

## GENERATING SETS OF STRICTLY ORDER-PRESERVING TRANSFORMATION SEMIGROUPS ON A FINITE SET

HAYRULLAH AYIK AND LEYLA BUGAY

ABSTRACT. Let  $O_n$  and  $PO_n$  denote the order-preserving transformation and the partial order-preserving transformation semigroups on the set  $X_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , respectively. Then the *strictly partial order-preserving transformation semigroup*  $SPO_n$  on the set  $X_n$ , under its natural order, is defined by  $SPO_n = PO_n \setminus O_n$ . In this paper we find necessary and sufficient conditions for any subset of  $SPO(n, r)$  to be a (minimal) generating set of  $SPO(n, r)$  for  $2 \leq r \leq n - 1$ .

### 1. Introduction

The partial transformation semigroup  $P_{\mathcal{X}}$  and the full transformation semigroup  $T_{\mathcal{X}}$  on a set  $\mathcal{X}$ , the semigroups analogue of the symmetric group  $S_{\mathcal{X}}$ , have been much studied over the last fifty years, for both finite and infinite  $\mathcal{X}$ . Here we are concerned solely with the case where  $\mathcal{X} = X_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and we write respectively  $P_n$ ,  $T_n$  and  $S_n$  rather than  $P_{X_n}$ ,  $T_{X_n}$  and  $S_{X_n}$ . Among recent contributions are [1, 2, 6, 10, 11]. The *domain*, *image*, *height* and *kernel* of  $\alpha \in P_n$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom}(\alpha) &= \{x \in X_n : \text{there exists } y \in X_n \text{ such that } x\alpha = y\}, \\ \text{im}(\alpha) &= \{y \in X_n : \text{there exists } x \in X_n \text{ such that } x\alpha = y\}, \\ \text{h}(\alpha) &= |\text{im}(\alpha)|, \\ \text{ker}(\alpha) &= \{(x, y) \in X_n \times X_n : (x, y \in \text{dom}(\alpha) \text{ and } x\alpha = y\alpha) \text{ or} \\ &\quad (x, y \notin \text{dom}(\alpha))\}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Notice that  $\text{ker}(\alpha)$  is an equivalence relation on  $X_n$  and the equivalence classes of  $\text{ker}(\alpha)$  are all of the pre-image sets of elements in  $\text{im}(\alpha)$  together with  $X_n \setminus \text{dom}(\alpha)$ . Then, the set  $\text{kp}(\alpha) = \{y\alpha^{-1} : y \in \text{im}(\alpha)\}$  is called the *kernel partition* of  $\alpha$  and the ordered pair  $\text{ks}(\alpha) = (\text{kp}(\alpha), X_n \setminus \text{dom}(\alpha))$

---

Received August 14, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20M20.

*Key words and phrases.* (partial/strictly partial) order-preserving transformation semigroup, idempotents, (minimal) generating set, rank.

is called the *kernel structure* of  $\alpha$ . For any  $\alpha, \beta \in P_n$  notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ks}(\alpha) = \text{ks}(\beta) &\Leftrightarrow \text{kp}(\alpha) = \text{kp}(\beta) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{ker}(\alpha) = \text{ker}(\beta) \text{ and } \text{dom}(\alpha) = \text{dom}(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for any  $\alpha, \beta$  in  $P_n$  it is well known that  $\text{dom}(\alpha\beta) \subseteq \text{dom}(\alpha)$ ,  $\text{ker}(\alpha) \subseteq \text{ker}(\alpha\beta)$ ,  $\text{im}(\alpha\beta) \subseteq \text{im}(\beta)$  and that  $\alpha \in P_n$  is an idempotent if and only if  $x\alpha = x$  for all  $x \in \text{im}(\alpha)$ . We denote the set of all idempotents in any subset  $U$  of any semigroup by  $E(U)$ . (See [3, 7] for other terms in semigroup theory which are not explained here.)

The *order-preserving transformation semigroup*  $O_n$  and the *partial order-preserving transformation semigroup*  $PO_n$  on  $X_n$ , under its natural order, are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} O_n &= \{\alpha \in T_n \setminus S_n, : x \leq y \Rightarrow x\alpha \leq y\alpha \ (\forall x, y \in X_n)\}, \text{ and} \\ PO_n &= O_n \cup \{\alpha \in P_n \setminus T_n : x \leq y \Rightarrow x\alpha \leq y\alpha \ (\forall x, y \in \text{dom}(\alpha))\}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Since  $\text{dom}(\alpha\beta) \subseteq \text{dom}(\alpha)$ , for all  $\alpha, \beta \in PO_n$ ,  $SPO_n = PO_n \setminus O_n$  is a subsemigroup of  $PO_n$  which is called the *strictly partial order-preserving transformation semigroup* on  $X_n$ , and

$$SPO(n, r) = \{\alpha \in SPO_n : |\text{im}(\alpha)| \leq r\}$$

is (under usual composition) a subsemigroup of  $SPO_n$  for  $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ . Notice that  $SPO(n, n-1) = SPO_n$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$  be a partition of a set  $Y \subseteq X_n$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is called an *ordered partition*, and we write  $\mathcal{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_k)$ , if  $x < y$  for all  $x \in A_i$  and  $y \in A_{i+1}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ ) (the idea of ordering a family of sets appeared on p335 of [8]). Moreover, a set  $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ , such that  $|\{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \cap A_i| = 1$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , is called a *transversal* (or a *cross-section*) of  $\mathcal{A}$ . For  $\alpha \in PO_n$  with height  $k$ , we have the order on the kernel classes  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  of  $\text{kp}(\alpha)$  as defined above and  $\text{ker}(\alpha) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} (A_i \times A_i)$ , where  $\emptyset \neq A_{k+1} = X_n \setminus \text{dom}(\alpha)$ . Without loss of generality, if  $(A_1, \dots, A_k)$  is an ordered partition of  $\text{dom}(\alpha)$ , then  $A_1\alpha < \dots < A_k\alpha$ , and moreover,  $\alpha$  can be written in the following tabular forms:

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_k & A_{k+1} \\ A_1\alpha & A_2\alpha & \cdots & A_k\alpha & - \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_k \\ A_1\alpha & A_2\alpha & \cdots & A_k\alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any  $\alpha, \beta$  in  $SPO(n, r)$ , it is easy to show by using the definitions of the Green's equivalences that

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{L} &\Leftrightarrow \text{im}(\alpha) = \text{im}(\beta), & (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R} &\Leftrightarrow \text{ks}(\alpha) = \text{ks}(\beta), \\ (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{D} &\Leftrightarrow \text{h}(\alpha) = \text{h}(\beta) & \text{ and } & (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{H} &\Leftrightarrow \alpha = \beta \end{aligned}$$

(see for the definitions of the Green's equivalences [7, pages 45–47]). For each  $r$  such that  $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ , we denote Green's  $\mathcal{D}$ -class of all elements in  $SPO(n, r)$  of height  $k$  by  $D_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq r$ .

Let  $\Pi = (V(\Pi), \vec{E}(\Pi))$  be a digraph. For two vertices  $u, v \in V(\Pi)$  we say  $u$  is *connected to*  $v$  in  $\Pi$  if there exists a directed path from  $u$  to  $v$ , that is, either  $(u, v) \in \vec{E}(\Pi)$  or  $(u, w_1), \dots, (w_i, w_{i+1}), \dots, (w_n, v) \in \vec{E}(\Pi)$  for some  $w_1, \dots, w_n \in V(\Pi)$ . Moreover, we say  $\Pi$  is *strongly connected* if, for any two vertices  $u, v \in V(\Pi)$ ,  $u$  is connected to  $v$  in  $\Pi$ . Let  $X$  be a non-empty subset of Green's  $\mathcal{D}$ -class  $D_r$  of  $SPO(n, r)$  for  $2 \leq r \leq n - 1$ . Then we define a digraph  $\Gamma_X$  as follows:

- the vertex set of  $\Gamma_X$ , denoted by  $V = V(\Gamma_X)$ , is  $X$ ; and
- the directed edge set of  $\Gamma_X$ , denoted by  $\vec{E} = \vec{E}(\Gamma_X)$ , is

$$\vec{E} = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in V \times V : \alpha\beta \in D_r\} .$$

Let  $S$  be any semigroup, and let  $A$  be any non-empty subset of  $S$ . Then the subsemigroup generated by  $A$ , that is, the smallest subsemigroup of  $S$  containing  $A$ , is denoted by  $\langle A \rangle$ . The *rank* of a finitely generated semigroup  $S$ , a semigroup generated by its a finite subset, is defined by

$$\text{rank}(S) = \min\{|A| : \langle A \rangle = S\}.$$

Gomes and Howie pointed out that the semigroup  $SPO_n$  is not idempotent generated and the rank of  $SPO_n$  is  $2n - 2$  in [5]. Moreover, for  $2 \leq r \leq n - 2$ , Garba proved in [4] that the subsemigroup  $SPO(n, r)$  is generated by idempotents of height  $r$ , and the rank of  $SPO(n, r)$  is  $\sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k-1}{r-1}$ .

The main goal of this paper is to find necessary and sufficient conditions for any subset of  $SPO(n, r)$  to be a (minimal) generating set of  $SPO(n, r)$  for  $2 \leq r \leq n - 1$ .

### 2. Generating sets of $SPO_n$

For convenience we state and prove probably a well known proposition.

**Proposition 1.** *For  $n, k \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq r \leq n - 1$ , let  $\alpha, \beta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in D_r$  in  $SPO(n, r)$ . Then,*

- (i)  $\alpha\beta \in D_r$  if and only if  $\text{im}(\alpha\beta) = \text{im}(\beta)$ . In other words,  $\text{im}(\alpha)$  is a transversal of the kernel partition  $\text{kp}(\beta)$  of  $\beta$ .
- (ii)  $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k \in D_r$  if and only if  $\alpha_i\alpha_{i+1} \in D_r$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ .

*Proof.* The proof of (i) is clear. We prove (ii):

( $\Rightarrow$ ) This part of the proof is also clear.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that  $\alpha_i\alpha_{i+1} \in D_r$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ . We use the inductive hypothesis on  $k$  to complete the proof.

For  $k = 2$  the claim is obviously true. Suppose that the claim holds for  $k - 1 \geq 2$ . If  $\text{im}(\alpha_{k-1}) = \{y_1, \dots, y_r\}$ , then  $\text{im}(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{k-1}) = \{y_1, \dots, y_r\}$  since  $\text{im}(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{k-1}) \subseteq \text{im}(\alpha_{k-1})$  and  $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{k-1}, \alpha_{k-1} \in D_r$ . Thus it follows from (i) that

$$\text{im}(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{k-1}\alpha_k) = \{y_1\alpha_k, \dots, y_r\alpha_k\} = \text{im}(\alpha_{k-1}\alpha_k) = \text{im}(\alpha_k),$$

and so  $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{k-1}\alpha_k \in D_r$ , as required. □

For  $0 \leq s \leq r \leq n$  recall the set

$$[r, s] = \{\alpha \in PO_n : |\text{dom}(\alpha)| = r \text{ and } |\text{im}(\alpha)| = s\}$$

(defined in [5, p. 276]). It is indicated in [5] that the top Green's  $\mathcal{D}$ -class  $D_{n-1} = [n-1, n-1]$  in  $SPO_n$  does not generate  $SPO_n$ , and that  $SPO_n$  is not idempotent generated.

Suppose that  $A$  is a (minimal) generating set of  $SPO_n$ . Since there exist  $n$  different Green's  $\mathcal{L}$ -classes and  $n$  different Green's  $\mathcal{R}$ -classes in  $D_{n-1}$ ,  $A$  must contain at least  $n$  elements from  $D_{n-1}$ . Next notice that a typical element  $\alpha \in [n-1, n-2] \subseteq D_{n-2}$  has the form:

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-1} \\ b_1 & \cdots & b_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \in [n-1, n-2],$$

where  $a_1 < \cdots < a_{n-1}$ ,  $b_1 \leq \cdots \leq b_{n-1}$  and all but one of the inequalities between the  $b$ 's are strict. Then  $\alpha$  is called of *kernel type  $i$*  if  $b_i = b_{i+1}$ , and we write  $K(\alpha) = i$ , and so the possible values for  $K(\alpha)$  are  $1, 2, \dots, n-2$ . It is shown in [5] that  $A \cap [n-1, n-2]$  must contain at least one element of each of the  $n-2$  possible kernel types in  $[n-1, n-2]$ . For each  $i = 2, \dots, n$  let

$$(1) \quad \alpha_i : X_n \setminus \{i\} \rightarrow X_n \setminus \{i-1\} \text{ and } \alpha_1 : X_n \setminus \{1\} \rightarrow X_n \setminus \{n\}$$

be the unique order-preserving (bijective) transformations. That is, let  $\alpha_1$  denote the unique order-preserving (bijective) transformation from  $X_n \setminus \{1\}$  onto  $X_n \setminus \{n\}$  and, for each  $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ , let  $\alpha_i$  denote the unique order-preserving (bijective) transformation from  $X_n \setminus \{i\}$  onto  $X_n \setminus \{i-1\}$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, n-2$ , let

$$(2) \quad \beta_i : X_n \setminus \{n\} \rightarrow X_n$$

be the order-preserving transformation defined by

$$j\beta_i = \begin{cases} i+1 & \text{if } j = i \\ j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then it is clear that  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subseteq [n-1, n-1] = D_{n-1}$  and  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}\} \subseteq [n-1, n-2]$  and it is showed in [5] that

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{Z} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \cup \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}\}$$

is a minimal generating set of  $SPO_n$ . Thus  $\text{rank}(SPO_n) = 2n - 2$ . Although  $SPO_n = SPO(n, n-1)$  is not idempotent generated, it is shown in [4] that  $SPO(n, r)$  is generated by the idempotents in  $D_r$ , and that

$$\text{rank}(SPO(n, r)) = \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k-1}{r-1}$$

for  $2 \leq r \leq n-2$ .

Notice that if  $\alpha, \beta \in [r, r] \subseteq SPO(n, r)$  for  $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ , then it follows from Proposition 1(i) that  $\alpha\beta \in D_r$  if and only if  $\text{im}(\alpha) = \text{dom}(\beta)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $A$  be a subset of  $SPO_n$ , and let  $B = A \cap [n - 1, n - 1]$  and  $C = A \cap [n - 1, n - 2]$ . Then  $A$  is a generating set of  $SPO_n$  if and only if*

- (i) *there exists  $\lambda_i \in B$  such that  $\text{dom}(\lambda_i) = X_n \setminus \{i\}$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,*
- (ii) *there exist  $\gamma_1 \in B$  such that  $\text{im}(\gamma_1) = X_n \setminus \{n\}$  and  $\gamma_i \in B$  such that  $\text{im}(\gamma_i) = X_n \setminus \{i - 1\}$  for each  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ ,*
- (iii)  *$\lambda_i$  is connected to  $\gamma_i$  in the digraph  $\Gamma_B$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ; and*
- (iv) *there exists at least one element of each of the  $n - 2$  possible kernel types in  $C$ .*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $A$  is a generating set of  $SPO_n$ . Let  $\alpha_i$ , with  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , be the elements defined in (1). Since  $A$  is a generating set, there exist  $\lambda_{(i,1)}, \dots, \lambda_{(i,k)} \in A$  such that

$$\alpha_i = \lambda_{(i,1)} \cdots \lambda_{(i,k)}$$

for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Since  $\ker(\lambda_{(i,1)}) \subseteq \ker(\alpha_i)$ ,  $\text{dom}(\alpha_i) \subseteq \text{dom}(\lambda_{(i,1)})$ ,  $\text{im}(\alpha_i) \subseteq \text{im}(\lambda_{(i,k)})$  and  $\alpha_i \in [n - 1, n - 1]$ , it follows that  $\text{dom}(\alpha_i) = X_n \setminus \{i\} = \text{dom}(\lambda_{(i,1)})$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and that  $\text{im}(\alpha_1) = X_n \setminus \{n\} = \text{im}(\lambda_{(1,k)})$  and  $\text{im}(\alpha_i) = X_n \setminus \{i - 1\} = \text{im}(\lambda_{(i,k)})$  for each  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ . Then it is clear that  $\lambda_{(i,1)}, \dots, \lambda_{(i,k)} \in B$ . Let  $\lambda_i = \lambda_{(i,1)}$  and  $\gamma_i = \lambda_{(i,k)}$ . Hence, the first two conditions hold. Moreover, it follows from Proposition 1(ii) that  $\lambda_{(i,j)}\lambda_{(i,j+1)} \in [n - 1, n - 1]$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq k - 1$ , and so there exists a directed edge from  $\lambda_{(i,j)}$  to  $\lambda_{(i,j+1)}$  in  $\Gamma_B$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq k - 1$ . Thus,  $\lambda_i$  is connected to  $\gamma_i$  in the digraph  $\Gamma_B$ . Therefore, the third condition holds as well. Since the last condition follows from the result in [5, p. 280], the first part of the proof is complete.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) For this part of the proof it is enough to show that the generating set  $\mathcal{Z}$  given in (3) is a subset of  $\langle A \rangle$ .

For any element  $\alpha_i$  defined in (1) it follows from the conditions that there exist  $\lambda_i, \gamma_i \in B$  such that  $\text{dom}(\lambda_i) = \text{dom}(\alpha_i)$ ,  $\text{im}(\gamma_i) = \text{im}(\alpha_i)$  and  $\lambda_i$  is connected to  $\gamma_i$  in the digraph  $\Gamma_B$ . Thus there exists a directed path from  $\lambda_i$  to  $\gamma_i$ , say

$$\lambda_i = \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \sigma_{k-1} \rightarrow \sigma_k = \gamma_i,$$

where  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \in B$ , and hence,  $\sigma_j\sigma_{j+1} \in [n - 1, n - 1]$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq k - 1$ . It follows from Proposition 1(ii) that  $\delta = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k \in [n - 1, n - 1]$ . Thus we have  $\text{im}(\delta) = \text{im}(\sigma_k) = \text{im}(\gamma_i) = \text{im}(\alpha_i)$  and  $\text{dom}(\delta) = \text{dom}(\sigma_1) = \text{dom}(\lambda_i) = \text{dom}(\alpha_i)$ , and so  $\alpha_i = \delta \in \langle B \rangle \subseteq \langle A \rangle$  since  $\alpha_i, \delta \in [n - 1, n - 1]$  are order-preserving bijections.

It follows from the last condition that, for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2$ , we may choose and fix an element with the kernel type  $i$  in  $C$  and say  $\theta_i$ . Thus, we have

$$\theta_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \cdots & a_{i-1} & a_i & a_{i+1} & a_{i+2} & \cdots & a_{n-1} \\ b_1 & \cdots & b_{i-1} & b_i & b_i & b_{i+1} & \cdots & b_{n-2} \end{pmatrix} \in C$$

for some  $a_1 < \dots < a_{n-1}$  and  $b_1 < \dots < b_{n-2}$  in  $X_n$ . For any element  $\beta_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$ ) defined in (2), consider two strictly partial order-preserving transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \in [n-1, n-1] \text{ and} \\ \psi_i &= \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \cdots & b_{i-1} & b_i & \cdots & b_{n-2} \\ 1 & \cdots & i-1 & i+1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix} \in [n-2, n-2]. \end{aligned}$$

From [5, Lemmas 3.4 and 3.12] we have that  $[r, r] \subseteq \langle B \rangle$  for all  $r = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , and so  $\varphi_i, \psi_i \in \langle B \rangle$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$ ). Thus it follows from the fact

$$\beta_i = \varphi_i \theta_i \psi_i$$

that  $\beta_i \in \langle A \rangle$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n - 2$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{Z}$  defined in (3) is a subset of  $\langle A \rangle$ , and so  $SPO_n = \langle A \rangle$ . □

Since a generating set of  $SPO_n$  must contain at least  $n$  elements from  $[n - 1, n - 1]$  and at least  $n - 2$  elements from  $[n - 1, n - 2]$ , we have the following corollary from Theorem 2:

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $B$  be a subset of  $[n - 1, n - 1]$  with cardinality  $n$  and let  $C$  be a subset of  $[n - 1, n - 2]$  with cardinality  $n - 2$ . Then  $B \cup C$  is a minimal generating set of  $SPO_n$  if and only if*

- (i) *there exists exactly one element  $\lambda_i \in B$  such that  $\text{dom}(\lambda_i) = X_n \setminus \{i\}$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,*
- (ii) *there exist exactly one element  $\gamma_1 \in B$  such that  $\text{im}(\gamma_1) = X_n \setminus \{n\}$  and exactly one element  $\gamma_i \in B$  such that  $\text{im}(\gamma_i) = X_n \setminus \{i - 1\}$  for each  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ ,*
- (iii)  *$\lambda_i$  is connected to  $\gamma_i$  in the digraph  $\Gamma_B$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ; and*
- (iv) *there exists exactly one element of each of the  $n - 2$  possible kernel types in  $C$ .*

For example, consider  $SPO_3$ ,  $B = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\} \subseteq [n - 1, n - 1]$  with cardinality 3, and  $C = \{\theta\} \subseteq [n - 1, n - 2]$  with cardinality 1 where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ - & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, & \sigma_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & - & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \sigma_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & - \end{pmatrix}, & \theta &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & - \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

First of all it is easy to see that  $B$  satisfies the first two conditions and  $C$  satisfies the last condition of Corollary 3. Moreover, since  $\text{im}(\sigma_i)$  is a transversal of the kernel partition  $\text{kp}(\sigma_{i+1})$ , there exists a directed edge from  $\sigma_i$  to  $\sigma_{i+1}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq 3$  (where  $\sigma_4 = \sigma_1$ ) in the digraph  $\Gamma_B$ . Thus  $\Gamma_B$  is a Hamiltonian digraph. It follows from their definitions that Hamiltonian digraphs are strongly connected (see [9, pages 88 and 148]) the third condition of Corollary 3 is satisfied as well, and so  $A = B \cup C$  is a minimal generating set of  $SPO_3$ .

Notice that if  $A \subseteq SPO_n$  is a (minimal) generating set of  $SPO_n$ , then we may use the paths in  $\Gamma_B$  to write each  $\alpha_i$  (for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) defined in (1) as a product of elements from  $B$ , where  $B = A \cap [n - 1, n - 1]$ . For example, consider the minimal generating set  $A = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \theta\}$  of  $SPO_3$  given above and consider the transformation

$$\alpha_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ - & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

defined in (1). Since  $B = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\}$ ,  $\text{dom}(\alpha_1) = \text{dom}(\sigma_1)$ ,  $\text{im}(\alpha_1) = \text{im}(\sigma_2)$  and

$$\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2$$

is a path in  $\Gamma_B$ , it follows that  $\text{dom}(\sigma_1\sigma_2) = \text{dom}(\alpha_1)$  and  $\text{im}(\sigma_1\sigma_2) = \text{im}(\alpha_1)$ , that is,  $\sigma_1\sigma_2 = \alpha_1$ .

### 3. Generating sets of $SPO(n, r)$

Now we consider the subsemigroups  $SPO(n, r)$  for all  $2 \leq r \leq n - 2$ . Since  $\alpha \in D_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq r$ ) can not be written as a product of elements with height smaller than  $k$ , and since  $SPO(n, r)$  is generated by its idempotents of height  $r$ , it is enough to consider only the subsets of  $D_r$  as a generating set of  $SPO(n, r)$ . Moreover, a subset  $X$  of  $D_r$  is a generating set of  $SPO(n, r)$  if and only if  $E(D_r) \subseteq \langle X \rangle$  for  $2 \leq r \leq n - 2$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $X$  be a subset of Green's  $\mathcal{D}$ -class  $D_r$  in  $SPO(n, r)$  for  $2 \leq r \leq n - 2$ . Then  $X$  is a generating set of  $SPO(n, r)$  if and only if, for each idempotent  $\xi$  in  $E(D_r)$ , there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in X$  such that*

- (i)  $\text{ks}(\alpha) = \text{ks}(\xi)$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{im}(\beta) = \text{im}(\xi)$ , and
- (iii)  $\alpha$  is connected to  $\beta$  in the digraph  $\Gamma_X$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2. But it is much easier since each  $SPO(n, r)$  (for  $2 \leq r \leq n - 2$ ) is idempotent generated.  $\square$

Similarly, since  $\text{rank}(SPO(n, r)) = \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k-1}{r-1}$  for  $2 \leq r \leq n - 2$ , we have the following corollary:

**Corollary 5.** *For  $2 \leq r \leq n - 2$  let  $X$  be a subset of Green's  $\mathcal{D}$ -class  $D_r$  with cardinality  $\sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k-1}{r-1}$ . Then  $X$  is a minimal generating set of  $SPO(n, r)$  if and only if, for each idempotent  $\xi \in E(D_r)$ , there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in X$  such that  $\text{ks}(\alpha) = \text{ks}(\xi)$ ,  $\text{im}(\beta) = \text{im}(\xi)$  and  $\alpha$  is connected to  $\beta$  in  $\Gamma_X$ .  $\square$*

### References

- [1] G. Ayık, H. Ayık, L. Bugay, and O. Kelekci, *Generating sets of finite singular transformation semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **86** (2013), no. 1, 59–66.
- [2] G. Ayık, H. Ayık, and M. Koç, *Combinatorial results for order-preserving and order-decreasing transformations*, Turkish J. Math. **35** (2011), no. 4, 617–625.

- [3] O. Ganyushkin and V. Mazorchuk, *Classical Finite Transformation Semigroups*, Springer-Verlag, London, 2009.
- [4] G. U. Garba, *On the idempotent ranks of certain semigroups of order-preserving transformations*, Portugal. Math. **51** (1994), no. 2, 185–204.
- [5] G. M. S. Gomes and J. M. Howie, *On the ranks of certain semigroups of order-preserving transformations*, Semigroup Forum **45** (1992), no. 3, 272–282.
- [6] P. M. Higgins, *The product of the idempotents and an  $\mathcal{H}$ -class of the finite full transformation semigroup*, Semigroup Forum **84** (2012), no. 2, 203–215.
- [7] J. M. Howie, *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [8] S. Sornsanam and R. P. Sullivan, *Regularity conditions on order-preserving transformation semigroups*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **28** (2004), 333–342.
- [9] R. J. Wilson and J. J. Watkins, *Graphs: An Introductory Approach*, Wiley, 1990.
- [10] H. Yang and X. Yang, *Automorphisms of partition order-decreasing transformation monoids*, Semigroup Forum **85** (2012), no. 3, 513–524.
- [11] P. Zhao, B. Xu, and M. Yang, *A Note on maximal properties of some subsemigroups of finite order-preserving transformation semigroups*, Comm. Algebra **40** (2012), no. 3, 1116–1121.

HAYRULLAH AYIK  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
ÇUKUROVA UNIVERSITY  
ADANA 01330, TURKEY  
*E-mail address:* hayik@cu.edu.tr

LEYLA BUGAY  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
ÇUKUROVA UNIVERSITY  
ADANA 01330, TURKEY  
*E-mail address:* ltangler@cu.edu.tr