

**ASYMPTOTIC RUIN PROBABILITIES IN  
A GENERALIZED JUMP-DIFFUSION RISK MODEL  
WITH CONSTANT FORCE OF INTEREST**

QINGWU GAO AND DI BAO

**ABSTRACT.** This paper studies the asymptotic behavior of the finite-time ruin probability in a jump-diffusion risk model with constant force of interest, upper tail asymptotically independent claims and a general counting arrival process. Particularly, if the claim inter-arrival times follow a certain dependence structure, the obtained result also covers the case of the infinite-time ruin probability.

**1. Introduction**

In this paper, we consider the asymptotic ruin probabilities in a generalized jump-diffusion risk model with constant force of interest, where the claim sizes  $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$  are a sequence of nonnegative, but not necessarily independent, random variables (r.v.s) with distributions  $F_i, i \geq 1$ , respectively, while the claim arrival process  $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$  is a general counting process, independent of  $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$ . Hence, the aggregate claim amount up to time  $t \geq 0$  is

$$S(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i$$

with  $S(t) = 0$  if  $N(t) = 0$ . Assume that the total amount of premiums accumulated up to time  $t \geq 0$ , denoted by  $C(t)$ , is a nonnegative and nondecreasing stochastic process with  $C(0) = 0$  and  $C(t) < \infty$  almost surely (a.s.) for every  $0 \leq t < \infty$ , and that the diffusion process, as a perturbed term,  $\{B(t), t \geq 0\}$  is a standard Brownian motion with volatility parameter  $\sigma \geq 0$  and independent of the other sources of randomness. We notice that in practice, the diffusion-perturbed term can be interpreted as an additional uncertainty of the aggregate claims or the premium income of an insurance company. Let  $r \geq 0$  be the constant force of interest and  $x \geq 0$  be the insurer's initial reserve. Then the total

---

Received October 5, 2013; Revised November 22, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 62E20; Secondary 62P05, 91B30.

*Key words and phrases.* asymptotics, ruin probability, jump-diffusion model, upper tail asymptotic independence, counting process.

reserve up to time  $t \geq 0$ , denoted by  $U_r(t)$ , satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad U_r(t) = xe^{rt} + \int_0^t e^{r(t-s)} dC(s) - \int_0^t e^{r(t-s)} dS(s) + \sigma \int_0^t e^{r(t-s)} dB(s), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Clearly, one can see that for any fixed  $0 < t < \infty$ ,

$$(1.2) \quad 0 \leq \tilde{C}(t) = \int_0^t e^{-rs} dC(s) < \infty \quad \text{a.s.},$$

where  $\tilde{C}(t)$  denotes the discounted value of premiums accumulated up to time  $t > 0$ .

As usual, the ruin probability within a finite time  $T > 0$  is defined as

$$(1.3) \quad \psi_r(x, T) = P(U_r(t) < 0 \text{ for some } 0 \leq t \leq T),$$

and the infinite-time ruin probability is

$$(1.4) \quad \psi_r(x, \infty) = P(U_r(t) < 0 \text{ for some } 0 \leq t < \infty).$$

For later use, we denote the claim inter-arrival times by  $\{\theta_i, i \geq 1\}$ . Then  $\tau_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , are the arrival times of successive claims, and generate a counting process

$$(1.5) \quad N(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_k \leq t\}}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_A$  is the indicator function of an event  $A$ .

To our knowledge, the asymptotic ruin probabilities with constant interest and heavy-tailed claims were investigated extensively. For example, Veraverbeke [22] and Jiang and Yan [12] considered the compound Poisson model with diffusion, while Tang [18, 19], Hao and Tang [11], etc., considered the standard renewal model with no diffusion (i.e.,  $\sigma = 0$ ). Recently, many researchers devoted themselves to a risk model with dependent claim sizes and/or dependent inter-arrival times, see Yang and Wang [26], Li and Wu [15], Liu et al. [16], Wang et al. [25], Gao and Liu [9], Gao et al. [8], etc., where there is no diffusion term. Also, Li et al. [14] and Chen and Yuen [4] allowed some dependence structures between the claim sizes and their inter-arrival times. Therein, Wang et al. [25] introduced a dependence structure below.

**Definition 1.1.** Say that r.v.s  $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$  are widely upper orthant dependent (WUOD), if there exists a sequence of finite positive numbers  $\{g_U(n), n \geq 1\}$  such that for each  $n \geq 1$  and all  $x_i \in (-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{X_i > x_i\}\right) \leq g_U(n) \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i > x_i).$$

If we change the above inequality into

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{X_i \leq x_i\}\right) \leq g_L(n) \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \leq x_i),$$

where  $\{g_L(n), n \geq 1\}$  is another sequence of finite positive numbers, then  $\{X_i : i \geq 1\}$  are said to be widely lower orthant dependent (WLOD).

Clearly, if  $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$  are WLOD, then  $\{-X_i, i \geq 1\}$  are WUOD, and for each  $n \geq 1$  and any  $s > 0$ ,

$$(1.6) \quad E \exp \left\{ -s \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right\} \leq g_L(n) \prod_{i=1}^n E e^{-sX_i}.$$

Besides, Geluk and Tang [10] proposed a more general dependence structure as follows.

**Definition 1.2.** Say that r.v.s  $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$  are upper tail asymptotically independent (UTAI), if  $P(X_i > x) > 0$  for all  $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , and

$$\lim_{\min\{x_i, x_j\} \rightarrow \infty} P(X_i > x_i | X_j > x_j) = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \neq j < \infty.$$

If the above relation is changed to

$$\lim_{\min\{x_i, x_j\} \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_i| > x_i | X_j > x_j) = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \neq j < \infty,$$

then we say that  $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$  are tail asymptotically independent (TAI).

The UTAI and TAI structures were also studied by Liu et al. [16], Chen et al. [2], Gao and Liu [9], and Li [13]. Clearly, the UTAI structure properly covers the WUOD structure, see Example 3.1 of Liu et al. [16]. In addition, Chen and Yuen [3] put forward a similar dependence structure, i.e., pairwise quasi-asymptotic independence (PQAI), and obtained some results that are relevant for the current study.

Henceforth, all limit relationships are for  $x \rightarrow \infty$  unless stated otherwise. For two positive functions  $a(\cdot)$  and  $b(\cdot)$  satisfying  $C^- = \liminf a(x)/b(x) \leq \limsup a(x)/b(x) = C^+$ , we write  $a(x) \gtrsim b(x)$  if  $C^- \geq 1$ , write  $a(x) \lesssim b(x)$  if  $C^+ \leq 1$ , write  $a(x) \sim b(x)$  if both, write  $a(x) = o(1)b(x)$  if  $C^+ = 0$ , and write  $a(x) \asymp b(x)$  if  $0 < C^- \leq C^+ < \infty$ . For a distribution  $F$  and any  $y > 0$ , we set

$$J_F^+ = - \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \log \overline{F}_*(y) / \log y \quad \text{and} \quad J_F^- = - \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \log \overline{F}^*(y) / \log y$$

with  $\overline{F}_*(y) = \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \overline{F}(xy) / \overline{F}(x)$  and  $\overline{F}^*(y) = \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \overline{F}(xy) / \overline{F}(x)$ .

In the paper, we assume that the claim-size distributions on  $[0, \infty)$  are heavy-tailed, which can model the large claims. An important class of heavy-tailed distributions is the subexponential class, we say that a distribution  $F$  on  $[0, \infty)$  is subexponential, denoted by  $F \in \mathcal{S}$ , if  $\overline{F}^{*2}(x) \sim 2\overline{F}(x)$ , where  $F^{*2}$  is the 2-fold convolution of  $F$ . Clearly, if  $F \in \mathcal{S}$  then  $F$  is long-tailed, denoted by  $F \in \mathcal{L}$  and characterized by  $\overline{F}(x+y) \sim \overline{F}(x)$  for all  $y > 0$ . Another important

class of heavy-tailed distributions is the dominated variation class  $\mathcal{D}$ , we say that a distribution  $F$  on  $[0, \infty)$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{D}$ , denoted by  $F \in \mathcal{D}$ , if  $\overline{F}^*(y) < \infty$  for all  $y > 0$ . A slightly smaller subclass of  $\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{D}$  is the consistent variation class  $\mathcal{C}$ , we say that a distribution  $F$  on  $[0, \infty)$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{C}$ , denoted by  $F \in \mathcal{C}$ , if  $\lim_{y \searrow 1} \overline{F}_*(y) = 1$ , or equivalently,  $\lim_{y \nearrow 1} \overline{F}^*(y) = 1$ . In conclusion,  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{L}$ . For more details of heavy-tailed distributions and their applications, we refer the readers to Bingham et al. [1] and Embrechts et al. [7].

We know that, Jiang and Yan [12] considered the compound Poisson risk model perturbed by diffusion, and established an asymptotic formula for the finite-time ruin probability with the claim-size distribution  $F \in \mathcal{S}$ . Recently, for a nonstandard renewal risk model with diffusion, UTAI claim sizes and WLOD inter-arrival times, Chen et al. [2] in their Corollary 2.1 gave a uniformly asymptotic formula of the finite-time ruin probability for times in a finite interval, if  $F \in \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{D}$ , and  $\{C(t), t \geq 0\}$  and  $\{S(t), t \geq 0\}$  are mutually independent.

Inspired by the references above, in this paper we aim to investigate the finite-time and infinite-time ruin probabilities  $\psi_r(x, T)$ ,  $0 < T \leq \infty$ , in the generalized jump-diffusion risk model (1.1), where two cases are considered, one is that the premium process  $\{C(t), t \geq 0\}$  is independent of the other sources of randomness, and the other is that  $\{C(t), t \geq 0\}$  is not necessarily so. The following are the main results, among which the first one is concerned with the finite-time ruin probability with UTAI, non-identically distributed claim sizes and a general claim-arrival process.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Consider the risk model (1.1) with  $r \geq 0$ , in which the claim sizes  $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$  are UTAI r.v.s with distributions  $F_i, i \geq 1$ , respectively, and for any fixed  $0 < T < \infty$  such that  $EN(T) > 0$ , the general claim-arrival process  $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$  satisfies  $E(N(T))^{p+1} < \infty$  for some  $p > J_F^+$ . Assume that there are a sequence of positive numbers  $\{l_i, i \geq 1\}$  and a distribution  $F \in \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\overline{F}_i(x) \sim l_i \overline{F}(x)$  holds for each  $i \geq 1$  and*

$$(1.7) \quad 0 < \underline{l} = \inf_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n l_i \leq \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n l_i = \bar{l} < \infty.$$

Then for the fixed  $0 < T < \infty$ ,

$$(1.8) \quad \underline{l} \int_0^T \overline{F}(xe^{rt}) dEN(t) \lesssim \psi_r(x, T) \lesssim \bar{l} \int_0^T \overline{F}(xe^{rt}) dEN(t),$$

if the premium process  $\{C(t), t \geq 0\}$  is independent of the other sources of randomness. Further, if  $F_i \equiv F, i \geq 1$ , then for the fixed  $0 < T < \infty$ ,

$$(1.9) \quad \psi_r(x, T) \sim \int_0^T \overline{F}(xe^{rt}) dEN(t).$$

Obviously, from relation  $\bar{F}_i(x) \sim l_i \bar{F}(x)$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , and  $F \in \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{D}$ , it follows that  $F_i \in \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{D}$  and  $J_{F_i}^\pm = J_F^\pm$ ,  $i \geq 1$ . Compared to Theorem 1.1, the second main result discusses the case that  $\{C(t), t \geq 0\}$  is not necessarily independent of the other sources of randomness.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $F \in \mathcal{C}$  and the other conditions of Theorem 1.1 be true. Then relation (1.8) still holds for any fixed  $0 < T < \infty$ , if the discounted value of premiums accumulated up to time  $T$ , define in (1.2), satisfies*

$$(1.10) \quad P(\tilde{C}(T) > x) = o(1)\bar{F}(x).$$

Further, if  $F_i \equiv F$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , then (1.9) holds for the fixed  $0 < T < \infty$ .

Applying Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we now present a corollary for a special case when  $r = 0$ .

**Corollary 1.1.** *For the risk model (1.1) with  $r = 0$ , if the conditions of Theorem 1.1 (or Theorem 1.2) are true, then for any fixed  $0 < T < \infty$  and any  $\alpha > 0$ ,*

$$\underline{l} \bar{F}(x)EN(T) \lesssim \psi_0(x, T) \lesssim \bar{l} \bar{F}(x)EN(T),$$

and

$$\alpha^{-1} \underline{l} \int_x^{x+\alpha EN(T)} \bar{F}(y) dy \lesssim \psi_0(x, T) \lesssim \alpha^{-1} \bar{l} \int_x^{x+\alpha EN(T)} \bar{F}(y) dy.$$

If  $F_i \equiv F$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , then

$$\psi_0(x, T) \sim \bar{F}(x)EN(T) \sim \alpha^{-1} \int_x^{x+\alpha EN(T)} \bar{F}(y) dy.$$

In the third main result, we extend the set for  $T$  from  $(0, \infty)$  to an infinite set  $(0, \infty]$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *Under the conditions of Theorem 1.2 with  $r > 0$ , we further assume that the claim sizes  $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$  are identically distributed by  $F$  with  $J_F^- > 0$ , and the claim inter-arrival times  $\{\theta_i, i \geq 1\}$  are WLOD such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,*

$$(1.11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_L(n) e^{-\epsilon n} = 0,$$

and the total discounted amount of premiums is finite, namely,

$$0 \leq \tilde{C} = \int_0^\infty e^{-rs} dC(s) < \infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Then relation (1.9) holds for all  $0 < T \leq \infty$ , if one of the following conditions is true:

1. the premium process  $\{C(t), t \geq 0\}$  is independent of the other sources of randomness;
2. the total discounted amount of premiums satisfies

$$(1.12) \quad P(\tilde{C} > x) = o(1)\bar{F}(x).$$

*Remark 1.1.* The main results above show that the dependence structures of the claim sizes and their inter-arrival times, and the perturbed term generated by a diffusion process  $\{B(t), t \geq 0\}$  do not influence the asymptotic behaviors of the finite-time and infinite-time ruin probabilities.

The remaining part of this paper is divided into two parts: Section 2 states some lemmas and Section 3 proves the main results.

## 2. Some lemmas

In this section, we present some lemmas that are helpful to prove the main results. The first lemma is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.2.1 of Bingham et al. [1] and Lemma 3.5 of Tang and Tsitsiashvili [20].

**Lemma 2.1.** *If a distribution  $F \in \mathcal{D}$  with  $J_F^- > 0$ , then*

(1) *for any  $0 < \hat{p} < J_F^- \leq J_F^+ < p < \infty$ , there exist positive constants  $C > 1$  and  $D > 0$  such that*

$$(2.1) \quad C^{-1}(x/y)^{\hat{p}} \leq \frac{\overline{F}(y)}{\overline{F}(x)} \leq C(x/y)^p \quad \text{for all } x \geq y \geq D;$$

(2) *for any  $p > J_F^+$ , it holds that  $x^{-p} = o(1)\overline{F}(x)$ .*

The second lemma is a combination of Theorem 3.3(iv) of Cline and Samorodnitsky [6] and Lemma 2.5 of Wang et al. [24].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a r.v. with distribution  $F$ , and  $Y$  be a nonnegative r.v. independent of  $X$  and such that  $EY^p < \infty$  for some  $p > J_F^+$ .*

(1) *If  $F \in \mathcal{D}$ , then  $P(XY > x) \asymp \overline{F}(x)$ .*

(2) *If  $F \in \mathcal{C}$ , then the distribution of  $XY$  still belongs to the class  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

The third lemma is a restatement of Lemma 3.3 of Gao and Liu [9]. Also, see Lemma 3.1(i) of Chen et al. [2] or Theorem 2.1 of Li [13]. It should be mentioned that the asymptotic formula in the lemma was first developed by Tang and Tsitsiashvili [21].

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\{X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  be  $n$  TAI and real-valued r.v.s with distributions  $F_i \in \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{D}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , respectively. Then for any fixed  $0 < a \leq b < \infty$ ,*

$$P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i > x\right) \sim \sum_{i=1}^n P(c_i X_i > x)$$

*holds uniformly for all  $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) \in [a, b]^n$ .*

The lemma below comes from and can extend Lemma 3.5 of Wang [23].

**Lemma 2.4.** *In the risk model (1.1) with a general claim-arrival process satisfying  $EN(T) > 0$  for any fixed  $0 < T < \infty$ , if the claim sizes  $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$  are*

non-identically distributed by  $F_i, i \geq 1$ , respectively, such that  $\bar{F}_i(x) \sim l_i \bar{F}(x), i \geq 1$ , and (1.7) hold, then

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \underline{l} \int_0^T \bar{F}(xe^{rt}) dEN(t) &\lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X_i e^{-r\tau_i} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_i \leq T\}} > x) \\ &\lesssim \bar{l} \int_0^T \bar{F}(xe^{rt}) dEN(t). \end{aligned}$$

Further, if  $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$  are identically distributed by  $F$ , then

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X_i e^{-r\tau_i} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_i \leq T\}} > x) = \int_0^T \bar{F}(xe^{rt}) dEN(t).$$

*Proof.* Clearly, relation (2.3) is from Lemma 3.5 of Wang [23]. As for (2.2), it can be given by copying the proof of Lemma 3.5 of Wang [23] with some obvious modifications.  $\square$

The following lemma is due to Lemma 3.3 of Gao et al. [8].

**Lemma 2.5.** *Consider the counting process  $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$  defined by (1.5) with WLOD inter-arrival times  $\{\theta_i, i \geq 1\}$  such that (1.11) holds for every  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then for any fixed  $T > 0$  and any  $p > 0$ ,*

$$E(N(T))^p < \infty.$$

Finally, we present Lemma 3.5 of Jiang and Yan [12], which is due to Lemma 4.5 of Tang [17].

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be two independent r.v.s with distributions  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , respectively. If  $F_1 \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\bar{F}_2(x) = o(1)\bar{F}_1(x)$ , then  $P(X_1 + X_2 > x) \sim \bar{F}_1(x)$ .*

### 3. Proofs of main results

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* From (1.1) and (1.3), the finite-time ruin probability satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad \psi_r(x, T) = P(S_r(t) - \sigma I_t > x + \tilde{C}(t) \text{ for some } 0 < t \leq T),$$

where  $S_r(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i e^{-r\tau_i}$ ,  $I_t = \int_0^t e^{-rs} dB(s)$ , and  $\tilde{C}(t)$  is that in (1.2). Set  $Y_T = \sigma \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |I_t|, 0 < T \leq \infty$ . It is well-known that the stochastic integral  $I_t, 0 < t \leq \infty$ , follows a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance  $\int_0^t e^{-2rs} ds$ . So by many classic martingale inequalities,  $Y_T, 0 < T \leq \infty$ , has finite moments of arbitrary orders, and then

$$(3.2) \quad P(Y_T > x) = o(1)\bar{F}(x), \quad 0 < T \leq \infty.$$

Hence from (3.1), it follows that for any fixed  $0 < T < \infty$ ,

$$(3.3) \quad P(S_r(T) - Y_T > x + \tilde{C}(T)) \leq \psi_r(x, T) \leq P(S_r(T) + Y_T > x).$$

Note that for any fixed  $0 < T < \infty$  satisfying  $EN(T) > 0$ , it holds that  $E(N(T))^{p+1} < \infty$  for some  $p > J_F^+$ , thus for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $m_0 = m_0(\varepsilon, T) > 1$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad E(N(T))^{p+1} \mathbf{1}_{\{N(T) > m_0\}} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Firstly, we deal with  $P(S_r(T) > x)$ . Let  $m_0$  be fixed as above, we get

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} P(S_r(T) > x) &= \left( \sum_{n=1}^{m_0} + \sum_{n=m_0+1}^{\infty} \right) P \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i e^{-r\tau_i} > x, N(T) = n \right) \\ &= H_1 + H_2. \end{aligned}$$

For  $H_1$ , by Lemma 2.3 and the independence between  $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$  and  $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ , we have

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} H_1 &= \sum_{n=1}^{m_0} \int_{\{0 < t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq T, t_{n+1} > T\}} P \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i e^{-rt_i} > x \right) dG(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n+1}) \\ &\sim \sum_{n=1}^{m_0} \sum_{i=1}^n P(X_i e^{-r\tau_i} > x, N(T) = n) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X_i e^{-r\tau_i} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_i \leq T\}} > x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $G(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n+1})$  is the joint distribution of  $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{n+1})$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq m_0$ . For  $H_2$ , it holds

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} H_2 &\leq \left( \sum_{m_0 < n < x/D} + \sum_{n \geq x/D} \right) P \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i > x \right) P(N(T) = n) \\ &= H_{21} + H_{22}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $D$  is the constant in (2.1) such that  $m_0 < x/D$ . Then, we combine (2.1), (1.7) and (3.4) to obtain that

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} H_{21} &\leq \sum_{m_0 < n < x/D} \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{F}_i \left( \frac{x}{n} \right) P(N(T) = n) \\ &\lesssim C \bar{F}(x) \sum_{m_0 < n < x/D} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n l_i \right) n^{p+1} P(N(T) = n) \\ &\leq C \bar{l} \bar{F}(x) E(N(T))^{p+1} \mathbf{1}_{\{N(T) > m_0\}} \leq C \bar{l} \varepsilon \bar{F}(x). \end{aligned}$$

By Markov's inequality, Lemma 2.1(2) and (3.4), there exists an  $x_1 = x_1(\varepsilon)$  such that for all  $x \geq x_1$ ,

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} H_{22} &\leq P(N(T) \geq x/D) \leq (x/D)^{-(p+1)} E(N(T))^{p+1} \mathbf{1}_{\{N(T) > x/D\}} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \bar{F}(x) E(N(T))^{p+1} \mathbf{1}_{\{N(T) > m_0\}} \leq \varepsilon^2 \bar{F}(x). \end{aligned}$$



Substituting (3.8) and (3.9) into (3.7) and considering the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon > 0$  can imply that for any fixed  $0 < T < \infty$ ,

$$(3.10) \quad H_2 = o(1)\bar{F}(x) = o(1)P(X_1e^{-r\tau_1}\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_1 \leq T\}} > x),$$

where the second step is due to  $\bar{F}_1(x) \sim l_1\bar{F}(x)$  and Lemma 2.2(1). So from (3.5), (3.6) and (3.10), we arrive at

$$(3.11) \quad P(S_r(T) > x) \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X_i e^{-r\tau_i} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_i \leq T\}} > x).$$

On the other hand, we derive by the derivation of  $H_1$  that

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} P(S_r(T) > x) &\geq H_1 \sim \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} - \sum_{n=m_0+1}^{\infty} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n P(X_i e^{-r\tau_i} > x, N(T) = n) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X_i e^{-r\tau_i} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_i \leq T\}} > x) - H_3, \end{aligned}$$

where  $m_0$  is the same as that in (3.4). For  $H_3$ , similarly to (3.8), it follows that

$$H_3 \lesssim \bar{F}(x) \sum_{n=m_0+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n l_i \right) n P(N(T) = n) \leq \bar{l}\varepsilon\bar{F}(x),$$

Thus by the similar derivation of (3.10), we also get that for any fixed  $0 < T < \infty$ ,

$$H_3 = o(1)\bar{F}(x) = o(1)P(X_1e^{-r\tau_1}\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_1 \leq T\}} > x),$$

which, along with (3.12), yields that

$$(3.13) \quad P(S_r(T) > x) \gtrsim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X_i e^{-r\tau_i} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_i \leq T\}} > x).$$

Consequently, from (3.11), (3.13) and Lemma 2.4, we show that

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \underline{l} \int_0^T \bar{F}(xe^{rt}) dEN(t) &\lesssim P(S_r(T) > x) \\ &\sim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X_i e^{-r\tau_i} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_i \leq T\}} > x) \lesssim \bar{l} \int_0^T \bar{F}(xe^{rt}) dEN(t). \end{aligned}$$

Now we turn to estimate  $\psi_r(x, T)$ . Clearly, a combination of the right-hand side inequality in (3.3), (3.2), (3.14), Lemma 2.6 and the independence between  $Y_T$  and  $S_r(T)$ , can prove that

$$(3.15) \quad \psi_r(x, T) \lesssim \bar{l} \int_0^T \bar{F}(xe^{rt}) dEN(t).$$

By (3.14), we find that the distribution of  $S_r(T)$  is long-tailed. Then by the dominated convergence theorem and the independence between  $\{C(t), t \geq 0\}$

and the other sources of randomness, we know that

$$(3.16) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(S_r(T) - \tilde{Y}_T > x)}{P(S_r(T) > x)} = \int_0^\infty \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(S_r(T) > x + y)}{P(S_r(T) > x)} P(\tilde{Y}_T \in dy) = 1,$$

where  $\tilde{Y}_T = Y_T + \tilde{C}(T)$ . By the left-hand side inequality in (3.3), (3.14) and (3.16), it follows that

$$(3.17) \quad \psi_r(x, T) \gtrsim \underline{l} \int_0^T \bar{F}(xe^{rt}) dEN(t).$$

So by (3.15) and (3.17), relation (1.8) holds for the fixed  $0 < T < \infty$ .

If  $F_i \equiv F$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , then  $\underline{l} = \bar{l} = 1$ , and relation (1.9) follows from (1.8) immediately.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* According to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we only need to estimate the asymptotic lower-bound of  $\psi_r(x, T)$ . The condition  $F \in \mathcal{C}$  ensures that for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist a  $u_0 > 0$  and an  $x_2 = x_2(\varepsilon)$  such that for all  $x \geq x_2$ ,

$$(3.18) \quad \bar{F}((1 + u_0)x) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)\bar{F}(x).$$

By the left-hand side inequality in (3.3), we see that for  $u_0 > 0$  as above,

$$(3.19) \quad \psi_r(x, T) \geq P(S_r(T) - Y_T > (1 + u_0)x) - P(\tilde{C}(T) > u_0x) = H_4 - H_5.$$

For  $H_4$ , by (3.14) and the similar derivation to (3.16), we have that for all large  $x \geq x_2$ ,

$$(3.20) \quad H_4 \gtrsim \underline{l} \int_0^T \bar{F}((1 + u_0)xe^{rt}) dEN(t) \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \underline{l} \int_0^T \bar{F}(xe^{rt}) dEN(t),$$

where the second step is due to (3.18). For  $H_5$ , by (1.10) and  $F \in \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$ , we get

$$H_5 = o(1)\bar{F}(u_0x) = o(1)\bar{F}(x).$$

This, along with (2.1), yields that there exists an  $x_3 = x_3(\varepsilon)$  such that for all  $x \geq \max\{x_3, D\}$ ,

$$(3.21) \quad H_5 \leq \varepsilon \bar{F}(x) \leq \frac{C_0 \varepsilon}{\underline{l}} \cdot \underline{l} \int_0^T \bar{F}(xe^{rt}) dEN(t),$$

where  $C_0 = \frac{Ce^{rTp}}{EN(T)}$ . Hence, substituting (3.20) and (3.21) into (3.19) and using the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon > 0$  can prove that relation (3.17) still holds under the conditions of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* For the case when  $0 < T < \infty$ , we know from Lemma 2.5 that Theorem 1.3 is a special case of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Hence, it suffices to deal with the case of  $T = \infty$ . By (1.1) and (1.4), we have

$$\psi_r(x, \infty) = P\left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty X_i e^{-r\tau_i} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_i \leq t\}} - \sigma I_t > x + \tilde{C}(t) \text{ for some } 0 < t < \infty\right),$$

where  $\tilde{C}(t)$  and  $I_t$  are the same as those in (1.2) and (3.1), respectively. Hence,

$$(3.22) \quad P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i e^{-r\tau_i} - Y_{\infty} > x + \tilde{C}\right) \leq \psi_r(x, \infty) \leq P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i e^{-r\tau_i} + Y_{\infty} > x\right),$$

where  $\tilde{C}$  and  $Y_{\infty}$  are those in (1.12) and (3.2) with  $T = \infty$ .

Firstly, we estimate the asymptotic upper-bound of  $\psi_r(x, \infty)$ . Following the proof of Lemma 3.5 of Gao and Liu [9], there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that for any  $0 < v < 1$ ,

$$(3.23) \quad P\left(\sum_{i=n_0+1}^{\infty} X_i e^{-r\tau_i} > \frac{vx}{2}\right) = o(1)P(X_1 e^{-r\tau_1} > x).$$

Note that  $F \in \mathcal{C}$ , then by Lemma 2.2(2), the distributions of  $X_i e^{-r\tau_i}$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , all belong to the class  $\mathcal{C}$ . So for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist a  $v_0$ ,  $0 < v_0 < 1$ , and an  $x_4 = x_4(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that for all  $x \geq x_4$ ,

$$(3.24) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} P(X_i e^{-r\tau_i} > (1 - v_0)x) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} P(X_i e^{-r\tau_i} > x).$$

Let  $n_0$  and  $v_0$  be fixed as above. By the right-hand side inequality in (3.22), it holds that

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_r(x, \infty) &\leq P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_0} X_i e^{-r\tau_i} > (1 - v_0)x\right) + P\left(\sum_{i=n_0+1}^{\infty} X_i e^{-r\tau_i} > \frac{v_0 x}{2}\right) \\ &\quad + P\left(Y_{\infty} > \frac{v_0 x}{2}\right) \\ &= H_6 + H_7 + H_8. \end{aligned}$$

For  $H_6$ , by Theorem 1 of Chen et al. [5] and (3.24), we derive that for all large  $x \geq x_4$ ,

$$H_6 \sim \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} P(X_i e^{-r\tau_i} > (1 - v_0)x) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} P(X_i e^{-r\tau_i} > x).$$

For  $H_7$ , by (3.23) with  $v$  replaced by  $v_0$ , we get

$$H_7 = o(1)P(X_1 e^{-r\tau_1} > x).$$

For  $H_8$ , by (3.2) with  $T = \infty$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$  and Lemma 2.2(1), we obtain

$$H_8 = o(1)P(X_1 e^{-r\tau_1} > x).$$

Therefore, substituting the derivations of  $H_i$ ,  $i = 6, 7, 8$ , into (3.25) and considering the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon > 0$ , it follows that

$$(3.26) \quad \psi_r(x, \infty) \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X_i e^{-r\tau_i} > x) = \int_0^{\infty} \bar{F}(xe^{rt}) dEN(t).$$

Subsequently, we estimate the asymptotic lower-bound of  $\psi_r(x, \infty)$ . By (2.1), we see that for all  $x \geq D$  and any  $0 < T < \infty$ ,

$$(3.27) \quad \frac{\int_T^\infty \bar{F}(xe^{rt})dEN(t)}{\int_0^\infty \bar{F}(xe^{rt})dEN(t)} = \frac{\int_T^\infty \bar{F}(xe^{rt})/\bar{F}(x)dEN(t)}{\int_0^\infty \bar{F}(xe^{rt})/\bar{F}(x)dEN(t)} \leq C^2 \frac{\int_T^\infty e^{-r\hat{p}t}dEN(t)}{\int_0^\infty e^{-rpt}dEN(t)}.$$

Clearly, by (1.6), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-rpt}dEN(t) &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-rpt}dP(\tau_n \leq t) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty E(e^{-rp\tau_n}) \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty g_L(n)(Ee^{-rp\theta_1})^n. \end{aligned}$$

For (1.11), take  $\epsilon = -\log(Ee^{-rp\tau_1}) - c$  for some  $c > 0$ , then there exists a positive integer  $n_1$  such that for all  $n \geq n_1$ ,

$$g_L(n) \leq e^{-cn} \exp\{-n \log(Ee^{-rp\theta_1})\}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-rpt}dEN(t) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{n_1-1} g_L(n) (Ee^{-rp\theta_1})^n + \sum_{n=n_1}^\infty e^{-cn} < \infty.$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-r\hat{p}t}dEN(t) < \infty.$$

Hence, the third item of (3.27) tends to 0 as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , which yields that for the given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $T_0, 0 < T_0 < \infty$ , such that for all  $x \geq D$ ,

$$(3.28) \quad \int_{T_0}^\infty \bar{F}(xe^{rt})dEN(t) \leq \epsilon \int_0^\infty \bar{F}(xe^{rt})dEN(t).$$

Under condition 1 of Theorem 1.3, by the left-hand side inequality in (3.22), the similar argument of (3.16), and (3.14) with  $T$  replaced by  $T_0$ , we show that for all  $x \geq D$ ,

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_r(x, \infty) &\geq P(S_r(T_0) - Y_\infty > x + \tilde{C}) \gtrsim \int_0^{T_0} \bar{F}(xe^{rt})dEN(t) \\ &= \left( \int_0^\infty - \int_{T_0}^\infty \right) \bar{F}(xe^{rt})dEN(t) \geq (1 - \epsilon) \int_0^\infty \bar{F}(xe^{rt})dEN(t), \end{aligned}$$

where the last step is due to (3.28). Therefore, by (3.26), (3.29) and the arbitrariness of  $\epsilon > 0$ , we obtain that relation (1.9) holds for  $T = \infty$  under condition 1 of this theorem. Under condition 2 of Theorem 1.3, again by the left-hand side inequality in (3.22), one has

$$(3.30) \quad \psi_r(x, \infty) \geq P(S_r(T_0) - Y_\infty > (1 + u_0)x) - P(\tilde{C} > u_0x) = H_9 - H_{10},$$

where  $u_0 > 0$  and  $0 < T_0 < \infty$  are those in (3.18) and (3.28), respectively. For  $H_9$ , by the similar derivation of (3.20), we prove that for all  $x \geq \max\{x_2, D\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_9 &\geq (1 - \varepsilon) \int_0^{T_0} \bar{F}(xe^{rt}) dEN(t) \\
 &= (1 - \varepsilon) \left( \int_0^\infty - \int_{T_0}^\infty \right) \bar{F}(xe^{rt}) dEN(t) \\
 (3.31) \quad &\geq (1 - \varepsilon)^2 \int_0^\infty \bar{F}(xe^{rt}) dEN(t),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last step is due to (3.28). For  $H_{10}$ , by (1.12) and the similar derivation of (3.21), there exists an  $x_5 = x_5(\varepsilon)$  such that for all  $x \geq \max\{x_5, D\}$ ,

$$(3.32) \quad H_{10} \leq \varepsilon \bar{F}(x) \leq C_0 \varepsilon \int_0^\infty \bar{F}(xe^{rt}) dEN(t),$$

where  $C_0$  is the same as that in (3.21). Consequently, by (3.26), (3.30)-(3.32) and the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we arrive at relation (1.9) for  $T = \infty$  under condition 2 of the theorem, and hence the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for her/his constructive comments and suggestions, which greatly improved the quality and the presentation of this paper. The paper is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant numbers 11326176 and 71271042) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions of China (grant number 13KJB110014).

## References

- [1] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie, and J. L. Teugels, *Regular Variation*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [2] Y. Chen, L. Wang, and Y. Wang, *Uniform asymptotics for the finite-time ruin probabilities of two kinds of nonstandard bidimensional risk models*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **401** (2013), no. 1, 114–129.
- [3] Y. Chen and K. Yuen, *Sums of pairwise quasi-asymptotically independent random variables with consistent variation*, Stoch. Models **25** (2009), no. 1, 76–89.
- [4] ———, *Precise large deviations of aggregate claims in a size-dependent renewal risk model*, Insurance Math. Econom. **51** (2012), no. 2, 457–461.
- [5] Y. Chen, W. Zhang, and J. Liu, *Asymptotic tail probability of randomly weighted sum of dependent heavy-tailed random variables*, Asia-Pac. J. Risk Insur. **4** (2010), no. 2; <http://dx.doi.org/10.2202/2153-3792.1055>. Article 4.
- [6] D. B. H. Cline and G. Samorodnitsky, *Subexponentiality of the product of independent random variables*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **49** (1994), no. 1, 75–98.
- [7] P. Embrechts, C. Klüppelberg, and T. Mikosch, *Modelling Extremal Events*, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [8] Q. Gao, N. Jin, and P. Gu, *Asymptotic behavior of the finite-time ruin probability with pairwise quasi-asymptotically independent claims and constant interest force*, To appear in Rocky Mountain J. Math.; <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.rmjm/1374758577>.

- [9] Q. Gao and X. Liu, *Uniform asymptotics for the finite-time ruin probability with upper tail asymptotically independent claims and constant force of interest*, Statist. Probab. Lett. **83** (2013), no. 6, 1527–1538.
- [10] J. Geluk and Q. Tang, *Asymptotic tail probabilities of sums of dependent subexponential random variables*, J. Theoret. Probab. **22** (2009), no. 4, 871–882.
- [11] X. Hao and Q. Tang, *A uniform asymptotic estimate for discounted aggregate claims with subexponential tails*, Insurance Math. Econom. **43** (2008), no. 1, 116–120.
- [12] T. Jiang and H. Yan, *The finite-time ruin probability for the jump-diffusion model with constant interest force*, Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser. **22** (2006), no. 1, 171–176.
- [13] J. Li, *On pairwise quasi-asymptotically independent random variables and their applications*, Statist. Probab. Lett. **83** (2013), no. 9, 2081–2087.
- [14] J. Li, Q. Tang, and R. Wu, *Subexponential tails of discounted aggregate claims in a time-dependent renewal risk model*, Adv. in Appl. Probab. **42** (2010), no. 4, 1126–1146.
- [15] J. Li and R. Wu, *Asymptotic ruin probabilities of the renewal model with constant interest force and dependent heavy-tailed claims*, Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser. **27** (2011), no. 2, 329–338.
- [16] X. Liu, Q. Gao, and Y. Wang, *A note on a dependent risk model with constant interest rate*, Statist. Probab. Lett. **82** (2012), no. 4, 707–712.
- [17] Q. Tang, *The ruin probability of a discrete time risk model under constant interest rate with heavy tail*, Scand. Actuar. J. **2004** (2004), no. 3, 229–240.
- [18] ———, *Asymptotic ruin probabilities of the renewal model with constant interest force and regular variation*, Scand. Actuar. J. **2005** (2005), no. 1, 1–5.
- [19] ———, *Heavy tails of discounted aggregate claims in the continuous-time renewal model*, J. Appl. Probab. **44** (2007), no. 2, 285–294.
- [20] Q. Tang and G. Tsitsiashvili, *Precise estimates for the ruin probability in finite horizon in a discrete-time model with heavy-tailed insurance and financial risks*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **108** (2003), no. 2, 299–325.
- [21] ———, *Randomly weighted sums of subexponential random variables with application to ruin theory*, Extremes **6** (2003), no. 3, 171–188.
- [22] N. Veraverbeke, *Asymptotic estimates for the probability of ruin in a Poisson model with diffusion*, Insurance Math. Econom. **13** (1993), no. 1, 57–62.
- [23] D. Wang, *Finite-time ruin probability with heavy-tailed claims and constant interest rate*, Stoch. Models **24** (2008), no. 1, 41–57.
- [24] D. Wang, C. Su, and C. Zeng, *Uniform estimate for maximum of randomly weighted sums with applications to insurance risk theory*, Sci. China Ser. A **48** (2005), no. 10, 1379–1394.
- [25] K. Wang, Y. Wang, and Q. Gao, *Uniform asymptotics for the finite-time ruin probability of a dependent risk model with a constant interest rate*, Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab. **15** (2013), no. 1, 109–124.
- [26] Y. Yang and Y. Wang, *Asymptotics for ruin probability of some negatively dependent risk models with a constant interest rate and dominatedly-varying-tailed claims*, Statist. Probab. Lett. **80** (2010), no. 3-4, 143–154.

QINGWU GAO  
 SCHOOL OF SCIENCE  
 NANJING AUDIT UNIVERSITY  
 NANJING 211815, P. R. CHINA  
 E-mail address: qwgao0123@gmail.com

DI BAO  
SCHOOL OF SCIENCE  
NANJING AUDIT UNIVERSITY  
NANJING 211815, P. R. CHINA  
*E-mail address:* [derrpaul@gmail.com](mailto:derrpaul@gmail.com)