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#### Abstract

Let $D$ be an integral domain with $\operatorname{Spec}(D)$ finite, $K$ the quotient field of $D,[D, K]$ the set of rings between $D$ and $K$, and $\operatorname{SFc}(D)$ the set of semistar operations of finite character on $D$. It is well known that $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)| \leq|S F c(D)|$. In this paper, we prove that $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|=|\operatorname{SFc}(D)|$ if and only if $D$ is a valuation domain, if and only if $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|=|[D, K]|$. We also study integral domains $D$ such that $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|+1=|S F c(D)|$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $D$ be an integral domain, $K$ the quotient field of $D, \bar{D}$ the integral closure of $D,[D, K]$ the set of rings between $D$ and $K$, and $\operatorname{Spec}(D)$ the set of prime ideals of $D$. Let $\bar{F}(D)$ be the set of nonzero $D$-submodules of $K, F(D)$ the subset of $\bar{F}(D)$ consisting of all nonzero fractional ideals of $D$, and $f(D)$ the set of nonzero finitely generated fractional ideals of $D$; so $f(D) \subseteq F(D) \subseteq \bar{F}(D)$. A mapping $*: \bar{F}(D) \rightarrow \bar{F}(D), A \mapsto A^{*}$, is called a semistar operation on $D$ if the following three conditions are satisfied for all $0 \neq a \in K$ and $E, F \in \bar{F}(D)$ :

1. $(a E)^{*}=a E^{*}$,
2. $E \subseteq E^{*}$,

[^0]3. $E \subseteq F$ implies $E^{*} \subseteq F^{*}$, and $\left(E^{*}\right)^{*}=E^{*}$.

Let $*$ be a semistar operation on $D$. If $D^{*}=D$, then the map $\left.*\right|_{F(D)}$ : $F(D) \rightarrow F(D)$, given by $E^{*} F(D)=E^{*}$, is a star operation on $D$. Conversely, if $*_{1}$ is a star operation on $D$, then the map $*_{1}^{l}: \bar{F}(D) \rightarrow \bar{F}(D)$, defined by $E^{*_{1}^{l}}=E^{*_{1}}$ for $E \in F(D)$ and $E^{*_{1}^{l}}=K$ for $E \in \bar{F}(D) \backslash F(D)$, is a semistar operation on $D$. For each $E \in \bar{F}(D)$, let $E^{*_{f}}=\bigcup\left\{F^{*} \mid F \subseteq\right.$ $E$ and $F \in f(D)\}$. Then $*_{f}$ is also a semistar operation on $D$. It is clear that $\left(*_{f}\right)_{f}=*_{f}$ and $F^{*}=F^{*_{f}}$ for $F \in f(D)$. If $*=*_{f}$, then $*$ is called a semistar operation of finite character. So $*_{f}$ is of finite character. The $v$-, $t$-, and $d$-operations are the most well-known examples of semistar operations. The $v$-operation is defined by $E^{v}=(D:(D: E))$ and the $t$-operation is defined by $t=v_{f}$. The $d$-operation is just the identity function on $\bar{F}(D)$, that is, $E^{d}=E$ for all $E \in \bar{F}(D)$. The notion of semistar operations was introdced by Okabe and Matsuda [10] and have been studied by many researchers (cf. $[1,2,6,7,8,9,11]$ ).

Let $S(D)$ be the set of semistar operations on $D$ and $S F c(D)$ the set of semistar operations of finite character on $D$; so $S F c(D) \subseteq S(D)$. Let $\operatorname{dim}(D)$ be the (Krull) dimension of $D$ and let $|A|$ denote the cardinality of a set $A$. Assume that $|S F c(D)|<\infty$. In [9, Theorem 7], the authors proved that $\operatorname{dim}(D)+1=|S F c(D)|$ if and only if $D$ is a valuation domain; hence $D$ is not a valuation domain if and only if $\operatorname{dim}(D)+2 \leq$ $|S F c(D)|$. In [8, Theorem 4.3], Mimouni showed that if $D$ is not quasilocal, then $\operatorname{dim}(D)+3 \leq|S F c(D)|$ and the equality holds if and only if $D$ is a Prüfer domain with exactly two maximal ideals $M$ and $N$ such that every prime ideal of $D$ is contained in $M \cap N$. He also proved that $|S F c(D)|=2+\operatorname{dim}(D)$ if and only if $\bar{D}$ is a valuation domain, $D \subsetneq \bar{D}$, there is no proper overring between $D$ and $\bar{D}$, each overring of $D$ is comparable to $\bar{D}$, and each nonzero finitely generated ideal $I$ of $D$ is divisorial, i,e., $I^{v}=I[8$, Theorem 4.4].

This paper is motivated by Mimoumi's results [8, Theorems 4.3 and 4.4] and the following observation: For each $T \in[D, K]$, the $m a p *_{T}$ : $\bar{F}(D) \rightarrow \bar{F}(D)$ defined by $E \mapsto E^{*_{T}}:=E T$ is a semistar operation of finite character on $D$ [10]. In particular, if $P$ is a prime ideal of $D$, then $*_{P}:=*_{D_{P}} \in S F c(D)$.

Note that $\operatorname{dim}(D)+1 \leq|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|$ and $\left\{D_{P} \mid P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)\right\} \subseteq$ $[D, K]$; so we have $\operatorname{dim}(D)+1 \leq|\operatorname{Spec}(D)| \leq|[D, K]| \leq|S F c(D)|$ (see Lemma 1(1)). In this paper, we prove that $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|=|S F c(D)|$ if and only if $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|=|[D, K]|$, if and only if $D$ is a valuation domain and
that if $\operatorname{Spec}(D)$ is linearly ordered, then $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|+1=|S F c(D)|$ if and only if $|[D, K]|=|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|+1$ and $t=d$ on $D$, if and only if $D \subsetneq \bar{D}$, $[D, K]=\left\{D_{P} \mid P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)\right\} \cup\{\bar{D}\}$, and $t=d$ on $D$. We also prove that if $\operatorname{Spec}(D)$ is not linearly ordered, then $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|+1=|\operatorname{SFc}(D)|$ if and only if $D$ is a Prüfer domain with two maximal ideals $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ such that each non-maximal prime ideal of $D$ is contained in $P_{1} \cap P_{2}$, if and only if $[D, K]=\left\{D_{P} \mid P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)\right\} \cup\{D\}$, if and only if $|[D, K]|=|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|+1$.

## 2. Main Results

Throughout this paper, $D$ is an integral domain with $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|<\infty$, $K$ is the quotient field of $D, \bar{D}$ is the integral closure of $D$, and $[D, K]$ is the set of rings between $D$ and $K$. Let $*$ be a semistar operation on $D$, and let $R$ be an overring of $D$, i.e., $R \in[D, K]$. Then $R^{*} R^{*} \subseteq\left(R^{*} R^{*}\right)^{*}=$ $(R R)^{*}=R^{*}$, and thus $R^{*}$ is an overring of $D$ [10, Proposition 5]. In particular, $D^{*}$ is an overring of $D$. Also, it is easy to see that the map $*_{T}: \bar{F}(D) \rightarrow \bar{F}(D)$ defined by $E \mapsto E^{*_{T}}:=E T$ is a semistar operation of finite character on $D$.

Lemma 1. 1. $\operatorname{dim}(D)+1 \leq|\operatorname{Spec}(D)| \leq|[D, K]| \leq|S F c(D)| \leq$ $|S(D)|$.
2. $\operatorname{dim}(D)+1=|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|$ if and only if $\operatorname{Spec}(D)$ is linearly ordered.

Proof. (1) Let $P$ be a prime ideal of $D$, and let $E^{* P}=E D_{P}$ for all $E \in \bar{F}(D)$. Then $*_{P}$ is a semistar operation of finite character on $D$ (in particular, if $P=(0)$, then $E^{*_{P}}=K$ for all $E \in \bar{F}(D)$ ). It is clear that if $P$ and $Q$ are prime ideals of $D$, then $P=Q \Leftrightarrow D_{P}=D_{Q} \Leftrightarrow$ $*_{P}=*_{Q}$. Thus the second and third inequalities hold. The first and fourth inequalities are clear.
(2) This follows directly from the definition of the (Krull) dimension.

Proposition 2. The following statements are equivalent.

1. $\operatorname{dim}(D)+1=|S F c(D)|$.
2. $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|=|\operatorname{SFc}(D)|$; so $\operatorname{SFc}(D)=\left\{*_{P} \mid P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)\right\}$.
3. $D$ is a valuation domain.
4. $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|=|[D, K]| ;$ so $[D, K]=\left\{D_{P} \mid P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)\right\}$.

Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow(3)[9$, Theorem 7].
(1) $\Rightarrow(2) \Rightarrow(4)$ This follows directly from Lemma 1(1) and the fact that for $P, Q \in \operatorname{Spec}(D), D_{P}=D_{Q} \Leftrightarrow P=Q \Leftrightarrow *_{P}=*_{Q}$.
$(4) \Rightarrow(3)$ First, note that $D$ is a Prüfer domain [3, page 334] since each overring of $D$ is a quotient ring of $D$. Also, since $D \in[D, K]$, we have $D=D_{P}$ for some $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)$, and hence $D$ is quasi-local. Thus, $D$ is a valuation domain.

Corollary 3. $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|=|S(D)|$ if and only if $D$ is a strongly discrete valuation domain.

Proof. Note that $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|=|S(D)|$ implies $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|=|S F c(D)|=$ $|S(D)|$. Hence $D$ is a valuation domain by Proposition 2, and hence $D$ is strongly discrete [9, Theorem 10]. Conversely, assume that $D$ is a strongly discrete valuation domain. Then $|S F c(D)|=|S(D)|[9$, Theorem 10] and $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|=|S F c(D)|$ by Proposition 2. Thus $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|=$ $|S(D)|$.

By Proposition 2, if $D$ is an integral domain that is not a valuation domain, then $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|+1 \leq|S F c(D)|$. We next study integral domains $D$ with $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|+1=|\operatorname{SFc}(D)|$ when $\operatorname{Spec}(D)$ is linearly ordered (Theorem 4) and $\operatorname{Spec}(D)$ is not linearly ordered (Theorem 6). .

Theorem 4. If $\operatorname{Spec}(D)$ is linearly ordered, then the following are equivalent.

1. $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|+1=|\operatorname{SFc}(D)|$.
2. $D \subsetneq \bar{D}$ and $\operatorname{SFc}(D)=\left\{*_{P} \mid P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)\right\} \cup\left\{*_{\bar{D}}\right\}$.
3. $D \subsetneq \bar{D},[D, K]=\left\{D_{P} \mid P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)\right\} \cup\{\bar{D}\}$ and $t=d$ on $D$.
4. $|[D, K]|=|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|+1$ and $t=d$ on $D$.

In this case, $\bar{D}$ and $D_{P}$ are valuation domains such that $\bar{D} \subsetneq D_{P}$ for all non-maximal prime ideals $P$ of $D$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) By [8, Theorem 4.4] and Lemma 1(2), $D \subsetneq \bar{D}$, and hence $*_{\bar{D}} \neq *_{P}$ for all $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)$. Hence $\mid\left\{*_{P} \mid P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)\right\} \cup$ $\left\{*_{\bar{D}}\right\}\left|=|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|+1=|S F c(D)|\right.$. Thus $\operatorname{SFc}(D)=\left\{*_{P} \mid P \in\right.$ $\operatorname{Spec}(D)\} \cup\left\{*_{\bar{D}}\right\}$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ Clear.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ Let $T$ be an overring of $D$. Then $*_{T} \in S F c(D)$, and hence either $*_{T}=*_{\bar{D}}$ or $*_{T}=*_{P}$ for some $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)$. If $*_{T}=*_{P}$, then $T=T^{*_{T}}=T^{*_{P}}=T D_{P} \supseteq D_{P}=\left(D_{P}\right)^{*_{P}}=\left(D_{P}\right)^{*_{T}}=\left(D_{P}\right) T \supseteq T$, and thus $T=D_{P}$. Similarly, if $*_{T}=*_{\bar{D}}$, then $T=D$. Thus $[D, K]=\left\{D_{P} \mid\right.$
$P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)\} \cup\{\bar{D}\}$. Also, since $t \in S F c(D)$ and $D^{t}=D$, we have $t=d$ on $D$.
(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let $V \in[D, K]$ be a valuation domain such that $\operatorname{Spec}(D)=$ $\{Q \cap D \mid Q \in \operatorname{Spec}(V)\}$ (cf. [3, Corollary 19.7]). Then $V \neq D_{P}$ for all $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)$, and thus $V=\bar{D}$ by (3). Similarly, we have that $D_{P}$ is a valuation domain and $\bar{D} \subsetneq D_{P}$ for each non-maximal prime ideal $P$ of $D$. Let $*$ be a semistar operation of finite character on $D$. If $D^{*}=D$, then $\left.*\right|_{F(D)}$ is a star operation of finite character, and hence $t=\left.*\right|_{F(D)}=d$ as star operations. Note that $*, t$ and $d$ are of finite character; so $*=d$ as semistar operations. Next, assume that $D^{*} \neq D$. Then $D^{*}$ is a proper overring of $D$, and thus $D^{*}=D_{P}$ for some non-maximal $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)$ or $D^{*}=\bar{D}$ by (3). For any $A \in f(D)$, since $D_{P}$ is a valuation domain, there exists an $a \in A$ such that $A D_{P}=a D_{P}$. Thus $A^{*}=(A D)^{*}=$ $\left(A D^{*}\right)^{*}=\left(A D_{P}\right)^{*}=\left(a D_{P}\right)^{*}=a\left(D_{P}\right)^{*}=a D_{P}=A D_{P}=A^{* P}$. Also, since $*$ is of finite character, we have $*=*_{P}$. Similarly, if $D^{*}=\bar{D}$, then $*=*_{\bar{D}}$. Thus the proof is completed.
(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) Clear.
(4) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Note that $\bar{D} \neq D_{P}$ for all non-maximal ideals $P$ of $D$; so it suffices to show that $D \subsetneq \bar{D}$ by (4).

Assume that $D=\bar{D}$. Then $D$ is not a valuation domain by (4) and Proposition 2, and hence there is a valuation domain $V$ such that $D \subseteq V$ and $\operatorname{Spec}(D)=\{Q \cap D \mid Q \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)\}$ [3, Corollary 19.7]. Clearly, $V \neq D_{P}$ for all $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)$, and so $[D, K]=\left\{D_{P} \mid P \in \operatorname{Spec} D\right\} \cup\{V\}$. Since $D$ is not a valuation domain, there are $a, b \in D$ such that $(a, b) D$ is not invertible and $\frac{b}{a} \in V \backslash D$. Let $f=a X-b \in D[X]$, where $D[X]$ is the polynomial ring over $D$, and let $\varphi: D[X] \rightarrow D\left[\frac{b}{a}\right]$, defined by $\varphi(g(X))=$ $g\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)$, be the canonical ring homomorphism. Then $\varphi$ is onto and the kernel of $\varphi$ is $Q_{f}:=f K[X] \cap D[X]$. Hence $D[X] / Q_{f}=D\left[\frac{b}{a}\right]$. Note that if $Q_{f} \nsubseteq P[X]$ for all $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)$, then there is a polynomial $g \in K[X]$ such that $D=\left(A_{f g}\right)_{v}=\left(A_{f} A_{g}\right)_{v}$, where $A_{h}$ is the fractional ideal of $D$ generated by the coefficients of a polynomial $h$, ([4, Theorem 1.4] and [3, Corollary 34.8]) because $D=\bar{D}$. Also, since each prime ideal $P$ of $D$ is a $t$-ideal, i.e., $P^{t}=P\left[5\right.$, Theorem 3.19], $A_{f} A_{g}=D$, and hence $A_{f}=$ $(a, b) D$ is invertible, a contradiction. Thus if $P$ is the maximal ideal of $D$, then $Q_{f} \subseteq P[X]$, and so $(D / P)[X]=\left(D[X] / Q_{f}\right) /\left(P[X] / Q_{f}\right)$. Thus $D\left[\frac{b}{a}\right]=D[X] / Q_{f}$ is not quasi-local since $(D / P)[X]$ has infinitely many maximal ideals. Thus $D \subsetneq D\left[\frac{b}{a}\right] \subsetneq V$, whence $|[D, K]| \geq|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|+2$, a contradiction. Therefore, $D \subsetneq \bar{D}$.

We need a lemma for the proof of Theorem 6.
Lemma 5. Let $P_{1}, P_{2}$ be incomparable prime ideals of $D$, and let * be the semistar operation on $D$ defined by $E^{*}=E D_{P_{1}} \cap E D_{P_{2}}$ for all $E \in \bar{F}(D)$. Then $* \neq *_{P}$ for all $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)$. In particular, $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|+1 \leq|S F c(D)|$.

Proof. Let $P$ be a prime ideal of $D$.
Case 1. $P \subsetneq P_{1}$. Then $P_{1}^{*}=P_{1} D_{P_{1}} \cap P_{1} D_{P_{2}}=P_{1} D_{P_{1}} \cap D_{P_{2}} \neq D_{P}=$ $P_{1} D_{P}=P_{1}^{* P}$. So $* \neq *_{P}$.

Case 2. $P=P_{1}$. Then $P_{2}^{*}=P_{2} D_{P_{1}} \cap P_{2} D_{P_{2}}=D_{P_{1}} \cap P_{2} D_{P_{2}} \neq D_{P}=$ $P_{2} D_{P}=P_{2}^{*_{P}}$. So $* \neq *_{P}$.

Case 3. $P_{1} \subsetneq P$. Then $P \nsubseteq P_{2}$, and hence $P^{*_{P}}=P D_{P} \neq D_{P_{1}} \cap D_{P_{2}}=$ $P D_{P_{1}} \cap P D_{P_{2}}=P^{*}$. So $* \neq *_{P}$.

Case 4. $P$ is not comparable to $P_{1}$. If $P$ is comparable to $P_{2}$, then $* \neq *_{P}$ by Cases 1,2 , and 3. If $P$ is not comparable to $P_{2}$, then $P^{*_{P}}=$ $P D_{P} \neq D_{P_{1}} \cap D_{P_{2}}=P D_{P_{1}} \cap P D_{P_{2}}=P^{*}$, and thus $* \neq *_{P}$.

For the "in particular" part, note that $*$ is of finite character [8, Theorem 2.4], and hence $\left\{*_{P} \mid P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)\right\} \cup\{*\} \subseteq \operatorname{SFc}(D)$ and $\left|\left\{*_{P} \mid P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)\right\} \cup\{*\}\right|=|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|+1$. Thus $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|+1 \leq$ $|S F c(D)|$.

In [8, Theorem 4.3], Mimoumi proved the equivalence of (2) and (3) of Theorem 6 under the assumption that $D$ is not quasi-local.

Theorem 6. If $\operatorname{Spec}(D)$ is not linearly ordered, then the following are equivalent.

1. $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|+1=|\operatorname{SFc}(D)|$.
2. $|S F c(D)|=3+\operatorname{dim}(D)$.
3. $D$ is a Prüfer domain with two maximal ideals $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ such that each non-maximal prime ideal of $D$ is contained in $P_{1} \cap P_{2}$.
4. $\operatorname{SFc}(D)=\left\{*_{D}\right\} \cup\left\{*_{P} \mid P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)\right\}$.
5. $[D, K]=\left\{D_{P} \mid P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)\right\} \cup\{D\}$.
6. $|[D, K]|=|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|+1$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) and (3) Let $P_{1}, P_{2}$ be incomparable prime ideals of $D$, and let $*$ be the semistar operation on $D$ defined by $E^{*}=E D_{P_{1}} \cap E D_{P_{2}}$ for all $E \in \bar{F}(D)$. Then $*$ is a semistar operation of finite character [8, Theorem 2.4] and $* \neq *_{P}$ for all $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)$ by Lemma 5 . Hence $\operatorname{SFc}(D)=\left\{*_{P} \mid P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)\right\} \cup\{*\}$ by (1). Note that if there is a prime ideal $P$ of $D$ such that $P$ is not comparable to $P_{1}$ or $P_{2}$, then
the semistar operation defined by $E^{*_{i}}=E D_{P} \cap E D_{P_{i}}$ is different form * and $*_{P}$; so $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|+2 \leq|S F c(D)|$, a contradiction. Thus $P_{1}, P_{2}$ are comparable to each prime ideal in $\operatorname{Spec}(D) \backslash\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}\right\}$. The same argument also shows that $\operatorname{Spec}(D) \backslash\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}\right\}$ is linearly ordered.

Next, assume that $D$ is quasi-local with maximal ideal $M$. Then $M \neq P_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Note that $*_{\bar{D}} \in S F c(D)$ and $D^{*} \bar{D}=\bar{D}$; so if $P$ is a non-maximal prime ideal of $D$, then $*_{\bar{D}} \neq *_{P}$. Also, note that $M^{*}=D_{P_{1}} \cap D_{P_{2}} \neq M \bar{D}=M^{*_{\bar{D}}} ;$ so $*_{\bar{D}} \neq *$. Hence $*_{\bar{D}}=*_{M}$ and $D=\bar{D}$. Consider the chain of prime ideals of $D$ containing $P_{1}$, and let $V$ be a valuation overring of $D$ such that $\operatorname{Spec}(V)$ is contracted to the chain, i.e., $\{Q \cap D \mid Q \in \operatorname{Spec}(V)\}=\operatorname{Spec}(D) \backslash\left\{P_{2}\right\}[3$, Corollary 19.7]. Note that $*_{V}=*$ or $*_{V}=*_{P}$ for some $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)$; so by the proof of "(3) $\Rightarrow(2)$ " of Theorem 5, either $V=D_{P_{1}} \cap D_{P_{2}}$ or $V=D_{P}$, a contradiction. Hence $D$ is not quasi-local, and thus $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are maximal ideals of $D$ and $\operatorname{dim}(D)+2=|S p e c(D)|$; so $|S F c(D)|=\operatorname{dim}(D)+3$. Moreover, by Lemma 1(1), $[D: K]=\left\{D_{P} \mid P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)\right\} \cup\{D\}$; so each overring of $D$ is a quotient ring of $D$. Thus, $D$ is a Prüfer domain [3, page 334].
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Note that $\operatorname{dim}(D)+2 \leq|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|$ by Lemma 1(2); so $|S F c(D)|=\operatorname{dim}(D)+3 \leq|S p e c(D)|+1 \leq|S F c(D)|$ by (2) and Lemma 5. Thus $|\operatorname{Spec}(D)|+1=|\operatorname{SFc}(D)|$.
$(3) \Rightarrow(5)$ Note that each finitely generated ideal of $D$ is principal $[3$, Proposition 7.4]; hence each overring of $D$ is a quotient ring of $D$ [3, Theorem 27.5]. Thus $[D, K]=\left\{D_{P} \mid P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)\right\} \cup\{D\}$.
$(5) \Rightarrow(4)$ Note that each overring of $D$ is a quotient ring of $D$ by (5), and thus $D$ is a Prüfer domain [3, page 334]. Also, $D$ has at most two maximal ideals because $D_{M_{1}} \cap D_{M_{2}} \neq D_{P}$ for any maximal ideals $M_{i}$ and non-maximal prime ideal $P$. Next, let $*_{1}$ be a semistar operation of finite character on $D$, and let $T=D^{* 1}$. Then $T$ is an overring of $D$, and hence either $T=D$ or $T=D_{P}$ for some prime ideal $P$ of $D$. If $T=D$, then for any $A \in f(D), A^{*_{1}}=(A D)^{*_{1}}=(a D)^{*_{1}}=a D^{*_{1}}=a D=A=A^{*_{D}}$ (note that $D$ is a Bzeout domain, and hence $A D=a D$ for some $a \in A$ ). Thus $*_{1}=*_{D}$. Similarly, we have $*_{1}=*_{P}$ if $T=D_{P}$ for some $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)$. This completes the proof.
$(4) \Rightarrow(1)$ Clear.
(5) $\Rightarrow$ (6) Clear.
(6) $\Rightarrow(5)$ Let $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ be incomparable prime ideals of $D$, and let $R=D_{P_{1}} \cap D_{P_{2}}$. Then $R \neq D_{P}$ for all $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)$, and so $[D, K]=$ $\left\{D_{P} \mid P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)\right\} \cup\{R\}$ by (6). As in the proof of (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) and
(3), we can show that $D$ is not quasi-local with maximal ideals $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. Hence $R=D$, and thus $[D, K]=\left\{D_{P} \mid P \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)\right\} \cup\{D\}$
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