

## ADDITIVE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION WITH SEVERAL VARIABLES AND ITS STABILITY

HARK-MAHN KIM\* AND HWAN-YONG SHIN\*\*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove the generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of an  $n$ -dimensional additive functional equation, and then apply stability results to Banach modules over a unital Banach algebras.

### 1. Introduction

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of S. M. Ulam [8] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms.

Let  $G_1$  be a group and  $G_2$  a metric group with metric  $\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , does there exist a number  $\delta > 0$  such that if a mapping  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  satisfies  $\rho(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) < \delta$  for all  $x, y \in G_1$ , then a homomorphism  $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  exists near  $f$  with  $\rho(f(x), h(x)) < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in G_1$ ?

In 1941, D. H. Hyers [5] considered the case of approximately additive mappings between Banach spaces and proved the following result. Suppose that  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are Banach spaces and a mapping  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies the following condition: if there is a number  $\epsilon \geq 0$  such that

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon$$

for all  $x, y \in E_1$ , then the limit  $h(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$  exists for all  $x \in E_1$  and there exists a unique additive mapping  $h : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  such that

$$\|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq \epsilon$$

---

Received December 18, 2013; Accepted June 30, 2014.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 39B82, 39B52.

Key words and phrases: Hyers-Ulam stability, Banach module,  $B$ -linear.

Correspondence should be addressed to Hwan-Yong Shin, [hyshin31@cnu.ac.kr](mailto:hyshin31@cnu.ac.kr).

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (No.2012R1A1A2008139).

for all  $x \in E_1$ . Moreover, if  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each  $x \in E_1$ , then the mapping  $h$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear.

This result was generalized by T. Aoki [2] for additive mappings and by Th. M. Rassias [7] for linear mappings by establishing an unbounded Cauchy difference. A generalization of the Th.M. Rassias theorem was obtained by P. Găvruta [4] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function. The stability problem of various functional equations has been studied by a number of authors since then. Recently, P. Nakmahachalasint [6] considered the following  $n$ -dimensional additive functional equation

$$(1.1) \quad f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i - x_{i-1}),$$

where  $x_0 := x_n$  and  $n \geq 2$ , and then investigated its Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability.

In this paper, we establish the general solution of the following  $n$ -dimensional additive functional equation

$$(1.2) \quad f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) + \sum_{i \neq j} f(x_i - x_j),$$

where  $n > 1$  is fixed, and then we investigate its generalized Hyers–Ulam stability.

## 2. The first result of Hyers–Ulam stability

We now present the general solution of the equation (1.2) in the class of functions between two vector spaces.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be vector spaces. A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation (1.2) if and only if it satisfies the Cauchy additive functional equation*

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

*Proof.* Suppose a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the Cauchy additive functional equation. Then, it is straightforward to show that

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i), \quad \sum_{i \neq j} f(x_i - x_j) = \sum_{i \neq j} [f(x_i) - f(x_j)] = 0.$$

Hence,  $f$  satisfies the equation (1.2).

Suppose a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the equation (1.2). Then, by setting  $x_1 = \dots = x_n := 0$  in (1.2), we can see that  $f(0) = 0$ . Letting  $x_1 := x$  and  $x_2 = \dots = x_n := 0$  in (1.2), we have

$$0 = (n - 1)[f(x) + f(-x)],$$

which shows that  $f$  is odd. Putting  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) := (y, x, 0, \dots, 0)$  in (1.2), we lead to

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y) + (n - 2)[f(x) + f(-x) + f(y) + f(-y)] + f(y - x) + f(x - y).$$

By the oddness of  $f$ , we have  $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ , as desired.  $\square$

From now on, we denote  $X$  and  $Y$  by a normed linear space and a Banach space, respectively. For simplicity, given mappings  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $\varphi : X^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , we define a difference operator  $Df$  by

$$Df(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) + \sum_{i \neq j} f(x_i - x_j) - f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ , and  $\Psi_i : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $\Phi_i : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_i(x) &:= \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}|n^2 - 4n + 2||f(0)|| + \frac{(n - 2)}{(n - 1)2^i}\varphi(2^i x, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}\varphi(2^i x, 2^i x, 0, \dots, 0), \quad \forall i \geq 0, \\ \Phi_i(x) &:= 2^i|n^2 - 4n + 2||f(0)|| + \frac{(n - 2)2^{i+1}}{(n - 1)}\varphi(2^{-(i+1)}x, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &\quad + 2^i\varphi(2^{-(i+1)}x, 2^{-(i+1)}x, 0, \dots, 0), \quad \forall i \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $\varphi : X^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a mapping which satisfies*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^i x_1, \dots, 2^i x_n)}{2^i} < \infty, \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^i \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^i}\right) < \infty, \text{ resp.} \right)$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ . If a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the inequality

$$(2.1) \quad \|Df(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ , then there exists a unique additive mapping  $L : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $L$  satisfies the inequality

$$(2.2) \quad \|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \Psi_i(x), \left( \|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \Phi_i(x), \text{ resp.} \right)$$

where the mapping  $L$  is given by

$$L(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-m} f(2^m x), \quad \left( L(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^m f(2^{-m} x), \text{ resp.} \right)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Moreover, if  $f$  is measurable or  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in X$ , then the mapping  $L$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear.

*Proof.* If  $n = 2$ , we set  $x_1 = x_2 := x$  in (2.1), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|2f(x) - f(2x)\| &\leq 2\|f(0)\| + \varphi(x, x) \\ &= |n^2 - 4n + 2|\|f(0)\| + 2\frac{(n-2)}{(n-1)}\varphi(x, 0) + \varphi(x, x) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . If  $n > 2$ , then we set  $x_1 := x$  and  $x_2 = \cdots = x_n := 0$  in (2.1) and so we have

$$\|(n^2 - 2n + 1)f(0) + (n-1)(f(x) + f(-x))\| \leq \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0)$$

which is simplified to

$$\|(n-1)f(0) + f(x) + f(-x)\| \leq \frac{1}{n-1}\varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Setting  $x_1 = x_2 := x$  and  $x_3 = \cdots = x_n := 0$  in (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|2(n-2)[(n-1)f(0) + f(x) + f(-x)] \\ &\quad - (n^2 - 4n + 2)f(0) + 2f(x) - f(2x)\| \leq \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Associating the last two inequalities, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|2f(x) - f(2x)\| &\leq |n^2 - 4n + 2|\|f(0)\| + 2\frac{(n-2)}{(n-1)}\varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &\quad + \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and any fixed integer  $n \geq 2$ . Thus, one can prove

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad \|f(x) - 2^{-m}f(2^m x)\| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|2^{-i}f(2^i x) - 2^{-(i+1)}f(2^{i+1}x)\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}|n^2 - 4n + 2|\|f(0)\| + \frac{(n-2)}{(n-1)2^i}\varphi(2^i x, 0, \dots, 0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}\varphi(2^i x, 2^i x, 0, \dots, 0) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and for every positive integer  $m$ . Therefore, for every positive integers  $m$  and  $k$  with  $m > k$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|2^{-k}f(2^kx) - 2^{-m}f(2^mx)\| &= 2^{-k}\|f(2^kx) - 2^{-(m-k)}f(2^{m-k}2^kx)\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-k-1} 2^{-k}\Psi_i(2^kx) = \sum_{i=k}^{m-1} \Psi_i(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Since  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \Psi_i(x) < \infty$  and  $\sum_{i=k}^{m-1} \Psi_i(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , the sequence  $\{2^{-m}f(2^mx)\}$  is a Cauchy in the complete normed space  $Y$ . Thus, we may define

$$L(x) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-m}f(2^mx), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.3), then we get the inequality (2.2). Replace  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  by  $(2^mx_1, \dots, 2^mx_n)$  in (2.1) and divide it by  $2^m$ . Taking the limit in the resulting inequality, we see that

$$L\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n L(x_i) + \sum_{i \neq j} L(x_i - x_j)$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ . By Lemma 2.1, the mapping  $L$  is additive. Under the assumption that  $f$  is measurable or  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $x \in X$ , by the same reasoning as in the proof of [7], the additive mapping  $L : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies

$$L(tx) = tL(x), \quad \forall x \in X, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

That is,  $L$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear.

Now, we finally prove the uniqueness. Let  $L' : X \rightarrow Y$  be another additive mapping satisfying (2.2). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|L(x) - L'(x)\| &= \frac{1}{2^m}\|L(2^mx) - L'(2^mx)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^m}(\|L(2^mx) - f(2^mx)\| + \|f(2^mx) - L'(2^mx)\|) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi_i(2^mx)}{2^m} = 2 \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \Psi_i(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . This series converges to 0 as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . So we can conclude that  $L(x) = L'(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . □

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Let  $p \neq 1$  be a positive real number and  $\theta, \delta \geq 0$  be real numbers. If a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the inequality*

$$\|Df(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \delta + \theta \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ , where  $\delta = 0$  when  $p > 1$ , then there exists a unique additive mapping  $L : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $L$  satisfies the inequality

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq |n^2 - 4n + 2|\|f(0)\| + \left(\frac{3n-5}{n-1}\right)\delta + \frac{(4n-6)2^{p\theta}}{(n-1)|2-2^p|}\|x\|^p$$

for all  $x \in X$ , where  $f(0) = 0$  if  $p > 1$ . Moreover, if  $f$  is measurable or  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in X$ , then the mapping  $L$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear.

*Proof.* Letting  $\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \delta + \theta \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p$  and applying Theorem 2.2, we get the desired result, as claimed.  $\square$

Corollary 2.3 leaves the case  $p = 1$  undecided. We remark that 1 is a critical value of  $p$  to which Corollary 2.3 cannot be extended. In fact, we shall show that for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  one can find a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(2.4) \quad |Df(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)| \leq \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , however, at the same time, there is no constant  $\delta$  and no additive function  $T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the condition

$$(2.5) \quad |f(x) - T(x)| \leq \delta|x|$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . The following is a modified example of Z. Gajda's example [3], which illustrates that Corollary 2.3 fails to hold for  $p = 1$ .

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and put  $\mu := \frac{\varepsilon}{2(n^2 + 1)}$ . First, we define a function  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$(2.6) \quad \phi(x) := \begin{cases} \mu & \text{for } x \in [1, \infty) \\ \mu x & \text{for } x \in (-1, 1) \\ -\mu & \text{for } x \in (-\infty, -1]. \end{cases}$$

Evidently,  $\phi$  is continuous and  $|\phi(x)| \leq \mu$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  may be defined by the formula

$$f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(2^k x)}{2^k}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since  $f$  is defined by means of a uniformly convergent series of continuous functions,  $f$  itself is continuous and  $|f(x)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu}{2^k} = 2\mu$ . We are going to show that  $f$  satisfies the inequality (2.4). If  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$ ,

then (2.4) is trivially fulfilled. Next, assume that  $0 < |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n| < 1$ . Then there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{2^N} \leq |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n| < \frac{1}{2^{N-1}}.$$

Hence,  $|2^{N-1}x_i| < 1$ ,  $|2^{N-1}(x_i - x_j)| < 1$  for all  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $|2^{N-1}(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)| < 1$ , which implies that for each  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$  the numbers  $2^k x_i$ ,  $2^k(x_i - x_j)$  and  $2^k(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)$  remain in the interval  $(-1, 1)$ . Since  $\phi$  is linear on this interval, we infer that

$$D\phi(2^k x_1, 2^k x_2, \dots, 2^k x_n) = 0$$

for all  $k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$ . As a result, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|Df(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)|}{|x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n|} &\leq \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{|D\phi(2^k x_1, 2^k x_2, \dots, 2^k x_n)|}{2^k(|x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n|)} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n^2 + 1)\mu}{2^k 2^N (|x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n|)} \\ &\leq 2(n^2 + 1)\mu = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, assume that  $|x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n| \geq 1$ . Then merely by virtue of the boundedness of  $f$ , we have

$$\frac{|Df(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)|}{|x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n|} \leq 2(n^2 + 1)\mu = \varepsilon.$$

Thus we conclude that  $f$  satisfies (2.4) for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ .

Now, contrary to what we claim, suppose that there exist a  $\delta \in [0, \infty)$  and an additive function  $T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that (2.5) holds true. Then, it follows from the continuity of  $f$  that  $T$  is bounded on some neighborhood of zero. Now, by a classical result (see e.g. [1], 2.1.1., Theorem 1) there exists a real constant  $c$  such that

$$T(x) = cx, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence,

$$|f(x) - cx| \leq \delta|x|, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R},$$

which implies that

$$\left| \frac{f(x)}{x} \right| \leq \delta + |c|, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}.$$

On the other hand, we can choose an  $N' \in \mathbb{N}$  so large that  $N'\mu > \delta + |c|$ . Then picking out an  $x$  from the interval  $(0, \frac{1}{2^{N'-1}})$ , we have  $2^k x \in (0, 1)$  for each  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N' - 1\}$ . Consequently, for this  $x$  we have

$$\frac{f(x)}{x} \geq \sum_{k=0}^{N'-1} \mu = N'\mu > \delta + |c|,$$

which yields a contradiction. Thus the function  $f$  provides a good example to the effect that Corollary 2.3 fails to hold for  $p = 1$ .

### 3. The second result of Hyers–Ulam stability

In this part, we investigate alternative generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of the equation (1.2).

**THEOREM 3.1.** *If a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the inequality (2.1), and if  $\varphi : X^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfies*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(n^i x_1, \dots, n^i x_n)}{n^i} < \infty, \quad \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n^i \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{n^i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{n^i}\right) < \infty, \text{ resp.} \right)$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ , then there exists a unique additive mapping  $L : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $L$  satisfies the inequality

$$(3.1) \quad \|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq n\|f(0)\| + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{i+1}} \varphi(n^i x, n^i x, \dots, n^i x)$$

$$\left( \|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n^i \varphi\left(\frac{x}{n^{i+1}}, \frac{x}{n^{i+1}}, \dots, \frac{x}{n^{i+1}}\right), \text{ resp.} \right)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . The mapping  $L$  is given by

$$L(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} n^{-m} f(n^m x), \quad \left( L(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} n^m f(n^{-m} x), \text{ resp.} \right)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Moreover, if  $f$  is measurable or  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in X$ , then the mapping  $L$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear.

*Proof.* Setting  $x_1 = \dots = x_n := x$  in (2.1), we have

$$\|nf(x) + n(n-1)f(0) - f(nx)\| \leq \varphi(x, \dots, x)$$

which is simplified to

$$(3.2) \quad \|nf(x) - f(nx)\| \leq n(n-1)\|f(0)\| + \varphi(x, \dots, x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Thus



$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - n^{-m}f(n^m x)\| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{f(n^i x)}{n^i} - \frac{f(n^{i+1} x)}{n^{i+1}} \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left[ \frac{n-1}{n^i} \|f(0)\| + \frac{1}{n^{i+1}} \varphi(n^i x, \dots, n^i x) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and all  $m \geq 1$ . The rest of proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *Let  $p \neq 1$  be a positive real number and  $\theta, \delta \geq 0$  be real numbers. If a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the inequality*

$$\|Df(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \delta + \theta \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ , where  $\delta = 0$  when  $p > 1$ , then there exists a unique additive mapping  $L : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $L$  satisfies the inequality

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq n\|f(0)\| + \frac{\delta}{n-1} + \frac{n^p}{|n-n^p|} \|x\|^p$$

for all  $x \in X$ , where  $f(0) = 0$  if  $p > 1$ . Moreover, if  $f$  is measurable or  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in X$ , then the mapping  $L$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear.

#### 4. Applications to Banach modules

Throughout this section, let  $B$  be a unital Banach algebra with norm  $|\cdot|$ , and let  ${}_B\mathbb{B}_1$  and  ${}_B\mathbb{B}_2$  be left Banach  $B$ -modules with norms  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|$ , respectively. A linear mapping  $L : {}_B\mathbb{B}_1 \rightarrow {}_B\mathbb{B}_2$  is called  $B$ -linear if

$$L(\alpha x) = \alpha L(x)$$

for all  $\alpha \in B$  and  $x \in {}_B\mathbb{B}_1$ . We denote  $D_a f$  by

$$D_a f(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{i=1}^n f(ax_i) + \sum_{i \neq j} f(ax_i - ax_j) - af\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)$$

for all  $a \in B(1) := \{a \in B : |a| = 1\}$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in {}_B\mathbb{B}_1$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $\varphi : {}_B\mathbb{B}_1^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a mapping which satisfies*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(n^i x_1, \dots, n^i x_n)}{n^i} < \infty, \quad \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n^i \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{n^i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{n^i}\right) < \infty, \text{ resp.} \right)$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in {}_B\mathbb{B}_1$ . If a mapping  $f : {}_B\mathbb{B}_1 \rightarrow {}_B\mathbb{B}_2$  satisfies the inequality

$$(4.1) \quad \|D_a f(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

for all  $a \in B(1)$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in {}_B\mathbb{B}_1$ , and if  $f$  is measurable or  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in {}_B\mathbb{B}_1$ , then there exists a unique  $B$ -linear mapping  $L : {}_B\mathbb{B}_1 \rightarrow {}_B\mathbb{B}_2$  such that  $L$  satisfies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - L(x)\| &\leq n\|f(0)\| + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(n^i x, \dots, n^i x)}{n^{i+1}} \\ \left(\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n^i \varphi\left(\frac{x}{n^{i+1}}, \dots, \frac{x}{n^{i+1}}\right), \text{ resp.}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in {}_B\mathbb{B}_1$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.1, it follows from the inequality of the statement for  $a = 1$  that there exists a unique additive mapping  $L : {}_B\mathbb{B}_1 \rightarrow {}_B\mathbb{B}_2$  satisfying the inequality (3.1). Under the assumption that  $f$  is measurable or  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in {}_B\mathbb{B}_1$ , the mapping  $L$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear. And taking  $x_1 = \dots = x_n := x$  in (4.1), then we get

$$(4.2) \quad \|nf(ax) - af(nx)\| \leq n(n-1)\|f(0)\| + \varphi(x, x, \dots, x)$$

for all  $x \in {}_B\mathbb{B}_1$ . Dividing (4.2) by  $n^m$  and replacing  $x := n^{m-1}x$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ), we get  $L(ax) = aL(x)$  for all  $x \in {}_B\mathbb{B}_1$  and all  $a \in B(1)$  by taking  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . The last relation is trivially true for  $a = 0$ . For each element  $\alpha (\neq 0) \in B$ ,  $\alpha = |\alpha| \cdot \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}$  and  $\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|} \in B(1)$ . Since  $L$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear, we see

$$L(\alpha x) = L\left(|\alpha| \cdot \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|} x\right) = |\alpha|L\left(\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|} x\right) = |\alpha| \cdot \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|} L(x) = \alpha L(x)$$

for each nonzero  $\alpha \in B$  and all  $x \in {}_B\mathbb{B}_1$ . So the unique  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear mapping  $L$  is also  $B$ -linear, as desired.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.2.** Let  $p \neq 1$  be a positive real number and  $\theta, \delta \geq 0$  be real numbers. If a mapping  $f : {}_B\mathbb{B}_1 \rightarrow {}_B\mathbb{B}_2$  satisfies the inequality

$$\|D_a f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \delta + \theta \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p$$

for all  $a \in B(1)$  and all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in {}_B\mathbb{B}_1$ , where  $\delta = 0$  when  $p > 1$ , and if  $f$  is measurable or  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in$

${}_B\mathbb{B}_1$ , then there exists a unique  $B$ -linear mapping  $L : {}_B\mathbb{B}_1 \rightarrow {}_B\mathbb{B}_2$  such that  $L$  satisfies the inequality

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq n\|f(0)\| + \frac{\delta}{n-1} + \frac{n^p}{|n-n^p|}\|x\|^p$$

for all  $x \in {}_B\mathbb{B}_1$ .

### References

- [1] J. Aczel, *Lectures on Functional Equations and their Applications*, Academic Press, New York, San Francisco, London, 1966.
- [2] T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan. **2** (1950), 64-66.
- [3] Z. Gajda, *On stability of additive mappings*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **14** (1991), 431-434.
- [4] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 431-436.
- [5] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equations*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **27** (1941), 222-224.
- [6] P. Nakmahachalasint, *On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of an  $n$ -dimensional additive functional equation*, Thai J. Math. **5** (2007), 81-86.
- [7] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297-300.
- [8] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Chapter 6, Wiley Interscience, New York, 1964.

\*

Department of Mathematics  
 Chungnam National University  
 Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail*: hmkim@cnu.ac.kr

\*\*

Department of Mathematics  
 Chungnam National University  
 Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail*: hyshin31@cnu.ac.kr