

## ON MEDIAL $B$ -ALGEBRAS

YOUNG HEE KIM

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce the notion of medial  $B$ -algebras, and we obtain a fundamental theorem of  $B$ -homomorphism for  $B$ -algebras.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 06F35.

*Key words and phrases* :  $B$ -algebra,  $B$ -homomorphism, medial, subalgebra, quotient  $B$ -algebra.

### 1. Introduction

Y. Imai and K. Iséki introduced two classes of abstract algebras:  $BCK$ -algebras and  $BCI$ -algebras [4, 5]. It is known that the class of  $BCK$ -algebras is a proper subclass of the class of  $BCI$ -algebras. In [2, 3] Q. P. Hu and X. Li introduced a wide class of abstract algebras:  $BCH$ -algebras. They have shown that the class of  $BCI$ -algebras is a proper subclass of the class of  $BCH$ -algebras. J. Neggers and H. S. Kim [8] introduced the notion of  $d$ -algebras, i.e., (I)  $x * x = 0$ ; (V)  $0 * x = 0$ ; (VI)  $x * y = 0$  and  $y * x = 0$  imply  $x = y$ , which is another useful generalization of  $BCK$ -algebras, and then they investigated several relations between  $d$ -algebras and oriented digraphs. Recently, Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H. S. Kim [6] introduced a new notion, called an  $BH$ -algebra, i.e., (I), (II)  $x * 0 = 0$  and (IV), which is a generalization of  $BCH/BCI/BCK$ -algebras. They also defined the notions of ideals and boundedness in  $BH$ -algebras, and showed that there is a maximal ideal in bounded  $BH$ -algebras. J. Neggers and H. S. Kim [9] introduced and investigated a class of algebras, i.e., the class of  $B$ -algebras, which is related to several classes of algebras of interest such as  $BCH/BCI/BCK$ -algebras and which seems to have rather nice properties without being excessively complicated otherwise. Furthermore, a digraph on algebras defined below demonstrates a rather interesting connection between  $B$ -algebras and groups. J. R. Cho and H. S. Kim [1] discussed further relations between  $B$ -algebras and other classes of algebras, such as quasigroups.

---

Received February 13, 2014. Revised February 28, 2014. Accepted March 17, 2014.

© 2014 Korean SIGCAM and KSCAM.

J. Neggers and H. S. Kim [10] introduced the notion of normality in  $B$ -algebras and obtained a fundamental theorem of  $B$ -homomorphism for  $B$ -algebras.

In this paper we introduce the notion of medial  $B$ -algebras, and we obtain a fundamental theorem of  $B$ -homomorphism for  $B$ -algebras.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some notions and results which have also been discussed in [1, 9]. A  $B$ -algebra is a non-empty set  $X$  with a constant  $0$  and a binary operation “ $*$ ” satisfying the following axioms:

- (I)  $x * x = 0$ ,
- (II)  $x * 0 = x$ ,
- (III)  $(x * y) * z = x * (z * (0 * y))$

for all  $x, y, z$  in  $X$ .

**Example 2.1.** Let  $X := \{0, 1, 2\}$  be a set with the following table:

$*$	0	1	2
0	0	2	1
1	1	0	2
2	2	1	0

Then  $(X; *, 0)$  is a  $B$ -algebra.

**Example 2.2** ([9]). Let  $X$  be the set of all real numbers except for a negative integer  $-n$ . Define a binary operation  $*$  on  $X$  by

$$x * y := \frac{n(x - y)}{n + y}.$$

Then  $(X; *, 0)$  is a  $B$ -algebra.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  be a set with the following table:

$*$	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	2	1	3	4	5
1	1	0	2	4	5	3
2	2	1	0	5	3	4
3	3	4	5	0	2	1
4	4	5	3	1	0	2
5	5	3	4	2	1	0

Then  $(X; *, 0)$  is a  $B$ -algebra (see[10]).

**Example 2.4** ([9]). Let  $F \langle x, y, z \rangle$  be the free group on three elements. Define  $u * v := vuv^{-2}$ . Thus  $u * u = e$  and  $u * e = u$ . Also  $e * u = u^{-1}$ . Now, given  $a, b, c, \in F \langle x, y, z \rangle$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} w(a, b, c) &= ((a * b) * c)(a * (c * (e * b)))^{-1} \\ &= (cbab^{-2}c^{-2})(b^{-1}cb^2a^{-1}cbcb^2)^{-1} \\ &= cbab^{-2}c^{-2}b^{-2}c^{-1}b^{-1}c^{-1}ba^{-1}b^{-2}c^{-1}b. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $N(*)$  be the normal subgroup of  $F \langle x, y, z \rangle$  generated by the elements  $w(a, b, c)$ . Let  $G = F \langle x, y, z \rangle / N(*)$ . On  $G$  define the operation “ $*$ ” as usual and define

$$(uN(*)) * (vN(*)) := (u * v)N(*)$$

It follows that  $(uN(*)) * (uN(*)) = eN(*)$ ,  $(uN(*)) * (eN(*)) = uN(*)$  and

$$w(aN(*), bN(*), cN(*)) = w(a, b, c)N(*) = eN(*)$$

Hence  $(G; *, eN(*))$  is a  $B$ -algebra.

**Lemma 2.5** ([9]). *If  $(X; *, 0)$  is a  $B$ -algebra, then  $y * z = y * (0 * (0 * z))$  for any  $y, z \in X$ .*

**Proposition 2.6** ([9]). *If  $(X; *, 0)$  is a  $B$ -algebra, then*

$$x * (y * z) = (x * (0 * z)) * y$$

for any  $x, y, z \in X$ .

**Lemma 2.7** ([1]). *Let  $(X; *, 0)$  be a  $B$ -algebra. Then we have the following statements.*

- (i) *if  $x * y = 0$  then  $x = y$  for any  $x, y \in X$ ;*
- (ii) *if  $0 * x = 0 * y$  then  $x = y$  for any  $x, y \in X$ ;*
- (iii)  *$0 * (0 * x) = x$  for any  $x \in X$ .*

Let  $(X; *, 0_X)$  and  $(Y; \bullet, 0_Y)$  be  $B$ -algebras. A mapping  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is called a  $B$ -homomorphism[10] if  $\varphi(x * y) = \varphi(x) \bullet \varphi(y)$  for any  $x, y \in X$ .

**Example 2.8** ([10]). Let  $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  be a set with the following table:

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	2	1	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	1	2	0

Then  $(X; *, 0)$  is a  $B$ -algebra[1]. If we define  $\varphi(0) = 0, \varphi(1) = 3, \varphi(2) = 3$  and  $\varphi(3) = 0$ , then  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $B$ -homomorphism.

A  $B$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is called a  $B$ -isomorphism[10] if  $\varphi$  is a bijection, and denote it by  $X \cong Y$ . Note that if  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $B$ -isomorphism then  $\varphi^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$  is also a  $B$ -isomorphism. If we define  $\varphi(0) = 0, \varphi(1) = 2, \varphi(2) = 1$  and  $\varphi(3) = 3$  in Example 2.8, then  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $B$ -isomorphism. Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $B$ -homomorphism. Then the subset  $\{x \in X \mid \varphi(x) = 0_Y\}$  of  $X$  is called the *kernel* of the  $B$ -homomorphism  $\varphi$ , and denote it by  $\text{Ker}\varphi$

**Definition 2.9** ([10]). Let  $(X; *, 0)$  be a  $B$ -algebra. A non-empty subset  $N$  of  $X$  is called a *subalgebra* of  $X$  if  $x * y \in N$ , for any  $x, y \in N$ .

In Example 2.8,  $N_1 := \{0, 3\}$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ , while  $N_2 := \{0, 1\}$  is not a subalgebra of  $X$ , since  $0 * 1 = 2 \notin N_2$ . Note that any subalgebra of a  $B$ -algebra is also a  $B$ -algebra.

**Theorem 2.10** ([10]). Let  $(X; *, 0)$  be a  $B$ -algebra and  $\emptyset \neq N \subseteq X$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $N$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ .
- (b)  $x * (0 * y), 0 * y \in N$ , for any  $x, y \in N$ .

Note that any kernel of a  $B$ -homomorphism is a subalgebra of  $X$ .

### 3. Medial $B$ -algebras

Let  $(X; *, 0)$  be a  $B$ -algebra and let  $N$  be a subalgebra of  $X$ . The set  $X$  (resp.,  $N$ ) is said to be *medial* if  $(x * n_1) * (y * n_2) = (x * y) * (n_1 * n_2)$  for any  $x, y, n_1, n_2 \in X$  (resp., for any  $x, y, n_1, n_2 \in N$ ).

**Example 3.1.** The  $B$ -algebra in Example 2.8, is medial. The  $B$ -algebra in Example 2.3, is not medial, since  $(5 * 2) * (4 * 3) = 4 * 1 = 5 \neq 3 = 1 * 5 = (5 * 4) * (2 * 3)$ .

J. Neggers and H. S. Kim[10] introduced the notion of a normal subalgebra in  $B$ -algebras. A nonempty subset  $N$  of  $X$  is said to be *normal* (or *normal subalgebra*) of  $X$  if  $(x * a) * (y * b) \in N$  for any  $x * a, y * b \in N$ .

**Example 3.2.** The subalgebra  $N_1 = \{0, 3\}$  is both a normal and a medial subalgebra of  $X$  in Example 2.8, while the subalgebra  $N_2 = \{0, 3\}$  in Example 2.3 is medial, but not normal.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  be a set with the following table:

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	3	2	1
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	1	0	3
3	3	2	1	0

Then  $(X; *, 0)$  is a  $B$ -algebra and the subalgebra  $N_3 = \{0, 2\}$  is a medial subalgebra of  $X$ .

Let  $(X; *, 0)$  be a  $B$ -algebra and let  $N$  be a subalgebra of  $X$ . Define a relation  $\sim_N$  on  $X$  by  $x \sim_N y$  if and only if  $x * N = y * N$ , where  $x, y \in X$ . Then it is easy to show that  $\sim_N$  is an equivalence relation on  $X$ . Assume  $X$  is medial (or  $N$  is a medial subalgebra of  $X$ ). If  $x \sim_N y$  and  $a \sim_N b$ , where  $x, y, a, b \in N$ , then  $x * N = y * N$  and  $a * N = b * N$  and hence  $x = y * n_1, a = b * n_2$  for some  $n_1, n_2 \in N$ . Hence  $x * a = (y * n_1) * (b * n_2) = (y * b) * (n_1 * n_2) \in (y * b) * N$ , since  $X$  (resp.,  $N$ ) is medial. For any  $(x * a) * n_3 \in (x * a) * N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x * a) * n_3 &= ((y * b) * (n_1 * n_2)) * n_3 \\ &= (y * b) * (n_3 * (0 * (n_1 * n_2))) \text{ [by (III)]} \\ &\in (y * b) * N \text{ [by Theorem 2.10]} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(x * a) * N \subseteq (y * b) * N$ . Similarly, we obtain  $(y * b) * N \subseteq (x * a) * N$ . This means that  $x * a \sim_N y * b$ , i.e.,  $\sim_N$  is a congruence relation on  $X$ . Denote the equivalence class containing  $x$  by  $[x]_N$ , i.e.,  $[x]_N = \{y \in X \mid x \sim_N y\}$  and let  $X/N := \{[x]_N \mid x \in X\}$ . We show that  $X/N$  is a  $B$ -algebra.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a medial  $B$ -algebra and let  $N$  be a subalgebra of  $X$ . Then  $X/N$  is a medial  $B$ -algebra with  $N = [0]_N$ .*

*Proof.* If we define  $[x]_N * [y]_N := [x * y]_N$  then the operation “ $*$ ” is well-defined, since  $\sim_N$  is a congruence relation on  $X$ . We claim that  $[0]_N = N$ . If  $x \in [0]_N$ , then  $x * N = 0 * N$ , and hence by (II)  $x = x * 0 \in x * N = 0 * N$ , i.e.,  $x = 0 * n$  for some  $n \in N$ . Since  $N$  is a subalgebra and  $0 \in N$ ,  $x = 0 * n \in N$ . Hence  $[0]_N \subseteq N$ .

For any  $x \in N$ , since  $N$  is subalgebra of  $X$ ,  $0 * x \in N$ , say  $n_1 = 0 * x$ . By applying Lemma 2.7-(iii),  $x = 0 * (0 * x) \in 0 * N$ . We show that  $x * N = 0 * N$ . For any  $x * n \in x * N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x * n &= (0 * (0 * x)) * n \text{ [by Lemma 2.7-(iii)]} \\ &= (0 * (0 * x)) * (n * 0) \\ &= (0 * n) * (0 * n) * ((0 * x) * 0) \text{ [} X \text{ : medial]} \\ &= (0 * n) * (0 * x) \\ &= (0 * n) * n_1 \text{ [} n_1 = 0 * x \text{]} \\ &= 0 * (n_1 * (0 * n)) \text{ [by (III)]} \\ &\in 0 * N \text{ [by Theorem 2.10]} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $x * N \subseteq 0 * N$ . If  $y \in 0 * N$ , then  $y = 0 * n_2$  for some  $n_2 \in N$ . Hence  $y = 0 * n_2 = (x * x) * n_2 = x * (n_2 * (0 * x))$ . Since  $x \in N$ , by Theorem 2.10,  $n_2 * (0 * x) \in N$ . Hence  $y \in x * N$ , i.e.,  $0 * N \subseteq x * N$ . Thus  $x * N = 0 * N$ , i.e.,  $x \sim_N 0$ . Hence  $x \in [0]_N$ , proving  $N \subseteq [0]_N$ . Checking three axioms and mediality is trivial and we omit the proof.  $\square$

Theorem 3.4 can be replaced by the following statement:

**Theorem 3.4’.** *Let  $X$  be a  $B$ -algebra and  $N$  be a medial subalgebra of  $X$ . Then  $X/N$  is a medial  $B$ -algebra with  $N = [0]_N$ .*

The  $B$ -algebra  $X/N$  discussed in Theorems 3.4 and 3.4' is called the *quotient*  $B$ -algebra of  $X$  by  $N$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $N$  be a medial subalgebra of the  $B$ -algebra  $(X; *, 0)$ . Then the mapping  $\gamma : X \rightarrow X/N$ , given by  $\gamma(x) := [x]_N$ , is a surjective  $B$ -homomorphism, and  $\text{Ker}\gamma = N$ .*

*Proof.* The mapping  $\gamma$  is obviously surjective. For all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\gamma(x * y) = [x * y]_N = [x]_N * [y]_N = \gamma(x) * \gamma(y)$ . Hence  $\gamma$  is a  $B$ -homomorphism. We claim that  $\{x \in X \mid [x]_N = [0]_N\} = N$ . For any  $n \in N$ , we show that  $n * N = 0 * N$ . If  $n_1 \in N$ , by Lemma 2.7-(iii),  $n * n_1 = (0 * (0 * n)) * n_1 = 0 * (n_1 * (0 * (0 * n))) = 0 * (n_1 * n) \in 0 * N$ , i.e.,  $n * N \subseteq 0 * N$ . For any  $0 * n_2 \in 0 * N$ ,  $0 * n_2 = (n * n) * n_2 = n * (n_2 * (0 * n)) \in n * N$ , i.e.,  $0 * N \subseteq n * N$ . This proves  $0 * N = n * N$ , i.e.,  $[n]_N = [0]_N$ . If  $[x]_N = [0]_N$ , then  $x * N = 0 * N$ , i.e.,  $x = 0 * n_1$  for some  $n_1 \in N$ . Since  $N$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ ,  $x = 0 * n_1 \in N$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}\gamma &= \{x \in X \mid \gamma(x) = N\} \\ &= \{x \in X \mid [x]_N = N\} \\ &= \{x \in X \mid [x]_N = [0]_N\} \\ &= N, \end{aligned}$$

proving the proposition. □

The mapping  $\gamma$  discussed in Proposition 3.5 is called the *natural*(or *canonical*)  $B$ -homomorphism of  $X$  onto  $X/N$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $X$  be a medial  $B$ -algebra. If  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $B$ -homomorphism, then the kernel  $\text{Ker}\varphi$  is a medial subalgebra of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Straightforward. □

By Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.6, if  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $B$ -homomorphism, then  $X/\text{Ker}\varphi$  is a  $B$ -algebra.

A  $B$ -algebra  $(X; *, 0)$  is said to be *commutative*[9] if  $a * (0 * b) = b * (0 * a)$  for any  $a, b \in X$ . The  $B$ -algebra in Example 2.1 is commutative, while the  $B$ -algebra in Example 2.3 is not commutative, since  $3 * (0 * 4) = 2 \neq 1 = 4 * (0 * 3)$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $X$  be a commutative medial  $B$ -algebra and let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $B$ -homomorphism. Then  $X/\text{Ker}\varphi \cong \text{Im}\varphi$ . In particular, if  $\varphi$  is surjective, then  $X/\text{Ker}\varphi \cong Y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K := \text{Ker}\varphi$ . If we define  $\Psi : X/K \rightarrow \text{Im}\varphi$  by  $\Psi([x]_K) := \varphi(x)$ , then  $\Psi$  is well-defined. In fact, suppose that  $[x]_K = [y]_K$ . Then  $x \sim_K y$  and  $x * K = y * K$ , i.e.,  $x = y * k_1, y = x * k_2$  for some  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ . Hence  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y * k_1) = \varphi(y) * \varphi(k_1) = \varphi(y) * 0 = \varphi(y)$ , i.e.,  $\Psi([x]_K) = \Psi([y]_K)$ . Suppose that  $\Psi([x]_K) = \Psi([y]_K)$ , where  $[x]_K, [y]_K \in X/K$ . Then  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$ . If  $\alpha \in [x]_K$ , then  $\alpha \sim_K x$  and  $\alpha * K = x * K$ . This means that  $\alpha = x * k_1, x = \alpha * k_2$

for some  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ . Hence  $\varphi(\alpha) = \varphi(x * k_1) = \varphi(x) * \varphi(k_1) = \varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$ , which implies  $\varphi(\alpha * y) = \varphi(\alpha) * \varphi(y) = 0$ . Hence  $\alpha * y \in \text{Ker}\varphi = K$ , i.e.,  $\alpha * y = k_3$  for some  $k_3 \in K$ . Similarly,  $\varphi(y) * \varphi(\alpha) = 0$  implies  $y * \alpha = k_4$  for some  $k_4 \in K$ . Since  $X$  is commutative,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \alpha * 0 \\ &= \alpha * (y * y) \\ &= (\alpha * (0 * y)) * y \\ &= (y * (0 * \alpha)) * y \quad [X:\text{commutative}] \\ &= y * (y * \alpha) \\ &= y * k_4. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $\alpha * k_4 \in \alpha * K$ ,  $\alpha * k = (y * k_4) * k = y * (k * (0 * k_4)) \in y * K$ . Hence  $\alpha * K \subseteq y * K$ . Conversely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y &= y * 0 \\ &= y * (\alpha * \alpha) \\ &= (\alpha * (0 * y)) * \alpha \\ &= \alpha * (\alpha * y) \\ &= \alpha * k_3 \in \alpha * K, \end{aligned}$$

proving  $y * K \subseteq \alpha * K$ . Hence  $\alpha * K = y * K$ , i.e.,  $\alpha \sim_K y$ . This proves  $\alpha \in [y]_K$ . Similarly,  $[y]_K \subseteq [x]_K$ . Thus  $[x]_K = [y]_K$ , proving that  $\Psi$  is injective. Obviously  $\Psi$  is surjective. Since  $\Psi([x]_K * [y]_K) = \Psi([x * y]_K) = \varphi(x * y) = \varphi(x) * \varphi(y) = \Psi([x]_K) * \Psi([y]_K)$ ,  $\Psi$  is a  $B$ -homomorphism. Hence  $X/\text{Ker}\varphi \cong \text{Im}\varphi$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.8.** In Example 2.8, since  $K = \text{Ker}\varphi = \{0, 3\}$ , we have  $[0]_K = \{0, 3\}$  and  $[1]_K = \{x \in X \mid x * 1 \in K\} = \{1, 2\}$ . Hence  $X/\text{Ker}\varphi = \{[0]_K, [1]_K\}$  and  $X/\text{Ker}\varphi \cong \text{Im}\varphi$  by defining  $\Psi([0]_K) = \varphi(0)$  and  $\Psi([1]_K) = \varphi(1)$ .

## REFERENCES

1. J.R. Cho and H.S. Kim, *On B-algebras and quasigroups*, Quasigroup and Related Systems **8** (2001), 1–6.
2. Q.P. Hu and X. Li, *On BCH-algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes **11** (1983), 313–320.
3. Q.P. Hu and X. Li, *On proper BCH-algebras*, Math. Japonica **30** (1985), 659–661.
4. K. Iséki, *On BCI-algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes **8** (1980), 125–130.
5. K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, *An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras*, Math. Japonica **23** (1978), 1–26.
6. Y.B. Jun, E.H. Roh, and H.S. Kim, *On BH-algebras*, Sci. Math. Japo. **1** (1998), 347–354.
7. J. Meng and Y.B. Jun, *BCK-algebras*, Kyung Moon Sa Co., Seoul, 1994.
8. J. Neggers and H.S. Kim, *On d-algebras*, Math. Slovaca **49** (1999), 19–26.
9. J. Neggers and H.S. Kim, *On B-algebras*, Matematichki Vesnik **54** (2002), 21–29.
10. J. Neggers and H.S. Kim, *A fundamental theorem of B-homomorphism for B-algebras*, Int. Math. J. **2** (2002), 207–214.

11. K.S. So and Y.H. Kim, *Mirror  $d$ -Algebras*, J. Appl. Math. & Informatics **31** (2013), 559 – 564

**Young Hee Kim** is working as a professor in Department of Mathematics and is interested in BE-algebras.

Department of Mathematics, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju 361-763, Korea.  
e-mail: [yhkim@chungbuk.ac.kr](mailto:yhkim@chungbuk.ac.kr)