RESEARCH ARTICLE

Glutathione S-transferase M1 Null Genotype and Hepatocellular Carcinoma Susceptibility in China and India: Evidence from an Updated Meta-analysis

Hong-Zhou Liu[&], Jie Peng[&], Chun-Yan Peng, Ming Yan, Fang Zheng*

Abstract

   Background: Glutathione S-transferase M1 (GSTM1) have been reported to be associated with hepatocellular carcinoma. However, the effect of the GSTM1 null genotype was divergent in the literature and we therefore performed the present meta-analysis to explore the relationship in detail. Materials and Methods: Reported studies were searched from 1990 to March 1, 2014 in PubMed and Wanfang Med Online. The total odds oatio (OR) and 95% CI were calculated and analyzed by Review Manager 5.1 and STATE 12. Results: Total OR was calculated from 26 articles with 3,769 cases and 5,517 controls and the association proved significant (OR [95%CI]=1.50 [1.25, 1.80], $P<0.05$) in the Chinese population. However, there was no significant association between hepatocellular carcinoma risk among subjects carrying the GSTM1 null genotype (OR [95%CI]=1.20 [0.88-1.64], $P=0.24$) in subgroups of publication in English and in Indian populations (OR [95%CI]=1.80 [0.80-4.20], $P=0.15$). Conclusions: The GSTM1 deletion polymorphism might not have a significant effect on the susceptibility of hepatocellular carcinoma overall.

Keywords: Glutathione S-transferase M1 gene (GSTM1) - null polymorphism - hepatocellular carcinoma

Introduction

   Liver cancer was the most common cancer and a serious fatal disease and had caused serious damage to human health (Yu et al., 2011). The etiloogy of liver cancer was chronic infection with hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) (Jeng et al., 2014). However, some studies were about genetic polymorphisms and suggested that GSTM1 deletion polymorphism was involved in liver cancer risk in China (Wang et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2013). However, only a minority of subjects who carried GSTM1 null genotype developed liver cancer. This phenomenon suggested that other risk factors, such as other genes polymorphisms, were related to susceptibility to liver cancer (Lakkakula et al., 2013). Many studies had discussed about GSTM1 null genotype and the results were not consistent. What's more, the researches were not performed by eliminating publication bias and irrelevant conclusions were made (Wang et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2013). We doubted that GSTM1 null genotype was the etiology of liver cancer.

   Glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs) were coded by glutathione S-transferase mu 1 (GSTM1), glutathione S-transferase theta-1 (GSTT1) and other genes. GSTM1 was hypothesized to protect against toxins. However, many enzymes, such as cytochrome P450 (CYP450), microsomal epoxide hydrolase, and N-acetyltransferase, were involved in detoxification of carcinogens. We doubt that GSTM1 null genotype was the etiology of liver cancer. Therefore, we did this meta-analysis to investigate the effect of GSTM1 null genotype in etiology of liver cancer by subgroup analysis of publication language.

   We enlarged the number of cases and controls to do an undated meta-analysis, and we ruled out publication bias by using subgroup analysis of publication language to make the conclusion more convincing.

Materials and Methods

Literature inclusion criteria
   (1) The subjects of literature must be Chinese and Indian; (2) The papers should include the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma and GSTM1 null genotype; (3) Only case-control and cohort studies were considered; (4) The papers must provide the sample size, the OR values and 95% confidence interval or provide the related information such as genotype frequency that can calculate OR and 95%CI; (5) When more than one paper used the same study population, we included a recent literature.

Literature exclusion criteria
   (1) There was no controls; (2) Duplicated data; (3) The articles were reviews; (4) Controls were with other malignancies.

*Center for Gene Diagnosis, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China [&]Equal contributors *For correspondence: zhengfang@whu.edu.cn.*

Search strategy

PubMed and Wanfang Med Online were searched by using key words: "liver cancer"; "GSTM1"; "glutathione S-transferase M1''; ''hepatocellular carcinoma''; "polymorphism". The date of the search interval was from 1990 to March 1, 2014 and the scope of the search was all papers consisted of journals and dissertations.

Study selection and data extraction

According to pre-established criteria of inclusion and exclusion, a double-check procedure was carried out to make sure the accuracy of the data entry. The following information was extracted from the studies: first author, year, publication language, country, the data of total and exposure number in cases and control groups. A standardized procedure was performed to estimate Odds Ratio of cases and controls. Characteristics of studies were summarized.

Statistical analysis methods

Statistical analysis was did by using Review Manager5.1 and STATA 12. Adjusted OR value and 95%CI were calculated for each study, and crude OR value should be calculated if adjusted OR value was not available. The Cochrane Q statistics test and I^2 were performed for heterogeneity in this meta-analysis. A fixed effects model was used when $p>0.10$ and $I^2<50\%$, simultaneously, while a random effects model was selected when $p<0.10$ or $I^2>50\%$. The funnel plot was drawn to evaluate publication bias. Egger's test and Begg's test were also done to check the publication bias. All the tests were two-sided, a P value of 0.05 for any test or model was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Overview of included studies

According to the search strategy, 29 papers were selected in Figure 1. We had read all 29 the papers and 16 papers about Chinese were published by Chinese and 10 papers were published by English and 3 studies were about Indian in Table 1.

Table 1. Literature Inclusion and Exclusion

First author	Year	Country	Publication language	Cases Null	Cases Total	Controls Null	Controls Total	Remark
Yu	1995	China	English	16	30	95	150	exclusion (duplication of data)
Bian	1996	China	Chinese	44	65	50	106	exclusion (duplication of data)
Dong	1997	China	Chinese	62	110	50	112	inclusion
Dong	1997	China	Chinese	33	54	26	54	exclusion (duplication of data)
Hu	1997	China	Chinese	37	45	104	147	inclusion
Yu	1999	China	English	42	84	216	375	inclusion
Wu	2000	China	Chinese	38	54	62	136	inclusion
Bian	2001	China	English	36	63	37	88	inclusion
Deng	2001	China	Chinese	102	162	92	177	exclusion (duplication of data)
Sun	2001	China	English	26	69	77	128	inclusion
Zhu	2001	China	Chinese	34	52	41	100	inclusion
Chen	2002	China	English	60	101	19	35	inclusion
Chen	2005	China	English	322	577	231	389	inclusion
Mcglynn	2003	China	English	134	231	124	256	inclusion
Deng	2005	China	English	117	181	172	360	inclusion
Liu	2002	China	Chinese	56	84	69	144	inclusion
Wei	2003	China	Chinese	70	100	64	135	exclusion (duplication of data)
Li	2004	China	Chinese	122	207	118	207	inclusion
Deng	2005	China	Chinese	117	181	172	360	exclusion (duplication of data)
He	2005	China	Chinese	68	105	77	151	exclusion (duplication of data)
He	2007	China	Chinese	68	105	77	151	exclusion (duplication of data)
He	2008	China	Chinese	68	105	77	151	inclusion
Long	2005	China	Chinese	92	140	254	536	exclusion (duplication of data)
Ma	2005	China	Chinese	37	63	29	73	inclusion
Zhu	2005	China	Chinese	56	91	61	130	inclusion
Guo	2005	China	Chinese	67	95	52	103	inclusion
Zhang	2005	China	Chinese	37	60	28	73	inclusion
Long	2006	China	English	179	257	312	649	inclusion
Yang	2009	China	Chinese	59	100	41	60	inclusion
Wei	2010	China	Chinese	118	181	305	641	inclusion
Kao	2010	China	English	54	102	211	386	inclusion
Xiao	2011	China	Chinese	126	210	40	75	inclusion
Tang	2012	China	Chinese	76	150	77	150	inclusion
Chen	2012	China	Chinese	15	21	25	68	inclusion
Li	2012	China	English	244	476	211	481	inclusion
Asim	2010	India	English	152	254	157	525	inclusion
Kiran	2008	India	English	16	63	38	169	inclusion
Sarma	2012	India	English	45	68	75	123	inclusion

Meta-analysis Results

We observed a significant association between GSTM1 null genotype and hepatocellular carcinoma in total Chinese population [OR= 1.50, 95%CI: 1.25-1.80, $p<0.0001$; $P_Q<0.00001$ and $I^2=74\%$] in Figure 2. As shown in Table 2, we performed subgroup analysis of publication


Figure 1. The Flow Chart of the Included Studies for a Meta-analysis of GSTM1 Null Genotype and Hepatocellular Carcinoma

languages to eliminate the publication bias. There was a significant association between GSTM1 null genotype and hepatocellular carcinoma in Chinese population published by Chinese [OR= 1.74, 95%CI: 1.42-2.14, $p<0.00001$; $P_Q=0.008$ and $I^2=52\%$] in Figure 3 (still significant after Bonferroni correction), but not in subgroup of published by English [OR= 1.20, 95%CI: 0.88-1.64, $p=0.24$; $P_Q<0.00001$ and $I^2=84\%$] in Figure 4.

However, there is no significant association between GSTM1 null genotype and hepatocellular carcinoma in Indian population [OR = 1.80, 95%CI: 0.80-4.02, $p=0.15$; $P_Q=0.0009$ and $I^2=86\%$] in Figure 5.

We caught a conclusion that there was no significant association between single GSTM1 null genotype and hepatocellular carcinoma in Indian population and Chinese population published by English.

Test of heterogeneity

Q test and I^2 were calculated to test the heterogeneity in Table 2. P value was less than 0.10, so we analyzed the pooled ORs with random effects model. Many factors might lead to heterogeneity. The distribution of GSTM1 null genotype was different in various regions; the selection of control group was different among studies;

Table 2. Pooled Measures for the Association between GSTM1 Null and Susceptibility to Hepatocellular Carcinoma

| Country	Data	No.			Heterogeneity		Effect size		Model
		Studies	cases	controls	$I^2(\%)$	p	OR (95%CI)	p	
China	Overall	26	3769	5517	74	<0.00001	1.50[1.25,1.80]	<0.0001	Random model
	Publication in Chinese	16	1628	2370	52	0.008	1.74[1.42,2.14]	<0.00001	Random model
	Publication in English	10	2141	3147	84	<0.00001	1.20[0.88,1.64]	0.24	Random model
India	Publication in English	3	385	817	86	0.0009	1.80[0.80,4.02]	0.15	Random model

Figure 2. Forest Plot and Funnel Plot for the Association between GSTM1 null Genotype and Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Over all Chinese Population


Figure 3. Forest Plot and Funnel Plot for the Association between GSTM1 Null Genotype and Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Chinese Population by Publication in Chinese

Figure 4. Forest Plot and Funnel Plot for the Association between GSTM1 Null Genotype and Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Chinese Population by Publication in English


Figure 5. Forest Plot for the Association between GSTM1 Null Genotype and Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Indian Population

Table 3. Publication Bias for all Analysis

Country	Data	p value	
		Egger's test	Begg's test
China	overall	0.131	0.094
	Publication in Chinese	0.085	0.034
	Publication in English	0.773	1.000
India	Publication in English	0.451	0.296

smoking and subtypes of liver cancer also could lead to heterogeneity. However, this information could not collect completely.

Publication bias

Funnel plots was performed to assess the publication bias in Figure 2, Figure 3 and Figure 4. In addition, the Egger's test and Begg's test were also selected to test publication bias in Table 3. The PBegg's test of studies published in Chinese was 0.034<0.05, so publication bias was existent. The rest of Begg's tests were *p*>0.05, so it indicated that there was no publication bias in other analysis. Sensitivity analysis was performed by sequential omission of individual studies, and the results also indicated that the pooled result was robust.

Discussion

According to this meta-analysis, an interesting finding that GSTM1 null genotype was significant association with over all studies about China (OR=1.50, 95%CI=1.25–1.80, *p*<0.0001) was observed. The homozygous deletion of GSTM1 could result in a lack of enzyme activity, so failure to deal with toxins might lead to the development of liver cancer. However, this hypothesis had a precondition that GSTM1 was the major metabolic gene or GSTM1 was the only participator in metabolize carcinogens. As we all known that there were many metabolic genes, such as cytochrome P450 (CYP450), microsomal epoxide

hydrolase, and N-acetyltransferase, could metabolize carcinogens. CYP450 might have a more important role in detoxification of carcinogens, and CYP450 could compensate the non-function of GSTM1 null genotype (Liu et al., 2013). We doubt that GSTM1 null genotype was the etiology of liver cancer.

Therefore, we analyzed the results by subgroup analysis. We observed that there was no significant association between GSTM1 null genotype and hepatocellular carcinoma risk in subgroup of publication in English (OR=1.20, 95%CI=0.88–1.64, *p*=0.24). This result was conflict with overall result. The power of test was enough because of 2141 cases and 3147 controls. However, there was significant association between GSTM1 null genotype and hepatocellular carcinoma risk in subgroup of publication in Chinese (OR=1.74, 95%CI=1.42–2.14, *p*<0.00001). Many reasons could lead to these inconsistent results. Positive results were easy to publish in Chinese journals, and this could lead to publication bias. Funnel plot, Egger's test and Begg's test were selected to test publication bias and the $P_{Begg's}$ test of studies published in Chinese was 0.034<0.05, so publication bias was existent. This result indicated the subgroup analysis of publication in English were more convincible than subgroup analysis of publication in Chinese. Besides, duplicate data were published among Chinese journals and publication bias was inevitable. Meta-analysis between GSTM1 null genotype and hepatocellular carcinoma risk in Indian population was performed. However, there were only 3 studies and no significant association was observed.

The heterogeneity was not negligible and it was difficult to eliminate. The distribution of GSTM1 null genotype was different in various regions; the selection of control group was different among studies; smoking and subtypes of liver cancer also could lead to heterogeneity. However, this information could not collect completely.

There were some limitations in this meta-analysis.

First, only published papers were included in this meta-analysis, and it would cause publication bias. Second, there were a few cases and controls in Indian population in this meta-analysis. Third, heterogeneity was difficult to exclude and this indicates that further analysis needs to gather complete data which includes gender, age, smoking and type of liver cancer. In spite of these limitations, there were some advantages in this study. First, 3769 cases and 5517 controls included in this meta-analysis were eligible and had greater statistical power. Second, we conducted separate meta-analyses for different publication language and we derived a different and convincible conclusion of the relationship between GSTM1 and null genotype and the risk of developing liver cancer.

In a word, we found that there was no significant association between GSTM1 null genotype and the susceptibility of liver cancer by subgroup analysis of publication in English.

Acknowledgements

References

Asim M, Khan LA, Husain SA, et al (2010). Genetic polymorphism of glutathione S transferases M1 and T1 in Indian patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Dis Markers*, **28**, 369-76.

Bian JC, Wang JB, Wu Y, et a1 (1996). Relationship between GSTM1 null genotype and genetic susceptibility to primary hepatocellular carcmoma. *Chin J Med Genet*, **13**, 353-6 (in Chinese).

Bian JC, Shen FM, Shen L, et a1 (2000). Susceptibility to hepatocellular carcinoma associated with null genotypes of GSTM1 and GSTT1. *World J Gastroenterol*, **6**, 228-30.

Chen SY, Wang LY, Lunn RM, et al (2002). Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-DNA adducts in liver tissues of hepatocellular carcinoma patients and controls. *Int J Cancer*, **99**, 14-21.

Chen CC, Yang SY, Liu CJ, et al (2005). Association of cytokine and DNA repair gene polymorphisms with hepatitis B-related hepatocellular carcinoma. *Int J Epidemiol*, **34**, 1310-8.

Chen YY, Ding F, Xie YA (2012). Study on gene polymorphisms of glutathione S-transferase GSTM1 and GSTT1 in high-risk families for liver cancer in Fusui county, Guangxi. *Chin J Oncol Prev Treat*, **4**, 140-4.

Chen J, Ma L, Peng NF, Wang SJ, Li LQ (2012). A meta-analysis of the relationship between glutathione S-transferases gene polymorphism and hepatocellular carcinoma in Asian population. *Mol Biol Rep*, **39**, 10383-93.

Dong CH, Yu SZ, Chen GC, et a1 (1997). Polymorphisms of GSTTl and M1 genotypes and their effects on elevated aflatoxin exposure and increased risk of hepatoeellular carcinoma. *J Cancer Prev Treat*, **24**, 327-9 (in Chinese).

Dong CH, Zi XL, Yu SZ, et a1 (1997). Relationship between deletion of glutathione S-transferase gene and susceptibility to primary hepatocellular carcinoma. *Chin Pub Health J*, **16**, 141-2 (in Chinese).

Deng ZL, Wei YP, Ma Y (2001). Glutathione-S-transferase M1 genotype in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Chin J Oncol*, **23**, 477-9 (in Chinese).

Deng ZL, Wei YP, Ma Y (2005). Polymorphism of glutathione S-transferase mu 1 and theta 1 genes and hepatocellular carcinoma in southern Guangxi, China. *World J Gastroenterol*, **11**, 272-4.

Deng ZL, Wei YP, Ma Y (2005). Genetic deletion of GSTM1 and GSTT1 detoxicated enzymes in relation to hepatocellular carcinoma in Guangxi. *Guangxi Sci*, **12**, 55-7 (in Chinese).

Guo HY, Bian JC, Jiang F, et a1 (2005). The null genotypes of GSTM1 and GSTT1 and the genetic susceptibility of primary liver cancer in Luoyang. *Tumor*, **25**, 58-61 (in Chinese).

Hu Y, Shen FM (1997). Association between GSTM1 gene polymorphism of primary hepatocellular carcinoma and mutation of p53 codon 249. *Chin J Med Genet*, **14**, 76-8 (in Chinese).

He SJ, Tan JR, Gu YY, et a1 (2005). Genetic polymorphism analysis of GSTM1, GSTT1 in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Guangxi Med Univ*, **22**, 875-7 (in Chinese).

Jeng JE, Tsai MF, Tsai HR, et al (2014). Impact of chronic hepatitis B and hepatitis C on adverse hepatic fibrosis in hepatocellular carcinoma related to betel quid chewing. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, **15**, 637-42.

Kiran M, Chawla YK, Kaur J (2008). Glutathione-S-transferase and microsomal epoxide hydrolase polymorphism and viralrelated hepatocellular carcinoma risk in India. *DNA Cell Biol*, **27**, 687-94.

Kao CC, Chen MK, Kuo WH, et a1 (2010). Influence of glutathione-S-transferase theta (GSTT1) and mu (GSTM1) gene polymorphisms on the susceptibility of hepatocellular carcinoma in Taiwan. *J Stag Oncol*, **102**, 301-7.

Liu CZ, Bian JC, Jiang F, et a1 (2002). Genetic polymorphism of glutathione S-transferase M1, T1, P1 on susceptibility hepatocellular carcinoma. *China Publ Health*, **18**, 935-6 (in Chinese).

Li SP, Wu JZ, Ding JH, et a1 (2004). Impact of genetic polymorphisms of glutathione S-transferase T1, M1 on the risk of primary hepatocellular carcinoma in alcohol drinkers. *Pract J Cancer*, **19**, 229-32 (in Chinese).

Long XD, Ma Y, Wei YP, et a1 (2005). Study on the detoxication gene gstM1, gstT1 and susceptibility to aflatoxin B1-related hepatocellular carcinoma in guangxi. *Chin J Epidemiol*, **26**, 777-81 (in Chinese).

Long XD, Ma Y, Wei YP, et a1 (2006). The polymorphisms of GSTM1, GSTT1, HYLl*2, and XRCC1, and aflatoxin B1-related hepatocellular carcinoma in Guangxi population,China. *Hepatol Res*, **36**, 48-55.

Li CG, Zhao ZM, Hu MG, Liu R (2012). Predictive role of glutathione-S-transferase gene polymorphisms in risk and prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev*, **13**, 3247-52.

Liu K, Zhang L, Lin XL, et al (2013). Association of GST genetic polymorphisms with the susceptibility to hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in chinese population evaluated by an updated systematic meta-analysis. *Plos One*, **8**, 57043.

Liu HZ, Peng J, Zheng F, Wang CH, Han MJ (2013). Lack of assocation of glutathione S-transferase T1 gene null and susceptibility to lung cancer in China: A meta-analysis. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, **14**, 7215-9

Lakkakula S, Maram R, Munirajan AK, et al (2013). Functional PstI/RsaI polymorphisms in the CYP2E1Gene among South Indian Populations. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, **14**, 179-82.

McGlynn KA, Hunter K, LeVoyer T, et al (2003). Susceptibility to aflatoxin B1-related primary hepatocellular carcinoma in mice and humans. *Cancer Res*, **63**, 4594-601.

Ma DL, Chen YX, Li Y, et a1 (2005). Glutathione-S-transferase M1 and T1 polymorphisms (deficiency) and susceptibility to liver cancer in hepatitis B surface antigen positive (HBsAg positive) population. *Guangxi Med J*, **27**, 656-7 (in Chinese).

Peng J, Liu HZ, Zhu YJ (2014). Null glutathione S-transferase T1 and M1 genotypes and oral cancer susceptibility in China and India--a meta-analysis. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, **15**, 287-90.

Sun CA, Wang LY, Chen CJ, et a1 (2001). Genetic polymorphisms of glutathione S-transferases M1 and T1 associated with susceptibility to aflatoxin-related hepatocarcinogenesis among chronic hepatitis B carriers: a nested case-control study in Taiwan. *Carcinogenesis*, **22**, 1289-94.

Sarma MP, Asim M, Medhi S, Bharathi T, Kar P (2012). Hepatitis C virus related hepatocellular carcinoma: a case control study from India. *J Med Virol*, **84**, 1009-17.

Tang YT, Li XP, Liu TQ, et al (2012). A study of genetic polymorphisms of glutathione S-transferase in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Chin J Lab Diagn*, **16**, 660-1 (in Chinese).

Wu HL, Chen MN, Liu PX, et a1 (2000). Relationship between GSTM1 gene polymorphism and genetic susceptibility to primary hepatocellular carcinoma. *Pract J Cancer*, **15**, 463-5 (in Chinese).

Wei YP, Ma Y, Deng ZL (2003). Genetic polymorphisms of glutathione-S-transferase M1 and T1 and the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Tumor*, **23**, 464-6 (in Chinese).

Wei YP, Long XD, Liu ZG, et a1 (2010). Genetic polymorphism of glutathione-S·transferase M1 and T1 in hepatocellular carcinoma and nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *J Cancer Prev Treat*, **37**, 1162-5 (in Chinese).

Wang B, Huang G, Wang D, et al (2010). Null genotypes of GSTM1 and GSTT1 contribute to hepatocellular carcinoma risk: Evidence from an updated meta-analysis. *J Hepatology*, 53, 508-18.

Xiao KY, Li LQ, Peng MH, et al (2011). Gene polymorphisms of GSTM1 and GSTT1 in the clustering families of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Chin J Oncol Prev Treat*, **3**, 287-90 (in Chinese).

Yu MW, Gladek-Yarborough A, Chiamprasert S, et a1 (1995). Cytochrome P450 2El and glutathione S-transferase M1 polymorphisms and susceptibility to hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology*, **109**, 1266-73.

Yu MW, Chiu YH, Chiang YC, et al (1999). Plasma carotenoids, glutathione S-transferase M1 and T1 genetic polymorphisms, and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma: independent and interactive effects. *Am J Epidemiol*, **149**, 621-9.

Yang ZG, Xie YA, Kuang ZP, et a1 (2009). Relationship between genetic polymorphisms of glutathione-S-transferase M1, T1 genes and susceptibility to hepatocelhilar carcinoma in population of Fusui District of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region. *Chin J Cancer Prev Treat*, **16**, 970-3 (in Chinese).

Yu L, Wang CY, Xi B, et al (2011). GST polymorphisms are associated with hepatocellular carcinoma risk in Chinese population. *World J Gastroenterol*, **17**, 3248-56

Zhu WC, Chen Q, Luo CL, et a1 (2001). Relationship study between gene polymorphism of CYP1A1, GSTM1 and genetic susceptibility of primary hepatocellar carcinoma. *China J Cancer Prevt*, **8**, 572-4 (in Chinese).

Zhu MH, Chen XH, Zhou LF (2005). Association of genetic polymorphisms in glutathione S-transfereses M1 with hepatitis B-related hepatoeellular carcinoma. *J Zhejiang Univ (Med Sci)*, **34**, 126-30 (in Chinese).

Zhang YC, Deng CS, Zhu YQ (2005). Study on genetic polymorphisms of xenobiotica metabolizing enzymes in hepatitis B virus-associated hepatic diseases. *J Wenzhou Med Coll*, **35**, 464-7 (in Chinese).

100.0

75.0

50.0

25.0

0

Newly diagnosed without treatment