The α-Effect in S_NAr Reaction of 1-Fluoro-2,4-dinitrobenzene with Hydrazine: Ground-State Destabilization *versus* Transition-State Stabilization

Hyo-Jin Cho[†] and Ik-Hwan Um^{*}

Department of Chemistry and Nano Science, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 120-750, Korea. *E-mail: ihum@ewha.ac.kr [†]Department of Chemistry, Duksung Women's University, Seoul 132-714, Korea Received April 14, 2014, Accepted April 18, 2014

A kinetic study is reported on S_NAr reaction of 1-fluoro-2,4-dinitrobenzene with a series of primary amines including hydrazine in H₂O at 25.0 °C. The plots of k_{obsd} vs. [amine] are linear and pass through the origin, indicating that general-base catalysis by a second amine molecule is absent. The Brønsted-type plot exhibits an excellent linear correlation with $\beta_{nuc} = 0.46$ when hydrazine is excluded from the correlation. The reaction has been suggested to proceed through a stepwise mechanism, in which expulsion of the leaving group occurs after the rate-determining step (RDS). Hydrazine is *ca*. 10 times more reactive than similarly basic glycylglycine (*i.e.*, the α -effect). A five-membered cyclic intermediate has been suggested for the reaction with hydrazine, in which intramolecular H-bonding interactions would facilitate expulsion of the leaving group. However, the enhanced leaving-group ability is not responsible for the α -effect shown by hydrazine because expulsion of the leaving group occurs after RDS. Destabilization of the ground-state of hydrazine through the electronic repulsion between the nonbonding electron pairs is responsible for the α -effect found in the current S_NAr reaction.

Key Words : The α -Effect, Hydrazine, S_NAr reaction, H-bonding interaction, Brønsted-type plot

Introduction

The term α -effect was given to the abnormally enhanced nucleophilic reactivity exhibited by nucleophiles possessing one or more nonbonding electron pairs at the atom α to the reaction site.¹ Numerous studies have been carried out to account for the α -effect phenomenon.² Some important theories suggested as the origins of the α -effect are (1) Destabilization of the ground-state (GS) due to the electronic repulsion between the nonbonding electron pairs, (2) Stabilization of the transition-state (TS), (3) Thermodynamic stability of reaction products, (4) Solvent effects.³⁻⁸

Studies on the α -effect have focused mostly on acyl-group transfer reactions. Thus, only few reports are available for S_NAr reactions of activated aromatic or heteroaromatic compounds with α -effect nucleophiles,⁹ although S_NAr reactions have a similarity to nucleophilic substitution reactions of carboxylic esters. The apparent similarity is the nucleophilic addition step in S_NAr reaction and the addition step to carbonyl group in ester. In both cases addition to the sp^2 carbon leads to rehybridization to sp^3 to produce a tetrahedral intermediate. Elimination of the leaving group in subsequent step(s) restores the sp^2 carbon. A fundamental difference is that addition to a typical electron-deficient aromatic substrate in an S_NAr reaction entails loss of aromaticity in the formation of the Meisenheimer complex. Accordingly, expulsion of the leaving group occurs rapidly after the RDS to regain the lost aromaticity in most cases.

We have recently reported that the S_NAr reaction of 1fluoro-2,4-dinitrobenzenes (FDNB) with a series of secondary amines proceeds through a stepwise mechanism with



one or two intermediates depending on the reaction medium.¹⁰ The S_NAr reaction has been suggested to proceed through a stepwise mechanism with two intermediates in MeCN (*e.g.*, a zwitterionic Meisenheimer complex MC^{\pm} and its deprotonated form MC^{-}).¹⁰ However, the deprotonation process to form MC^{-} from MC^{\pm} has been reported to be absent for the corresponding reaction carried out in H_2O ,¹⁰ indicating that the nature of the reaction medium governs the reaction mechanism.

Our study has now been extended to the S_NAr reaction of FDNB with a series of primary amines (including hydrazine as an α -effect nucleophile) in H₂O to investigate the α -effect in the S_NAr reaction. The kinetic data in this study have been compared with those reported previously for the acyl-group transfer reaction of 4-nitrophenyl acetate (PNPA) with primary amines to obtain more information on the reaction mechanism and the origin of the α -effect.

Results and Discussion

The kinetic study was performed under pseudo-first-order conditions in which the concentration of amines was kept in excess over the substrate concentration. All of the reactions in this study obeyed first-order kinetics and pseudo-firstorder rate constants (k_{obsd}) were calculated from the equation, ln ($A_{\infty} - A_t$) = $-k_{obsd}t + C$. The plots of k_{obsd} vs. [amine] were linear and passed through the origin, indicating that generalbase catalysis by a second amine molecule is absent and contribution of H₂O and/or OH⁻ from hydrolysis of amines to k_{obsd} is negligible. Thus, the second-order rate constants (k_N) were calculated from the slope of the linear plots. Based on replicate runs, it is estimated that the uncertainty in the k_N values is less than \pm 3%. The k_N values calculated in this way are summarized in Table 1 for the reactions of FDNB with primary amines together with those reported previously for the corresponding reactions of PNPA for comparison.

Reaction Mechanism. As shown in Table 1, the k_N value for the aminolysis of FDNB decreases as the amine basicity decreases (except for hydrazine), *e.g.*, it decreases from 29.2 × 10⁻² M⁻¹s⁻¹ to 3.72 × 10⁻² and 1.88 × 10⁻³ M⁻¹s⁻¹ as the p K_a value of the conjugate acid of the amine decreases from 10.63 to 8.25 and 5.68 in turn. Hydrazine exhibits a larger k_N value than similarly basic glycylglycine, which is manifestation of the α -effect. A similar result is demonstrated for the corresponding reaction of PNPA, although the dependence of k_N on the amine basicity is more significant for the reaction of PNPA than for that of FDNB. It is also notable that the α -effect shown by hydrazine is much smaller for the reaction of FDNB ($k_N^{hydrazine}/k_N^{glycylglycine} = 10$) than for that of PNPA ($k_N^{hydrazine}/k_N^{glycylglycine} = 52$).

Aminolysis of carboxylic esters has been reported to proceed through a concerted mechanism or *via* a stepwise pathway with one or two intermediates (*e.g.*, a zwitterionic tetrahedral intermediate T^{\pm} and its deprotonated form T^{-}) depending on the reaction conditions.¹³⁻¹⁶ Reaction of 2,4-dinitrophenyl benzoate with a series of cyclic secondary amines has been reported to proceed through a stepwise mechanism with a change in rate-determining step (RDS) in H₂O containing 20 mol % DMSO on the basis of a curved Brønsted-type plot^{15a} but *via* a concerted mechanism in MeCN on the basis of a linear Brønsted-type plot with $\beta_{nuc} =$

Table 1. Summary of Second-Order Rate Constants for the Reactions of 1-Fluoro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (FDNB) and 4-Nitrophenyl Acetate (PNPA) with Primary Amines in H_2O at 25.0 ± 0.1 °C

	omina	pK _a ^a	$10^2 k_{\rm N}/{\rm M}^{-1}{\rm s}^{-1}$	
	annie		FDNB	$PNPA^b$
1	ethylamine	10.63	30.2	1350
2	ethylenediamine	9.98	33.1	—
3	glycine	9.76	19.5	261
4	ethanolamine	9.50	11.7	228
5	glycylglycine	8.25	3.72	14.6
6	hydrazine	8.10	36.9	762
7	glycine ethyl ester	7.75	2.55	6.31
8	trifluoroethylamine	5.70	0.188	0.134
9	aniline	4.85	_	0.0210

^{*a*}The pK_a data were taken from ref. 11. ^{*b*}The kinetic data for the reactions of PNPA were taken from ref. 12.

Hyo-Jin Cho and Ik-Hwan Um

0.40.^{15b} However, aminolysis of 4-pyridyl X-substitutedbenzoates has been suggested to proceed through a stepwise mechanism with two intermediates when the substituent X is a strong electron-withdrawing group (*e.g.*, 4-NO₂, 4-CHO and 4-CN) on the basis of the kinetic result that the plot of k_{obsd} vs. [amine] curves upward.^{16a} In contrast, the corresponding reaction of 2-pyridyl X-substituted-benzoates has been concluded to proceed through a concerted mechanism regardless of the electronic nature of the substituent X on the basis of a linear Brønsted-type plot with $\beta_{nuc} = 0.58$.^{16b}

 S_NAr reactions of FDNB with amines have been reported to proceed through a stepwise mechanism with one or two intermediates depending on the reaction conditions.¹⁰ Reaction of FDNB with secondary amines has been suggested to proceed through a stepwise mechanism with two intermediates in MeCN (*e.g.*, MC[±] and MC⁻), but the deprotonation process to form MC⁻ from MC[±] is absent for the reaction carried out in H₂O,¹⁰ indicating that the nature of the reaction medium governs the reaction mechanism.

To investigate the reaction mechanism, Brønsted-type plots have been constructed for the reactions of FDNB and PNPA. As shown in Figure 1, the plots are linear with $\beta_{nuc} = 0.46$ and 0.83 for the reactions of FDNB and PNPA, respectively, when the k_N and pK_a values are statistically corrected using *p* and *q* (*p* = 3 and *q* = 1 except *q* = 2 for ethylenediamine).¹⁷

One can suggest that the aminolysis of PNPA proceeds through a stepwise mechanism, in which expulsion of the leaving group from T[±] occurs in the RDS on the basis of the linear Brønsted-type plot with $\beta_{nuc} = 0.83$. In contrast, the β_{nuc} value of 0.46 is slightly larger than an upper limit of β_{nuc} for reactions reported previously to proceed through a stepwise mechanism (*e.g.*, $\beta_{nuc} = 0.3 \pm 0.1$), in which expulsion of the leaving group occurs after the RDS.¹³ However, we propose that the S_NAr reaction proceeds through MC[±], in which expulsion of the leaving group occurs rapidly after the RDS. This is because F⁻ ion is less basic and a better nucleofuge than all the amines used in this study. Furthermore, the linear plot of k_{obsd} vs. [amine] as mentioned in the preceding



Figure 1. Brønsted-type plots for the aminloysis of 1-fluoro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (FDNB) and 4-nitrophenyl acetate (PNPA) in H_2O at 25.0 ± 0.1 °C.

section indicates that general-base catalysis by a second amine molecule is absent (*i.e.*, absence of the deprotonation process to form M^- from MC^{\pm}).

Origin of the α -**Effect.** Reactions of carboxylic esters with hydrazine have often been suggested to proceed through a TS structure similar to the intermediate (or TS) as modeled by I or II, in which the H-bonding interaction stabilizes the intermediate (or TS).^{2,18} Since such a cyclic intermediate is structurally not possible for the reaction of glycylglycine, stabilization of the intermediate (or TS) through the H-bonding interaction has been proposed as an origin of the α -effect shown by hydrazine.



A four-membered cyclic intermediate has recently been proposed for S_NAr reaction of FDNB with alkali-metal phenoxides (PhO⁻M⁺, M⁺ = Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺).¹⁹ From a dispersion-corrected B3LYP density functional method, Jones et al. have revealed that the reaction proceeds through an intermediate III, in which M⁺ ion facilitates expulsion of the leaving group (*i.e.*, F^- ion).¹⁹ Thus, one might suggest that the current reaction would proceed also through a cyclic intermediate as modeled by IV. It is apparent that expulsion of the leaving group from IV could be facilitated through the H-bonding interaction. Since such five-membered cyclic intermediate IV is structurally not possible for the reaction of glycylglycine, one might suggest that the α -effect shown by hydrazine in this study is due to an increase in nucleofugality of the leaving group through the H-bonding interaction. However, we propose that the enhanced nucleofugality through the H-bonding interaction in IV cannot be an origin of the α -effect. Because the enhanced nucleofugality would not increase the overall reaction rate for the current reaction in which expulsion of the leaving group occurs after the RDS.



Stabilization of TS or products has been reported as an origin of the α -effect for reactions proceeding through a late TS (or a product-like TS).² However, the effect of TS (or product) stabilization would be insignificant for reactions which proceed through an early TS (or a reactant-like TS). It is notable that the β_{nuc} values are 0.46 and 0.83 for the reactions of FDNB and PNPA, respectively. This indicates

that the reaction of FDNB proceeds through an early TS while that of PNPA proceeds through a late TS. Thus, one can suggest that the α -effect for the reaction of FDNB with hydrazine is not due to stabilization of the TS (or the intermediate IV) through the H-bonding interaction.

The above argument is consistent with the small α -effect found for the reaction of FDNB. Table 1 shows that the α effects ($k_N^{hydrazine}/k_N^{glycylglycine}$) are 10 and 52 for the reactions of FDNB and PNPA, respectively. The α -effect for the reaction of FDNB is quite small but appears to be in accord with the reports that the magnitude of the α -effect decreases with decreasing the β_{nuc} value.² The β_{nuc} value of 0.46 implies that the S_NAr reaction proceeds through a reactant-like TS. In this case, the GS energy should be an important factor that controls the reactivity. Since the GS of hydrazine is known to be destabilized by the electronic repulsion between the nonbonding electron pairs on the two adjacent N atoms, we propose that destabilization of the GS of hydrazine is responsible for the α -effect in the S_NAr reaction of FDNB with hydrazine.

Conclusions

The current study has led us to conclude the following: (1) The Brønsted-type plots for the reactions of FDNB and PNPA are linear with $\beta_{nuc} = 0.46$ and 0.83, respectively. (2) The reaction of FDNB proceeds through a stepwise mechanism in which expulsion of the leaving group occurs after the RDS, while that of PNPA proceeds through a stepwise pathway in which expulsion of the leaving group occurs in the RDS. (3) The reaction of FDNB is proposed to proceed through a cyclic intermediate IV, in which the H-bonding interaction could facilitate expulsion of the leaving group. (4) However, the enhanced leaving-group ability through the H-bonding interaction is not an origin of the α -effect due to the nature of the RDS in the current S_NAr reaction. (5) Destabilization of the GS of hydrazine through the electronic repulsion is responsible for the small α -effect found in the S_NAr reaction of FDNB.

Experimental

Materials. Doubly glass distilled water was further boiled and cooled under nitrogen just before use. 1-Fluoro-2,4-dinitrobenzene, primary amines and the other chemicals were of the highest quality available.

Kinetics. The kinetic study was performed using a UV-vis spectrophotometer equipped with a constant temperature circulating bath. The reactions were followed by monitoring the appearance of *N*-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)amine derivatives. All reactions were carried out under pseudo-first-order conditions in which the amine concentration was at least 20 times greater than the substrate concentration. The amine stock solution was prepared by dissolving 2 equiv. of amine-hydrochloride and 1 equiv. of standardized NaOH solution to make a self-buffered solution in a 25.0 mL volumetric flask.

2374 Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2014, Vol. 35, No. 8

Products Analysis. *N*-(2,4-Dinitrophenyl)hydrazine was identified as one of the products in the reaction of FDNB with hydrazine by comparison of the UV-vis spectra after completion of the reaction with the authentic sample under the same reaction conditions.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2012-R1A1B-3001637).

References

- 1. Edwards, J. O.; Pearson, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 16-24.
- Reviews: (a) Buncel, E.; Um, I. H.; Terrier, F. *The Chemistry of Hydroxylamines, Oximes and Hydroxamic Acids*; Wiley Press: West Sussex, 2009; Chapter 17. (b) Buncel, E.; Um, I. H. *Tetrahedron* 2004, 60, 7801-7825. (c) Hoz, S.; Buncel, E. *Israel J. Chem.* 1985, 26, 313-319. (d) Grekov, A. P.; Veselov, V. Y. *Russ. Chem. Rev.* 1978, 47, 631-648.
- (a) Terrier, F.; Rodriguez-Dafonte, P.; Le Guevel, E.; Moutiers, G. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2006, *4*, 4352-4363. (b) Terrier, F.; Le Guevel, E.; Chatrousse, A. P.; Moutiers, G.; Buncel, E. *Chem. Commun.* 2003, 600-601. (c) Buncel, E.; Cannes, C.; Chatrousse, A.-P.; Terrier, F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002, *124*, 8766-8767.
- (a) Kamps, J. A. G.; Belle, R.; Mecinovic, J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 1103-1108. (b) Kirby, A. J.; Davies, J. E.; Fox, D. J.; Hodgson, D. R.; Goeta, A. E.; Lima, M. F.; Priebe, J. P.; Santaballa, J. A.; Nome, F. Chem. Commun. 2010, 1302-1304. (c) Kirby, A. J.; Tondo, D. W.; Medeiros, M.; Souza, B. S.; Priebe, J. P.; Lima, M. F.; Nome, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 2023-2028. (d) Kirby, A. J.; Souza, B. S.; Medeiros, M.; Priebe, J. P.; Manfredi, A. M.; Nome, F. Chem. Commun. 2008, 4428-4429. (e) Kirby, A. J.; Lima, M. F.; da Silva, D.; Roussev, C. D.; Nome, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 16944-16952.
- (a) Patterson, E. V.; Fountain, K. R. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 8121-8125. (b) Fountain, K. R. J. Phys. Org. Chem. 2005, 18, 481-485.
 (c) Fountain, K. R.; Felkerson, C. J.; Driskell, J. D.; Lamp, B. D. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 1810-1814. (d) Fountain, K. R.; Tad-y, D. B.; Paul, T. W.; Golynskiy, M. V. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 6547-6553. (e) Fountain, K. R.; Patel, K. D. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 4795-4797.
- (a) McAnoy, A. M.; Paine, M. R.; Blanksby, S. J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 2316-2326. (b) Liang, J.-X.; Geng, Z. Y.; Wang, Y. C. J. Comput. Chem. 2012, 33, 595-606. (c) Wei, X. G.; Sun, X. M.; Wu, W. P.; Ren, Y.; Wong, N. B.; Li, W. K. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 4212-4217. (d) Ren, Y.; Yamataka, H. J. Comput. Chem. 2009, 30, 358-365. (e) Ren, Y.; Yamataka, H. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 5660-5667. (f) Ren, Y.; Yamataka, H. Chem. Eur. J. 2007, 13, 677-682. (g) Ren, Y.; Yamataka, H. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 119-121.
- (a) Thomsen, D. L.; Reece, J. N.; Nichols, C. M.; Charles, M.; Hammerum, S.; Bierbaum, V. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 15508-15514.
 (b) Villano, S. M.; Eyet, N.; Lineberger, W. C.;

Bierbaum, V. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 8227-8233. (c)
Garver, J. M.; Gronert, S.; Bierbaum, V. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
2011, 133, 13894-13897. (d) Garver, J. M.; Yang, Z.; Nichols, C.
M.; Worker, B. B.; Gronert, S.; Bierbaum, V. M. Int. J. Mass
Spectrom. 2012, 316-318, 244-250. (e) Garver, J. M.; Yang, Z.;
Wehres, N.; Nichols, C. M.; Worker, B. B.; Gronert, S.; Bierbaum,
V. M. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. 2012, 330-332, 182-190.

- (a) Um, I. H.; Kang, J. S.; Kim, M. Y.; Buncel, E. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 8689-8695. (b) Um, I. H.; Han, J. Y.; Buncel, E. Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 1011-1017. (c) Um, I. H.; Kim, E. H.; Lee, J. Y. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 1212-1217. (d) Um, I. H.; Hwang, S. J.; Buncel, E. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 915-920. (e) Um, I. H.; Shin, Y. H.; Han, J. Y.; Buncel, E. Can. J. Chem. 2006, 84, 1550-1556. (f) Um, I. H.; Hong, J. Y.; Buncel, E. Chem. Commun. 2001, 27-28. (g) Um, I. H.; Buncel, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 1111-11112. (h) Um, I. H.; Buncel, E. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 577-582. (i) Um, I. H.; Park, Y. M.; Buncel, E. Chem. Commun. 2000, 1917-1918.
- (a) Rougier, N. M.; Vico, R. V.; de Rossi, R. H.; Bujan, E. I. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 3427-3436. (b) Han, X.; Balakrishnan, V. K.; van Loon, G. W.; Buncel, E. Langmuir 2006, 22, 9009-9017. (c) Moutiers, G.; Le Guevel, E.; Cannes, C.; Terrier, F.; Buncel, E. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 3279-3284.
- (a) Um, I. H.; Im, L. R.; Kang, J. S.; Bursey, S. S.; Dust, J. M. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 9738-9746. (b) Um, I. H.; Min, S. W.; Dust, J. M. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 8797-8803.
- (a) Jencks, W. P.; Regenstein, J. In *Handbook of Biochemistry*, 2nd ed.; Sober, H. A., Ed.; Chemical Rubber Publishing Co.: Cleveland, OH, 1970; p J-203. (b) Gilchrist, M.; Jencks, W. P. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **1968**, *90*, 2622-2637.
- 12. Um, I. H.; Choi, K. E.; Kwon, D. S. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 1990, 11, 362-364.
- Reviews: (a) Castro, E. A. Pure Appl. Chem. 2009, 81, 685-696.
 (b) Castro, E. A. J. Sulfur Chem. 2007, 28, 401-429. (c) Castro, E. A. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 3505-3524. (d) Jencks, W. P. Chem. Rev. 1985, 85, 511-527. (e) Jencks, W. P. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1981, 10, 345-375.
- (a) Pavez, P.; Millan, D.; Morales, J. I.; Castro, E. A. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 9670-9676. (b) Castro, E. A.; Aliaga, M.; Campodonico, P. R.; Cepeda, M.; Contreras, R.; Santos, J. G. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 9173-9179.
- (a) Um, I. H.; Kim, K. H.; Park, H. R.; Fujio, M.; Tsuno, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 3937-3942. (b) Um, I. H.; Jeon, S. E.; Seok, J. A. Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 1237-1243.
- (a) Um, I. H.; Bea, A. R. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 5781-5787. (b) Um, I. H.; Bea, A. R. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 1206-1212.
- 17. Bell, R. P. *The Protom in Chemistry*; Methuem: London, 1959; p 159.
- (a) Um, T. I.; Kim, M. Y.; Kim, T. E.; Um, I. H. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2014, 35, 436-440. (b) Kim, M. Y.; Kim, T. E.; Lee, J. E.; Um, I. H. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2014, 35, 2271-2276.
- Jones, G. O.; Somaa, A. A.; O'Brien, J. M.; Albishi, H.; Al-Megren, H. A.; Alabdulrahman, A. M.; Alsewailem, F. D.; Hedrick, J. L.; Rice, J. E.; Horn, H. W. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 5436-5443.