

## ON THE LAST DIGIT AND THE LAST NON-ZERO DIGIT OF $n^n$ IN BASE $b$

JOSÉ MARÍA GRAU AND ANTONIO M. OLLER-MARCÉN

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we study the sequences defined by the last and the last non-zero digits of  $n^n$  in base  $b$ . For the sequence given by the last digits of  $n^n$  in base  $b$ , we prove its periodicity using different techniques than those used by W. Sierpinski and R. Hampel. In the case of the sequence given by the last non-zero digits of  $n^n$  in base  $b$  (which had been studied only for  $b = 10$ ) we show the non-periodicity of the sequence when  $b$  is an odd prime power and when it is even and square-free. We also show that if  $b = 2^{2^s}$  the sequence is periodic and conjecture that this is the only such case.

### 1. Introduction

The study of the last digit of the elements in a sequence is a recurrent topic in number theory. In this sense, one of the most studied sequences is, of course, the Fibonacci sequence which was already studied by Lagrange observing that the last digit of the Fibonacci sequence repeats with period 60 (see [8]). In any base  $b$ , the sequence of Fibonacci modulo  $b$  is also periodic [14] and the periods  $\pi(b)$  for each base  $b$  (see [6, 9] for some of their properties) are called Pisano periods (Sloane's OEIS A001175). These periods have been conjectured to satisfy the relation  $\pi(p^e) = p^{e-1}\pi(p)$  which is called Wall's conjecture and that has been verified for primes up to  $10^{14}$ . Primes for which this relation fails (if any exists) are called Wall-Sun-Sun primes.

There are many other examples of works of similar orientation. In [11], for instance, the last decimal digit of  $\binom{2n}{n}$  and  $\sum \binom{n}{i} \binom{2n-2i}{n-i}$  is explicitly computed and D. B. Shapiro and S. D. Shapiro show in [10], among other results, that the sequence  $k, k^k, k^{k^k}, \dots, k \uparrow \uparrow n, \dots \pmod{b}$  is eventually constant.

In this paper we focus on the sequence  $n^n$ . The study of the residues of this sequence was started by W. Sierpinski who, in his 1950 paper [12], proved that the last digits of the numbers  $n^n$  form a periodic sequence whose shortest period consists of 20 terms. More generally, it was proved that, for every

---

Received April 13, 2012; Revised October 16, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B50.

*Key words and phrases.* last digit, last non-zero digit,  $n^n$ .

positive integer  $b$ , the sequence  $LD_b(n)$  consisting of the residues mod  $b$  of the numbers  $n^n$  form an infinite, eventually periodical, sequence. In 1955, R. Hampel (see [7]) proved that the period of  $LD_b(n)$  (Sloane's OEIS A174824) is  $\text{lcm}(b, \lambda(b))$ , where  $\lambda$  is the Carmichael function. Moreover, he proved that if  $b = \prod_{i=1}^t p_i^{s_i}$ , the sequence is periodic if and only if  $s_i \leq p_i$  and that periodicity starts with the maximum of the numbers  $\eta_i := 1 - p_i(1 + \lceil -\frac{s_i}{p_i} \rceil)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . These results were established first in the prime case (by Sierpinski), then in the prime power case, and finally in general. The methods of the proof lie in the theory of linear congruences and frequent use is made of the Euler-Fermat congruence and of the properties of primitive roots. It seems remarkable to us the fact that this work by Hampel was not cited in recent work on this topic, such [1, 2, 3, 4, 5].

In a somewhat different direction we find the works by R. Crocker [1, 2] and L. Somer [13] where they study the number of residues (mod  $p$ ) of  $n^n$ , for  $n$  between 1 and  $p$ . More recently the interest on the sequence  $n^n$  was revived by G. Dresden in [4], where he established the non-periodicity of the last non-zero digit of the decimal expansion of this sequence and in [3], where he proves that the number formed by these digits is transcendental.

In this paper we will study the periodicity of the sequence  $L_b(n^n)$  giving the last non-zero digit of the expansion of  $n^n$  in an arbitrary base  $b$ . Let us see some examples:

$$L_2(n^n) : 1, 1, 1, 1, \dots$$

$$L_4(n^n) : 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, \dots, 1, 1, 3, 1, \dots$$

$$L_9(n^n) : 1, 4, 3, 4, 2, 1, 7, 1, 1, 1, 5, 1, 4, 7, 6, 7, 8, 1, 1, 4, 3, \dots$$

$$L_{12}(n^n) : 1, 4, 3, 4, 5, 3, 7, 4, 9, 4, 11, 1, 1, 4, 3, 4, 5, 3, 7, 4, 9, 4, 11, 4, 1, \dots$$

$$L_{16}(n^n) : 1, 4, 11, 1, 5, 4, 7, 1, 9, 4, 3, 1, 13, 4, 15, 1, \dots, 1, 4, 11, 1, 5, 4, 7, 1, \\ 9, 4, 3, 1, 13, 4, 15, 1, \dots$$

Note that for  $b = 2, 4, 16$  the sequence  $L_b(n^n)$  seems to be periodic, while this is not the case for  $b = 9, 12$ . Regarding this periodicity, our main results are the following.

- i) For every even and square-free integer  $b \geq 4$  the sequence  $L_b(n^n)$  is not eventually periodic (Proposition 6).
- ii) If  $p$  is a prime, the sequence  $L_{p^t}(n^n)$  is eventually periodic only if  $p = 2$  and  $t = 2^s$ . Moreover, in that case the sequence is periodic (Theorem 7).

With these results we have proved that  $L_b(n^n)$  is periodic for  $b = 2$  and 4 and also that it is not periodic for  $b = 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$  and 11. The case  $b = 12$  is the first one for which we don't have a proof yet.

Our paper is organized as follows. In the second section we revisit, using different techniques, the work by Sierpinski and Hampel. In the third section we focus on the last non-zero digit of  $n^n$  in base  $b$ . In particular we establish

the non-periodicity of this sequence when  $b$  is an odd prime power or an even square-free integer. We also show that if  $b = 2^{2^s}$  the sequence is periodic and conjecture that this is the only such case.

## 2. The last digit of $n^n$ in base $b$

The results that we present in this section were already proved in [7, 12]. We revisit them using quite different techniques.

We will start with some notation. Given  $n, b \in \mathbb{N}$  we consider the following functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(b) &:= \text{lcm}(b, \lambda(b)), \\ LD_b(n) &:= n^n \pmod{b}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $LD_b(n)$  gives the last digit of  $n^n$  in base  $b$ . We are interested in studying the behavior of this sequence. A first step in this direction is given in the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.** *For every  $b \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $LD_b(n)$  be the sequence defined above. Let  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  and put  $M = \prod_{i=1}^t p_i^{k_i}$  with  $t > 0$  its prime power decomposition. Then  $LD_b(M) = LD_b(M + \mathcal{H}(b))$  if and only if  $p_i^{k_i M + 1} \nmid b$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $b = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_t^{a_t} q_1^{r_1} \cdots q_s^{r_s}$  the prime-power decomposition of  $b$  ( $q_i \neq p_j$ ). We have that  $M + \mathcal{H}(b) \equiv M \pmod{b}$ . Also, since  $\lambda(q_i^{r_i}) \mid \lambda(b)$ , then  $\lambda(q_i^{r_i}) \mid \mathcal{H}(b)$  and so we have that  $M^{\mathcal{H}(b)} \equiv 1 \pmod{q_i^{r_i}}$  and it follows that  $M^M \equiv (M + \mathcal{H}(b))^{M + \mathcal{H}(b)} \pmod{q_i^{r_i}}$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ . As a consequence  $M^M \not\equiv (M + \mathcal{H}(b))^{M + \mathcal{H}(b)} \pmod{b}$  if and only if  $M^M \not\equiv (M + \mathcal{H}(b))^{M + \mathcal{H}(b)} \pmod{p_i^{a_i}}$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ . Clearly, this happens if and only if  $M^M (M^{\mathcal{H}(b)} - 1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p_i^{a_i}}$ . But, since  $p_i$  does not divide  $M^{\mathcal{H}(b)} - 1$ , this happens if and only if  $M^M \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p_i^{a_i}}$ . Finally,  $M^M = \prod_{i=1}^t p_i^{k_i M} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p_i^{a_i}}$  if and only if  $a_i \geq k_i M + 1$ ; i.e., if and only if  $p_i^{k_i M + 1} \mid b$ .  $\square$

This result clearly implies that the sequence  $LD_b(n)$  is eventually periodic, a fact which was first proved by Sierpinski [12], but it also determines that this period must be a divisor of  $\mathcal{H}(b)$  (this was proved later by Hampel [7]). Moreover, the proposition above determines the finite number of values that keep the sequence from being periodic, a question which was not studied by Sierpinski or Hampel.

The next results are devoted to showing that the period is exactly  $\mathcal{H}(b)$ . Recall that the *radical* of an integer  $n$ ,  $\text{rad}(n)$ , is its largest square-free divisor.

**Proposition 2.** *If  $LD_b(n) = LD_b(n + T)$  for every sufficiently large  $n$ , then  $b$  divides  $T$ .*

*Proof.* We can choose  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{b}$  and it follows that  $T^{n+T} \equiv 0 \pmod{b}$ . This implies that  $\text{rad}(b) \mid T$ .

Now,  $n^n \equiv (n + T)^{n+T} \pmod{\text{rad}(b)}$  for every sufficiently large  $n$ , so we have that  $n^n \equiv n^{n+T} \pmod{\text{rad}(b)}$ . We can now choose another value for  $n$

such that  $\gcd(n, b) = 1$  and thus  $n^T \equiv 1 \pmod{\text{rad}(b)}$ . From this, it follows that  $\varphi(\text{rad}(b)) \mid T$ ; i.e., if  $b = p_1^{b_1} \cdots p_s^{b_s}$ , then  $(p_1 - 1) \cdots (p_s - 1) \mid T$ .

If we now choose  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$ , then it follows that  $(T + 1)^{n+T} \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$ . Since  $\text{rad}(b)$  divides  $T$ , we have that  $\gcd(T + 1, b) = 1$  and, consequently, that  $\gcd(T + 1, p_i^{b_i}) = 1$ . Thus, Euler’s theorem and Bezout’s identity lead to  $(T + 1)^{\gcd(\varphi(p_i^{b_i}), n+T)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i^{b_i}}$ .

Suppose that  $p_i \mid n + T$ . Then, since  $p_i \mid T$  it follows that  $p_i \mid n$ , but this is a contradiction because  $p_i \mid n - 1$ . So, we know that  $p_i \nmid n + T$  and so we can conclude that  $\gcd(\varphi(p_i^{b_i}), n + T) = \gcd(n + T, p_i - 1)$ . On the other hand, it can be easily seen that, from our conclusion in the second paragraph (that  $p_i - 1 \mid T$ ), we have  $\gcd(n + T, p_i - 1) = \gcd(n, p_i - 1)$ . We combine this with our previous paragraph to get  $(T + 1)^{\gcd(n, p_i - 1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$  for every sufficiently large  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$ .

We can now choose  $n = k(p_1 - 1) \cdots (p_s - 1)b + 1$  with  $k$  such that  $n \geq n_0$ . It is clear that  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$  and, moreover,  $\gcd(n, p_i - 1) = 1$ . Thus we obtain that  $(T + 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$  and the result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *If  $LD_b(n) = LD_b(n + T)$  for every sufficiently large  $n$ , then  $\lambda(b)$  divides  $T$ .*

*Proof.* From Proposition 2,  $b \mid T$ . Thus,  $LD_b(n) = LD_b(n + T)$  implies that  $n^n \equiv (n + T)^{n+T} \equiv n^{n+T} \pmod{b}$  and, consequently, that  $n^n(n^T - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{b}$  for every sufficiently large  $n$ . There is no problem in choosing  $n$  such that  $\gcd(n, b) = 1$ , and then  $n^T \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$  for every sufficiently large  $n$  coprime to  $b$ . This clearly completes the proof.  $\square$

The last two results of this section were already proved by Hampel [7, pages 365–366]. Here we obtain them in a different way as a consequence of our previous results.

**Corollary 4.** *Given  $b \in \mathbb{N}$ , the sequence  $LD_b(n)$  is eventually periodic of period  $\mathcal{H}(b)$ .*

*Proof.* Due to Proposition 1, the sequence  $LD_b(n)$  is eventually periodic and its period must divide  $\mathcal{H}(b)$ . Now, let  $T$  be the period. Proposition 2 and Corollary 1 imply that  $b$  and  $\lambda(b)$  both divide  $T$  and hence the result.  $\square$

We have seen that  $LD_b(n)$  is eventually periodic. It is also interesting to study in which cases this sequence is periodic.

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $b = \prod_{i=1}^t p_i^{a_i}$ . The sequence  $LD_b(n)$  is periodic if and only if  $a_i \leq p_i$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $LD_b(n)$  is periodic. From Corollary 4, we know that it has period  $\mathcal{H}(b)$ . Then  $LD_b(p_i) = LD_b(p_i + \mathcal{H}(b))$  for every  $i$  so Proposition 1 implies that  $p_i^{p_i+1}$  does not divide  $p_i^{a_i}$ ; i.e.,  $p_i \geq a_i$  for every  $i$  as claimed.

Conversely, assume that  $a_i \leq p_i$  for every  $i$ .

Let  $n = p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_t^{k_t}$  be an integer such that the primes in its decomposition are the same than those in the decomposition of  $b$ . If  $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$  is such that  $k_i \neq 0$  we have that  $a_i \leq p_i \leq k_i p_i^{k_i} \leq k_i n < k_i n + 1$  so by Proposition 1 again  $LD_b(n) = LD_b(n + \mathcal{H}(b))$ .

On the other hand, if  $\gcd(n, b) = 1$  we have that  $LD_b(n) = LD_b(n + \mathcal{H}(b))$  since  $\lambda(b)$  divides  $\mathcal{H}(b)$ .

To finish the proof it is enough to observe that every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  can be written in the form  $n = n_1 n_2$  with  $\gcd(n_2, b) = 1$  and to reason like in the previous cases. □

### 3. The last non-zero digit of $n^n$ in base $b$

In the previous section we have proved that the sequence  $LD_b(n) = n^n \pmod{b}$  which gives the last digit of  $n^n$  is eventually periodic. For instance, if  $b = 3$  the first elements of  $LD_3(n)$  are:

$$1, 1, 0, 1, 2, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 2, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 2, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 2, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 2, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 2, 0, 1, \dots$$

and the periodic part is  $(1, 1, 0, 1, 2, 0)$ . We can see that there are many zeros in the previous sequence, in fact if  $3 \mid n$ , then clearly  $LD_3(n) = 0$ . We wonder what will happen if we consider the sequence given by the last non-zero digit of  $n^n$  instead. In this case the 0's will disappear and they will be replaced by 1 or 2 and periodicity could be possibly broken. For the case  $b = 10$  it is well-known (see [4]) that the sequence given by the last non-zero digit of  $n^n$  in base 10 is not eventually periodic. In this section we will focus on the behavior of this sequence for some choices of  $b$ . In particular we will study the case when  $b$  is a square-free even integer and when it is a prime power.

Before we proceed, we will introduce some notation. In what follows  $L_b(n)$  will denote the last non-zero digit of  $n$  in base  $b$ . Observe that if  $b \nmid n$ , then  $L_b(n) \equiv n \pmod{b}$ . For every  $b \in \mathbb{N}$  we will consider the sequence  $L_b(n^n)$ ; i.e., the last non-zero digit of  $n^n$ .

#### 3.1. The even square-free case

We will show in this subsection that  $L_b(n^n)$  is not eventually periodic when  $b$  is a square-free even integer. Our proof will be simpler than the one given in [4] for the case  $b = 10$ .

We will start with a series of technical lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $a(n)$  be a sequence such that  $a(n) \in \{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $a(n)$  is eventually periodic, then the set  $\Theta(e_i) := \{n : a(n) = e_i\}$  is (possibly with the exception of a finite number of elements) the union of a finite number of arithmetic sequences.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $a(n)$  is periodic with period  $T$  and put  $n_{0,i} = \min \Theta(e_i)$ . Clearly  $n_{0,i} + kT \in \Theta(e_i)$  for every  $k$ . Let  $\{n_{1,i}, \dots, n_{m_i,i}\} = \Theta(e_i) \cap (n_{0,i}, n_{0,i} +$

$T$ ). We claim that

$$\Theta(e_i) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{m_i} \{n_{j,i} + kT : k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

For let  $n \in \Theta(e_i)$ . Then there must exist  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n_{0,i} + kT \leq n < n_{0,i} + (k + 1)T$ . But in this case  $n_{0,i} \leq n - kT < n_{0,i} + T$  so  $n - kT = n_{j,i}$  for some  $j \in \{0, \dots, m_i\}$  as claimed.

If  $a(n)$  is not periodic, but eventually periodic, we can reason in the same way but a finite number of initial terms must be considered separately and the result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $b$  be an even square-free integer and put  $b = 2m$ . Then  $\Theta(m) := \{n : L_b(n^n) = m\} = \{n : L_b(n) = m\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n = b^r n'$  with  $r \geq 0$  and  $b$  not dividing  $n'$ .

$L_b(n^n) = m$  if and only if  $(n')^n \equiv m \pmod{b}$ . This implies that  $(n')^n \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  and  $(n')^n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  simultaneously. But,  $b$  being square-free, it follows that  $n' \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  and  $n' \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ; i.e.,  $m \equiv (n')^n \equiv n' \pmod{b}$ . Thus  $L_b(n) = L_b(n') \equiv n' \equiv m \pmod{b}$ .

Since the steps above are reversible the proof is complete.  $\square$

For  $b = 2m$  (both fixed values), define the following family of sets:

$$\mathcal{C}_j := \{mb^{j-1} + kb^j : k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{C}_j$  is just the set of numbers whose  $j^{\text{th}}$  digit is  $m$ , followed by  $j - 1$  zeroes.

Observe that  $\mathcal{C}_j \subset \Theta(m)$  (as defined in Lemma 2) and the previous lemma implies that

$$\Theta(m) = \bigcup_{j \geq 1} \mathcal{C}_j.$$

We are now in the conditions to prove the following result.

**Proposition 6.** *For every even and square-free integer  $b \geq 4$ , the sequence  $L_b(n^n)$  is not eventually periodic.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $L_b(n^n)$  is eventually periodic, and as before write  $b = 2m$ . Then, due to Lemma 1 it follows that (with the exception of a finite number of elements) the set  $\Theta(m)$  is a finite union of arithmetic sequences; i.e.,  $\Theta(m) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r A_i$ . To prove the result we can put aside, without loss of generality, the finite number of elements which do not lie in this finite union of arithmetic sequences.

Let  $a_{0,i} = \min A_i$  so that  $A_i = \{a_{0,i} + kd_i : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  for every  $i$ . If we denote by  $a_{k,i} = a_{0,i} + kd_i$  let us see that for every value of  $i$ ,  $a_{k,i}$  is in a single fixed  $\mathcal{C}_j$ .

First, let us define the  $\text{index}_b(n)$  to be the number of trailing zeroes of  $n$  when written in base  $b$ . So,  $b^{\text{index}_b(n)}$  is the largest power of  $b$  that divides into  $n$ . With this definition  $\mathcal{C}_j$  is simply the set of numbers with index  $j - 1$  and

with last non-zero digit  $m$ . Now we have to consider two separate cases for the relative indices of  $d_i$  and  $a_{0,i}$ :

- a) If  $\text{index}_b(d_i) > \text{index}_b(a_{0,i})$ , then  $\text{index}_b(a_{0,i}) = \text{index}_b(a_{0,i} + kd_i)$  which equals  $\text{index}_b(a_{k,i})$ . Thus, if we set  $j - 1 = \text{index}_b(a_{0,i})$ , we have  $a_{k,i} \in \mathcal{C}_j$  for all  $k$ , and so  $A_i \subseteq \mathcal{C}_j$ .
- b) On the other hand, if  $\text{index}_b(d_i) \leq \text{index}_b(a_{0,i})$ , we will eventually arrive at a contradiction. First, note that we can find a  $k$  to make  $\text{index}_b(a_{k,i})$  as large as desired. This is because the last non-zero digit of  $a_{k,i}$  and of  $d_i$  must always be  $m$  (as per our definition of  $\mathcal{C}_j$ ), and given a particular  $a_{k,i}$ , then the term  $a_{k,i} + b^{\text{index}_b(a_{k,i}) - \text{index}_b(d_i)} \cdot d_i$ , being the sum of two numbers of the same index that both have last non-zero digit  $m$ , will of necessity have a larger index. Second, for reasons to be made clear in a moment, choose a  $w$  such that  $w + \text{index}_b(d_i) > w \log_b(2) + \log_b(d_i)$ . Since  $b \geq 4$ , then  $0 < \log_b(2) < 1$  and so such a  $w$  certainly exists. Now, choose a  $k$  such that  $a_{k,i}$  has such a large index (that is, such a large number of trailing zeros) that the number of trailing zeros surpasses the number of digits of  $2^w d_i$ . That is, when we add  $a_{k,i}$  and  $w^w d_i$ , then there is no overlap in the non-zero digits. But now we will arrive at our contradiction:
  - i) For the set  $\{a_{k,i} + d_i, a_{k,i} + 2d_i, a_{k,i} + 2^2 d_i, a_{k,i} + 2^3 d_i, \dots, a_{k,i} + 2^w d_i\}$ , each term has last non-zero digit  $m$ , and since  $a_{k,i}$  has such a large number of trailing zeros, then the last non-zero digit of each  $\{a_{k,i} + d_i, a_{k,i} + 2d_i, a_{k,i} + 2^2 d_i, \dots\}$  is given by the last non-zero digit of  $\{d_i, 2d_i, 2^2 d_i, \dots\}$ .
  - ii) But each of these has last non-zero digit equal to  $m$ , so this is only possible if the index increases each time we multiply by 2. That is, the index of  $2^w d_i$  must be at least  $w + \text{index}_b(d_i)$ .
  - iii) But the number of digits of  $2^w d_i$  is bounded above by  $\log_b 2^w d_i = w \log_b 2 + \log_b d_i$ .
  - iv) Since  $w$  is chosen so that  $w + \text{index}_b(d_i) > w \log_b(2) + \log_b(d_i)$ , then the number of trailing zeros of  $2^w d_i$  is more than the number of digits of  $2^w d_i$ , an obvious contradiction.

We conclude that each  $A_i$  is contained in some fixed  $\mathcal{C}_j$ . This clearly contradicts the fact that  $\Theta(m) = \cup_{j \geq 1} \mathcal{C}_j$  and the proof is finished.  $\square$

### 3.2. The prime power case

In this section we focus on the behavior of the sequence  $L_{p^t}(n^n)$  with  $p$  a prime and  $t \geq 1$ . Since this situation is rather different from the situation of the previous section we will have to use different techniques here. In fact we have to study the case  $t = 1$  separately.

**3.2.1. The case  $t = 1$ .** To study the behavior of the sequence  $L_p(n^n)$  for every prime  $p$  we will make use of some kind of “fractality” of this sequence, which is established in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.** *If  $p$  is a prime, then  $L_p(n^n) = L_p((pn)^{pn})$ .*

*Proof.* If  $p \nmid n$ , then since  $p \nmid n^n$ , we have that  $L_p(n^n) \equiv n^n \pmod{p}$ . On the other hand,  $L_p((pn)^{pn}) = L_p(p^{pn}n^{pn}) = L_p(n^{pn}) \equiv n^{pn} \equiv n^n \pmod{p}$ .

Now, if  $n = p^m n'$  with  $p \nmid n'$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} L_p((pn)^{pn}) &= L_p(p^{pn}n^{pn}) = L_p(n^{pn}) = L_p(p^{mpn}(n')^{pn}) \\ &= L_p((n')^{pn}) \equiv (n')^{pn} = (n')^{p^{m+1}n'} \equiv (n')^{n'} \pmod{p}, \end{aligned}$$

while:

$$L_p(n^n) = L_p(p^{mn}(n')^n) = L_p((n')^{p^m n'}) \equiv (n')^{p^m n'} \equiv (n')^{n'} \pmod{p}$$

and hence the result. □

The previous lemma gives us, in addition, some information about the period of  $L_p(n^n)$ , if it exists.

**Lemma 4.** *If the sequence  $L_p(n^n)$  is eventually periodic of period  $T$ , then  $p \nmid T$*

*Proof.* If  $p \mid T$  write  $T = pT'$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} L_p(n^n) &= L_p((pn)^{pn}) = L_p((pn + T)^{pn+T}) \\ &= L_p((pn + pT')^{pn+pT'}) = L_p((n + T')^{n+T'}) \end{aligned}$$

with  $T' < T$ , a contradiction. □

The next proposition proves the non-periodicity of  $L_p(n^n)$  if  $p$  is odd.

**Proposition 7.** *If  $p$  is an odd prime, the sequence  $L_p(n^n)$  is not eventually periodic.*

*Proof.* Assume, on the contrary, that the sequence is eventually periodic; i.e., that  $L_p(n^n) = L_p((n + T)^{n+T})$  eventually, with minimal  $T$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $p \mid n$ .

Take  $n = p^m n'$  with  $p \nmid n'$ . Like in Lemma 3 above,  $L_p(n^n) \equiv (n')^{n'} \pmod{p}$ . Now, since  $p \mid n$  but  $p \nmid T$ , it follows that  $p \nmid (n + T)^{n+T}$  and thus:

$$L_p((n + T)^{n+T}) \equiv (n + T)^{n+T} \equiv T^{n+T} \equiv T^{n'+T} \pmod{p}.$$

We have thus seen that for every  $n'$  such that  $p \nmid n'$ ,  $T^{n'+T} \equiv (n')^{n'} \pmod{p}$ .

If we take  $n' = 1$  it follows that  $T^{T+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . If we take  $n' = p - 1$  (recall that  $p \neq 2$ ) it follows that  $T^T \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . This facts together imply that  $T \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  but this would imply that  $(n')^{n'} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  for every  $n'$  with  $p \nmid n'$ . This is a contradiction to Lemma 4 and the proof is complete. □

To complete the study in this case it is enough to observe that  $L_2(n^n)$  is obviously constant with  $L_2(n^n) = 1$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  because, in base 2, the last non-zero digit of any number is 1.



**3.2.2. The case  $t > 1$ .** Now, we turn to the sequence  $L_{p^t}(n^n)$  with  $p$  an odd prime and  $t > 1$ . In this case we have the following analogue of Lemma 3 to describe the “fractality” of  $L_{p^t}(n^n)$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $t > 1$  be any integer. Then  $L_{p^t}((p^t n)^{p^t n}) = L_{p^t}((p^{t+\varphi(t)} n)^{p^{t+\varphi(t)} n})$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $n = p^m n'$  with  $m \geq 0$  and  $p \nmid n'$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{p^t}((p^t n)^{p^t n}) &= L_{p^t}((p^{m+t} n')^{p^{m+t} n'}) = L_{p^t} \left( p^{(m+t)p^t n} (n')^{p^t n} \right) \\ &= L_{p^t} \left( p^{mp^t n} (n')^{p^t n} \right) \equiv p^\alpha (n')^{p^t n} \pmod{p^t}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}$  is the class of  $mp^t n$  modulo  $t$ .

On the other hand it can be seen in the same way that:

$$L_{p^t}((p^{t+\varphi(t)} n)^{p^{t+\varphi(t)} n}) \equiv p^\beta (n')^{p^{t+\varphi(t)} n} \pmod{p^t},$$

where  $\beta \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}$  is the class of  $mp^{t+\varphi(t)} n$  modulo  $t$ .

Now, to finish the proof it is enough to show that  $p^\alpha (n')^{p^t n} \equiv p^\beta (n')^{p^{t+\varphi(t)} n} \pmod{p^t}$ . Obviously  $p^{t+\varphi(t)} \equiv p^t \pmod{t}$ , thus  $\alpha = \beta$  and since  $p^{t+\varphi(t)} = p \frac{p^{\varphi(t)} - 1}{p-1} \varphi(p^t) + p^t$  it follows (recall that  $p \nmid n'$ ) that  $(n')^{p^{t+\varphi(t)} n} \equiv (n')^{p^t n} \pmod{p^t}$  and we are done.  $\square$

Let us now define the sequence  $S(n) := L_{p^t}((p^t n)^{p^t n})$ . The following result summarizes some properties of this sequence.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $S(n)$  the sequence defined above. Then, the following properties hold:*

- i)  $S(n) = S(p^{\varphi(t)} n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- ii) If  $S(n)$  is (eventually) periodic of period  $T$ , then  $p \nmid T$ .
- iii) If  $L_{p^t}(n^n)$  is (eventually) periodic of period  $T$ , then  $S(n)$  is also (eventually) periodic and its period divides  $T$ .

*Proof.* i) This is the previous lemma.

ii) If  $p \mid T$ , then  $T = pT'$  and we have that  $S(n) = S(p^{\varphi(t)} n) = S(p^{\varphi(t)} n + p^{\varphi(t)-1} T) = S(p^{\varphi(t)} n + p^{\varphi(t)} T') = S(n + T')$  with  $T' < T$ , a contradiction.

iii) Let  $T$  be the period of  $L_{p^t}(n^n)$ . Then  $S(n) = L_{p^t}((p^t n)^{p^t n}) = L_{p^t}((p^t n + p^t T)^{p^t n + p^t T}) = S(n + T)$  as claimed.  $\square$

As a consequence of the previous lemma, to prove that  $L_{p^t}(n^n)$  is not eventually periodic it is enough to see that neither is  $S(n)$ .

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and  $S(n) = L_{p^t}((p^t n)^{p^t n})$ . If  $S(n)$  is eventually periodic, then  $t = p^s$ .*

*Proof.* We know by hypothesis that  $S(n) = S(n + T)$  for some period  $T$  and for every  $n \geq n_0$ . Consider  $n = p^m n'$  with  $m$  and  $n'$  to be chose later, such that  $p$  does not divide  $n'$ , such that  $m \geq t$ , and such that  $n \geq n_0$ . Then:

$$S(n) = L_{p^t}((p^{m+t} n')^{p^{m+t} n'}) = L_{p^t} \left( p^{(m+t)p^t n} (n')^{p^t n} \right) = L_{p^t} \left( p^{mnp^t} (n')^{p^t n} \right) \equiv p^\alpha (n')^{p^t n} \pmod{p^t},$$

where  $\alpha \in \{0, \dots, t - 1\}$  is the class of  $mnp^t$  modulo  $t$ .

On the other hand,

$$S(n + T) = L_{p^t} \left( (p^t n + p^t T)^{p^t n + p^t T} \right) = L_{p^t} \left( (n + T)^{p^t n + p^t T} \right) \equiv (n + T)^{p^t n + p^t T} \equiv T^{p^t(n+T)} \pmod{p^t},$$

where in the last step we have  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^t}$  because  $m \geq t$ .

Thus, we have seen that for every  $n_0 \leq n = p^m n'$  with  $p \nmid n'$ , if  $\alpha$  is the class of  $mnp^t$  modulo  $t$ , then:

$$T^{p^t(n+T)} \equiv p^\alpha (n')^{p^t n} \pmod{p^t}.$$

Clearly, if  $t$  is not a power of  $p$ , we can choose  $m$  and  $n$  such that  $\alpha \neq 0$  so it follows that  $p$  divides  $T^{p^t(n+T)}$ , a contradiction to Lemma 6(ii).  $\square$

Due to the previous proposition we only have to worry about the case  $L_{p^{p^s}}(n^n)$ . We will see that if  $p$  is odd, this sequence is not eventually periodic.

**Proposition 9.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $S(n) = L_{p^t}((p^t n)^{p^t n})$ . Then the sequence  $S(n)$  is not eventually periodic.*

*Proof.* We suppose, to the contrary, that  $S(n)$  is eventually periodic with period  $T$ . Recall from Lemma 6(ii) that  $p$  does not divide  $T$ . By Proposition 8, we have that  $t = p^s$ . Also, using the same notation as in Proposition 8, we have from the last equation in that proof that  $T^{p^t(n+T)} \equiv p^\alpha (n')^{p^t n} \pmod{p^t}$ . But since  $\alpha \equiv mnp^t \pmod{t}$  and since  $t = p^s$  then we can choose  $m \geq s$ . So,  $p^s \mid p^m$ , and so  $p^s \mid n$ , and so  $p^s \mid \alpha$ , and so  $\alpha \equiv 0$ , and so  $\alpha = 0$ . Thus, our previous equation becomes  $T^{p^t(n+T)} \equiv (n')^{p^t n} \pmod{p^t}$ . If we temporarily choose  $n' = 1$ , we get that  $T^{p^{p^s}(p^m+T)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{p^s}}$  and, consequently, that  $T^{T+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . If we now choose  $n' = p - 1$  (recall that  $p \neq 2$ ) we get  $T^{p^{p^s}(p^m(p-1)+T)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{p^s}}$  and, consequently, that  $T^T \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Putting these two results together we get that  $T \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . This implies (it can easily seen by induction on  $l$  using the binomial theorem) that  $T^{p^l} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{l+1}}$  so, in particular, putting  $l = p^s$  we get  $T^{p^{p^s}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{p^s}}$ .

Then, we have that  $(n')^{p^{p^s} n} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{p^s}}$  for every  $n'$  such that  $p \nmid n'$ ; i.e.,  $(n')^{n'} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  for every  $n'$  such that  $p \nmid n'$ . Clearly this is impossible in  $p \neq 2$  (take, for instance,  $n' = 2p - 1$  which would lead to  $-1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ ) and the proof is complete.  $\square$

So, it only remains to study the sequence  $L_{2^{2^s}}(n^n)$ .

**Proposition 10.** *Let  $b = 2^{2^s}$ . Then  $L_b(n^n) = L_b((n+b)^{n+b})$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* First of all we consider the case  $b \nmid n$ . In this case, since  $b \nmid n+b$  it follows that  $L_b(n^n) \equiv n^n \pmod{b}$  and  $L_b((n+b)^{n+b}) \equiv (n+b)^{n+b} \equiv n^{n+b} \pmod{b}$ . We now consider two possibilities:

- i) If  $n$  is odd,  $n^b \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$  because  $\varphi(b) = \varphi(2^{2^s}) \mid 2^{2^s} = b$ . Thus  $n^{n+b} \equiv n^n \pmod{b}$  and we are done.
- ii) If  $n$  is even we put  $n = 2^m n'$  with  $n'$  odd and  $m < 2^s$  (recall that  $b$  does not divide  $n$ ). Thus,  $n^{n+b} = 2^{m2^{2^s}} (n')^{2^{2^s}} n^n$ . Since  $s < 2^s$  it follows that  $2^{m2^{2^s}}$  is a power of  $b$  and consequently  $L_b(2^{m2^{2^s}}) = 1$ . Moreover, since  $(n')^b \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$  it also follows that  $L_b((n')^b) = 1$  so:

$$L_b((n+b)^{n+b}) = L_b(n^{n+b}) \equiv L_b(2^{m2^{2^s}}) L_b((n')^b) L_b(n^n) = L_b(n^n) \pmod{b}$$

an the proof is complete in this case.

Now, we have to consider the case when  $b \mid n$ ; i.e., when  $n = b^a n' = 2^{a2^s} n'$  with  $b \nmid n'$ . In this case  $L_b(n^n) = L_b((n')^{2^{a2^s} n'})$ . Now, if  $n'$  is odd we have that  $L_b((n')^{2^{a2^s} n'}) \equiv (n')^{2^{a2^s} n'} \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$ . On the other hand, if  $n'$  is even; i.e.,  $n' = 2^m n''$  with  $n''$  odd and  $m < 2^s$  we have that:  $L_b((n')^{2^{a2^s} n'}) = L_b(2^{m2^{a2^s}} (n'')^{2^{a2^s} n'}) \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$ . Thus, we have seen that if  $b \mid n$ , then  $L_b(n^n) = 1$ . We will have to compute now  $L_b((n+b)^{n+b})$  in this case.

To do so, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} L_b((n+b)^{n+b}) &= L_b\left(\left(2^{2^s} (2^{2^s(a-1)} n' + 1)\right)^{n+2^{2^s}}\right) \\ &= L_b\left(\left(2^{2^s(a-1)} n' + 1\right)^{n+2^{2^s}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and two cases arise:

- i) If  $a > 1$ , then  $b \nmid 2^{2^s(a-1)} n' + 1$  and thus  $L_b\left(\left(2^{2^s(a-1)} n' + 1\right)^{n+2^{2^s}}\right) \equiv \left(2^{2^s(a-1)} n' + 1\right)^{n+2^{2^s}} \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$ .
- ii) If  $a = 1$  we must compute  $L_b\left((n'+1)^{n+2^{2^s}}\right)$  and we have two sub cases:
  - ii1) If  $n'+1$  is odd, then  $(n'+1)^{n+2^{2^s}} \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$  because  $\varphi(b) \mid b$ .
  - ii2) If  $n'+1$  is even,  $n'+1 = 2^m n''$  with  $n''$  odd and clearly

$$L_b\left((n'+1)^{n+2^{2^s}}\right) = L_b\left((n'')^{2^{2^s} (n'+1)}\right) = 1.$$

Thus, we have seen that if  $b \mid n$ , then  $L_b((n+b)^{n+b}) = 1 = L_b(n^n)$  and the proof is completely finished.  $\square$

After all the work done, we have proved the following result.

**Theorem 7.** *The sequence  $L_{p^t}(n^n)$  is eventually periodic if and only if  $p = 2$  and  $t = 2^s$  for some  $s \geq 0$  and, in that case, it is periodic.*

#### 4. An ending conjecture

The techniques that we have used in this paper have not been useful in order to attack the general case. Nevertheless, based on computational evidence, the authors have the conviction that the case  $b = 2^{2^s}$  provides us with the only example in which the considered sequence is eventually periodic (and, in fact, periodic); i.e., we present the conjecture below.

**Conjecture 11.** *The sequence  $L_b(n^n)$  is eventually periodic if and only if  $b = 2^{2^s}$  for some  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and, moreover, in that case the sequence is periodic.*

**Acknowledgment.** The authors wish to thank the referee for his very detailed report and for his useful comments and remarks that have improved the paper.

#### References

- [1] R. Crocker, *On a new problem in number theory*, Amer. Math. Monthly **73** (1966), 355–357.
- [2] ———, *On residues of  $n^n$* , Amer. Math. Monthly **76** (1969), 1028–1029.
- [3] G. Dresden, *Two irrational numbers from the last nonzero digits of  $n^n$  and  $n!$* , Math. Mag. **74** (2001), 316–320.
- [4] ———, *Three transcendental numbers from the last non-zero digits of  $n^n$ ,  $F_n$ , and  $n!$* , Math. Mag. **81** (2008), no. 2, 96–105.
- [5] R. Euler and J. Sadek, *A number that gives the unit digit of  $n^n$* , J. Rec. Math. **29** (1998), no. 3, 203–204.
- [6] J. D. Fulton and W. L. Morris, *On arithmetical functions related to the Fibonacci numbers*, Acta Arith. **16** (1969), 105–110.
- [7] R. Hampel, *The length of the shortest period of rests of number  $n^n$* , Ann. Polon. Math. **1** (1955), 360–366.
- [8] J. L. Lagrange, *Oeuvres de Lagrange*, Gautiers Villars, Paris 7, 1877.
- [9] D. W. Robinson, *The Fibonacci matrix modulo  $m$* , Fibonacci Quart. **1** (1963), no. 2, 29–36.
- [10] D. B. Shapiro and S. D. Shapiro, *Iterated exponents in number theory*, Integers **7** (2007), A23, 19 pp.
- [11] W. Shur, *The last digit of  $\binom{2n}{n}$  and  $\sum \binom{n}{i} \binom{2n-2i}{n-i}$* , Electron J. Combin. **4** (1997), no. 2, Research Paper 16, approx. 8 pp.
- [12] W. Sierpinski, *Sur la périodicité mod  $m$  de certaines suites infinies d'entiers*, Ann. Soc. Polon. Math. **23** (1950), 252–258.
- [13] L. Somer, *The residues of  $n^n$  modulo  $p$* , Fibonacci Quart. **19** (1981), no. 2, 110–117.
- [14] D. D. Wall, *Fibonacci series modulo  $m$* , Amer. Math. Monthly **67** (1960), 525–532.

JOSÉ MARÍA GRAU  
DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS  
UNIVERSIDAD DE OVIEDO  
AVDA. CALVO SOTELO, s/n, 33007 OVIEDO, SPAIN  
*E-mail address:* [grau@uniovi.es](mailto:grau@uniovi.es)

ANTONIO M. OLLER-MARCÉN  
CENTRO UNIVERSITARIO DE LA DEFENSA  
CTRA. HUESCA s/n, 50090 ZARAGOZA, SPAIN  
*E-mail address:* [oller@unizar.es](mailto:oller@unizar.es)