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Abstract. In the present investigation we consider Fekete-Szegö problem with complex parameter $\mu$ and also find upper bound of the second Hankel determinant $\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|$ for functions belonging to a new class $S_{\gamma}^{\tau}(A, B)$ using Toeplitz determinants.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|z|<1\}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ that are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. Further, let $\mathcal{P}$ be the family of functions $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}$ (class of analytic function in $\mathbb{U}$ ) satisfying $p(0)=1$ and $\Re(p(z))>0$.

If $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$, then the function $f$ is said to be subordinate to $g$, written as $f(z) \prec g(z)(z \in \mathbb{U})$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w \in \mathcal{H}$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1(z \in \mathbb{U})$ such that $f(z)=g(w(z))$.
In particular, if $g$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, then we have the following equivalence:

$$
f(z) \prec g(z) \Longleftrightarrow f(0)=g(0) \text { and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})
$$

We now introduce the following class of functions.
Definition 1.1. Let $0 \leqq \gamma \leqq 1, \tau \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $S_{\gamma}^{\tau}(A, B)$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[(1-\gamma) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\gamma f^{\prime}(z)-1\right] \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}(-1 \leqq B<A \leqq 1 ; z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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which is equivalent to saying that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{(1-\gamma) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\gamma f^{\prime}(z)-1}{\tau(A-B)-B\left[(1-\gamma) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\gamma f^{\prime}(z)-1\right]}\right|<1 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The class $S_{\gamma}^{\tau}(A, B)$ is essentially motivated by Swaminathan [25]. We list few particular cases of this class discussed in the literature
[1] $S_{\gamma}^{\tau}(1-2 \beta,-1)=P_{\gamma}^{\tau}(\beta)$ for $0 \leqq \beta<1, \tau=\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ is discussed recently by Swaminathan [25].
[2] The class $S_{\gamma}^{\tau}(1-2 \beta,-1)$ for $\tau=e^{i \eta} \cos \eta$ where $-\pi / 2<\eta<\pi / 2$ is considered in [11].
[3] The class $S_{1}^{\tau}(1-2 \beta,-1)$ is considered in [3].
[4] The class $S_{1}^{\tau}(A, B)=\mathcal{R}^{\tau}(A, B)$ is considered in [6].
[5] The class $S_{1}^{\tau}(A, B)=\mathcal{R}_{0}^{\tau}(A, B)$ is considered in [1, 2].
For more details about these classes see the corresponding references.
Fekete and Szegö proved a noticeable result that the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\lambda a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqq 1+2 \exp \left(\frac{-2 \lambda}{1-\lambda}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any normalized univalent function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}$ and for $0 \leqq \lambda \leqq 1$. This inequality is sharp for each $\lambda$ (see [5] ). The coefficient functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\lambda}(f)=a_{3}-\lambda a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{6}\left(f^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)-\frac{3 \lambda}{2}\left[f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right]^{2}\right), \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

on normalized analytic functions $f$ in the unit disk represents various geometric quantities, for example, when $\lambda=1, \phi_{\lambda}(f)=a_{3}-\lambda a_{2}{ }^{2}$, becomes $S_{f}(0) / 6$, where $S_{f}$ denote the Schwarzian derivative $\left(f^{\prime \prime} / f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-\left(f^{\prime \prime} / f^{\prime}\right)^{2} / 2$ of locally univalent functions $f$ in $\mathbb{U}$. In literature, there exists a large number of results about inequalities for $\phi_{\lambda}(f)$ corresponding to various subclasses of $\mathcal{S}$. The problem of maximizing the absolute value of the functional $\phi_{\lambda}(f)$ is called the Fekete-Szegö problem; see [5]. In [12], Koepf solved the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions and the largest real number $\lambda$ for which $\phi_{\lambda}(f)$ is maximized by the Koebe function $z /(1-z)^{2}$ is $\lambda=1 / 3$, and later in [13] (see also [15]), this result was generalized for functions that are close-to-convex of order $\beta$. One can see [1], [16] and [24] for result concerning to Fekete-Szegö problem for other classes.

In 1976, Noonan and Thomas [19] discussed the $q^{\text {th }}$ Hankel determinant of a locally univalent analytic function $f(z)$ for $q \geqq 1$ and $n \geqq 1$ which is defined by

$$
H_{q}(n)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{n} & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q-1} \\
a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \cdots & a_{n+q} \\
\cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\
a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \cdots & a_{n+2 q-2}
\end{array}\right|
$$

For our present discussion, we consider the Hankel determinant in the case $q=2$ and $n=2$ i.e. $H_{2}(2)=a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}$. This is popularly known as the second Hankel determinant of $f$.

In the present paper we obtain an upper bound to the functional $H_{2}(2)$ for $f(z) \in S_{\gamma}^{\tau}(A, B)$. Earlier Janteng et al. [8, 9], Mishra and Gochhayat [17], Mishra and Kund [18], Bansal [2] and many other author have obtained sharp upper bounds of $\mathrm{H}_{2}(2)$ for different classes of analytic functions. To prove our main results we need the following Lemma:

Lemma 1.1. ([23]) Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n} \prec 1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n} z^{n}=H(z)(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the function $H$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ and $H(\mathbb{U})$ is a convex set, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{n}\right| \leqq\left|C_{1}\right| \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.2. ([4]) Let a function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ be given by the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+c_{3} z^{3}+\ldots(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, the sharp estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{n}\right| \leqq 2(n \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
Lemma 1.3. ([10, 14]) Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ be given by the power series (1.8), then for any complex number $\mu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{2}-\mu c_{1}^{2}\right| \leqq 2 \max \{1,|2 \mu-1|\} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the result is sharp for the functions given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z^{2}} ; p(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.4. ([7]) Let a function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ be given by the power series (1.8), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 c_{2}=c_{1}^{2}+x\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $x,|x| \leqq 1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 c_{3}=c_{1}^{3}+2\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right) c_{1} x-c_{1}\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right) x^{2}+2\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) z, \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $z,|z| \leqq 1$.

## 2. Main Results

We first give the following result related to the coefficient of $f(z) \in S_{\gamma}^{\tau}(A, B)$.
Theorem 2.1. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is of the form (1.1). If $f(z)$ belongs to the class $S_{\gamma}^{\tau}(A, B)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leqq \frac{|\tau|(A-B)}{[1+\gamma(n-1)]}(n \in N \backslash\{1\}) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) belongs to in $S_{\gamma}^{\tau}(A, B)$, then by definition (2.2)

$$
1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[(1-\gamma) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\gamma f^{\prime}(z)-1\right] \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}=h(z)(-1 \leqq B<A \leqq 1 ; z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

where $h(z)$ is obviously convex univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ under the stated conditions on $A$ and $B$. Using (1.1) and doing Binomial expansion of $(1+B z)^{-1}$ in (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[(1-\gamma) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\gamma f^{\prime}(z)-1\right] \\
=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+n \gamma)}{\tau} a_{n+1} z^{n} \prec 1+(A-B) z-B(A-B) z^{2}+\ldots(z \in \mathbb{U}) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, by applying Lemma 1.1, we get the desired result.

It is easy to derive a sufficient condition for $f(z)$ to be in $S_{\gamma}^{\tau}(A, B)$ using standard techniques (see [22]). Hence we state the following result without proof.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then a sufficient condition for $f(z)$ to be in $S_{\gamma}^{\tau}(A, B)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[1+\gamma(n-1)]\left|a_{n}\right| \leqq \frac{|\tau|(A-B)}{|B|+1} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next two theorems we give the result concerning Fekete-Szegö problem and upper bound of Hankel determinant for the class $S_{\gamma}^{\tau}(A, B)$.
Theorem 2.3. Let a function $f(z)$ be given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_{\gamma}^{\tau}(A, B)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqq \gamma \leqq 1, \tau \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\},-1 \leqq B<A \leqq 1 ; z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for any complex number $\mu$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqq \frac{(A-B)|\tau|}{(1+2 \gamma)} \max \left\{1,\left|B+\frac{\mu \tau(A-B)(1+2 \gamma)}{(1+\gamma)^{2}}\right|\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp.
Proof. If $f(z) \in S_{\gamma}^{\tau}(A, B)$, then there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ in $\mathbb{U}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[(1-\gamma) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\gamma f^{\prime}(z)-1\right]=\phi(w(z))(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}=1+(A-B) z-B(A-B) z^{2}+B^{2}(A-B) z^{3}+\ldots  \tag{2.7}\\
=1+B_{1} z+B_{2} z^{2}+B_{3} z^{3}+\ldots . .(z \in \mathbb{U})
\end{gather*}
$$

Define the function $p_{1}(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}(z)=\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)}=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\ldots(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w(z)$ is a Schwarz function, we see that $\Re p_{1}(z)>0$ and $p_{1}(0)=1$. Define the function $h(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[(1-\gamma) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\gamma f^{\prime}(z)-1\right]=1+b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+\ldots .(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the equations $(2.6),(2.8)$ and (2.9), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& h(z)=\phi\left(\frac{p_{1}(z)-1}{p_{1}(z)+1}\right)=\phi\left(\frac{c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+c_{3} z^{3}+\ldots}{2+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+c_{3} z^{3}+\ldots}\right)  \tag{2.10}\\
& =\phi\left(\frac{1}{2} c_{1} z+\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{2}-c_{1}^{2} / 2\right) z^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{3}-c_{1} c_{2}+c_{1}^{3} / 4\right) z^{3}+\ldots\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
=1+\frac{B_{1} c_{1}}{2} z+\left[\frac{B_{1}}{2}\left(c_{2}-c_{1}^{2} / 2\right)+\frac{B_{2} c_{1}^{2}}{4}\right] z^{2}  \tag{2.12}\\
+\left[\frac{B_{1}}{2}\left(c_{3}-c_{1} c_{2}+c_{1}^{3} / 4\right)+\frac{B_{2} c_{1}}{2}\left(c_{2}-c_{1}^{2} / 2\right)+\frac{B_{3} c_{1}^{3}}{8}\right] z^{3}+\ldots
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} c_{1} ; \quad b_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(c_{2}-c_{1}^{2} / 2\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} c_{1}^{2} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{3}=\frac{B_{1}}{2}\left(c_{3}-c_{1} c_{2}+c_{1}^{3} / 4\right)+\frac{B_{2} c_{1}}{2}\left(c_{2}-c_{1}^{2} / 2\right)+\frac{B_{3} c_{1}^{3}}{8} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.7) and (2.9) in (2.13) and (2.14), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=\frac{(A-B) c_{1} \tau}{2(1+\gamma)} ; \quad a_{3}=\frac{\tau(A-B)}{4(1+2 \gamma)}\left[2 c_{2}-c_{1}^{2}(1+B)\right] \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{4}=\frac{\tau(A-B)}{8(1+3 \gamma)}\left[4 c_{3}-4 c_{1} c_{2}(1+B)+c_{1}^{3}(1+B)^{2}\right] \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}=\frac{(A-B) \tau}{2(1+2 \gamma)}\left[c_{2}-\nu c_{1}^{2}\right] \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu=\left(1+B+\frac{\mu \tau(A-B)(1+2 \gamma)}{(1+\gamma)^{2}}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our result now follows by an application of Lemma 1.2. Also by the application of Lemma 1.2 equality in (2.5) is obtained when

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}(z)=\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z^{2}} ; p_{1}(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

but

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[(1-\gamma) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\gamma f^{\prime}(z)-1\right]=\phi\left(\frac{p_{1}(z)-1}{p_{1}(z)+1}\right) . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting value of $p_{1}(z)$ we get the desired results.

Theorem 2.4. Let a function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $S_{\gamma}^{\tau}(A, B)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqq \gamma \leqq 1, \tau \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\},-1 \leqq B<A \leqq 1 ; z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leqq \frac{|\tau|^{2}(A-B)^{2}}{(1+2 \gamma)^{2}} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using (2.15) and (2.16), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|=\frac{|\tau|^{2}(A-B)^{2}}{16(1+\gamma)(1+3 \gamma)} \right\rvert\, 4 c_{1} c_{3}-4 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}(1+B)+c_{1}^{4}(1+B)^{2} \\
& \left.-\frac{(1+\gamma)(1+3 \gamma)}{(1+2 \gamma)^{2}}\left[4 c_{2}^{2}-4 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}(1+B)+c_{1}^{4}(1+B)^{2}\right] \right\rvert\, \\
& =T\left|4 c_{1} c_{3}-4 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}(1+B)+c_{1}^{4}(1+B)^{2}-p\left[4 c_{2}^{2}-4 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}(1+B)+c_{1}^{4}(1+B)^{2}\right]\right| \\
& =T\left|4 c_{1} c_{3}-4 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}(1+B)(1-p)-4 p c_{2}^{2}+c_{1}^{4}(1+B)^{2}(1-p)\right| . \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{|\tau|^{2}(A-B)^{2}}{16(1+\gamma)(1+3 \gamma)} \text { and } p=\frac{(1+\gamma)(1+3 \gamma)}{(1+2 \gamma)^{2}} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be easily verified that for $0 \leqq \gamma \leqq 1, p \in\left[\frac{8}{9}, 1\right]$. The above equation (2.23) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|=T\left|d_{1} c_{1} c_{3}+d_{2} c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+d_{3} c_{2}^{2}+d_{4} c_{1}^{4}\right| \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1}=4 ; \quad d_{2}=-4(1+B)(1-p) ; \quad d_{3}=-4 p ; \quad d_{4}=(1-p)(1+B)^{2} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the functions $p(z)$ and $p\left(e^{i \theta} z\right)(\theta \in \mathbb{R})$ are members of the class $\mathcal{P}$ simultaneously, we assume without loss of generality that $c_{1}>0$. For convenience of notation, we take $c_{1}=c\left(c \in[0,2]\right.$, see(1.7)). Also, substituting the values of $c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ respectively, from (1.12) and (1.13) in (2.25), we have

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|=
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\frac{T}{4} \right\rvert\, c^{4}\left(d_{1}+2 d_{2}+d_{3}+4 d_{4}\right)+2 x c^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}\right)+\left(4-c^{2}\right) x^{2}\left(-d_{1} c^{2}+d_{3}\left(4-c^{2}\right)\right) \\
+2 d_{1} c\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right) z \mid
\end{gathered}
$$

An application of triangle inequality, replacement of $|x|$ by $\mu$ and substituting the values of $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}$ and $d_{4}$ from (2.26), we have
$\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leqq \frac{T}{4}\left[4 c^{4}(1-p) B^{2}\right.$

$$
\left.+8|B|(1-p) \mu c^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\left(4-c^{2}\right) \mu^{2}\left(4 c^{2}+4 p\left(4-c^{2}\right)\right)+8 c\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)\right]
$$

(2.27)
$=T\left[c^{4}(1-p) B^{2}+2 c\left(4-c^{2}\right)+2 \mu|B|(1-p) c^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)+\mu^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(c^{2}(1-p)-2 c+4 p\right)\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=F(c, \mu)(\text { say }) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we assume that the upper bound for (2.28) occurs at an interior point of the rectangle $[0,2] \times[0,1]$. Differentiating $F(c, \mu)$ in (2.28) partially with respect to $\mu$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mu}=T\left[2|B|(1-p) c^{2}\left(4-c^{2}\right)+2 \mu\left(4-c^{2}\right)\left(c^{2}(1-p)-2 c+4 p\right)\right] \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0<\mu<1$ and for any fixed $c$ with $0<c<2$, from (2.29), we observe that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mu}>0$. Therefore $F(c, \mu)$ is an increasing function of $\mu$, which contradicts our assumption that the maximum value of $F(c, \mu)$ occurs at an interior point of the rectangle $[0,2] \times[0,1]$. Moreover, for fixed $c \in[0,2]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Max} F(c, \mu)=F(c, 1)=G(c)(s a y) \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(c)=T\left[c^{4}(1-p)\left(B^{2}-2|B|-1\right)+4 c^{2}(2|B|(1-p)+1-2 p)+16 p\right] \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next,

$$
\begin{align*}
& G^{\prime}(c)=4 c T\left[c^{2}(1-p)\left(B^{2}-2|B|-1\right)+2(2|B|(1-p)+1-2 p)\right]  \tag{2.32}\\
& \quad=4 c T\left[c^{2}(1-p)\left(B^{2}-2|B|-1\right)+2\{(1-p)[2|B|+1]-p\}\right] \tag{2.33}
\end{align*}
$$

So $G^{\prime}(c)<0$ for $0<c<2$ and has real critical point at $c=0$. Also $G(c)>G(2)$. Therefore, maximum of $G(c)$ occurs at $c=0$. Therefore, the upper bound of $F(c, \mu)$ corresponds to $\mu=1$ and $c=0$. Hence,

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leqq 16 p T=\frac{|\tau|^{2}(A-B)^{2}}{(1+2 \gamma)^{2}}
$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

Acknowledgment. The present investigation of the author is supported by Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi, Government of India under Sanction Letter No. SR/FTP/MS-015/2010.

## References

[1] D. Bansal, Fekete-Szegö problem for a new class of analytic functions, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., (2011), doi:10.1155/2011/143096.
[2] D. Bansal, Upper bound of second Hankel determinant for a new class of analytic functions, Appl. Math. Lett., (2012), doi:10.1016/j.aml.2012.04.002.
[3] K. K. Dixit and S. K. Pal, On a class of univalent functions related to complex order, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 26(9)(1995), 889-896.
[4] P. L. Duren, Univalent Functions, vol. 259 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, Tokyo, 1983.
[5] M. Fekete and G. Szegö, Eine bemerkung uber ungerade schlichten funktionene, J. London. Math. Soc., 8(1993), 85-89.
[6] A. Gangadharan, T. N. Shanmugam and H. M. Srivastava, Generalized hypergeometric functions associate with $k$-uniformly convex functions, Comput. Math. Appl., 44(2002), 1515-1526.
[7] U. Grenanderand G. Szego, Toeplitz forms and their application, Univ. of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1958.
[8] A. Janteng, S. A. Halim and M. Darus, Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions, Int. J. Math. Anal., 1(13)(2007), 619-625.
[9] A. Janteng, S. A. Halim and M. Darus, Coefficient inequality for a function whose derivative has a positive real part, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math., 7(2)(2006), Art. 50, 1-5.
[10] F. R. Keogh and E. P. Merkes, A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 20(1969), 8-12.
[11] Y. C. Kim and F. Rønning, Integral tranform of certain subclasses of analytic functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 258(2001), 466-486.
[12] W. Koepf, On the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 101(1)(1987), 89-95.
[13] W. Koepf, On the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions II, Archiv derMathematik, 49(5)(1987), 420-433.
[14] R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz, Coefficient bounds for the inverse of a function with derivative in $\mathcal{P}$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 87(2)(1983), 251-257.
[15] R. R. London, Fekete-Szegö inequalities for close-to-convex functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 117(4)(1993), 947-950.
[16] W. C. Ma and D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, In Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis, Z. Li, F. Ren, L. Lang, and S. Zhang (Eds.), Int. Press (1994), 157-169.
[17] A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, Second Hankel Determinant for a class of Analytic Functions Defined by Fractional Derivative, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., (2008), doi:10.1155/2008/153280.
[18] A. K. Mishra and S. N. Kund, Second Hankel determinant for a class of functions defined by the Carlson-Shaffer operator, Tamkang J. Math., 44(1)(2013), 73-82.
[19] J. W. Noonan and D. K. Thomas, On the second Hankel determinant of areally mean p-valent functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 223(2)(1976), 337-346.
[20] S. Ponnusamy and F. Rønning, Integral transform of a class of analytic functions, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ., 53(5)(2008), 423-434.
[21] S. Ponnusamy, Neighborhoods and Carathéodory functions, J. Anal., 4(1996), 41-51.
[22] R. K. Raina and D. Bansal, Integral means inequalities, neighborhood properties and other properties involving certain integral operators for a class of rational functions, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 9(2008), 1-9.
[23] W. Rogosinski, On the coefficients of subordinate functions, Proc. London Math. Soc., 48(1943), 48-82.
[24] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra and M. K. Das, Fekete-Szegö problem for a subclass of close-to-convex functions, Complex Var. Theory Appl., 44(2001), 145-163.
[25] A. Swaminathan, Certain sufficiency conditions on Gaussian hypergeometric functions, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math., 5(4)(2004), Art.3, 1-10.

