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#### Abstract

Consider a $p$-variate normal distribution $\left(p-q \geq 3, q=\operatorname{rank}\left(P_{V}\right)\right.$ with a projection matrix $P_{V}$ ). Using a simple property of noncentral chi square distribution, the generalized Bayes estimators dominating the James-Stein estimator shrinking towards projection vectors under quadratic loss are given based on the methods of Brown, Brewster and Zidek for estimating a normal variance. This result can be extended the cases where covariance matrix is completely unknown or $\sum=\sigma^{2} \boldsymbol{I}$ for an unknown scalar $\sigma^{2}$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\boldsymbol{X}=\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{p}\right)^{\prime}$ be a p-variate random vector normally distributed with unknown mean $\theta$ and the identity covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{I}$. Then we consider the problem of estimating $\theta$ by $\delta(\boldsymbol{X})$ relative to the quadratic loss function $\|\delta(\boldsymbol{X})-\theta\|^{2}=(\delta(\boldsymbol{X})-\theta)^{\prime}(\delta(\boldsymbol{X})-\theta)$. Every estimator will be evaluated by the risk function $R(\theta, \delta(\boldsymbol{X}))=E\left[\|\delta(\boldsymbol{X})-\theta\|^{2}\right]$.

Stein (1956) showed that the usual estimator $\boldsymbol{X}$ is inadmissible for $p \geq 3$ and James and Stein (1961) constructed the improved estimator, $\delta_{1}^{J S}=\left(1-(p-2) /\|\boldsymbol{X}\|^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{X}$. Also, Casella and Hwang (1987) has proposed the another improved estimator $\delta_{1}^{S H}=P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}+(1-$ $\left.(p-q-2) /\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right)$ where $P_{V}$ is an idempotent and projection matrix and $\operatorname{rank}\left(P_{V}\right)=q . \boldsymbol{X}$ is dominated by $\delta_{1}^{S H}$ for $p-q \geq 3$. With the similar process of Baranchick (1964), we can construct the positive part estimator $\delta_{1}^{+S H}=P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}$ if $\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2} \leq p-q-2$ ; $\delta_{1}^{+S H}=\delta_{1}^{S H}$, otherwise, and we can show that $\delta_{1}^{+S H}$ has a smaller risk than $\delta_{1}^{S H}$ by Baranchik's (1964) method. This is known as an estimator eliminating undesirable properties of $\delta_{1}^{S H}$ that it has singularity at $\left(P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right)_{i}$ and changes the sign of each $X_{i}-\left(P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right)_{i}$ for $\left\|X-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2} \leq p-q-2$. However, $\delta_{1}^{+S H}$ itself is unsatisfactory for $\theta$ must be estimated by a projection vector $P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}$ when $\left\|X-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2} \leq p-q-2$. Of course, it is known that such a truncated estimator is inadmissible.

[^0]In this paper we propose a generalized Bayes estimator dominating $\delta_{1}^{S H}$ based on the ideas used in Brown (1968), Brewster and Zidek (1974), and Park and Baek(2011) for estimating a normal variance. In Section 2, such a smooth estimator is derived and it is shown to be admissible. It should be noted that this admissible estimator dominating $\delta_{1}^{S H}$ is just identical to the generalized Bayes estimator given by Strawderman (1971), Casella and Hwang(1987), and Berger (1976) with $a(=c)=2$. Section 3 discusses the cases where the covariance matrix $\sum$ of $\boldsymbol{X}$ is fully unknown or $\sum=\sigma^{2} \boldsymbol{I}$ for an unknown scalar $\sigma^{2}$.

## 2. Admissible estimator dominating $\delta_{1}^{S H}$

To improve on $\delta_{1}^{S H}$, we consider the estimator

$$
\delta_{1}(c, r)= \begin{cases}P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}+\left(1-c /\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right), & \text { if }\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2} \leq r  \tag{2.1}\\ \delta_{1}^{S H}, & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
$$

where $c$ and $r$ are positive constants. For a fixed $r$, we shall find the best $c=c(r)$ in the sense of minimizing the risk. Such an idea is due to Brown (1968) which constructed an improved estimator for a normal variance. Let $\lambda=\left\|\boldsymbol{\theta}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{\theta}\right\| / 2$ and $f_{p-q}(t ; \lambda)$ denote the density of a noncentral chi square random variable with the degrees of freedom $p-q$ and the noncentrality $\lambda$. Letting

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}(r, \lambda)=p-q-2-2 f_{p-q}(r ; \lambda) / \int_{0}^{r} t^{-1} f_{p-q}(t ; \lambda) d t \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can obtain the following lemma which will be proved later.
Lemma 2.1 (i) The risk function of $\delta_{1}(c, r)$ is quadratic with respect to c and is minimized at $c=c_{1}(r, \lambda)$.
(ii) $c_{1}(r, \lambda) \leq c_{1}(r ; 0)=c_{1}(r)$, where $c_{1}(r)$ is expressed as
$c_{1}(r)=p-q-2-2\left[\int_{0}^{1} t^{(p-q) / 2-2} \exp \left\{\frac{1}{2}(1-t) r\right\} d t\right]^{-1}$.
(iii) $c_{1}(r)$ is increasing in $r$ and $0<c_{1}(r)<p-q-2$.

Lemma 2.1 implies that for all $\lambda, c_{1}(r)$ is closer to minimizing value of the risk $R\left(\theta, \delta_{1}(c, r)\right)$ than $p-q-2$, so that we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 2.1 The estimator $\delta_{1}\left(c_{1}(r), r\right)$ dominates $\delta_{1}(p-q-2, r)$ or $\delta_{1}^{S H}$.
Further select $0<r^{\prime}<r$. By the property (iii) of Lemma 2.1 and a similar manner, it can be seen that $\delta_{1}\left(c_{1}(r), r\right)$ is dominated by another estimator of the form

$$
\delta_{1}^{\prime}\left(c_{1}, r^{\prime}, r\right)= \begin{cases}P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}+\left(1-c_{1}\left(r^{\prime}\right) /\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right), & \text { if }\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2} \leq r^{\prime}  \tag{2.3}\\ P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}+\left(1-c_{1}(r) /\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right), & \text { if } r^{\prime}<\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2} \leq r \\ \delta_{1}^{S H}, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Now from the innovative idea of Brewster and Zidek (1974), we select a finite partition of $[0, \infty)$ represented by $0=r_{i, 0}<\cdots<r_{i, n_{i}-1}<r_{i, n_{i}}=\infty$ for each $i=1,2 \cdots$ and a
corresponding estimator

$$
\delta_{1}^{(i)}=P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}+\left(1-c_{1}\left(r_{i j}\right) /\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right) \text { if } r_{i, j-1}<\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2} \leq r_{i j} .
$$

Then, providing $\max _{j}\left|r_{i, j}-r_{i, j-1}\right| \rightarrow 0$ and $r_{i, n_{i-1}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, the sequence $\delta_{1}^{(i)}$ will converge pointwise to $\delta_{1}^{*}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{1}^{*}=P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}+\left(1-c_{1}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2}\right) /\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that $\delta_{1}^{*}$ is the generalized Bayes estimator given by Strawderman (1971), Berger (1976) with $a(=c)=2$, and Casella and Hwang's (1987) method against the prior density

$$
\pi^{*}(\theta)=\int_{0}^{1}(2 \pi)^{-\frac{p-q}{2}} \lambda^{-2}(\lambda /(1-\lambda))^{\frac{p-q}{2}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda /(1-\lambda))\left\|\theta-P_{V} \boldsymbol{\theta}\right\|^{2}\right\} d \lambda .
$$

Theorem 2.2 The estimator $\delta_{1}^{*}$ is an admissible estimator dominating $\delta_{1}^{S H}$.
Proof Since $\delta_{1}^{(i)}$ has uniformly smaller risk than $\delta_{1}^{S H}$ for each $i$, applying Fatou's lemma gives that $\delta_{1}^{*}$ dominates $\delta_{1}^{S H}$. The admissibility follows from the result of Brown and Hwang (1982) for the prior density $\pi^{*}(\theta)$ which satisfies the conditions of (ii) in page 213 of their paper. Hence we get the desired conclusion.
Proof of Lemma 2.1 Let $W=\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2}$ and $I(\cdot)$ denote the indicator function. Then for a fixed $r, R\left(\theta, \delta_{1}(c, r)\right)$ is minimized at

$$
\begin{align*}
c & =\frac{E\left[\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{-2}\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{X}-\theta) I\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2} \leq r\right)\right]}{E\left[\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{-2} I\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2} \leq r\right)\right]} \\
& =E\left[\left(1-\frac{\theta^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right)}{W}\right) I(W \leq r)\right] / E\left[\frac{1}{W} I(W \leq r)\right]=c_{1}^{*}, \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

so that we shall demonstrate that $c_{1}^{*}$ given by (2.5) is expressed as $c_{1}(r, \lambda)$ given in (2.2). Using the similar calculation by Kim et al. (2002) and Bock (1975) $c_{1}^{*}$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}^{*}=\frac{E^{J}\left[I_{r}(p-q+2 J)-\frac{2 J}{p-q-2+2 J} I_{r}(p-q-2+2 J)\right]}{E^{J}\left[\frac{1}{p-q-2+2 J} I_{r}(p-q-2+2 J)\right]}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ is a random variable having a Poisson distribution with mean $\lambda$ and $I_{r}(X)=$ $\int_{0}^{r} f_{\alpha}(x) d x$ for a central chi square density $f_{\alpha}(x)$ with degrees of freedom $\alpha$. Since $I_{r}(\alpha+2)=$ $-2 f_{\alpha+2}(r)+I_{r}(\alpha)$, we observe that

$$
c_{1}^{*}=p-q-2-2 E^{J}\left[f_{p-q+2 J}(r)\right] / E^{J}\left[(p-q-2+2 J)^{-1} I_{r}(p-q-2+2 J)\right],
$$

which can be rewritten as $c_{1}(r ; \lambda)$ given by (2.2), and we obtain part(i). For part(ii), It is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{p-q}(r, \lambda) / \int_{0}^{r} t^{-1} f_{p-q}(t ; \lambda) d t \geq f_{p-q}(r) / \int_{0}^{r} t^{-1} f_{p-q}(t) d t \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follows from the fact that $f_{p-q}(t ; \lambda) / f_{p-q}(t)$ is increasing in $t$. Part(iii) can be easily checked and Lemma 2.1 is proved.

## 3. The cases of unknown covariance matrices

In this section we extend the result derived in section 2 to the case where covariance matrix is completely unknown or $\Sigma=\sigma^{2} I$ for an unknown scalar $\sigma^{2}$. At first, the case of $\Sigma=\sigma^{2} I$ is treated.

Let $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $S$ be a independent random variables with $\boldsymbol{X} \sim N_{p}\left(\theta, \sigma^{2} I\right)$ and $S \sim \sigma^{2} \chi_{n}^{2}$. Here we want to estimate $\theta$ under the loss $\left\|\widehat{\theta}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{\theta}\right\|^{2} / \sigma^{2}$. For positive constants $c$ and $r$, $a$ corresponding estimator to (2.1) is of the form

$$
\delta_{2}(c, r)= \begin{cases}P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}+\left(1-c S /\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right), & \text { if } \frac{\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2}}{S} \leq r  \tag{3.1}\\ \delta_{2}^{S H}, & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
$$

where, in this case, the James-Stein estimator shrinking towards a projection vector is given by

$$
\delta_{2}^{S H}=P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\left\{\left(1-\frac{p-q-2}{n+2}\right) S /\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2}\right\}\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right)
$$

Define $c_{2}(r)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}(r)=\frac{p-q-2}{n+2}-\frac{2}{n+2}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1+r)^{(p+n-q) / 2}}{(1+r z)^{(p+n-q) / 2}} z^{\frac{p-q}{2}-2} d z\right]^{-1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1 The estimator $\delta_{2}\left(c_{2}(r), r\right)$ dominates $\delta_{2}^{S H}$.
Proof Let $\lambda=\left\|\theta-P_{V} \boldsymbol{\theta}\right\|^{2} /\left(2 \sigma^{2}\right)$. Note that the risk function of $\delta_{2}(c, r)$ is minimized at

$$
c_{2}(r ; \lambda)=\frac{E\left[\left(\left(S / \sigma^{2}\right)\left\{1-\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{\prime} \theta\right\} /\left\|P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2}\right) I\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2} / S \leq r\right)\right]}{E\left[\left(S / \sigma^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma^{2} /\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2}\right) I\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2} / S \leq r\right)\right]}
$$

which from (2.6), can be expressed by

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{2}(r ; \lambda) & =\frac{E^{J}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} v^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{v}{2}}\left\{I_{r v}(p-q+2 J)-\frac{2 J}{p-q-2+2 J} I_{r v}(p-q-2+2 J)\right\} d v\right]}{E^{J}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} v^{\frac{n}{2}+1} e^{-\frac{v}{2}} \frac{1}{p-q-2+2 J} I_{r v}(p-q-2+2 J) d v\right]} \\
& =\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} v^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{v}{2}}\left\{(p-q-2) \int_{0}^{r v} w^{-1} f_{p-q}(w ; \lambda) d w-2 f_{p-q}(r v ; \lambda)\right\} d v}{\int_{0}^{\infty} v^{\frac{n}{2}+1} e^{-\frac{v}{2}} \int_{0}^{r v} w^{-1} f_{p-q}(w ; \lambda) d w d v} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By integration by parts,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{v}{2}}\left\{v^{\frac{n}{2}+1} \int_{0}^{r v} w^{-1} f_{p-q}(w ; \lambda) d w\right\} d v \\
& =(n+2) \int_{0}^{\infty} v^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{v}{2}} \int_{0}^{r v} w^{-1} f_{p-q}(w ; \lambda) d w d v+2 \int_{0}^{\infty} v^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{v}{2}} f_{p-q}(r v ; \lambda) d v
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}(r ; \lambda)=(p-q-2-2 H(\lambda)) /(n+2+2 H(\lambda)), \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
H(\lambda)=\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} v^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} f_{p-q}(r \nu ; \lambda) d v}{\int_{0}^{\infty} v^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\nu}{2}} f_{0}^{r v}(w ; \lambda) d w d v}
$$

Let $A(\alpha)=2^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\right)^{-1}$ and let

$$
g_{p, n}(z, \lambda)=E^{J}\left[\frac{A(p+2 J-q)}{A(n+p+2 J-q)} z^{(p+2 J-q) / 2-1}(1+z)^{-(n+p+2 J-q) / 2}\right] .
$$

Then $H(\lambda)$ can be rewritten as $H(\lambda)=g_{p, n}(r ; \lambda) / \int_{0}^{r} z^{-1} g_{p, n}(z, \lambda) d z$.
Similar to (2.7), we can show that $H(\lambda) \geq H(0)$, so that from (3.4), $c_{2}(r ; \lambda) \leq c_{2}(r ; 0)$.
Here we can verify that $c_{2}(r ; 0)$ is equal to $c_{2}(r)$ given by (3.2), and that $c_{2}(r)$ is increasing in $r$ and $0<c_{2}(r)<(p-q-2) /(n+2)$. Therefore the proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed.

As a limiting form corresponding to (2.4), we can take the estimator

$$
\delta_{2}^{*}=P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}+\left\{1-c_{2}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2} / S\right) S /\left\|\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right\|^{2}\right\}\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right)
$$

which is identical to the generalized Bayes estimator derived from Park and Baek (2011) when $P_{V}=\frac{1}{p} J$ and $J$ is the $p \times p$ matrix all entries are $1^{\prime}$ s. By the same arguments as in Section 2, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2 The estimator $\delta_{2}^{*}$ is the generalized Bayes estimator dominating $\delta_{2}^{S H}$.
Proof For the case where $\Sigma$ is fully unknown, the above discussions are directly applied. Let X and S be independent random variables with $\boldsymbol{X} \sim N_{p}(\theta, . \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ and $S \sim W_{p}(n, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$. Assume that we want to estimate $\theta$ under the loss $(\widehat{\theta}-\theta)^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\mathbf{1}}(\widehat{\theta}-\theta)$. Define $c_{3}(r)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{3}(r)=\frac{p-q-2}{n-p+q+3}-\frac{2}{n-p+q+3} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1+r)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}}{(1+r t)^{\frac{n+1}{n}+1}} t^{\frac{p-q}{2}+1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimator $\delta_{3}^{*}=P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}+\left[1-\frac{c_{3}\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{\prime} S^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right)\right\}}{\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{\prime} S^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right)}\right]\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right)$ is the generalized Bayes estimator modified from Park and Baek (2011) and Lin and Tsa (1973). Note that
$\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{\prime} \Sigma^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right) /\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{\prime} S^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right)$ is distributed as $\chi_{n-p+q+1}^{2}$ independent of X. Then from Theorem 3.2, it is seen the $\delta_{3}^{*}$ dominates James-Stein estimator shrinking towards a projection vector which is given by

$$
\delta_{3}^{S H}=P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}+\left[1-\frac{p-q-2}{n-p+q+3}\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{\prime} S^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right)\right\}^{-1}\right]\left(\boldsymbol{X}-P_{V} \boldsymbol{X}\right) .
$$

This completes the proof.

## 4. Concluding remarks

There are some special cases of $P_{V}$. Let the $O_{p \times p}$ and $J$ be the $p \times p$ matrices all entries are 0's and 1's, respectively. The estimators in Kubokawa (1991) and Park and Baek (2011) are the cases of $P_{V}=O_{p \times p}$ and $P_{V}=\frac{1}{p} J$. Another case is $P_{V}=T\left(T^{\prime} T\right)^{-1} T^{\prime}$ when $T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 1 \cdots 1 \\ t_{1} & t_{2} \cdots t_{p}\end{array}\right)^{\prime}$ and $\theta_{i}=\alpha+\beta t_{i}$ for known $t_{i}$ and unknown $\alpha$ and $\beta$ (Lehmann and Casella, 1999), this is the case of $\operatorname{rank}\left(P_{V}\right)=2$. More general case would be represented as follows. When

$$
T=\left[(11 \cdots \cdots 1),\left(t_{11} t_{12}, \cdots \cdots t_{1 p}\right), \cdots\left(t_{h 1} t_{h 2} \cdots \cdots t_{h p}\right)\right]^{\prime}
$$

and $\theta_{i}=\alpha+\beta_{1} t_{1 i}+\beta_{2} t_{2 i}+\cdots+\beta_{h} t_{h i}$ for known $t_{1 i}, t_{2 i}, \cdots, t_{h i}$ and unknown $\alpha$, and $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \cdots, \beta_{h}$, such projection matrices $P_{V}=T\left(T^{\prime} T\right)^{-1} T^{\prime}$ are symmetric and idempotent of rank $h+1$.
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