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#### Abstract

We give a $r$ th conic regular functions with conic quaternion variables in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and obtain a hyper-conjugate harmonic function of conic regular function in conic quaternions in the sense of Clifford analysis.


## 1. Introduction

The quaternions in Clifford algebra are a normed division algebra with four dimensions over the real numbers. The quaternions are non-commutative and non-associative, but satisfy a weaker form of associativity. The quaternions were envisioned by Musès to a complete, integrated, connected, and natural number system. Musès [12, 13] sketched certain fundamental types of hypernumbers and arranged them in hyperbolic quaternions and conic quaternions with associated arithmetic and geometry. The conic quaternions have been applied in fields such as special theory and string theory of relativity and quantum theory. Deavours [1] provided a mathematical summary of quaternion algebra such as calculus and properties of several operators in quaternions. Kajiwara et al. $[2,3]$ obtained several regenerations in complex and studied the inhomogeneous Cauchy-Riemann system of quaternions and Clifford analysis in ellipsoid. In 2011, Koriyama et al. [8] gave some regularities of quaternionic functions based on holomorphic mappings in a domain in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. Naser [14] and Nôno [15, 16, 17] gave some properties of quaternionic hyperholomorphic functions in quaternions. Sudbery [18] gave the line of quaternionic analysis which remedies these deficiencies by using the exterior differential calculus. He was able to clarify the relationship between quaternionic analysis and complex analysis.

For any complex harmonic function $f_{1}$ in a domain of holomorphy $D$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, we [10, 11] investigated the uniqueness and existence of hyper-conjugate harmonic functions of an octonion number system and dual quaternion in Clifford

[^0]analysis. We $[4,5,6,7]$ researched certain properties of a regularity of functions with values in special quaternions on Clifford analysis and corresponding Cauchy-Riemann systems in special quaternions. Also, we gave a regular function with values in dual split quaternions and some analogous conditions of complex Cauchy-Riemann systems and relations between a corresponding Cauchy-Riemann system and a regularity of functions with values in dual split quaternions.

In this paper, we give the conditions of conic Cauchy-Riemann systems and conic harmonicity in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. Then for any complex valued function $g_{1}(z)$ satisfying the condition of harmonicity in a pseudoconvex domain $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, we can find a hyper-conjugate harmonic function $g_{2}(z)$ on $\Omega$ such that $g(z)=g_{1}(z)+g_{2}(z) e_{2}$ is a conic regular function on $\Omega$.

## 2. Preliminaries

Suppose the following base elements

$$
e_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), e_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
i & 0 \\
0 & i
\end{array}\right), e_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), e_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

These satisfy the following commutative multiplication rules:

$$
e_{0}^{2}=e_{2}^{2}=1, e_{1}^{2}=e_{3}^{2}=-1, e_{1} e_{2}=e_{3}, e_{2} e_{3}=e_{1}, e_{3} e_{1}=-e_{2}
$$

Consider the field

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C Q}=\left\{Z=x_{0}+x_{1} e_{1}+x_{2} e_{2}+x_{3} e_{3} \mid x_{l}(l=0,1,2,3) \in \mathbb{R}\right\}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the element $e_{0}$ is the identity of $\mathcal{C Q}$ and $e_{1}$ identifies the imaginary unit $\sqrt{-1}$ in the $\mathbb{C}$-field of complex numbers. A conic quaternion $Z$ is given by (1),

$$
Z=z_{1}+z_{2} e_{2} \in \mathcal{C} \mathcal{Q}
$$

where $z_{1}=x_{0}+x_{1} e_{1}$ and $z_{2}=x_{2}+x_{3} e_{1}$ are complex numbers in $\mathbb{C}$. Conic quaternions are built on bases $\left\{1, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ and form a commutative, associative, and distributive arithmetic. They contain non-trivial idempotents and zero divisors, but no nilpotents. Conic quaternions are isomorphic to tessarines, and also to bicomplex numbers. Thus, we identify $\mathcal{C Q}$ with $\mathbb{C}^{2}$.

We write a conic quaternion $Z=z_{1}+z_{2} e_{2}$, the 1st conic quaternion conjugate number is $Z^{\dagger_{1}}=z_{1}-z_{2} e_{2}$ and its modulus is

$$
Z Z^{\dagger_{1}}=z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}=\left(x_{0}+x_{1} e_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}+x_{3} e_{1}\right)^{2}
$$

Analogously, the 2nd conic quaternion conjugate number is $Z^{\dagger}{ }_{2}=\overline{z_{1}}+\overline{z_{2}} e_{2}$ and its modulus is

$$
Z Z^{\dagger_{2}}=z_{1} \overline{z_{1}}+z_{2} \overline{z_{2}}+\left(z_{1} \overline{z_{2}}+z_{2} \overline{z_{1}}\right) e_{2}=\left(x_{0}+x_{2} e_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{1}+x_{3} e_{2}\right)^{2} .
$$

Also, the 3rd conic quaternion conjugate number is $Z^{\dagger_{3}}=\overline{z_{1}}-\overline{z_{2}} e_{2}$ and its modulus is

$$
Z Z^{\dagger_{3}}=z_{1} \overline{z_{1}}-z_{2} \overline{z_{2}}-\left(z_{1} \overline{z_{2}}-z_{2} \overline{z_{1}}\right) e_{2}=\left(x_{0}+x_{3} e_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{1}-x_{2} e_{3}\right)^{2} .
$$

We use the following differential operators:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial Z} & :=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}+e_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{0}}-e_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+e_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}-e_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}\right) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^{\dagger_{1}}} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}-e_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{0}}-e_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}-e_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}+e_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}\right), \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^{\dagger_{2}}} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z_{1}}}+e_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z_{2}}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{0}}+e_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+e_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}+e_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}\right), \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^{\dagger_{3}}} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z_{1}}}-e_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z_{2}}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{0}}+e_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}-e_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}-e_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{2}}$ are usual differential operators used in complex analysis.

And we use the following differential operators:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\dagger_{1}} & :=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial Z \partial Z^{\dagger_{1}}}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{1}^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{2}^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{0}}-e_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}-e_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}\right)^{2}, \\
\Delta_{\dagger_{2}} & :=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial Z \partial Z^{\dagger_{2}}}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{1} \partial \overline{z_{1}}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{2} \partial \overline{z_{2}}}+e_{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{1} \partial \overline{z_{2}}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{2} \partial \overline{z_{1}}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{0}}+e_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+e_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}\right)^{2}, \\
\Delta_{\dagger_{3}} & :=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial Z \partial Z^{\dagger_{2}}}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{1} \partial \overline{z_{1}}}-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{2} \partial \overline{z_{2}}}+e_{2}\left(-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{1} \partial \overline{z_{2}}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{2} \partial \overline{z_{1}}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{0}}-e_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+e_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

are the analogous complex Laplacian operators. Specially, $\Delta_{\dagger_{1}}$ and $\Delta_{\dagger_{3}}$ are called complex multiplicative moduli.

## 3. Hyper-conjugate harmonic functions on $\mathcal{C Q}$

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open set in $\mathcal{C Q}$. A function $f(Z): \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{C Q}$ is defined on $\Omega$ with values in $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{Q}$ as follows:

$$
f(Z)=f\left(z_{1}+z_{2} e_{2}\right)=f_{1}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)+f_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) e_{2}
$$

where $f_{1}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=u_{0}+u_{1} e_{1}$ and $f_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=u_{2}+u_{3} e_{1}$ are complex valued functions with real valued functions $u_{l}=u_{l}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right),(l=0,1,2,3)$ are real valued functions.

Remark 1. From the definition of differential operators, we have the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z} & =\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{1}}+\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{1}}+\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{2}}\right) e_{2} \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z^{\dagger_{1}}} & =\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{1}}-\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{1}}-\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{2}}\right) e_{2} \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z^{\dagger_{2}}} & =\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \overline{z_{1}}}+\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \overline{z_{2}}}\right)+\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \overline{z_{1}}}+\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \overline{z_{2}}}\right) e_{2} \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z^{\dagger_{3}}} & =\left(\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \overline{z_{1}}}-\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \overline{z_{2}}}\right)+\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \overline{z_{1}}}-\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \overline{z_{2}}}\right) e_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 1. Let $\Omega$ be an open set in $\mathcal{C Q}$. A function $f(Z)$ is the $r$ th conic regular ( $\mathrm{r}=1,2,3$ ) in $\Omega$ if and only if :
(i) $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are continuously differential functions in $\Omega$,
(ii) $f$ satisfies the following equations called the $r$ th conic Cauchy-Riemann system:

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial Z^{\dagger_{r}}}=0 .
$$

Definition 2. Let $\Omega$ be an open set in $\mathcal{C Q}$. A function $f(Z)$ is the $r$ th conic harmonic ( $r=1,2,3$ ) in $\Omega$ if and only if :
(i) $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are continuously differential functions in $\Omega$.
(ii) $f$ satisfies the following equations called the $r$ th conic Cauchy-Riemann system:

$$
\Delta_{\dagger_{r}} f=0 .
$$

Remark 2. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\dagger_{1}} f= & \frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{1}^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{2}^{2}}+\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z_{1}^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z_{2}^{2}}\right) e_{2}, \\
\Delta_{\dagger_{2}} f= & \frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{1} \partial \overline{z_{1}}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{2} \partial \overline{z_{2}}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z_{1} \partial \overline{z_{2}}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z_{2} \partial \overline{z_{1}}} \\
& +\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z_{1} \partial \overline{z_{1}}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z_{2} \partial \overline{z_{2}}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{1} \partial \overline{z_{2}}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{2} \partial \overline{z_{1}}}\right) e_{2}, \\
\Delta_{\dagger_{3}} f= & \frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{1} \partial \overline{z_{1}}}-\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{2} \partial \overline{z_{2}}}-\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z_{1} \partial \overline{z_{2}}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z_{2} \partial \overline{z_{1}}} \\
& +\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z_{1} \partial \overline{z_{1}}}-\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z_{2} \partial \overline{z_{2}}}-\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{1} \partial \overline{z_{2}}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{2} \partial \overline{z_{1}}}\right) e_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.1. Let $\Omega$ be an open set in $\mathcal{C Q}$. If a function $f(Z)$ is the $r$ th conic regular $(r=1,2,3)$ in $\Omega$, then $f(Z)$ is the $r$ th conic harmonic $(r=1,2,3)$ in $\Omega$.

Proof. Since $f$ is the 1 st conic regular in $\Omega$, it satisfies the equations:

$$
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{1}}=\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{2}} \quad \text { and } \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{1}}=\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{2}}
$$

Hence, we have the following result:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\dagger_{1}} f & =\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{1}^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{2}^{2}}+\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z_{1}^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z_{2}^{2}}\right) e_{2} \\
& =\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z_{1} \partial z_{2}}-\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{2} \partial z_{1}}+\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{1} \partial z_{2}}-\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{2} \partial z_{1}}\right) e_{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, since $f$ is the 2 nd conic regular in $\Omega$, it satisfies the equations:

$$
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \bar{z}_{1}}=-\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \bar{z}_{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \overline{z_{1}}}=-\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \bar{z}_{2}}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\dagger_{2}} f= & -\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z_{1} \partial \overline{z_{2}}}-\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z_{2} \partial \overline{z_{1}}}+\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{1} \partial \overline{z_{2}}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z_{2} \partial \overline{z_{1}}} \\
& +\left(-\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{1} \partial \overline{z_{2}}}-\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{2} \partial \overline{z_{1}}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{1} \partial \overline{z_{2}}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{2} \partial \overline{z_{1}}}\right) e_{2}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f$ is the 3rd conic regular in $\Omega$, it satisfies the equations

$$
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \overline{z_{1}}}=\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \overline{z_{2}}} \text { and } \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \overline{z_{1}}}=\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \overline{z_{2}}}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\dagger_{3}} f= & \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z_{1} \partial \overline{z_{2}}}-\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z_{2} \partial \overline{z_{1}}}-\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z_{1} \partial \overline{z_{2}}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial z_{2} \partial \overline{z_{1}}} \\
& +\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{1} \partial \overline{z_{2}}}-\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{2} \partial \overline{z_{1}}}-\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{1} \partial \overline{z_{2}}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial z_{2} \partial \overline{z_{1}}}\right) e_{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 3. Let $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ be an open set with a $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ boundary. Let $\Omega=$ $\{Z \mid \rho(Z)<0\}$, where $\rho \in \mathcal{C}^{2}$ is in a neighborhood of $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\operatorname{grad} \rho \neq 0$ on $b \Omega$. If

$$
\sum_{j, k=1}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \rho(Z)}{\partial z_{j} \partial \overline{z_{k}}} w_{j} \overline{w_{k}} \geq 0
$$

for all $z \in b \Omega$ and $w \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$ satisfying

$$
\sum_{j, k=1}^{2} \frac{\partial \rho(Z)}{\partial z_{j}} w_{j}=0
$$

then $\Omega$ is pseudoconvex.

Consider an automorphism $\gamma_{1}$ :

$$
\gamma_{1}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right):=\left(\overline{z_{1}}, \overline{z_{2}}\right)
$$

of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. If $\gamma_{1}(\Omega)$ is a pseudoconvex domain of the space $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ of two complex variables $\overline{z_{1}}, \overline{z_{2}}$, then a domain $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \cong \mathcal{C} \mathcal{Q}$ is said to be pseudoconvex with respect to the complex variables $z_{1}, z_{2}$.

Also, consider an automorphism $\gamma_{2}$ :

$$
\gamma_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right):=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)
$$

of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. A domain $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \cong \mathcal{C} \mathcal{Q}$ is said to be pseudoconvex with respect to the complex variables $z_{1}, z_{2}$ if $\gamma_{2}(\Omega)$ is a pseudoconvex domain of the space $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ of two complex variables $z_{1}, z_{2}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathcal{C Q}$, which is a pseudoconvex domain with respect to the complex variables $\overline{z_{1}}, \overline{z_{2}}$ and let $g_{1}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ be complex-valued functions of class $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ on $\Omega$ satisfying the 1 st conic Cauchy-Riemann system. Then there exist 1 st conic conjugate harmonic functions $g_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ on $\Omega$ such that $g(Z)$ is the 1 st conic regular function in $\Omega$.

Proof. We consider the 1-forms and the differential operator on $\gamma_{1}(\Omega)$ :

$$
\psi:=\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial z_{2}} d z_{1}+\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial z_{1}} d z_{2}
$$

and

$$
\delta=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}} d z_{1}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}} d z_{2}
$$

We compute the operator $\delta$ from the left-hand side of the 1-forms $\psi$ on $\gamma_{1}(\Omega)$ :

$$
\delta \psi=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}} \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial z_{1}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}} \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial z_{2}}\right) d z_{1} \wedge d z_{2} .
$$

By the 1st conic Cauchy-Riemann system, the coefficient vanishes. From Krantz [9], the $\delta$-closed form $\psi$ of $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ are $\delta$-exact form on $\gamma_{1}(\Omega)$. Since $\Omega$ is a pseudoconvex domain, there exists the 1 st conic conjugate harmonic function $g_{2}$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ in $\Omega$, where $\bar{\partial}$-closed form $\gamma_{1}^{-1} \psi=\bar{\partial} g_{2}$ on $\Omega$ of $\overline{z_{1}}$ and $\overline{z_{2}}$ are $\bar{\partial}$-exact $(0,1)$-forms on $\Omega$ such that $g(Z)$ is the 1 st conic regular function in $\Omega$.

Corollary 3.3. Let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathcal{C Q}$, which is a pseudoconvex domain with respect to $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$. Let $g_{1}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ be complex-valued functions of class $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ on $\Omega$ satisfying the rth conic Cauchy-Riemann system $(r=2,3)$. Then there exist rth conic conjugate harmonic functions $g_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ on $\Omega$ such that $g(Z)$ is a rth conic regular function in $\Omega(r=2,3)$.

Proof. We consider the 1-forms and the differential operator on $\gamma_{2}(\Omega)$ :

$$
\psi:=\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial \overline{z_{2}}} d \overline{z_{1}}+\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial \overline{z_{1}}} d \overline{z_{2}}
$$

and

$$
\delta=\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z_{1}}} d \overline{z_{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z_{2}}} d \overline{z_{2}}
$$

We operate the operator $\delta$ from the left-hand side of the 1-forms $\psi$ on $\gamma_{2}(\Omega)$ :

$$
\delta \psi=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z_{1}}} \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial \overline{z_{1}}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z_{2}}} \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial \overline{z_{2}}}\right) d \overline{z_{1}} \wedge d \overline{z_{2}}
$$

By the $r$ th conic Cauchy-Riemann system $(r=2,3)$, the coefficient vanishes. Similarly, from Krantz [9], the $\delta$-closed form $\psi$ of $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ are $\delta$-exact form on $\gamma(\Omega)$. Since $\Omega$ is a pseudoconvex domain, there exists the $r$ th conic conjugate harmonic function $g_{2}$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ in $\Omega$, where $\bar{\partial}$-closed form $\gamma_{2}^{-1} \psi=\bar{\partial} g_{2}$ on $\Omega$ of $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ are $\bar{\partial}$-exact ( 0,1 )-forms on $\Omega$ such that $g(Z)$ is the $r$ th conic regular function in $\Omega$.
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