

CONTINUITY OF BANACH ALGEBRA VALUED FUNCTIONS

JITTISAK RAKBUD

ABSTRACT. Let K be a compact Hausdorff space, \mathcal{A} a commutative complex Banach algebra with identity and $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ the set of characters of \mathcal{A} . In this note, we study the class of functions $f : K \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}} \circ f$ is continuous, where $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}$ denotes the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} . The inclusion relations between this class, the class of continuous functions, the class of bounded functions and the class of weakly continuous functions relative to the weak topology $\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}))$, are discussed. We also provide some results on its completeness under the norm defined by $\|f\| = \|\Omega_{\mathcal{A}} \circ f\|_{\infty}$.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

A complex algebra \mathcal{A} is called a *normed algebra* if \mathcal{A} is in addition a normed space together with the property that $\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. A normed algebra is called a *normed algebra with identity* or a *unital normed algebra* if it has the identity of norm 1. A normed algebra becomes a *Banach algebra* if the norm is a complete norm. A class of Banach algebras which plays a key role in mathematical analysis is the class of Banach algebras of continuous complex-valued functions on compact Hausdorff spaces. We will generally discuss those algebras as follows. Let K be a compact Hausdorff space and \mathcal{X} a Banach space with the dual \mathcal{X}^* . Let $C(K, \mathcal{X})$ be the set of continuous functions from K into \mathcal{X} . For the case where $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{C}$, the set $C(K, \mathbb{C})$ will be denoted by just $C(K)$. By the compactness of K , we have for any $f \in C(K, \mathcal{X})$ that $\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup_{t \in K} \|f(t)\| < \infty$. It is well known that $C(K, \mathcal{X})$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ is a Banach space (see [3], Example 1.7.2, page 49). If, in addition, \mathcal{X} is a Banach algebra, then so is $C(K, \mathcal{X})$ under the usual multiplication. For any subset \mathcal{F} of the dual \mathcal{X}^* of \mathcal{X} separating points of \mathcal{X} in the sense that for each non-zero element $x \in \mathcal{X}$, there is a $\rho \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\rho(x) \neq 0$, let $\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F})$ be the weak topology on \mathcal{X} induced by \mathcal{F} , and let $C^{\mathcal{F}}(K, \mathcal{X})$ be the set of continuous functions from K into $(\mathcal{X}, \sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}))$, which

Received April 22, 2014; Revised August 13, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46E40; Secondary 46E15.

Key words and phrases. Banach algebra, Gelfand representation, character.

are called *weakly continuous functions relative to the weak topology* $\sigma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F})$. It is well known that (see [3], Proposition 1.3.2, page 29) $C^{\mathcal{F}}(K, \mathcal{X})$ is precisely the set of functions $f : K \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that $\varphi f \in C(K)$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$. Thus $C(K, \mathcal{X}) \subseteq C^{\mathcal{F}}(K, \mathcal{X})$. For the case of the weak topology on \mathcal{X} , i.e., $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{X}^*$, we denote the set of weakly continuous functions from K into \mathcal{X} by $C^w(K, \mathcal{X})$. By the closed graph theorem, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ can be defined and is a Banach norm on $C^w(K, \mathcal{X})$. However, $C^w(K, \mathcal{X})$ may not be closed under the usual multiplication when \mathcal{X} is a Banach algebra, except for the case where K is finite.

For any normed algebra \mathcal{A} , the set of *characters*, i.e., non-zero multiplicative linear functionals, of \mathcal{A} is denoted by $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$. A multiplicative linear operator from an algebra \mathcal{A} into an algebra \mathcal{B} is called a *homomorphism* from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{B} . A homomorphism from a normed algebra \mathcal{A} into a normed algebra \mathcal{B} is called an *isomorphism* if it is in addition a homeomorphism from \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{B} . A surjective homomorphism T from a normed algebra \mathcal{A} into a normed algebra \mathcal{B} is called an *isometric isomorphism* if it satisfies the property that $\|Tx\| = \|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Obviously, every isometric isomorphism is an isomorphism.

Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative Banach algebra with identity. It is well known that $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) \neq \emptyset$ (see [1], Theorem 2.35, page 41), and that every character of \mathcal{A} is continuous and has the norm equal to 1 (see [1], Proposition 2.22, page 36). It is also known that $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ equipped with the topology relative to the weak* topology on \mathcal{A}^* is a compact Hausdorff space (see [1], Proposition 2.23, page 36). For each $x \in \mathcal{A}$, the *spectrum* of x denoted by $\sigma(x)$ is the set of complex numbers λ such that $x - \lambda$ is not invertible. It is well known that $\sigma(x)$ is a nonempty compact subset of \mathbb{C} (see [1], Proposition 2.28 and Theorem 2.29, page 38), and that $\sigma(x) = \{\rho(x) : \rho \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})\}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ (see [1], Corollary 2.36, page 41). Thus, for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$, the real number $r(x) := \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(x)} |\lambda|$,

which is called the *spectral radius* of x , is well-defined. The *Gelfand transform* of each $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is the continuous complex-valued function \hat{x} on $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$, under the relative weak* topology, which is defined by $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(x)$. It is evident that for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$, $r(x) = \|\hat{x}\|_{\infty} \leq \|x\|$. The *Gelfand representation* of \mathcal{A} denoted by $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the bounded homomorphism from \mathcal{A} into $C(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}))$ defined by $x \mapsto \hat{x}$. Notice that if the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} is injective, then $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ separates points of \mathcal{A} . Whence, in this situation, we obtain that every continuous function $f : K \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, where K is a compact Hausdorff space, is a weakly continuous function relative to the weak topology $\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}))$, that is, $C(K, \mathcal{A}) \subseteq C^{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})}(K, \mathcal{A})$. By the bounded inverse theorem, we have that the Gelfand representation of a commutative Banach algebra with identity is an isomorphism if and only if it is bijective. The following is a sufficient condition for the Gelfand representation of a commutative Banach algebra with identity to be an isomorphism. It was provided in [2] by W. Fupinwong and S. Dhompangsa as a preliminary.

Theorem 1.1 ([2], Lemma 4.1, page 12). *For any commutative Banach algebra \mathcal{B} with identity, if $\inf\{\|\widehat{x}\|_\infty : x \in \mathcal{B}, \|x\| = 1\} > 0$ and \mathcal{B} satisfies the following property:*

- (\star) *for each $x \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists a $y \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\varphi(x) = \overline{\varphi(y)}$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$,*

then the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{B} is an isomorphism.

For any commutative Banach algebra \mathcal{B} with identity, it is clear that the condition that $\inf\{\|\widehat{x}\|_\infty : x \in \mathcal{B}, \|x\| = 1\} > 0$ implies the injectivity of the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{B} , which is equivalent to the semi-simplicity of \mathcal{B} . The converse of this statement is not true (see Remark 2.12). It is also clear that the condition (\star) is equivalent to the closedness of the subalgebra $\Omega_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B})$ of $C(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}))$ under the complex conjugation. Notice that every commutative C^* -algebra with identity satisfies the two conditions of the above theorem. Moreover, its Gelfand representation is an isometric $*$ -isomorphism (see [3], Theorem 4.4.3, page 270).

For any compact Hausdorff spaces X and Y , it is well known that $C(X, C(Y))$ is isometrically isomorphic to $C(Y, C(X))$ by the isomorphism defined by $f \mapsto \widetilde{f}$, where \widetilde{f} is a function from Y into $C(X)$ such that $\widetilde{f}(y)$ is given by $x \mapsto f(y)(x)$ (see for more details [4], page 849). From this fact, it is not hard to see that for each function f from a compact Hausdorff space K into a commutative Banach algebra \mathcal{A} with identity, the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (C_1) $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}} \circ f$ is continuous.
 (C'_1) $\varphi \circ f$ is continuous for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ and the function $\Psi_f^{(\mathcal{A}, K)}$ from $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$, along with the topology relative to the weak* topology on \mathcal{A}^* , into $C(K)$ defined by $\Psi_f^{(\mathcal{A}, K)}(\varphi) = \varphi \circ f$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ is continuous.

And in these two situations, we have $\|\Omega_{\mathcal{A}} \circ f\|_\infty = \|\Psi_f^{(\mathcal{A}, K)}\|_\infty$.

In this note, we deal mainly with the classes of continuous functions and functions satisfying the condition (C_1), from a compact Hausdorff space into a commutative Banach algebra with identity.

2. Results

In the entire contents of this section, let K and \mathcal{A} be respectively a compact Hausdorff space and a commutative Banach algebra with identity which are arbitrarily fixed. In addition, the set $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ of characters of \mathcal{A} will be considered as a topological space equipped with the topology relative to the weak* topology on \mathcal{A}^* . Recall that $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}$ denotes the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} .

Let $C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$ and $C_1^b(K, \mathcal{A})$ be the sets of functions and bounded functions from K into \mathcal{A} respectively which satisfy the condition (C_1). The inclusion relations among the three sets $C(K, \mathcal{A})$, $C_1^b(K, \mathcal{A})$ and $C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$ are as follows.

Theorem 2.1. $C(K, \mathcal{A}) \subseteq C_1^b(K, \mathcal{A}) \subseteq C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$.

Proof. Since K is compact, it follows easily that $C(K, \mathcal{A}) \subseteq C_1^b(K, \mathcal{A})$. \square

Note that by the equivalence of the two conditions (C_1) and (C'_1) mentioned above, we obtain in addition for the case where the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} is injective that $C_1(K, \mathcal{A}) \subseteq C^{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})}(K, \mathcal{A})$. It is clear that a sufficient condition which implies $C(K, \mathcal{A}) = C_1^b(K, \mathcal{A}) = C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$ is that the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} is an isomorphism. We will see later that in this situation the inclusion $C_1(K, \mathcal{A}) \subseteq C^{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})}(K, \mathcal{A})$ can still be proper.

Theorem 2.2. *If the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} is an isomorphism, then $C(K, \mathcal{A}) = C_1^b(K, \mathcal{A}) = C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$.*

Another condition which also implies the three sets $C(K, \mathcal{A})$, $C_1^b(K, \mathcal{A})$ and $C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$ to be equal is that $\inf\{\|\widehat{x}\|_\infty : x \in \mathcal{A}, \|x\| = 1\} > 0$. To prove this, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that $\inf\{\|\widehat{x}\|_\infty : x \in \mathcal{A}, \|x\| = 1\} > 0$ and $0 < \delta < \inf\{\|\widehat{x}\|_\infty : x \in \mathcal{A}, \|x\| = 1\}$. Then for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\|\widehat{a}\|_\infty < \delta^2$ implies $\|a\| < \delta$.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $\|\widehat{a}\|_\infty < \delta^2$, but $\|a\| \geq \delta$. Then $\frac{1}{\|a\|} \leq \frac{1}{\delta}$. Thus

$$0 < \delta < \inf\{\|\widehat{x}\|_\infty : x \in \mathcal{A}, \|x\| = 1\} \leq \left\| \widehat{\left(\frac{a}{\|a\|} \right)} \right\|_\infty < \delta,$$

which is a contradiction. So we obtain that $\|\widehat{a}\|_\infty < \delta^2$ implies $\|a\| < \delta$ as required. \square

Theorem 2.4. *If $\inf\{\|\widehat{x}\|_\infty : x \in \mathcal{A}, \|x\| = 1\} > 0$, then $C(K, \mathcal{A}) = C_1^b(K, \mathcal{A}) = C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\inf\{\|\widehat{x}\|_\infty : x \in \mathcal{A}, \|x\| = 1\} > 0$. Since $C(K, \mathcal{A}) \subseteq C_1^b(K, \mathcal{A}) \subseteq C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$, it suffices to show only that $C(K, \mathcal{A}) = C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$. Let $f \in C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$. To get that $f \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$, let $s \in K$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then by the continuity of $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}} \circ f$, there is an open neighborhood V of s such that

$$\left\| \widehat{f(s)} - \widehat{f(t)} \right\|_\infty < \beta^2 \text{ for all } t \in V,$$

where $\beta = \frac{\min\{\epsilon, \inf\{\|\widehat{x}\|_\infty : x \in \mathcal{A}, \|x\| = 1\}\}}{2}$. Thus, by Lemma 2.3,

$$\|f(s) - f(t)\| < \beta \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon \text{ for all } t \in V.$$

This yields the continuity of f . \square

The following example shows that there are a commutative Banach algebra \mathcal{B} with identity and a compact Hausdorff space E such that the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{B} is injective but not surjective, and the inclusions $C(E, \mathcal{B}) \subseteq C_1^b(E, \mathcal{B}) \subseteq C_1(E, \mathcal{B}) \subseteq C^{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})}(E, \mathcal{B})$ are all proper.

Example 2.5. Consider the unitization $(l^2)_e$ of the Hilbert space l^2 , i.e., $(l^2)_e := \mathbb{C} \oplus l^2$ along with the norm $\|(\lambda, \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty)\| := |\lambda| + \|\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty\|_2$ and the multiplication defined by $(\lambda, \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty)(\gamma, \{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty) = (\lambda\gamma, \lambda\{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty + \gamma\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty + \{x_k y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty)$ for all $(\lambda, \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty), (\gamma, \{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty) \in \mathbb{C} \oplus l^2$. Here, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \in l^2$, we write $(\lambda, 0) = \lambda$ and $(0, \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty) = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. Hence every $(\lambda, \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty) \in \mathbb{C} \oplus l^2 = (l^2)_e$ can be written in a form of addition as follows: $(\lambda, \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty) = \lambda + \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. For each integer $n \geq 1$, let $\varphi_n : (l^2)_e \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by $\varphi_n(\lambda + \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty) = \lambda + x_n$ for all $\lambda + \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \in (l^2)_e$, and let $\varphi_0 : (l^2)_e \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by $\varphi_0(\lambda + \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty) = \lambda$ for all $\lambda + \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \in (l^2)_e$. Then $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{C}((l^2)_e)$ for all $n \geq 0$. First of all, we need that $\mathcal{C}((l^2)_e) = \{\varphi_n : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, and that the Gelfand representation of $(l^2)_e$ is injective but not surjective. We do not know whether these results are well known. For completeness and self-containedness of the contents in this example, we will prove them again. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}((l^2)_e)$. If $\varphi = \varphi_0$, then we are done. Suppose that $\varphi \neq \varphi_0$. Let $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be the standard orthonormal basis for l^2 , and let $\xi_n = \varphi(e_n)$ for all n . Since, for each n , we have $\xi_n = \varphi(e_n) = \varphi(e_n^2) = \varphi(e_n)^2 = (\xi_n)^2$, it follows that ξ_n is either 0 or 1. Furthermore, if $n \neq k$, then $\xi_n + \xi_k$ is either 0 or 1 as well, due to the fact that $\xi_n + \xi_k = \varphi(e_n + e_k) = \varphi((e_n + e_k)^2) = \varphi(e_n + e_k)^2 = (\xi_n + \xi_k)^2$. From these, we obtain that if $\xi_n \neq 0$ for some n , then ξ_k must be 0 for all $k \neq n$. Since $\varphi \neq \varphi_0$, we have that the restriction of φ on l^2 is not zero, which implies that there is a sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l^2$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty y_n \xi_n = \varphi\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty y_n e_n\right) = \varphi(\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty) \neq 0.$$

Thus there exists a positive integer n such that $\xi_n \neq 0$. This yields that $\xi_n = 1$ and $\xi_k = 0$ for all $k \neq n$. Hence, for any $\lambda + \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \in (l^2)_e$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\lambda + \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty) &= \lambda + \varphi(\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty) = \lambda + \varphi\left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty e_k x_k\right) \\ &= \lambda + \sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k \xi_k = \lambda + x_n \\ &= \varphi_n(\lambda + \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty). \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\varphi = \varphi_n$. Therefore, we obtain $\mathcal{C}((l^2)_e) = \{\varphi_n : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ as required. Notice that φ_0 is the only limit point of $\mathcal{C}((l^2)_e)$. Indeed, for each $n \geq 1$, the set

$$V_n = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}((l^2)_e) : |\varphi(e_n) - \varphi_n(e_n)| < 1\}$$

is an open neighborhood of φ_n in $\mathcal{C}((l^2)_e)$ which doesn't contain all other elements of $\mathcal{C}((l^2)_e)$, and for any $\Theta = \lambda + \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \in (l^2)_e$, $|\varphi_n(\Theta) - \varphi_0(\Theta)| = |x_n| \rightarrow 0$. Next, we will show that the Gelfand representation of $(l^2)_e$ is injective but not surjective. To see that the Gelfand representation of $(l^2)_e$ is injective,

let $\Theta = \lambda + \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \in (l^2)_e$, and suppose that $\widehat{\Theta} = 0$. Then $\varphi_n(\Theta) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. Since $\varphi_0(\Theta) = 0$, we have $\lambda = 0$. Thus $\Theta = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. Since for every $n \geq 1$, $\varphi_n(\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty) = \varphi_n(\Theta) = 0$, it follows that $x_n = 0$ for all n . Thus $\Theta = 0$. To get that the Gelfand representation of $(l^2)_e$ is not surjective, let $f : \mathcal{C}((l^2)_e) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by $f(\varphi_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $f(\varphi_0) = 0$. We claim that f is continuous and $f \neq \widehat{\Theta}$ for all $\Theta \in (l^2)_e$. By the note above, it is clear that f is continuous at φ_n for all $n \geq 1$. To see that f is continuous at φ_0 , let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there is a positive integer N such that $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon^2$ for all $n \geq N$. Let $U = \{\varphi_n : n \geq N\} \cup \{\varphi_0\}$. Then, by the fact that $\mathcal{C}((l^2)_e)$ is a Hausdorff space, we get that U is an open neighborhood of φ_0 in $\mathcal{C}((l^2)_e)$. Since $|f(\varphi_n) - f(\varphi_0)| = |f(\varphi_n)| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq N$, it follows that f is continuous at φ_0 . Hence f is continuous. If $\Theta = \lambda + \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \in (l^2)_e$ and $\widehat{\Theta} = f$, then $\lambda = \varphi_0(\Theta) = \widehat{\Theta}(\varphi_0) = f(\varphi_0) = 0$ and $x_n = \varphi_n(\Theta) = \widehat{\Theta}(\varphi_n) = f(\varphi_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ for all $n \geq 1$. It follows that $\Theta = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \right\}_{k=1}^\infty$, which is impossible since $\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \right\}_{k=1}^\infty$ doesn't belong to l^2 . Hence $\widehat{\Theta} \neq f$ for all $\Theta \in (l^2)_e$. Therefore, the Gelfand representation of $(l^2)_e$ is not surjective.

Let

$$E = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} : n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\} \cup \{0\}$$

be equipped with the topology relative to the usual topology on the set of real numbers. Then E is a compact Hausdorff space. We now turn our attention to confirm that the inclusions

$$C(E, (l^2)_e) \subseteq C_1^b(E, (l^2)_e) \subseteq C_1(E, (l^2)_e) \subseteq C^{\mathcal{C}((l^2)_e)}(E, (l^2)_e)$$

are all proper.

We will begin with proving that $C(E, (l^2)_e) \subsetneq C_1^b(E, (l^2)_e)$. To show this, let $f : E \rightarrow (l^2)_e$ be defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \left\{ \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}_{n \text{ terms}}, 0, 0, \dots \right\} & \text{if } x = \frac{1}{n} \text{ for some } n, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

For each $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\left\| f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) - f(0) \right\| = \left\| f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right\| = \left\| \left\{ \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}_{n \text{ terms}}, 0, 0, \dots \right\} \right\|_2 = 1.$$

From this, we get that f is bounded, and that $f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \not\rightarrow f(0)$ in $(l^2)_e$, which yields the discontinuity of f . So $f \notin C(E, (l^2)_e)$. To show that $\Omega_{(l^2)_e} \circ f$ is

continuous, from the fact that 0 is the only limit point of E in E itself, it suffices to prove just that $\Omega_{(l^2)_e} \circ f$ is continuous at 0. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there is a positive integer N such that $\frac{1}{k} < \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}$ for all $k \geq N$. Let $W = \{\frac{1}{k} : k \geq N\} \cup \{0\}$. Then W is an open neighborhood of 0 in E . Notice that for every $n \geq 1$, we have that the function $\varphi_n \circ f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is determined by

$$\varphi_n \circ f \left(\frac{1}{k} \right) = \varphi_n \left(f \left(\frac{1}{k} \right) \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k < n, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} & \text{if } k \geq n, \end{cases}$$

and $\varphi_0 \circ f = 0$. Let $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq N$. If $n > k$, then

$$\left| \varphi_n \left(f \left(\frac{1}{k} \right) \right) \right| = 0 < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

If $n \leq k$, then

$$\left| \varphi_n \left(f \left(\frac{1}{k} \right) \right) \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \Omega_{(l^2)_e} \circ f(x) - \Omega_{(l^2)_e} \circ f(0) \right\|_\infty &= \left\| \widehat{f(x)} - \widehat{f(0)} \right\|_\infty = \left\| \widehat{f(x)} \right\|_\infty \\ &= \sup_{n \geq 0} |\varphi_n(f(x))| \\ &< \epsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in W. \end{aligned}$$

Whence the function $\Omega_{(l^2)_e} \circ f$ is continuous. Previously, it has already been shown that f is bounded. Therefore, $f \in C_1^b((l^2)_e, E)$.

Next, we will show that $C_1^b(E, (l^2)_e) \subsetneq C_1(E, (l^2)_e)$. Let $g : E \rightarrow (l^2)_e$ be defined by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \left\{ \underbrace{\frac{1}{n^{1/3}}, \frac{1}{n^{1/3}}, \dots, \frac{1}{n^{1/3}}}_{n \text{ terms}}, 0, 0, \dots \right\} & \text{if } x = \frac{1}{n} \text{ for some } n, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then for each $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\left\| g \left(\frac{1}{n} \right) \right\| = \left\| \left\{ \underbrace{\frac{1}{n^{1/3}}, \frac{1}{n^{1/3}}, \dots, \frac{1}{n^{1/3}}}_{n \text{ terms}}, 0, 0, \dots \right\} \right\|_2 = n^{1/6}.$$

Thus g is unbounded. By an argument similar to that for proving the continuity of $\Omega_{(l^2)_e} \circ f$, the continuity of $\Omega_{(l^2)_e} \circ g$ is obtained. Hence we get $C_1^b(E, (l^2)_e) \subsetneq C_1(E, (l^2)_e)$ as required.

Finally, we will prove that $C_1(E, (l^2)_e) \subsetneq C^{\mathcal{C}((l^2)_e)}(E, (l^2)_e)$. Let $h : E \rightarrow (l^2)_e$ be defined by

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} \left\{ \underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{n \text{ terms}}, 1, 0, 0, \dots \right\} & \text{if } x = \frac{1}{n} \text{ for some } n, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then for each $n \geq 1$, the function $\varphi_n \circ h : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is determined by

$$\varphi_n \circ h \left(\frac{1}{k} \right) = \varphi_n \left(h \left(\frac{1}{k} \right) \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k + 1 \neq n, \\ 1 & \text{if } k + 1 = n, \end{cases}$$

and $\varphi_0 \circ h = 0$. This yields that $\varphi_n \circ h$ is continuous for all $n \geq 0$. So $h \in C^{\mathcal{C}((l^2)_e)}(E, (l^2)_e)$. It is apparent for every $x \in E$ with $x \neq 0$ that

$$\| \Omega_{(l^2)_e} \circ h(x) - \Omega_{(l^2)_e} \circ h(0) \|_{\infty} = \| \widehat{h(x)} \|_{\infty} = \sup_{n \geq 0} | \varphi_n(h(x)) | = 1.$$

This implies that $\Omega_{(l^2)_e} \circ h$ is not continuous. Consequently, $h \notin C_1(E, (l^2)_e)$.

If the Gelfand representation $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}$ of \mathcal{A} is an isomorphism, then by Theorem 2.2 and the injectivity of $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}$, we have that

$$C(K, \mathcal{A}) = C_1^b(K, \mathcal{A}) = C_1(K, \mathcal{A}) \subseteq C^{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})}(K, \mathcal{A}).$$

The following example shows that in this situation the two sets $C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$ and $C^{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})}(K, \mathcal{A})$ may not be equal.

Example 2.6. In this example, we consider the commutative C^* -algebra with identity $C[0, 1]$ of continuous complex valued functions on $[0, 1]$ and the Alexandroff one-point compactification of $[1, \infty)$ which is denoted by $[1, \infty]$. Let $f : [1, \infty] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ be defined by $f(r) = f_r$ for all $r \in [1, \infty]$, where for any $r \in [1, \infty)$, $f_r : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $f_r(t) = \frac{rt}{1+r^2t^2}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, and $f_{\infty} = 0$. For each $t \in [0, 1]$, we have $\delta_t \circ f(r) = \delta_t(f_r) = f_r(t) = \frac{rt}{1+r^2t^2}$ for all $r \in [1, \infty)$, where $\delta_t \in \mathcal{C}(C[0, 1])$ which is the point evaluation at $t \in [0, 1]$. Since $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} f_r(t) = 0 = f_{\infty}(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, it follows that $\delta_t \circ f$ is continuous on $[1, \infty]$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. It is easy to check that $\|f_r\|_{\infty} = \frac{1}{2}$ for all $r \in [1, \infty)$. From this, we obtain that $\|f_n - f_{\infty}\|_{\infty} = \|f_n\|_{\infty} = \frac{1}{2}$ for all positive integer n . It follows that $\|f_n - f_{\infty}\|_{\infty} \not\rightarrow 0$. Thus f is not continuous.

It is easy to see that $C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$ is an algebra containing both $C(K, \mathcal{A})$ and $C_1^b(K, \mathcal{A})$ as subalgebras. We next investigate the completeness of these three algebras under the norm $\| \cdot \|$ on $C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$ defined naturally by

$$\|f\| := \| \Omega_{\mathcal{A}} \circ f \|_{\infty} = \sup_{t \in K} \| \widehat{f(t)} \|_{\infty}.$$

Notice that $\|f\| \leq \|f\|_{\infty}$ for all $f \in C_1^b(K, \mathcal{A})$.

Proposition 2.7. *The vector space $C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is a normed space if and only if the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} is injective. In this case, the normed space $(C_1(K, \mathcal{A}), \|\cdot\|)$ is furthermore a normed algebra.*

Proof. Suppose that the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} is injective. We will show that the function $\|\cdot\|$ is precisely a norm on $C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$. It is clear that $\|\lambda f\| = |\lambda| \|f\|$ and $\|f + g\| \leq \|f\| + \|g\|$ for all $f, g \in C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and that $\|0\| = 0$. We need to show that $\|f\| = 0$ implies $f = 0$ for all $f \in C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$. Let $f \in C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$, and assume that $\|f\| = 0$. Then $\left\| \widehat{f(t)} \right\|_{\infty} = 0$ for all $t \in K$. This gives us that $\widehat{f(t)} = 0$ for all $t \in K$. So, by the injectivity of the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} , we have for each $t \in K$ that $f(t) = 0$, which yields $f = 0$. Thus the vector space $C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is a normed space. It is obvious that $fg \in C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$ and $\|fg\| \leq \|f\| \|g\|$ for all $f, g \in C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$. Hence $(C_1(K, \mathcal{A}), \|\cdot\|)$ is in addition a normed algebra. Conversely, suppose that $(C_1(K, \mathcal{A}), \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space. To prove that the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} is injective, let $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and suppose that $\widehat{a} = 0$. Let $f : K \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be defined by $f(t) = a$ for all $t \in K$. It is clear that f is continuous. Since $\|f\| = \|\widehat{a}\|_{\infty} = 0$, it follows by the assumption that $f = 0$. Therefore, by the definition of f , we have $a = 0$. \square

Lemma 2.8. *If the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} is an isomorphism, then the two norms $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ and $\|\cdot\|$ on $C(K, \mathcal{A})$ ($= C_1^b(K, \mathcal{A}) = C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$) are equivalent.*

Proof. Since the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} is an isomorphism, there is a $c > 0$ such that $\|x\| \leq c \|\widehat{x}\|_{\infty}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, and we obtain by Proposition 2.7 that $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on $C(K, \mathcal{A})$. Hence, for any $f \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$,

$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup_{t \in K} \|f(t)\| \leq c \left(\sup_{t \in K} \left\| \widehat{f(t)} \right\|_{\infty} \right) = c \|f\|.$$

So, by the fact that $\|f\| \leq \|f\|_{\infty}$ for all $f \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$, we now complete the proof. \square

Theorem 2.9. *If $\inf\{\|\widehat{x}\|_{\infty} : x \in \mathcal{A}, \|x\| = 1\} > 0$ or the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} is an isomorphism, then $C(K, \mathcal{A})$ ($= C_1^b(K, \mathcal{A}) = C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$) endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is a Banach space.*

Proof. If the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} is an isomorphism, then by Proposition 2.7, $(C(K, \mathcal{A}), \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space. And, by Lemma 2.8 and the completeness of $(C(K, \mathcal{A}), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$, we obtain that $(C(K, \mathcal{A}), \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space. Next, suppose that $\inf\{\|\widehat{x}\|_{\infty} : x \in \mathcal{A}, \|x\| = 1\} > 0$. Then the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} is injective. Thus, by Proposition 2.7, $(C(K, \mathcal{A}), \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space. To see that it is a Banach space, let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $(C(K, \mathcal{A}), \|\cdot\|)$. First, we will prove the following statement: for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a positive integer N such that $\|f_n(t) - f_m(t)\| < \epsilon$ for all

$n, m \geq N$ and $t \in K$. To see this, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given, and put

$$\beta = \frac{\min\{\epsilon, \inf\{\|\widehat{x}\|_\infty : x \in \mathcal{A}, \|x\| = 1\}\}}{2}.$$

Then there is a positive integer N such that $\|f_n - f_m\| < \beta^2$ for all $n, m \geq N$. Since $0 < \beta < \inf\{\|\widehat{x}\|_\infty : x \in \mathcal{A}, \|x\| = 1\}$, we get for each $t \in K$ and $n, m \geq N$ by Lemma 2.3 that $\|f_n(t) - f_m(t)\| < \beta < \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon$. The statement is now completely proved. From this result, we have that $\{f_n(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{A} for all $t \in K$. Hence, by the completeness of \mathcal{A} , we obtain for each $t \in K$ that there is an $f(t)$ in \mathcal{A} such that $f_n(t) \rightarrow f(t)$. Let $f : K \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be defined by $t \mapsto f(t)$. We will prove that $f \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$, and that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $(C(K, \mathcal{A}), \|\cdot\|)$. To prove these, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then by the statement provided and proved above again, there is a positive integer N such that for every $t \in K$,

$$(*) \quad \|f_n(t) - f_m(t)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{6} \text{ for all } n, m \geq N.$$

Since $f_n(t) \rightarrow f(t)$ for all $t \in K$, it follows for each $t \in K$ by taking the limits as $m \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of the inequality (*) that

$$(\dagger) \quad \|f_n(t) - f(t)\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{6} \text{ for all } n \geq N.$$

To see that $f \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$, let $s \in K$. Since $f_N \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$, there is an open neighborhood V of s such that

$$(\ddagger) \quad \|f_N(s) - f_N(t)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{6} \text{ for all } t \in V.$$

Thus, by (\dagger) and (\ddagger),

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(s) - f(t)\| &\leq \|f_N(s) - f(s)\| + \|f_N(s) - f_N(t)\| + \|f_N(t) - f(t)\| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{6} + \frac{\epsilon}{6} + \frac{\epsilon}{6} < \epsilon \text{ for all } t \in V. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, the continuity of f is obtained. By (\dagger) again, we get

$$\|f_n - f\| \leq \|f_n - f\|_\infty \leq \frac{\epsilon}{6} < \epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq N.$$

Therefore, $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $(C(K, \mathcal{A}), \|\cdot\|)$. \square

Proposition 2.10. *If the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} is an isomorphism, then the embedding $f \mapsto \Omega_{\mathcal{A}} \circ f$ is an isometric isomorphism from the Banach algebra $(C(K, \mathcal{A}), \|\cdot\|)$ onto the Banach algebra $(C(K, C(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}))), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$.*

Proof. Let $g \in C(K, C(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})))$. Then by the surjectivity of the Gelfand representation $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}$ of \mathcal{A} , we have that for each $t \in K$, there is an element $h(t) \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $g(t) = \widehat{h(t)}$. Next, we define a function $h : K \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by $t \mapsto h(t)$. It is clear that $g = \Omega_{\mathcal{A}} \circ h$. Since the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} is an isomorphism, the function h is continuous. Hence the map $f \mapsto \Omega_{\mathcal{A}} \circ f$ from $(C(K, \mathcal{A}), \|\cdot\|)$ into $(C(K, C(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}))), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is onto. \square

Theorem 2.11. *If \mathcal{A} satisfies the property (\star) stated in Theorem 1.1, then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} is an isomorphism.*
- (2) *The embedding $f \mapsto \Omega_{\mathcal{A}} \circ f$ is an isometric isomorphism from the normed algebra $(C(K, \mathcal{A}), \|\cdot\|)$ onto the Banach algebra $(C(K, C(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}))), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$.*
- (3) *The set $C(K, \mathcal{A})$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is a Banach space.*
- (4) *$C(K, \mathcal{A}) = C_1^b(K, \mathcal{A}) = C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$ and the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ and $\|\cdot\|$ on $C(K, \mathcal{A})$ are equivalent.*

Replacing $C(K, \mathcal{A})$ appearing in the conditions (2) and (3) by either $C_1^b(K, \mathcal{A})$ or $C_1(K, \mathcal{A})$, the conditions (1)-(4) are also equivalent.

Proof. From Proposition 2.10, the implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) is true, and it clear that (2) \Rightarrow (3) is true as well. Now, we will prove that (3) \Rightarrow (1) is true. Suppose that $C(K, \mathcal{A})$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is a Banach space. Then by Proposition 2.7, the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} is injective. To see that it is surjective, we will show first that the image $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ of \mathcal{A} under the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} , which is a subalgebra of $C(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}))$, possesses the following properties:

- (a) $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ separates the points of $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ in the sense that for any τ_1 and τ_2 in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ with $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2$, there is an element a in \mathcal{A} such that $\widehat{a}(\tau_1) \neq \widehat{a}(\tau_2)$;
- (b) $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ does not annihilate any points of $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$;
- (c) $\widehat{a} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Since for any τ_1 and τ_2 in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ with $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2$, there is an element a in \mathcal{A} such that $\widehat{a}(\tau_1) = \tau_1(a) \neq \tau_2(a) = \widehat{a}(\tau_2)$, it follows that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ separates the points of $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$. So the property (a) is satisfied. It is obvious that the property (b) holds since for each $\tau \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$, we have $\tau \neq 0$, which implies that there is an a in \mathcal{A} such that $\widehat{a}(\tau) = \tau(a) \neq 0$. Satisfying the property (\star) of \mathcal{A} implies immediately that the property (c) holds. Thus, by the Stone-Weierstrass approximation theorem, we have $\overline{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}} = C(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}))$. From this result, the surjectivity of the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} will be obtained once we can show that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is closed in $C(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}))$. To get this, we will prove that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is complete. Let $\{\widehat{a}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$. For each n , let $f_n : K \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be defined by $f_n(t) = a_n$ for all $t \in K$. Then f_n is continuous and $\|f_n\| = \|\widehat{a}_n\|_{\infty}$ for all n . Moreover, $\|f_n - f_m\| = \|\widehat{a}_n - \widehat{a}_m\|_{\infty}$ for all n, m . This implies that $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(C(K, \mathcal{A}), \|\cdot\|)$. It follows by the completeness of $(C(K, \mathcal{A}), \|\cdot\|)$ that there is an $f \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$ such that $\|f_n - f\| \rightarrow 0$. From this, we have that $\widehat{a}_n \rightarrow \widehat{f}(t)$ for each fixed $t \in K$. Therefore, $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is complete. Notice that by the uniqueness of the limit of the sequence $\{\widehat{a}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, we have $\widehat{f}(t) = \widehat{f}(s)$ for all $s, t \in K$. Hence, by the injectivity of the Gelfand representation of \mathcal{A} , we have $f(t) = f(s)$ for all $s, t \in K$. This yields that there is a unique a in \mathcal{A} such that $\widehat{a}_n \rightarrow \widehat{a}$.

We now have the circle $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (1)$. To complete to the proof of the theorem, we will show that the implications $(1) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (3)$ hold. By Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.8, the implication $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$ is immediately obtained, and finally the completeness of $(C(K, \mathcal{A}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ implies that the implication $(4) \Rightarrow (3)$ is true. \square

We end this paper with the following observations.

Remark 2.12. (1) As proved in Example 2.5, the Gelfand representation of $(l^2)_e$ is injective but not surjective, and we can easily see that $(l^2)_e$ possesses the property (\star) . Hence, by Theorem 1.1, we have $\inf \{\|\widehat{x}\|_\infty : x \in (l^2)_e, \|x\| = 1\} = 0$.

(2) By Theorem 2.11, we have for each compact Hausdorff space K that the three sets $C(K, (l^2)_e)$, $C_1^b(K, (l^2)_e)$ and $C_1(K, (l^2)_e)$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ are incomplete normed algebras.

Acknowledgements. We thank the referee for valuable comments which improved the paper. Also, we thank Prof. Sing-Cheong Ong for the suggestion on Example 2.5. This work was supported by Faculty of Science, Silpakorn University, under the grant no. RGP 2553-04.

References

- [1] R. G. Douglas, *Banach Algebra Techniques in Operator Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [2] W. Fupinwong and S. Dhompongsa, *The fixed point property of unital abelian Banach algebras*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2010** (2010), Artical ID 362829, 13 pp.
- [3] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras. Vol. I*, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
- [4] ———, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras. Vol. II*, Academic Press, New York, 1986.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 FACULTY OF SCIENCE
 SILPAKORN UNIVERSITY
 NAKHON PATHOM, 73000, THAILAND
 E-mail address: jitti@su.ac.th