heat Map and Focus Map could be reckoned as typical. While research has been performed in various fields on eye tracking, Goldberg and Kotval (1999) mentioned a tendency of performing ineffective exploration on the meaning of the number of fixations overall, and Just and Carpenter (1976) said that if Fixation Duration is recorded, this instance makes extraction of information difficult or the corresponding object captures the surroundings in a certain form. Also, Byrne et al. (1999) said Time to First Fixation, which is faster on a certain object or region, is because the factors that draw attention better exist. If more attention is placed on AOI, this was perceived as something a little more worthy of note and importance (Poole et al.).

Since this study classified the part applying a cinemagraph image and a part not put in either the experiment or control group, the eye tracking analysis method of setting up AOI on the content was used. Then Time To First Fixation, Fixation Duration, Fixation Count and Total Visit Duration were measured. Since Time To First Fixation refers to the time it took for experiment participants to discover content for the first time, recording a shorter time was determined as better. Total Fixation Duration is the time for gaining information without relative movement in a certain place and presented by analyzing the overall average of the entire AOI Region. Fixation Count is the frequency of fixation and what content drew people's attention, how interesting the content was or whether the sentence was hard to make out. Total Visit Duration is defined as the period when a person's attention moves from one part to another.

## 2.3 Setup of Hypothesis

This study will clarify the differences between the experiment and control groups using four types of eye tracking index values -- Time To First Fixation, Fixation Duration, Fixation Count and Total Visit Duration -- to present the following hypotheses based on research of existing cinemagraph images.

- Hypothesis 1: The e-magazine produced as a cinemagraph image will have significant difference in Time to First Fixation compared to the e-magazine produced as a regular image.
- Hypothesis 2: The e-magazine produced as a cinemagraph image will have significant difference in Total Fixation Duration compared to the one produced as a regular image.
- Hypothesis 3: The e-magazine produced as a cinemagraph image will have significant difference in Total Fixation Count compared to the e-magazine produced as a regular image.
- Hypothesis 4: The e-magazine produced as a cinemagraph image will have significant difference in Total Visit Duration compared to the e-magazine produced as regular image.

# **3** Experiment and Analysis

#### 3.1 Experiment Design and Procedure

# **Participants**

For this research, 30 university students and graduate students from Yonsei University in Seoul participated in this experiment. Forty-three percent were male (13 people) and 56 percent female (17). Among the participants, those who read e-magazines with smartphones comprised 44 percent while those who did so on their desktop or laptop PCs made up 38 percent, tablet PCs 11 percent, other personal portable devices 3.2 percent, exclusive e-book devices 2 percent and others 1.8 percent, so most read e-magazines on their smartphones. On how often they read e-magazines per month, 67.3 percent, or 24 participants, said one to three times.

#### Stimulants of Experiment

An e-magazine sample was produced for this research using Adobe InDesign CC version, with a resolution designed for a display size of 2048 x 1536 and 7.9 inches to be seen on the Apple iPad 4. The sample was produced in the style of a food magazine, exposed to the experiment group by applying a cinemagraph image to explain the article among contents going into the e-magazine, while the sample using the regular image was exposed to the control group. The e-magazine sample used in this experiment had nine pages organized as the cover on Page 1, table of contents on Page 2, editor's greetings on Page 3, advertisement on Page 4, special edition on Page 5, restaurant/food coverage on Page 6, introduction of restaurant(s) on Page 7, introduction to cooking on Page 8 and rising issues on Page 9. The layout was the same as the e-magazine. The produced sample is shown in <Table 1>.

#### **Experiment Procedure**

After giving an adequate explanation on the experiment to the participants, the experiment was performed by randomly assigning an experiment group and control group. The participants looked at the tablet PC in the front by sitting on a desk with an eye tracker installed, and watched the prepared sample e-magazine with guidance from an experimenter after completing the collaboration procedure of the eye tracker. If the participant told the experimenter that he or she had adequately read the e-magazine, the former turned to the next page and the experiment was completed after nine pages had been read. After the experiment, a fee of 3,000 won was paid to each participant.

## 4 Result and Analysis of Research

4.1 Analysis of Visual Attention Statistics on AOI

In this study, AOI was set up mainly on the Image Region and Text Region from pages 1-9 while performing t-test between the experiment and control groups on Time to First, Total Fixation Duration, Fixation Count and Total Visit Duration.

# Cover (Page 1)



 Table 2 T-test Results between Groups on Cover (Page 1)

AOI Region	Eye Tracking Index Value	Group	Avg.	Standard Deviation	t	df	sig
	Time to	Experiment	0.47	0.67	04	28	.36
	Fixation	Control	0.81	1.27	94		
DUCE	Total Fixation Duration	Experiment	5.41	3.12	1.07	20	.11
Region		Control	3.71	2.43	1.07	20	
	Fixation	Experiment	15.53	7.97	1.52	20	.14
	Count	Control	11.13	7.85	1.52	20	
	Total Visit	Experiment	5.84	3.28	1 00	28	06*
	Duration	Control	3.52	3.11	1.99	28	.00*

AOI Region	Eye Tracking Index Value	Group	Avg.	Standard Deviation	t	df	sig
	Time to	Experiment	0.99	2.89	07	20	.39
	First	Control	0.33	0.53	.87	28	
	Total	Experiment	2.37	2.46	2.05	20	01***
TEXT_1 Region	Duration	Control	4.88	2.72	-2.65	28	.01
0	Fixation Count	Experiment	8.47	8.25	0.17	20	0.4**
		Control	14.87	7.93	-2.1/	28	.04**
	Total Visit Duration	Experiment	2.47	2.52	2.60	20	01***
		Control	5.16	3.13	-2.60	20	.01
	Time to	Experiment	4.57	1.59	38	10.24	71
	Fixation	Control	4.19	3.61	.50	17.24	./1
TEVT 0	Total Fivation	Experiment	4.83	3.71	22	20	74
TEXT_2 Region	Duration	Control	4.38	3.68	.33	20	./4
	Fixation	Experiment	13.53	12.06	1.96	17.29	00*
	Count	Control	7.40	4.22	1.80	17.38	.08*
	Total Visit	Experiment	5.09	3.86	25	28	.81
	Duration	Control	5.42	3.54	25	20	

On the cover (Page 1), the top title Region (TEXT\_1), center cover photo Region (IMAGE) and bottom article introduction Region (TEXT\_2) were set up as AOI to perform a t-test between groups. As a result, significant differences were shown in the Total Visit Duration of IMAGE Region (t=1.99, p<.1), Total Fixation Duration(t=-2.65, p<.01)/Fixation Count(t=-2.17, p<.05)/Total Visit Duration of TEXT\_2 Region (t=-2.60, p<.01) and Fixation Count of TEXT\_2 Region(t=1.86, p<.1).

# **Contents (Page 2)**



 Table 3 T-test Results between Groups in Table of Contents (Page 2)

AOI Region	Eye Tracking Index Value	Group	Avg.	Standard Deviation	t	df	sig
	Time to	Experiment	2.58	3.71	-1.10	18.05	0.28
	Fixation	Control	5.53	9.65	-1.10	10.05	0.20
	Total Fivation	Experiment	6.81	7.30	1 / 3	23.66	0.16
IMAGE Region	Duration	Control	3.61	4.62	1.43	23.00	0.10
Region	Fixation Count	Experiment	12.93	15.93	0.65	20	0.52
		Control	9.60	12.00	0.05	20	0.52
	Total Visit	Experiment	7.35	8.34	1 20	28	0.20
	Duration	Control	4.04	5.30	1.50		
	Time to	Experiment	.05	.10	-1 17	14 98	0.26
	Fixation	Control	.20	.51	1.17	14.90	0.20
	Total Fivation	Experiment	6.81	7.30	1 25	18 18	0.23
TEXT Region	Duration	Control	3.61	4.62	-1.23	10.10	0.23
region	Fixation	Experiment	8.39	3.44	1 50	24.93	0.15
	Count	Control	11.44	8.81	1.50	27.95	0.15
	Total Visit	Experiment	12.93	15.93	-1.24	17 70	0.23
	Duration	Control	9.60	12.00	-1.24	17.79	0.23

p < .1, \*\*p < .05, \*\*\*p < .01

In the table of contents (Page 2), the right image Region (IMAGE) and left contents Region (TEXT) were set up as AOI to perform analysis. As a result, no significant difference was shown between both regions.

#### Editor's Greetings (Page 3)



Eye AOI Standard Tracking df Group Average sig t Region Deviation Index Value Time to First Experiment 1.18 3.62 1.09 28.00 0.29 Fixation .15 .42 Control Total Experiment 5.66 3.82 -0.70 28.00 0.49 Fixation TEXT 1 Control 7 07 679 Duration Region Experiment 17.00 10.32 Fixation 0.51 28.00 0.61 14.47 16.07 Count Control 6.04 3 94 Total Visit Experiment -0.71 28.00 0.48 Duration 7.22 Control 7.55 Time to First Experiment 2.83 2.48 -1.66 18.26 0.11 Fixation Control 5.73 6.29 Total 1.01 Experiment 1.07 0.02\*\* Fixation -2.54 18.23 TEXT 2 2.88 2.57 Control Duration Region 3.53 3.04 Fixation Experiment -1.23 28.00 0.23 6.27 8.03 Count Control 1.08 Experiment 1.13 Total Visit -2.47 18.17 0.02\*\* Duration Control 3.02 2.76 Experiment 4.93 4.63 Time to First 22.51 0.01\*\*\* 2.99 Fixation .79 2.70 Control Total Experiment 3.72 2.86 28.00 0.00\*\*\* Fixation 3.21 IMAGE Control .64 2.36 Duration 1 Region 11.40 7.89 Experiment Fixation 4.11 24.10 0.00\*\*\* 1.40 5.15 Count Control Experiment 3.88 2.90 Total Visit 28.00 0.00\*\*\* 3.35 Duration Control .64 2.36 Experiment 10.72 9.17 Time to First 1.97 28.00 0.06\* Fixation 5.03 6.42 Control Total Experiment 1.05 1.12 Fixation 0.64 28.00 0.53 TEXT\_3 .80 1.03 Control Duration Region 2.67 2.38 Experiment Fixation 1.53 28.00 0.14 1.53 1.60 Count Control Total Visit Experiment 1.07 1.14 28.00 0.00\*\*\* 3.35 Duration Control .85 1.09 10.88 8.79 Time to First Experiment 28.00 0.52 0.65 Fixation 12.33 Control 8.32 Total Experiment 2.09 2.57 Fixation -0.35 28.00 0.73 IMAGE Control 2.85 7.89 Duration 2 Region 5.80 5 80 Fixation Experiment 0.35 28.00 0.73 10.48 Count Control 4.73 Experiment 2.15 2.62 Total Visit -0.41 28.00 0.68 Duration Control 3.12 8.77 1.25 3.31 Time to First Experiment -3.38 18.33 0.00\*\*\* Fixation Control 9.05 8.32 Total .97 Experiment .36 Fixation -2.74 20.82 0.01\*\*\* 1.87 1.91 TEXT\_4 Control Duration Region .67 2.09 Fixation Experiment -2.53 22.88 0.02\*\* Count 3.33 3.50 Control Experiment .37 1.03 Total Visit -2.72 20.17 0.01\*\*\* Duration 2.13 2.03 Control

 Table 4 T-test Results between Groups in Editor's Greetings

 (Page 3)

In the editor's greetings (Page 3), four test regions --(TEXT 1, TEXT 2, TEXT 3 and TEXT 4) -- and two image regions -- IMAGE 1 and IMAGE 2 -- were set up as AOI to perform analysis. According to the results, while significant differences between groups were not discovered in TEXT 1 Region, Total Fixation Duration (t=-2.54, p<.05)/Total Visit Duration(t=-2.47, p<.05) of TEXT\_2 Region, Time to First Fixation(t=1.97, p<.1)/Total Visit Duration(t=3.35, p<.01) of TEXT 3 Region and Time to First Fixation(t=-3.38, p<.01)/Total Fixation Duration(t=-2.74)p<.01)/ Fixation p<.05)/Total Visit Duration(t=-2.72, Count(t=-2.53, p<.01) of TEXT 4 Region had significant differences. In the image region, while significant differences were discovered in Time to First Fixation(t=2.99, p<.01)/Total Fixation Duration(t=3.21, p<.01)/Fixation Count(t=4.11, p<.01)/Total Visit Duration(t=3.35, p<.01) of IMAGE 1, none were discovered in IMAGE 2.

#### Advertisement (Page 4)



Eye AOI Tracking Standard Group Average df sig Region Deviation Index Value Time to .01 .05 Experiment -.12 28.00 .91 First .04 Control .02 Fixation Total Experiment 4.92 3.48 Fixation 1.46 21.46 .16 IMAGE 1.87 Control 3.43 Duration Region 17.80 9.98 Fixation Experiment 1.23 28.00 .23 8.31 Count Control 13.67 Total Visit Experiment 5.93 3.22 1.77 28.00 .09\* 2.28 Duration Control 4.12

Table 5 T-test Results between Groups in Advertisement (Page 4)

In advertisement (Page 4), one advertisement display Region (IMAGE) was set as AOI to perform analysis. As a result, significant differences were shown in Total Visit Duration(t=1.77, p<.1) of the IMAGE Region.

### Special Edition (Page 5)



In the special edition (Page 5), analysis was performed by setting the top article image Region (IMAGE) and bottom article Region (TEXT) as AOI to perform analysis. As a result, significant differences were shown in Total Fixation

Table 6 T-test Results between Grow	ups in Special Edition (Page	5)
-------------------------------------	------------------------------	----

AOI Region	Eye Tracking Index Value	Group	Avg.	Standard Deviation	t	df	sig
	Time to	Experiment	1.17	2.61	0.00	20.00	0.52
	Fixation	Control	2.39	6.71	-0.00	28.00	0.32
	Total	Experiment	2.44	1.87	2 20	10.45	0.02**
IMAGE	Fixation Duration	Control	1.22	0.84	2.50	19.43	0.05
Region	Fixation	Experiment	6.20	5.05	0.00	20.00	0.20
	Count	Control	4.87	2.77	0.90	28.00	0.38
	Total Visit Duration	Experiment	2.77	1.99	2.41	20.24	0.03**
		Control	1.39	0.97	2.41		
	Time to	Experiment	1.29	2.15	0.01	20.00	0.00
	Fixation	Control	1.30	2.15	-0.01	28.00	0.99
	Total Fixation	Experiment	11.43	4.70	0.67	20.10	0.51
TEXT Region	Duration	Control	13.31	9.73	-0.07	20.19	0.51
	Fixation	Experiment	33.47	10.08	1.12	28.00	0.27
	Count	Control	26.53	21.70	1.12	28.00	0.27
	Total Visit Duration	Experiment	12.17	4.37	1.00	10.25	0.22
		Control	14.96	9.90	-1.00	19.23	0.55

p < .1, \*\*p < .05, \*\*\*p < .01

Duration(t=2.30, p<.05) and Total Visit Duration(t=2.41, p<.05) of IMAGE Region but none in the TEXT Region.

#### **Restaurant/Food Coverage (Page 6)**



Eye AOI Standard Tracking df Group Avg. sig t Region Deviation Index Value Time to First Experiment 2.27 5.03 .950 28.00 0.35 Fixation Control 0.94 2.06 Total Experiment 0.31 0.62 -4.823 15.46 0.00\*\*\* Fixation TEXT 1 Control 2.69 3 75 Duration Region Experiment 1.47 2.39 Fixation -2.592 14.60 0.02\*\* 12.53 Count Control 16.36 0.33 0.63 Total Visit Experiment -5.214 | 15.47 | 0.00\*\*\* Duration 2.73 Control 4.10 Time to First Experiment 1.22 2.08 -.613 28.00 0.54 Fixation Control 1.94 4.08 Total Experiment 3.74 1.83 Fixation 2.327 28.00 0.03\*\* IMAGE 1.21 3.79 Control Duration Region Experiment 14.07 Fixation 5.76 28.00 0.00\*\*\* 3 537 10.49 Count Control 3.13 Experiment 4.24 1.80 Total Visit 28.00 0.03\*\* 2.361 Duration Control 1.37 4.35 Experiment 6.07 3.44 Time to First 3.674 28.00 0.00\*\*\* Fixation Control 1.24 3.76 Total Experiment 2.82 2.77 Fixation 2.550 24.22 0.02\*\*\* TEXT 2 Control 0.64 1.82 Duration Region Experiment 7.53 5.29 Fixation 3.109 28.00 0.00\*\*\* 1.87 4.67 Count Control 2.91 2.77 Experiment Total Visit 24.27 0.01\*\*\* 2.639 Duration Control 0.65 1.83 Experiment 5.99 7.36 Time to First 1.111 28.00 0.28 Fixation 6.57 Control 3.16 Total Experiment 0.55 1.11 Fixation .527 28.000.60 TEXT\_3 0.37 0.67 Control Duration Region 1.87 Experiment 3 64 Fixation 1.300 28.00 0.20 0.99 0.60 Count Control Experiment 0.55 1.11 Total Visit .465 28.00 0.65 Duration Control 0.39 0.73 1.53 3.17 Time to First Experiment -3.454 28.00 0.00\*\*\* Fixation 6.22 4.19 Control Total Experiment 0.50 0.89 Fixation -2.981 14.88 0.01\*\*\* TEXT 4 Control 4.44 5.03 Duration Region 0.93 Experiment 1.33 Fixation -2.850 14.52 0.01\*\*\* 8.20 9.78 Count Control Experiment 0.54 0.89 Total Visit 14.78 0.01\*\*\* 3.027 Duration Control 4.77 5.34 4.24 4.50 Time to First Experiment 28.00 0.95 -.064 Fixation Control 4.43 10.83 Total 0.40 Experiment 0.55 Fixation .035 28.00 0.97 TEXT 5 Control 0.39 0.79 Duration Region 0.87 0.92 Fixation Experiment 28.00 0.48 .714 0.60 1.12 Count Control 0.40 Total Visit Experiment 0.55 .035 25.03 0.97 Duration Control 0.39 0.79

 Table 7 T-test Results between Groups in Restaurant/Food

 Coverage (Page 6)

In restaurant/food coverage (Page 6), five Text Regions --TEXT\_1, TEXT\_2, TEXT\_3, TEXT\_4 and TEXT\_5 -and one Image Region, IMAGE, were set up as AOI to perform analysis. As a result, significant differences were shown in Total Fixation Duration(t=-4.82, p<.01)/Fixation Count(t=-2.59, p<.05)/Total Visit Duration(t=-5.21, p<.01) of TEXT\_1 Region, Time to First Fixation(t=3.67, p<.01), Total Fixation Duration(t=2.56, p<.05), Fixation Count(t=3.11, p<.01), Total Visit Duration(t=2.64, p<.01) of TEXT\_2 Region and Time to First Fixation (t=-3.45, p<.01), Total Fixation Duration (t=-2.981, p<.01), Fixation Count (t=-2.85, p<.01), Total Visit Duration(t=-3.03, p<.01) of TEXT\_4 Region with the exclusion of TEXT\_3 and TEXT\_5.

Introduction of Restaurant (Page 7)



 Table 8
 T-test
 Results
 between
 Groups
 in
 Introduction
 of

 Restaurant (Page 7)

AOI Region	Eye Tracking Index Value	Group	Avg.	Standard Deviation	t	df	sig
IMAGE_	Time to First	Experiment	.23	.34	57	28.00	58
Region	Fixation	Control	.15	.48			.50

AOI Region	Eye Tracking Index Value	Group	Avg.	Standard Deviation	t	df	sig
	Total	Experiment	3.57	2.01			
	Fixation Duration	Control	4.43	2.53	-1.03	28.00	.31
	Fixation	Experiment	9.00	5.22	0.5	20.00	06
	Count	Control	9.13	8.75	05	28.00	.96
	Total Visit	Experiment	4.21	2.56			
	Duration	Control	4.99	2.60	83	28.00	.41
	Time to First	Experiment	4.18	2.99	02	28.00	41
	Fixation	Control	5.21	3.83	85	28.00	.41
	Total	Experiment	4.27	2.10	02	22.25	09
IMAGE_2	Duration	Control	4.24	3.68	.03	22.25	.98
Region	Fixation	Experiment	10.40	5.37	2.42	28.00	02***
	Count	Control	5.80	5.03	2.42	28.00	.02***
	Total Visit	Experiment	4.84	2.40	22	22.22	75
	Duration	Control	4.46	3.92	.32	23.22	.75
	Time to First Fixation	Experiment	.40	1.09	62	28.00	54
		Control	.93	3.10	03	20.00	
	Total	Experiment	.97	2.29		28.00	57
TEXT_1	Duration	Control	.55	1.71	.57	28.00	.57
Region	Fixation	Experiment	2.00	3.38	1.00	28.00	20
	Count	Control	.87	2.23	1.08	28.00	.29
	Total Visit	Experiment	1.02	2.36	62	28.00	54
	Duration	Control	.55	1.71	.03	28.00	.34
	Time to First	Experiment	.00	.00	2 34	14.00	03***
	Fixation	Control	1.30	2.16	-2.34	14.00	.05
	Total	Experiment	.00	.00	1 78	14.00	10*
TEXT_2	Duration	Control	1.26	2.74	-1.70	14.00	.10
Region	Fixation	Experiment	.00	.00	-1.61	14.00	13
	Count	Control	2.27	5.46	-1.01	14.00	.13
	Total Visit	Experiment	.00	.00	-1 76	14.00	) 10*
	Duration	Control	1.29	2.83	-1.70	14.00	.10

In the introduction of restaurant (Page 7), two Image Regions -- IMAGE\_1 and IMAGE\_2 -- and two Text Regions -- TEXT\_1 and TEXT\_2 -- have been set up as AOI to perform analysis. As a result, no significant differences were shown in IMAGE\_1 and TEXT\_1 regions but significant differences were shown in Fixation Count (t=2.42, p<.05) of IMAGE\_2 and Time to First Fixation (t=-2.34, p<.05)/Total Fixation Duration (t=-1.78, p<.1)/Total Visit Duration (t=-1.76, p<.1) of TEXT\_2.

Introduction of Cooking (Page 8)



 Table 9
 T-test Results between Groups in Introduction of Cooking (Page 8)

AOI Region	Eye Tracking Index Value	Group	Average	Standard Deviation	t	df	sig
	Time to	Experiment	3.59	3.49	2.04	16.26	01***
	Fixation	Control	0.73	1.02	5.04	10.50	.01
	Total	Experiment	1.60	1.21	2.20	14 70	01***
IMAGE	Duration	Control	7.85	7.26	-3.29	14.78	.01
Region	Fixation	Experiment	4.53	4.79	170	20.00	00*
	Count	Control	15.20	22.96	-1./0	28.00	.09*
	Total	Experiment	1.97	1.49	2 11	15.01	01***
	Duration	Control	8.40	7.86	-5.11		.01
	Time to	Experiment	0.60	1.24	2.26	14.42	0.4**
	Fixation	Control	6.53	10.07	-2.20	14.45	.04 * *
	Total	Experiment	5.76	4.69	2 70	28.00	01***
TEXT Region	Duration	Control	1.37	3.93	2.78	28.00	.01***
	Fixation	Experiment	12.31	9.21	2.55	20 00	02**
	Count	Control	3.40	9.88	2.55	28.00	.02**
	Total	Experiment	5.67	5.09	2 20	28.00	02**
	Duration	Control	1.50	4.43	2.39	28.00	.02.*

\*p<.1, \*\*p<.05, \*\*\*p<.01

In the introduction of cooking (Page 8), the bottom article image Region (IMAGE) and the top article Region (TEXT) were set up as AOI to perform analysis. As a result, significant differences were shown in all four regions: Time to First Fixation (t=3.04, p<.01)/Total Fixation Duration (t=-3.29, p<.01)/Fixation Count (t=-1.76, p<.1)/Total Visit Duration (t=-3.11, p<.01) of IMAGE Region and all four regions of Time to First Fixation(t=-2.26, p<.05)/Total Fixation Duration(t=2.78, p<.01)/Fixation Count(t=2.55, p<.05)/ and Total Visit Duration(t=2.39, p<.05) of the TEXT region.

# **Rising Issue (Page 9)**



 Table 10 T-test Results between Groups in Rising Issue (Page 9)

AOI Region	Eye Tracking Index Value	Group	Avg.	Standard Deviation	t	df	sig
	Time to	Experiment	1.62	4.03	1.04	20.32	0.21
	Fixation	Control	4.10	8.26	-1.04	20.32	0.51
IMAGE	Total Fixation Duration	Experiment	3.05	2.61	2.00	21.02	0.05**
Region		Control	1.46	1.36	2.09	21.02	
	Fixation Count	Experiment	8.73	6.47	1.15	20.00	
		Control	6.00	6.56	1.15	28.00	0.26

AOI Region	Eye Tracking Index Value	Group	Avg.	Standard Deviation	t	df	sig
	Total Visit	Experiment	3.34	2.71	2 16	20.74	0.04**
	Duration	Control	1.65	1.37	2.10	20.74	0.04
	Time to	Experiment	0.49	1.15		28.00	0.87
	First Fixation	Control	0.55	0.73	-0.17		
	Total	Experiment	9.05	6.31			0.18
TEXT Region	Fixation Duration	Control	13.36	10.31	-1.38	28.00	
	Fixation	Experiment	23.53	12.92	0.27	20 00	0.70
	Count	Control	22.13	15.50	0.27	28.00	0.79
	Total Visit Duration	Experiment	10.04	6.20	1 20	21 50	0.19
		Control	14.66	11.44	-1.38	21.38	0.18

\*p<.1, \*\*p<.05, \*\*\*p<.01

In rising issue (Page 9), the top article image Region (IMAGE) and bottom article Region (TEXT) were set up as AOI to perform analysis. As a result, significant differences were shown in Total Fixation Duration (t=2.09, p<.05) and Total Visit Duration (t=2.16, p<.05) of the IMAGE region but none in the TEXT Region.

4.2 Result of Statistics Analysis per Type of Eye Tracking Index Value

Table 11 V	<i>Verification</i>	of Difference	between	Groups	per '	Туре	of
Eye Track	ing Index V	alue					

Category		Classification Group	Avg.	Standard Deviation	df	t	sig
	IMAGE	Experiment	2.31	3.84	20	268.00	70
Time to	Region	Control	2.53	5.58	30	208.00	.70
Fixation	TEXT	Experiment	1.49	2.11	1.00	104 10	07*
	Region	Control	2.24	4.34	-1.80	194.19	.07.
	IMAGE	Experiment	3.87	3.55	1 47	268.00	1.4
Total	Region	Control	3.18	4.11	1.4/	208.00	.14
Duration	TEXT Region	Experiment	4.63	5.18	1 17	224.26	24
		Control	5.57	7.72	-1.1/	234.30	.27
	IMAGE Region	Experiment	10.90	8.88	2.17	268.00	.03**
Fixation		Control	8.24	11.16	2.17	208.00	
Count	TEXT	Experiment	12.78	13.56	1.24	268.00	10
	Region	Control	10.53	14.01	1.54	208.00	.18
	IMAGE	Experiment	4.33	3.85	1 71	268 00	00*
Total	Region	Control	3.46	4.54	1./1	208.00	.09*
Duration	TEXT	Experiment	4.94	5.45	1 30	220 32	17
	Region	Control	6.14	8.43	-1.39 229.33	.17	

\*p<.1, \*\*p<.05, \*\*\*p<.01

As a result of examining the differences between groups according to eye tracking types, the following were studied. First, the TEXT region of Time to First Fixation had a shorter time in the experiment group than in the control group and showed significant differences (t=194.19, p<.1) to support the first hypothesis. Second, no significant differences between both groups were discovered in Total Fixation Duration, invalidating the second hypothesis. Third, as Fixation Count was investigated as having significant differences in IMAGE Region(t=268, p<.05), the third hypothesis was supported. Fourth, since significant differences were also discovered in the IMAGE region of Total Visit Duration (t=229.33, p<.1), the fourth hypothesis was also supported.

## **5 Discussion and Results**

In this study, a comparative analysis was performed on the visual attention of people between the e-magazine produced as a cinemagraph image and the other produced as a regular image. To make this possible, an e-magazine type sample was prepared to set up the AOI by mainly classifying image and text regions by changing the layout of e-magazines spanning nine pages.

As a result of the analysis, differences were seen according to the e-magazine's layout type, but the experiment group (M=1.49, SD=4.34) was found to have a shorter mean value of 0.74 second than the control group (M=2.24, SD=4.34) in the TEXT region on the Time to First Fixation part. So the text on the details related to a cinemagraph image that would have applied the latter could be said to be recognized earlier than the control group. Second, Total Fixation Duration was found to have no significant difference between the experiment and control groups. So the subjects of both groups could verify no difference in overall observation time when the e-magazine applying the cinemagraph image was compared with the one without the image in the region and text regions. Third, in the image region of Fixation Count, the experiment (M=10.90, SD=8.88) and control groups (M=8.24, SD=11.16) had an average difference of 2.66 times. This meant more people read the e-magazine with the cinemagraph image than the one with a regular image. Fourth, significant differences were discovered in the image region in case of Total Visit Duration, while the experiment (M=4.33, SD=3.85) and control groups (M=3.46, SD=4.54) had visits to the cinemagraph image AOI 0.87 second more on average. In other words, this meant people's eyes went more to the image region produced as a

cinemagraph image than the one produced as a regular image. The result of analysis of various layout types from pages 1-9 of the e-magazine also showed similar aspects as above. As the study results could be interpreted as showing people's tendency to discover text on an article image first on the e-magazine produced as a cinemagraph image than the one produced as a regular image and to read the cinemagraph image more frequently and longer, it could also mean the e-magazine produced as a cinemagraph image can make readers focus on images and text. Also, since the e-magazine produced as a cinemagraph image was found to evoke emotions such as love, cheerfulness, sense of refreshment or activity, attractiveness, powerfulness, satisfaction, pleasure, interest, excitement, vigor, humor or surprise[3] the e-magazine produced as a cinemagraph image can deliver positive effects such as creating emotional reactions for readers to keep their eyes on the article's photographs and text. And as eyes move faster on the article than on the e-magazine with the regular image if a cinemagraph image is applied, this means the cinemagraph image also has a direct effect on the article. This research found that the cinemagraph image can be applied in various fields in addition to e-magazines, so this study can be considered practical. The research also prepared a theoretical foundation for visual attention research on cinemagraphs. In future research, cinemagraph images can be applied to news article websites of the webzine type that are not e-magazine type to extend research on what impact cinemagraph images have on interaction with content, such as sense of immersion or level of understanding of the article. So the research should be performed as cinemagraph images is more widely applied.

# References

- Albert, W. (2002, July). Do web users actually look at ads? A case study of banner ads and eye-tracking technology. In Proceedings of the 11th Annual Conference of the Usability Professionals' Association.
- Byrne, M. D., Anderson, J. R., Douglass, S., & Matessa, M. (1999, May). Eye tracking the visual search of click-down menus. In Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (pp. 402-409). ACM.
- Cowen, L., Ball, L. J., & Delin, J. (2002). An eye movement analysis of web page usability. In People and Computers XVI-Memorable Yet Invisible (pp. 317-335). Springer London.
- Goldberg, J. H., & Kotval, X. P. (1999). Computer inter-

face evaluation using eye movements: methods and constructs. International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 24(6), 631-645.

- Just, M. A., & Carpenter, P. A. (1976). Eye fixations and cognitive processes. Cognitive psychology, 8(4), 441-480.
- Mello-Thoms, C., Nodine, C. F., & Kundel, H. L. (2002, March). What attracts the eye to the location of missed and reported breast cancers?. In Proceedings of the 2002 symposium on Eye tracking research & applications (pp. 111-117). ACM.
- Park, J. & Rhee, C. (2014). Cinemagraph Image Study for the Online Food Marketing. Agribusiness and Information Management (AIM) Vol.6 No.1 2014.
- Park, J., Bae, J. & Cho, K. (2014). The Effect of Non-verbal Communication using Cinemagraph in Mobile Electronic Commerce of Agrifood on Visual Attention and Purchase Intention. Agribusiness and Information Management (AIM) Vol.6 No.2 2014.
- Park, J., Bae, J. & Cho, K. (2015). Emotional Responses to e-Magazine Published with Cinemagraph Images. Agribusiness and Information Management (AIM) Vol.7 No.1 2015.
- Poole, A., Ball, L. J., & Phillips, P. (2005). In search of salience: A response-time and eye-movement analysis of bookmark recognition. In People and Computers XVIII—Design for Life (pp. 363-378). Springer London.
- Sung, J., & Cho, K. (2012). The influence of media type on attitude toward mobile advertisements over time. Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 15(1), 31-36.
- Park, J. (2014). Effects of Increased Evocativeness from Visual Stimuli in Online Shopping Mall: A Multi-Method Approach Addressing the Excellence of Cinemagraphs. Master's Thesis in Management Information, Ajou University.
- Hwang, S., & Kim, I. (2013). Analysis of Consumer Responses to Fashion Brand Advertisement Using Eye Trackers. Brand Design Research, 11(4), 203-220.