

#### Environmental Health and Toxicology



elSSN: 2233-6567

Original Article

# Effect of aspect ratio on the uptake and toxicity of hydroxylated-multi walled carbon nanotubes in the nematode, *Caenorhabditis elegans*

### Hyun-Jeong Eom, Jae-Seong Jeong, Jinhee Choi

School of Environmental Engineering, Graduate School of Energy and Environmental System Engineering, University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

**Objectives** In this study, the effect of tube length and outer diameter (OD) size of hydroxylated-multi walled carbon nanotubes (OH-MWCNTs) on their uptake and toxicity was investigated in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* using a functional mutant analysis.

**Methods** The physicochemical properties of three different OH-MWCNTs were characterized. Uptake and toxicity were subsequently investigated on *C. elegans* exposed to MWCNTs with different ODs and tube lengths.

**Results** The results of mutant analysis suggest that ingestion is the main route of MW-CNTs uptake. We found that OH-MWCNTs with smaller ODs were more toxic than those with larger ODs, and OH-MWCNTs with shorter tube lengths were more toxic than longer counterparts to *C. elegans*.

**Conclusions** Overall the results suggest the aspect ratio affects the toxicity of MWCNTs in *C. elegans*. Further thorough study on the relationship between physicochemical properties and toxicity needs to be conducted for more comprehensive understanding of the uptake and toxicity of MWCNTs.

**Keywords** Aspect ratio, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, Functional genomics, Functionalized multiwall carbon nanotubes

Correspondence: Jinhee Choi 163 Seoulsiripdae-ro, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 130-743, Korea

Tel: +82-2-6490-2869 Fax : +82-2-6490-2859 E-mail : jinhchoi@uos.ac.kr

Received: December 26, 2014 Accepted: January 27, 2015 Published online: March 5, 2015

This article is available from: http://e-eht.org/

#### Introduction

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are carbon-based nanomaterials that have specific physicochemical and electronic properties [1] that make them applicable to various fields such as lubricating oils, fuel cells, drug delivery systems, and next generation semiconductors [2-4]. CNTs are often functionalized with hydrophilic ligands, as the commercial application of CNTs is often limited by their low solubility and dispersibility in organic or inorganic solutions [5]. To overcome this difficulty, the material surface is functionalized by introducing hydrophilic chemical groups, which lead to higher dispersibility while maintaining the unique properties of pristine CNTs [6]. Functionalized

CNTs are therefore widely used as additives, catalysts, sensors, absorbents, intracellular carriers, electrodes, and imaging agents [7,8]. As the use of CNTs in applications and their commercialization have increased, human and environmental exposure to CNTs have also dramatically increased [9,10]. Increased exposure to CNTs has raised concerns over their potential toxicity for human health in the case of occupational and environmental exposure [11]. The potential toxicity of CNTs has been attributed to their physicochemical characteristics including length, diameter, structural defects, surface area, tendency to agglomerate, dispersibility in solution, the presence and nature of catalyst residues, as well as surface chemistry [12-16]. The structure of CNTs is similar to that of asbestos. The similarities in size and



shape between CNTs and asbestos fibers have led to concerns about major toxicity of CNTs. Several studies have reported that the high-aspect-ratio (i.e., length divided by diameter) of CNTs can induce pulmonary toxicity [17,18]. As seen with asbestos, high-aspect-ratio multi walled CNTs (MWCNTs) have greater toxicity and potential to induce mesothelioma than low-aspectratio MWCNTs [19].

Most of the previous toxicity studies of CNTs have focused on respiratory exposure pathways in vivo or in vitro assays with pulmonary cells, due to the similarity in the structure of CNTs with that of asbestos [19,20]. As potential mechanisms of toxicity of CNTs, most studies emphasize oxidative stress, inflammation, and fibrosis, etc. [20,21]. However, only limited studies are available on the ecotoxicity of CNT [22,23], much less on mechanisms of its toxicity using non-mammalian model species [24,25]. Another research gap in CNT toxicity lies in the potential hazard of functionalized CNTs. Though functionalized CNTs have wide application potential, most CNT toxicity studies have been conducted on pristine CNTs [26,27].

C. elegans is an emerging model in environmental toxicology,

both for mechanistic studies as well as high-throughput screening. Various special features such as its well characterized genome, genetic manipulability, ease of maintenance, short and prolific life cycle, transparent and small body size, etc. have led to an increasing use of C. elegans in toxicology [28]. Most of all, a rich collection of available mutants has made it possible to establish mutant screening assays which are not only sensitive and useful in estimating the effects of toxicants but may also shed light on the underlying mechanisms [28,29]. Recently, C. elegans has also been used increasingly as a model for investigating the toxicity of nanomaterials [30].

It has been well documented that physicochemical properties play an important role in the uptake of nanomaterials and subsequently in their toxicity. As described above, aspect ratio is considered an important factor in determining the toxicity of CNTs. Therefore, in this study we investigated whether the outer diameter (OD) size and tube length of hydroxylated (OH)-MWCNTs affected their uptake and toxicity in C. elegans using loss-of-function mutants. Representative genes for uptake and possible toxic mechanism were selected and loss-of-function

**Table 1.** The mutant strains used in this study

Genotype	Description <sup>a</sup>
rme-1 (b1045) V	RME-1 is required for endocytosis, specifically for modulating endocytic transport through the endosomal recycling compartment (ERC)
rme-2 (b1008) IV	RME-2 functions as the yolk receptor, whose activity is required for yolk uptake during oogenesis
rme-8 (b1023) I	RME-8 is required for both receptor-mediated endocytosis and pinocytosis (fluid-phase endocytosis) in coelomocytes and other cell types; rme-8 is also generally required for development and viability
sod-3 (gk235) X	SOD-3 encodes an iron/manganese superoxide dismutase, predicted to be mitochondrial, that might defend against oxidative stress and promote a normal lifespan; sod-3 mRNA levels are diminished by mutation of daf-16 and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) studies demonstrate that DAF-16 can directly bind the sod-3 promoter
ctl-2 (ok1137) II	CTL-2 exhibits catalase and peroxidase activity <i>in vitro</i> , and thus likely functions <i>in vivo</i> as an antioxidant enzyme that protects cells from reactive oxygen species; ctl-2 activity is required for a normal lifespan as well as for the extended lifespan seen in daf-2 mutant animals; in addition, ctl-2 is required for normal egg-laying capacity and for normal peroxisomal morphology
cyp-35A2 (gk317)	V CYP-35A2 encodes one of over 80 C. elegans cytochrome P450s, NADPH-dependent monooxygenases that metabolize endogenous and exogenous compounds; RT-PCR experiments indicate that cyp-35A2 mRNA is upregulated in response to treatment with xenobiotics
pmk-1 (km25) IV	<i>PMK-1</i> encodes a mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), orthologous to human p38 MAPK (OMIM:600289), that is required for eliciting gonadal programmed cell death in response to <i>Salmonella enterica</i> infection; PMK-1 lies upstream of CED-9, a negative regulator of apoptosis, in the programmed cell death pathway
daf-16 (mu86) I	DAF-16 encodes the sole <i>C. elegans</i> forkhead box 0 (F0X0) homologue; DAF-16 functions as a transcription factor that acts in the insulin/IGF-1-mediated signaling (IIS) pathway that regulates dauer formation, longevity, fat metabolism, stress response, and innate immunity; DAF-16 regulates these various processes through isoform-specific expression, isoform-specific regulation by different AKT kinases, and differential regulation of target genes
CEP-1(gk138) I.	CEP-1 encodes an ortholog of the human tumor suppressor p53 (OMIM:191170, mutated in Li-Fraumeni syndrome) that promotes DNA damage-induced apoptosis, is required for normal meiotic segregation in the germ line, and affects sensitivity to hypoxia-induced lethality and longevity in response to starvation
hif-1 (ia4) V	HIF-1 encodes an ortholog of the mammalian hypoxia-induced factor HIF-1, and is required for survival in hypoxic environments (1% oxygen) that have no effect on wild-type C. elegans
mtl-2 (gk125) V	MTL-2 functions in metal detoxification and homeostasis and stress adaptation; in addition, mtl-2 plays a role in regulating growth and fertility; mtl-2 expression is induced in larval and adult intestinal cells following exposure to cadmium or heat shock
hsp-16.2 (gk249) \	/ HSP-16.2 encodes a 16-kD heat shock protein (HSP) that is a member of the hsp16/hsp20/alphaB-crystallin (HSP16) family of heat shock proteins. HSP-16.2 has been shown to interact with intracellular human beta amyloid peptide, a primary component of the extracellular plaques found in Alzheimer's disease; HSP-16.2 likely functions as a passive ligand temporarily preventing unfolded proteins from aggregating
eat-2 (ad465) II	EAT-2 functions postsynaptically in pharyngeal muscle to regulate the rate of pharyngeal pumping; eat-2 is also required for a normal life span and defecation

D - - - - - - - - - - - 2

http://e-eht.org/ EHT

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>From WormBase http://www.wormbase.org/.

mutants of these genes were exposed to OH-MWCNTs and their responses compared with that of *wildtype*. We interpreted the arbitrary response of each mutant compared to *wildtype* as an indicator of how these genes are involved in the toxicity of different types of MWCNTs.

#### **Materials and Methods**

# Growth of *C. elegans* and Treatment with Multi Walled Carbon Nanotubes

C. elegans were grown in Petri dishes on nematode growth medium and fed with OP50 strain Escherichia coli. Worms were incubated at 20°C, with young adults (3 days old) from an age-synchronized culture then being used in all the experiments. Wildtype and mutants were provided by the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (www.CGC.org) at the University of Minnesota. Descriptions of the selected C. elegans mutants are in Table 1.

#### **Multi-wall Carbon Nanotubes**

Three different types of OH-MWCNTs were purchased from Cheaptube (www.cheaptube.com). Detailed physicochemical properties of the OH-MWCNTs are presented in Tables 2 and 3. Before exposure to *C. elegans*, each type of MWCNTs was sonicated for 1 hour using a sonicator (Branson-5210; Branson Inc., Danbury, CT, USA) in distilled water. Starting from stock solutions, experimental concentrations of MWCNTs were prepared in K-medium (0.032 M KCl and 0.051 M NaCl) for *C. elegans* exposure. The shape and crystal structure of the MW-CNTs were determined using a LIBRA 120 transmission electron microscope (TEM; Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Baden-Württemberg, Germany). The size distribution and zeta potential of MWCNTs in *C. elegans* K-media was measured using an electrophoretic light scattering spectrophotometer (ELS; ELS-

8000; Otsuka Electronics, Osaka, Japan).

#### C. elegans Mortality Test

Wildtype and mutant *C. elegans* were exposed to pristine and OH-MWCNTs at a concentration of 500 mg/L in K-media with *E. coli* OP50 as food. Twenty young adult worms were transferred to 96-well tissue culture plates containing 100  $\mu$ L of the test solution in each. The worms were exposed for 48 hours at 20°C.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Data are presented in arbitrary units compared to the control, with statistical differences between the *wildtype* and mutants relating to survival determined by an analysis of variance test, with a Dunnett's multiple comparison test. All statistical tests were performed with the SPSS version 12.0 KO (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

#### **Results**

#### **Outer Diameter Size-dependent Toxicity**

#### **Physicochemical Properties**

We examined the physicochemical properties of two different type of OH-MWCNTs (Table 2). The OH-MWCNTs had the same tube length (10 to 30  $\mu m$ ) with different OD sizes (Small-OD-MWCNTs, 8 to 15 nm; Large-OD-MWCNTs 20 to 30 nm). The Small-MWCNTs had a greater aspect ratio range (666.7 to 3750) than the Large-MWCNTs (333.3 to 1500). The shape and structure of the MWCNTs were monitored with TEM and their hydrodynamic diameter (HDD) was measured using ELS. Their HDD ranged from 5000 to 9000 nm in K-media. When two different OH-MWCNTs were dispersed in K-media, the HDD of the Small-MWCNTs (5000 to 7000 nm)

Table 2. Physicochemical properties of hydroxylated-multi walled carbon nanotubes (OH-MWCNTs) with different sized outer diameters (ODs)

	OD size of OH-MWCNTs (nm)			
	8-15 (Small-MWCNTs)	20-30 (Large-MWCNTs)		
Tube length (μm)	10-:	30		
Purity (%)	95			
Aspect ratio	666.7- 3750	333.3-1500		
Zeta potential (mV)	-31.5	-22.3		
Hydrodynamic diameters (nm)	5000-7000	6000-9000		
Transmission electron microscope				

was less than that of the Large-MWCNTs (6000 to 9000 nm). Their dispersity was affected by the structural properties (OD and HDD) of OH-MWCNTs in aqueous phase. The zeta potentials of the MWCNTs, which indicate their ionic stability in aquatic media, were measured in K-media. The OH-MWCNTs had negative zeta potentials, -30.8 mV in the case of Small-MW-CNTs and -22.3 mV in the case of Large-MWCNTs.

#### Mechanism of Uptake and Toxicity

To identify whether the OD sizes of the OH-MWCN affected their uptake and toxicity in *C. elegans*, we selected loss-of-function mutants of genes involved in uptake (i.e., *rme-1*, -2, -8 [endocytosis], *eat-2* [pharyngeal pumping defect]) and representative toxicity (i.e., *sod-3*, *ctl-2* [oxidative stress], *cyp35a2* [xenobiotic metabolism], *pmk-1*, *daf-16* [immune response] *cep-1* (DNA damage], *hif-1* [hypoxia response], *mtl-2* [metal stress], and *hsp-16.2* [general stress]) and their responses were compared.

The comparative toxicity of OH-MWCNTs with different ODs showed Small-MWCNTs was more toxic than Large-MWCNTs in *wildtype C. elegans* (Table 4). Interestingly a smaller HDD was found in K-media exposed to Small-MWCNTs than in media exposed to their Large counterpart (Table 2). Among uptake-related mutants, *rme-1* (*b1045*) and *rme-8* (*b1023*) tended to show a more sensitive response than *wildtype* in response to Small-MWCNTs exposure, while the toxicity of Big-MWCNTs did not affect. The toxicity by MWCNTs was completely rescued in *eat-2* (*ad465*) mutant, which suggests that ingestion is the main route of MWCNTs uptake.

Exposure to Small-MWCNTs led to a decrease in survival for the toxic response related mutant, pmk-1 (km25), sod-3 (gk235), cep-1 (gk138), cyp35a2 (gk317), mtl-2 (gk125), and hsp-16.2

(gk249) comparing to exposure with Big-MWCNTs. Especially, the sod-3 (gk235), cyp35a2 (gk317), and mtl-2 (gk125) mutants were more sensitive than wildtype to Small-MWCNTs exposure.

#### **Tube Length Dependent Toxicity**

#### **Physicochemical Properties**

Table 3 described the properties of OH-MWCNTs with different tube length. OH-MWCNTs have a same OD size (8 to 15 nm) and different tube length (Short-MWCNTs, 0.5 to 2.0  $\mu$ m; Long-MWCNTs, 10 to 30  $\mu$ m). Long-MWCNTs have a higher aspect ratio ranges (666. 7 to 3750) than Short-MWCNTs (33.3 to 250). The shape and structure of MWCNTs were monitored with TEM, the HDD was measured using ELS. When two different OH-MWCNTs were dispersed in K-media, HDD of Short-MWCNTs (700 to 1200 nm) was smaller than that Long-MWCNTs (5000 to 7000 nm). The zeta potentials of MW-CNTs had negative zeta potentials, both MWCNTs were observed very similar zeta potentials, -31.5 mV and -30.8 mV.

#### Mechanism of uptake and toxicity

Comparing the toxicities of Short-MWCNTs and Long-MW-CNTs showed that MWCNTs with a shorter tube length were more toxic than those with a longer tube length in wildtype C. elegans (Table 4). In terms of the uptake mechanism, the survival of the eat-2 (ad465) mutant increased when compared with wildtype exposed to Short-MWCNTs and Long-MWCNTs. This result suggests that the possible uptake route of MWCNTs is via ingestion. Among uptake-related mutants, rme-1 (b1045) and rme-8 (b1023) tended to show a more sensitive response than wildtype in response to Short-MWCNTs and Long-MWCNTs.

Among toxic response related mutants, the pmk-1 (km25) and cep-1 (gk138) mutants were more sensitive than wildtype to

Table 3. Physicochemical properties of hydroxylated-multi walled carbon nanotubes (OH-MWCNTs) with different tube lengths

	Tube length of OH-MWCNTs (μm)		
	0.5-2.0 (Short-MWCNTs)	10-30 (Long-MWCNTs)	
Outer diameter size (nm)	8-1	5	
Purity (%)	95		
Aspect ratio	33.3-250	666.7-3750	
Zeta potential (mV)	-31.5	-30.8	
Hydrodynamic diameters (nm)	700-1200	5000-7000	
Transmission electron microscope			

Page 4 of 8 http://e-eht.org/ EHT

**Table 4.** Survival of *wildtype* and uptake and toxicity related mutants of *C. elegans* exposed to multi walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) with different sized ODs and tube lengths

		Ctroin	OD size of OH-MWCNTs (nm)		Tube length of MWCNTs (µm)	
		Strain –	8-15	20-30	0.5-2.0	10-30
	Wildtype	N2	79.5±11.3	100.2±0.2	64.8±15.8ª	79.5±11.3
Uptake	Endocytosis	rme-1 (b1045) rme-2 (b1008) rme-8 (b1023)	46.7±18.2* 83.0±15.4 53.0±19.5*	99.0±0.7 99.7±0.3 99.3±0.4	39.0±23.9 <sup>a</sup> 59.3±16.4 <sup>a</sup> * 21.7±19.2 <sup>a</sup> **	46.7±18.2* 83.0±15.4 53.0±19.5*
	Pharyngeal pumping defect	eat-2 (ad465)	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$100.0\pm0.0^{a}$	$100.0\pm0.0$
Toxicity	Immune response	pmk-1 (km25) daf-16 (mu86)	66.6±31.6 86.1±10.7	98.0±4.9 96.1±3.9	$35.1\pm35.1^{a}$ $75.0\pm24.3^{a}$	66.6±31.6 86.1±10.7
	Oxidative stress	sod-3 (gk235) ctl-2 (ok1137)	50.6±23.8 97.2±2.0	99.4±0.6 100.0±0.0	$66.1\pm29.8^{a}$ $92.2\pm0.78^{a}$	50.6±23.8 97.2±2.0
	DNA damage	cep-1 (gk138)	$79.0 \pm 22.9$	$100.7 \pm 3.3$	$37.9 \pm 34.7^{a}$	79.0±22.9
	Xenobiotic metabolism	cyp35a2 (gk317)	$46.1 \pm 26.9$	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$82.8 \pm 13.3$	$46.1 \pm 26.9$
	Hypoxia response	hif-1 (ia4)	$96.1 \pm 4.8$	$100.0 \pm 1.0$	$98.9 \pm 1.5$	$96.1 \pm 4.8$
	Metal stress	mtl-2 (gk125)	$37.2 \pm 30.7$	98.9±1.1	$66.7 \pm 33.3$	37.2±30.7
	General stress response	hsp-16.2 (gk249)	$66.1 \pm 24.8$	$100.6 \pm 0.6$	$70.0 \pm 30.8$	$66.1 \pm 24.8$

Values are presented as the mean ± standard error compared to control (control=100, n=3) by two-tailed t-test.

OH-MWCNTs, hydroxylated-MWCNTs; ODs, outer diameters.

Short-MWCNTs exposure, whereas *sod-3* (*gk235*), *cyp35a2* (*gk317*) and *mtl-2* (*gk125*) mutants were more sensitive than *wildtype* to Long-MWCNTs exposure. This result showed that each mutant had a different sensitivity to MWCNTs of different lengths.

#### Discussion

Many studies have reported that endocytosis/phagocytosis is the cellular uptake mechanism of CNTs [31]. We investigated whether endocytosis was involved in the uptake mechanism of MWCNTs in C. elegans using endocytosis defective mutants such as rme-1,-2,-8. Receptor-mediated endocytosis (RME) is called clathrin-dependent endocytosis as the most common pathway and has been demonstrated to be the internalization mechanism of CNTs [31]. Unexpectedly, all three rme mutants showed a sensitive response to Short-MWCNTs and rme-1 (b1028) and rme-8 (b1023) tended to show a more sensitive response than wildtype in response to Long-MWCNTs (Table 4). Chen et al. [32] reported that amide-single walled CNTs inhibited endocytosis may result in decreased C. elegans growth due to reduced nutrient uptake. Thus, we suggest that endocytosis via the RME pathway may not be involved in the uptake of OH-MWCNTs. In addition, we previously demonstrated phagocytosis as the uptake mechanism of MWCNTs [33]. Finally, toxicity was completely rescued in a pharyngeal pumping mutant, eat-2 (ad465), which strongly suggests that ingestion is the main route of MWCNTs uptake.

We hypothesized that the OD and tube length of MWCNTs

plays a role in the toxicity of MWCNTs. Only a few studies have evaluated the role of their diameter in the toxicity of CNTs [14,34]. Nagai et al. [35] compared the toxicity of two different MW-CNTs that had similar hydrophobicity, surface reactivity and length, but different diameters (9.4 and 70 nm). They found that the thin MWCNTs were significantly more toxic than the thicker ones, both in vitro and in vivo. Another study also reported that thin MWCNTs showed cytotoxicity and inflammatory and carcinogenic properties. In the current study, the MW-CNTs with a smaller OD appeared more toxic than the larger one in wildtype C. elegans (Table 4). Moreover, in the mutant test, the Small-MWCNTs significantly increased the mortality of sod-3 (gk235), cyp35a2 (gk317), and mtl-2 (gk249) mutants compared to wildtype, suggesting that the toxicity of MWCNTs with smaller OD sizes might be related to oxidative stress, xenobiotic metabolism and metal stress. However, further more in depth study would be needed to understand the mechanism.

Regarding the length of MWCNTs, several studies have shown that CNTs with longer lengths and larger diameters have greater toxicity than smaller ones [34]. Another group has also shown that short CNTs do not result in damage to cells. Despite the fact that most studies have shown that longer and wider CNTs lead to greater toxicity, some researchers have found the opposite [36,37]. In the present study, we found the MWCNTs with a shorter tube length were more toxic to wildtype C. elegans; however, mutant analysis provided a hint that the Short- and Long-MWCNTs might exert their toxicities via distinct mechanisms (Table 4). For example, Short-MWCNTs decreased the survival of pmk-1 (km25) and cep-1 (138) mutants compared to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>From Eom HJ et al. Chem Biol Interact 2015;239:153-163 [33].

<sup>\*</sup>*p*<0.05, \*\**p*<0.01.

Table 5. Effect of aspect ratio on the toxicity of multi walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs)

Carbon nanotube	Tube length (μm)	Diameter (nm)	Aspect ratio	System	Toxic endpoint	Toxicity	Reference
MWCNT	0.1-1	9.4	High	MH-S cells	Cytotoxicity	High	14
	1-3	70	Low			Low	
MWCNT	0.1-1	9.4	High	Wistar rats	Inflammation	High	14
	1-3	70	Low			Low	
MWCNT	5-15	20-60	High	A549 cells	DNA damage	High	34
	1-2	60-100	Medium			Medium	
	1-2	<10	Low			Low	
MWCNT	5-15	20-60	High	C57BL/6 mice	Inflammation	High	34
	1-2	60-100	Medium			Medium	
	1-2	<10	Low			Low	
MWCNT	$4.6 \pm 0.10$	$52.4 \pm 0.72$	High	Human peritoneal	Cytotoxicity	High	35
	$4.88\pm0.10$	116.2±1.6	Low	Mesothelial cells		Low	35
MWCNT	$4.6\pm0.10$	52.4±0.72	High	Rat	Carcinogenicity	High	38
	$4.88 \pm 0.10$	116.2±1.6	Low			Low	
MWCNT	10	10-15	High	CHO-K1 cells	Cytotoxicity	High	36
	0.15	10-16	Low			Low	
BSA-MWCNTs	$0.8 \pm 0.5$	19.9±8.25	High	Zebrafish	Developmental	Low	39
	$0.2\pm0.1$	19.9±8.25	Low		toxicity	High	
AT-MWCNT	77±31	17±6	High	Escherichia coli	Toxicity assays	Low	40
f-MWCNT	$4.1 \pm 3.7$	19±7	Low	K12		High	
MWCNTs	10-30	8-15	High	C. elegans	Mortality	High	This study
		20-30	Low			Low	
MWCNTs	10-30	8-15	High	C. elegans	Mortality	Low	This study
	0.5-20	8-15	Low	-	-	High	-

BSA-MWCNTs, bovine serum albumin functionalized MWCNT; AT-MWCNT, acid treated MWCNT; f-MWCNT, functionalized MWCNT; MH-S, murine alveolar macrophages; A549 cells, human alveolar carcinoma epithelial cells; CHO-K1, Chinese hamster ovary cells.

wildtype, which represented genes in the mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway and DNA damage repair, respectively, while Long-MWCNTs affected the sod-3 (gk235), cyp35a2 (gk317), and mtl-2 (gk249) mutants. However, here again further study would be needed in order to understand the mechanisms involved.

Aspect ratio (length-to-diameter ratio) is known to affect MWCNT's toxicity. We therefore investigated toxicity according to the aspect ratio. Many studies have reported that high aspect ratio (long and thin) MWCNTs show more toxicity than low aspect ratio MWCNTs [19,38]. Our results comparing OD sizes support this, as Small-MWCNTs, which had a high aspect ratio, were more toxic than their Large counterpart (Tables 2 and 4). However, the comparison of tube lengths showed the opposite, as the short MWCNTs, which had a low aspect ratio, were more toxic than the long one, which had a high aspect ratio (Tables 3 and 4). In Table 5 we summarize the relationship between aspect ratio and toxicity of CNTs observed here as well as reported previously by other groups. Taken together, CNTs with a high aspect ratio are generally more toxic than those with a low aspect ratio, but this was not always observed, probably due to other factors that affect CNTs toxicity.

Our mutant analysis provides an insight into the mechanism of uptake and toxicity, as we found that each mutant had a different sensitivity different MWCNTs. However, we cannot yet fully explain the variation in the responses of the different mutants. More evidence is needed to fully understand the mechanism of toxicity of MWCNTs.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that deceasing the OD size and tube length of MWCNTs increased their toxicity to *C. elegans,* which suggests that diameter and length are important parameters to be considered in CNT-induced toxicity. This and other information will help in designing safer CNTs.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a grant from the Korean Ministry of Environment as "Environmental Health R&D Program" (no. 2012001370009). Wildtype (N2) and mutant strains (described in Table 1) were provided by the CGC, which is funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440).

#### Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest with material presented in this paper.

Page 6 of 8 http://e-eht.org/ EHT

#### References

- 1. Lin Y, Taylor S, Li H, Fernando KS, Qu L, Wang W, et al. Advances toward bioapplications of carbon nanotubes. J Mate Chem 2004; 14(4):527-541.
- Bianco A, Kostarelos K, Partidos CD, Prato M. Biomedical applications of functionalised carbon nanotubes. Chem Commun (Camb) 2005;(5):571-577.
- 3. Hu H, Ni Y, Montana V, Haddon RC, Parpura V. Chemically functionalized carbon nanotubes as substrates for neuronal growth. Nano Lett 2004;4(3):507-511.
- 4. Peer D, Karp JM, Hong S, Farokhzad OC, Margalit R, Langer R. Nanocarriers as an emerging platform for cancer therapy. Nat Nanotechnol 2007;2(12):751-760.
- 5. Wu H, Tang B, Wu P. Novel ultrafiltration membranes prepared from a multi-walled carbon nanotubes/polymer composite. J Memb Sci 2010;362(1-2):374-383.
- Tasis D, Tagmatarchis N, Bianco A, Prato M. Chemistry of carbon nanotubes. Chem Rev 2006;106(3):1105-1136.
- Vardharajula S, Ali SZ, Tiwari PM, Eroğlu E, Vig K, Dennis VA, et al. Functionalized carbon nanotubes: biomedical applications. Int J Nanomedicine 2012;7:5361-5374.
- Jain S, Thakare VS, Das M, Godugu C, Jain AK, Mathur R, et al. Toxicity of multiwalled carbon nanotubes with end defects critically depends on their functionalization density. Chem Res Toxicol 2011;24(11):2028-2039.
- Lewinski N, Colvin V, Drezek R. Cytotoxicity of nanoparticles. Small 2008;4(1):26-49.
- Donaldson K, Aitken R, Tran L, Stone V, Duffin R, Forrest G, et al. Carbon nanotubes: a review of their properties in relation to pulmonary toxicology and workplace safety. Toxicol Sci 2006;92(1):5-22.
- 11. Helland A, Wick P, Koehler A, Schmid K, Som C. Reviewing the environmental and human health knowledge base of carbon nanotubes. Environ Health Perspect 2007;115(8):1125-1131.
- 12. Kolosnjaj-Tabi J, Hartman KB, Boudjemaa S, Ananta JS, Morgant G, Szwarc H, et al. In vivo behavior of large doses of ultrashort and full-length single-walled carbon nanotubes after oral and intraperitoneal administration to Swiss mice. ACS Nano 2010;4(3):1481-1492.
- Tabet L, Bussy C, Setyan A, Simon-Deckers A, Rossi MJ, Boczkowski J, et al. Coating carbon nanotubes with a polystyrene-based polymer protects against pulmonary toxicity. Part Fibre Toxicol 2011;8:3.
- 14. Fenoglio I, Aldieri E, Gazzano E, Cesano F, Colonna M, Scarano D, et al. Thickness of multiwalled carbon nanotubes affects their lung toxicity. Chem Res Toxicol 2012;25(1):74-82.
- 15. Qu G, Bai Y, Zhang Y, Jia Q, Zhang W, Yan B. The effect of multi-walled carbon nanotube agglomeration on their accumulation in and damage to organs in mice. Carbon 2009;47(8):2060-2069.
- 16. Liu X, Guo L, Morris D, Kane AB, Hurt RH. Targeted removal of bioavailable metal as a detoxification strategy for carbon nanotubes. Carbon N Y 2008;46(3):489-500.
- 17. Han SG, Andrews R, Gairola CG. Acute pulmonary response of mice to multi-wall carbon nanotubes. Inhal Toxicol 2010;22(4):340-

347.

- 18. Poland CA, Duffin R, Kinloch I, Maynard A, Wallace WA, Seaton A, et al. Carbon nanotubes introduced into the abdominal cavity of mice show asbestos-like pathogenicity in a pilot study. Nat Nanotechnol 2008;3(7):423-428.
- Shvedova AA, Kisin E, Murray AR, Johnson VJ, Gorelik O, Arepalli S, et al. Inhalation vs. aspiration of single-walled carbon nanotubes in C57BL/6 mice: inflammation, fibrosis, oxidative stress, and mutagenesis. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2008;295(4):L552-L565.
- 20. Donaldson K, Murphy FA, Duffin R, Poland CA. Asbestos, carbon nanotubes and the pleural mesothelium: a review of the hypothesis regarding the role of long fibre retention in the parietal pleura, inflammation and mesothelioma. Part Fibre Toxicol 2010;7:5.
- Reddy AR, Reddy YN, Krishna DR, Himabindu V. Multi wall carbon nanotubes induce oxidative stress and cytotoxicity in human embryonic kidney (HEK293) cells. Toxicology 2010;272(1-3):11-16.
- Galloway T, Lewis C, Dolciotti I, Johnston BD, Moger J, Regoli F. Sublethal toxicity of nano-titanium dioxide and carbon nanotubes in a sediment dwelling marine polychaete. Environ Pollut 2010;158(5):1748-1755.
- 23. Mouchet F, Landois P, Puech P, Pinelli E, Flahaut E, Gauthier L. Carbon nanotube ecotoxicity in amphibians: assessment of multiwalled carbon nanotubes and comparison with double-walled carbon nanotubes. Nanomedicine (Lond) 2010;5(6):963-974.
- 24. Zhao Y, Wu Q, Li Y, Nouara A, Jia R, Wang D. In vivo translocation and toxicity of multi-walled carbon nanotubes are regulated by microRNAs. Nanoscale 2014;6(8):4275-4284.
- Klaper R, Arndt D, Setyowati K, Chen J, Goetz F. Functionalization impacts the effects of carbon nanotubes on the immune system of rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss. Aquat Toxicol 2010;100(2):211-217.
- 26. Ji L, Chen W, Duan L, Zhu D. Mechanisms for strong adsorption of tetracycline to carbon nanotubes: a comparative study using activated carbon and graphite as adsorbents. Environ Sci Technol 2009;43(7):2322-2327.
- 27. Yang ST, Luo J, Zhou Q, Wang H. Pharmacokinetics, metabolism and toxicity of carbon nanotubes for biomedical purposes. Theranostics 2012;2(3):271-282.
- 28. Leung MC, Williams PL, Benedetto A, Au C, Helmcke KJ, Aschner M, et al. Caenorhabditis elegans: an emerging model in biomedical and environmental toxicology. Toxicol Sci 2008;106(1):5-28.
- 29. Roh JY, Sim SJ, Yi J, Park K, Chung KH, Ryu DY, et al. Ecotoxicity of silver nanoparticles on the soil nematode Caenorhabditis elegans using functional ecotoxicogenomics. Environ Sci Technol 2009;43(10):3933-3940.
- 30. Choi J, Tsyusko OV, Unrine JM, Chatterjee N, Ahn JM, Yang X, et al. A micro-sized model for the in vivo study of nanoparticle toxicity: what has Caenorhabditis elegans taught us? Environ Chem 2014;11(3):227-246.
- 31. Jin H, Heller DA, Strano MS. Single-particle tracking of endocytosis and exocytosis of single-walled carbon nanotubes in NIH-3T3 cells. Nano Lett 2008;8(6):1577-1585.
- 32. Chen PH, Hsiao KM, Chou CC. Molecular characterization of toxicity mechanism of single-walled carbon nanotubes. Biomaterials



- 2013;34(22):5661-5669.
- 33. Eom HJ, Roca CP, Roh JY, Chatterjee N, Jeong JS, Shim I, et al. A systems toxicology approach on the mechanism of uptake and toxicity of MWCNT in Caenorhabditis elegans. Chem Biol Interact 2015;239:153-163.
- 34. Yamashita K, Yoshioka Y, Higashisaka K, Morishita Y, Yoshida T, Fujimura M, et al. Carbon nanotubes elicit DNA damage and inflammatory response relative to their size and shape. Inflammation 2010;33(4):276-280.
- 35. Nagai H, Okazaki Y, Chew SH, Misawa N, Yamashita Y, Akatsuka S, et al. Diameter and rigidity of multiwalled carbon nanotubes are critical factors in mesothelial injury and carcinogenesis. Proc Natl

- Acad Sci U S A 2011;108(49):E1330-E1338.
- 36. Cheng J, Cheng SH. Influence of carbon nanotube length on toxicity to zebrafish embryos. Int J Nanomedicine 2012;7:3731-3739.
- 37. Han YG, Xu J, Li ZG, Ren GG, Yang Z. In vitro toxicity of multiwalled carbon nanotubes in C6 rat glioma cells. Neurotoxicology 2012;33(5):1128-1134.
- 38. Kim JS, Lee K, Lee YH, Cho HS, Kim KH, Choi KH, et al. Aspect ratio has no effect on genotoxicity of multi-wall carbon nanotubes. Arch Toxicol 2011;85(7):775-786.
- 39. Kang S, Mauter MS, Elimelech M. Physicochemical determinants of multiwalled carbon nanotube bacterial cytotoxicity. Environ Sci Technol 2008;42(19):7528-7534.

http://e-eht.org/ EHT Page 8 of 8

