

ON AN R-E-KKM THEOREM AND ITS APPLICATIONS

WON KYU KIM*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we first introduce an R - E -KKM map in the E -convex settings, and next we prove an R - E -KKM theorem which generalizes the KKM theorem and the best proximity theorem simultaneously. As applications, a best proximity theorem and a fixed point theorem in E -convex sets are given.

1. Introduction

In a recent paper [5], Raj and Somasundaram introduce an R -KKM map which extends the notion of KKM maps in best proximity settings, and obtain the finite intersection theorem. As applications, they prove the existence of a best proximity point which is an extended version of the Fan-Browder fixed point theorem in a normed linear space. Recently, in [3], the author introduces a generalized E -KKM map using the E -convexity, and proves the finite intersection theorem for a generalized E -KKM map and fixed point theorems as applications.

In this paper, combining those two concepts in [3, 5], we first introduce the R - E -KKM map which generalizes the classical KKM map and R -KKM map simultaneously in the E -convex settings. Next, we prove an R - E -KKM theorem which generalizes the classical KKM Theorem and the best proximity theorem simultaneously. As applications, a best proximity theorem and a fixed point theorem in E -convex sets are given.

Received December 13, 2013; Revised January 06, 2014; Accepted January 16, 2014.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47H10, 52A07.

Key words and phrases: E -convex, R - E -KKM map, best proximity.

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology(2012R1A1A2039089).

2. Preliminaries

We begin with some notations and definitions. Let X be a nonempty subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space Y . We shall denote by 2^X the family of all subsets of X , and for any nonempty subset A of Y , by $co A$ the convex hull of A in Y . We shall say A is *compactly closed* if for each compact subset K in X , $A \cap K$ is closed in X . When a multimap $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is given, we shall denote $T^{-1}(y) := \{x \in X \mid y \in T(x)\}$ for each $y \in Y$. Denote by $[0, 1]^n$ the Cartesian product of n unit intervals $[0, 1] \times \cdots \times [0, 1]$, and denote the unit simplex in $[0, 1]^n$ by Δ_{n-1} , and simply denote $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$. Recall that a set X is said to be *E-convex* [6] with respect to a map $E : Y \rightarrow Y$ if there is a mapping $E : Y \rightarrow Y$ such that $\lambda E(x) + (1 - \lambda)E(y) \in X$ for each $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. We define a metric d on X by $d(x, y) := \|x - y\|$ for each $x, y \in X$; and for each $x \in A$, we denote $d(x, B) := \inf_{y \in B} d(x, y)$ and $dist(A, B) := \inf_{x \in A} d(x, B)$. Then the pair (A, B) is said to be *E-proximal* if for each $x \in A$, there exists $y \in B$ such that $d(E(x), E(y)) = dist(A, B)$. Then, it is clear that (A, A) is an *E-proximal* pair.

From now on, we shall assume that $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed linear space equipped with a given map $E : X \rightarrow X$.

Now we first introduce the general notion of *R-E-KKM* maps which fit into the generalized KKM theorem for best proximity point setting as follows:

DEFINITION 2.1. Let (A, B) be a nonempty pair of a normed linear space X with a map $E : X \rightarrow X$. A multimap $T : A \rightarrow 2^B$ is called a *generalized R-E-KKM map* (simply, *R-E-KKM map*) on A if for any finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq A$, there exists a finite subset $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subseteq B$ such that

$$\|E(x_i) - E(y_i)\| = dist(A, B) \quad \text{for each } i = 1, \dots, n; \text{ and}$$

$$co(\{E(y_{i_1}), \dots, E(y_{i_k})\}) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^k T(x_{i_j})$$

for any subset $\{y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k}\} \subseteq \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$).

REMARK 2.2. If E is the identity map on X , then an *R-E-KKM* map is a generalization of *R-KKM* maps in [5], and if $A = B$, then an *R-E-KKM* map reduces to the generalized *E-KKM* map in [3] since $E(x_i) = E(y_i)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Furthermore, if $A = B$ and E is the

identity map on X , then an R - E -KKM map reduces to the generalized KKM map in [2]. When $A = B$ and E is the identity map on X , and if we take $x_i = y_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, then the R - E -KKM map reduces to a KKM map in [4].

Now we shall give an example that there exists an R - E -KKM map which is not an E-KKM map:

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$, $A = [0, 2]$, and $B = [0, 2]$. Let $E : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping on X defined by

$$E(x) := \begin{cases} x, & \text{for each } 0 \leq x \leq 1; \\ 2 - x, & \text{for each } 1 < x \leq 2; \\ 0, & \text{for each } x \in X \setminus A; \end{cases}$$

and the multimap $T : A \rightarrow 2^B$ be defined by

$$T(x) := \begin{cases} [0, 1 + x], & \text{for each } 0 \leq x \leq 1; \\ [1, x], & \text{for each } 1 < x \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

Then, for each $x \in (1, 2]$, $E(x) = 2 - x \notin T(x) = [1, x]$ so that T can not be an E-KKM map on A . Now we show that T is an R - E -KKM map on A . Indeed, for any finite set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq A$, we shall show that there exists a finite set $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subseteq B$ such that for any subset $\{y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k}\} \subseteq \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$), we have that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\|E(x_i) - E(y_i)\| = \text{dist}(A, B) = 0$, and

$$\text{co}(\{E(y_{i_1}), \dots, E(y_{i_k})\}) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^k T(x_{i_j}).$$

First, in case of $1 < x_i \leq 2$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, if we take $y_i := 2 - x_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, then for any subset $\{y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k}\} \subseteq \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$), we have that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\|E(x_i) - E(y_i)\| = \|(2 - x_i) - y_i\| = \text{dist}(A, B) = 0,$$

and

$$\text{co}(\{E(y_{i_1}), \dots, E(y_{i_k})\}) \subseteq [0, 1] \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^k T(y_{i_j}) = \bigcup_{j=1}^k [0, 1 + (2 - x_{i_k})];$$

so that T is an R - E -KKM map on A . Next, in case of $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$, we should take $y_i := x_i$ for such i ; and in case of $1 < x_j \leq 2$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$, then we should take $y_j := 2 - x_j$ for

such j . Then, for any subset $\{y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k}\} \subseteq \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ ($i \leq k \leq n$), we have that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\|E(x_i) - E(y_i)\| = \text{dist}(A, B) = 0,$$

and

$$\text{co}(\{E(y_{i_1}), \dots, E(y_{i_k})\}) \subseteq [0, 1] \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^k T(y_{i_j});$$

so that T is an R - E -KKM map on A .

3. An R - E -KKM theorem and its applications

Now we begin with the following

THEOREM 3.1. *Let (A, B) be a nonempty pair of a normed linear space X with a map $E : X \rightarrow X$, and $T : A \rightarrow 2^B$ be an R - E -KKM map on A . If $T(x)$ is finitely closed (i.e., for each finite dimensional subspace L in X , $T(x) \cap L$ is closed in the Euclidean topology in L) for each $x \in A$. Then the family of sets $\{T(x) \mid x \in A\}$ has the finite intersection property. Furthermore, if A is E -convex, then for any finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq A$, there exist $\hat{x} \in A$ and $\hat{y} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n T(x_i)$ such that $\|\hat{x} - \hat{y}\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$.*

Proof. For any finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq A$, we first show that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n T(x_i) \neq \emptyset$. Since T is an R - E -KKM map on A , there exists a finite subset $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subseteq B$ with

$$\|E(x_i) - E(y_i)\| = \text{dist}(A, B) \quad \text{for each } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

such that for any subset $\{y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k}\} \subseteq \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$),

$$\text{co}(\{E(y_{i_1}), \dots, E(y_{i_k})\}) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^k T(x_{i_j})$$

holds, and in particular, $\text{co}(\{E(y_1), \dots, E(y_n)\}) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n T(x_i)$.

Now we consider the $(n-1)$ -simplex Δ_{n-1} with the vertices $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $e_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, \dots , $e_n = (0, 0, \dots, 1)$; and define a continuous map $f : \Delta_{n-1} \rightarrow X$ by

$$f(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i) := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i E(y_i), \quad \text{for each } (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}.$$

Since $f(\Delta_{n-1}) = \text{co}(\{E(y_1), \dots, E(y_n)\})$ is a finite dimensional subset of Y and $T(x_i)$ is nonempty finitely closed in Y , each $f^{-1}(T(x_i))$ is a nonempty closed subset of Δ_{n-1} . Therefore, we consider the family of

nonempty n closed subsets $\{G_i := f^{-1}(T(x_i)) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of Δ_{n-1} , and now we will show $\bigcap_{i=1}^n G_i \neq \emptyset$. Since T is an R - E -KKM map, for any indices $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$,

$$f(\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_{i_j} e_{i_j}) = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_{i_j} E(y_{i_j}) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^k T(x_{i_j})$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_{i_j} e_{i_j} &\in f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^k T(x_{i_j})\right) = \bigcup_{j=1}^k f^{-1}(T(x_{i_j})) \\ &= \bigcup_{j=1}^k G_{i_j} \subseteq \Delta_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can apply the KKM theorem [4] to the family of closed subsets $\{G_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ of Δ_{n-1} so that we have $\bigcap_{i=1}^n G_i \neq \emptyset$. Hence

$$\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{i=1}^n G_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(T(x_i)) = f^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n T(x_i)\right)$$

so that we have $\bigcap_{i=1}^n T(x_i) \neq \emptyset$.

Next, we assume that A is E -convex, then we shall show that for a given finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq A$, there exist $\hat{x} \in A$ and $\hat{y} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n T(x_i)$ such that $\|\hat{x} - \hat{y}\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$. Indeed, if we let $\hat{e} := \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\lambda}_i e_i \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n G_i$, then $\hat{y} := f(\hat{e}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\lambda}_i E(y_i) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n T(x_i) \subseteq B$. If we take $\hat{x} := \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\lambda}_i E(x_i)$, then $\hat{x} \in A$ since A is E -convex. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(A, B) &\leq \text{dist}\left(\hat{x}, \bigcap_{i=1}^n T(x_i)\right) \leq \|\hat{x} - \hat{y}\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\lambda}_i E(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\lambda}_i E(y_i) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\lambda}_i \cdot \|E(x_i) - E(y_i)\| = \text{dist}(A, B) \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

REMARK 3.2.

- (1) Theorem 3.1 generalizes both Theorem 3.1 in [3] and Theorem 3.1 in [5] in the following aspects:
 - (a) T is an R - E -KKM map which generalizes an R -KKM map in [5] and generalized E -KKM map in [3] simultaneously;
 - (b) the pair (A, B) need not be proximal as in Theorem 3.1 [5];
 - (c) E need not be the identity map on X as in Theorem 3.1 [3].

- (2) In case of $T(\hat{x}) = B$ for $\hat{x} \in X$ in the conclusion of Theorem 3.1, since $\hat{y} \in B = T(\hat{x})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(A, B) &\leq d(\hat{x}, T(\hat{x})) \leq d(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) + d(\hat{y}, T(\hat{x})) \\ &= \text{dist}(A, B) + d(\hat{y}, T(\hat{x})) = \text{dist}(A, B) \end{aligned}$$

so that we have $d(\hat{x}, T(\hat{x})) = \text{dist}(A, B)$, i.e., \hat{x} is the proximity point for T .

- (3) In Theorem 3.1, if we replace the finitely closed assumption on $T(x)$ with compactly closed assumption on $T(x)$, then we can obtain the same conclusion by slight modification of the above proof.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1, we can obtain the following which is a generalization of the KKM theorem in E-convex settings:

THEOREM 3.3. *Let (A, B) be a nonempty pair of a normed linear space X with a map $E : X \rightarrow X$, A an E-convex set, and $T : A \rightarrow 2^B$ be an R-E-KKM map. If $T(x)$ is compactly closed for each $x \in A$, and $T(x_o)$ is compact for some $x_o \in A$, then $\bigcap_{x \in A} T(x) \neq \emptyset$, and there exist $\hat{x} \in A$ and $\hat{y} \in B$ such that $\|\hat{x} - \hat{y}\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$.*

The following best proximity theorem, which includes the Fan-Browder fixed point theorem [4] in non-compact E-convex sets in normed linear spaces, can be a basic tool in proving many variational inequalities and intersection theorems in E-convex settings:

THEOREM 3.4. *Let (A, B) be a nonempty E-proximal pair of a normed linear space X with a map $E : X \rightarrow X$, and let $T : A \rightarrow 2^B$ be a multimap satisfying the following:*

- (1) for each $x \in A$, $T(x)$ is a compactly open proper subset of B ;
- (2) for each $y \in B$, $T^{-1}(y)$ is a nonempty E-convex subset of A ;
- (3) there exists an $y_o \in B$ such that $B \setminus T(y_o)$ is compact.

Then there is a best proximity point $\hat{x} \in A$ such that

$$\text{dist}(\hat{x}, T(\hat{x})) = \text{dist}(A, B).$$

Proof. By the assumption (1), each $T(x)$ is a proper subset of B . Consider a multimap $S : A \rightarrow 2^B$ defined by

$$S(x) := B \setminus T(x) \quad \text{for each } x \in A.$$

By the assumption (1), each $S(x)$ is nonempty compactly closed in B , and by the assumption (3), $S(y_o)$ is compact. Note that $B = \bigcup_{x \in A} T(x)$.

In fact, for each $y \in B$, by the assumption (2), choose $x \in T^{-1}(y)$; then $y \in T(x)$. Therefore, $B = \bigcup_{x \in A} T(x)$ so that we have

$$\bigcap_{x \in A} S(x) = \bigcap_{x \in A} (B \setminus T(x)) = B \setminus \bigcup_{x \in A} T(x) = \emptyset.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.3, S should not be an R - E -KKM map on A . Therefore, there must exist a finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subseteq A$ such that there exist $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\} \subseteq B$ with $\|E(x_i) - E(y_i)\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$), and

$$\text{co}\{E(y_1), \dots, E(y_m)\} \not\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m T(x_i). \quad (*)$$

Indeed, for given $x_i \in A$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$), since (A, B) is an E -proximal pair, there exists $y_i \in B$ such that $\|E(x_i) - E(y_i)\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then, the set $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\} \subseteq B$ satisfies the condition $\|E(x_i) - E(y_i)\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Since S is not an R - E -KKM map on A , the formula (*) should hold. Therefore, there exists a point $\hat{y} = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i E(y_i) \in \text{co}\{E(y_1), \dots, E(y_m)\}$ with $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \Delta_{m-1}$ such that

$$\hat{y} = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i E(y_i) \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^m S(x_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m (B \setminus T(x_i)) = B \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^m T(x_i)$$

so that $\hat{y} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m T(x_i)$. Therefore, $x_i \in T^{-1}(\hat{y})$ for each $i = 1, \dots, m$. Since $T^{-1}(\hat{y})$ is E -convex by the assumption (2), we have

$$E(T^{-1}(\hat{y})) \subseteq \text{co}\{E(T^{-1}(\hat{y}))\} \subseteq T^{-1}(\hat{y}).$$

If we take $\hat{x} := \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i E(x_i) \in T^{-1}(\hat{y}) \subseteq A$, then $\hat{y} \in T(\hat{x})$ so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(A, B) &\leq \text{dist}(\hat{x}, T(\hat{x})) \leq \|\hat{x} - \hat{y}\| \\ &= \|\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i E(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i E(y_i)\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \cdot \|E(x_i) - E(y_i)\| = \text{dist}(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\text{dist}(\hat{x}, T(\hat{x})) = \text{dist}(A, B)$ which completes the proof. \square

REMARK 3.5. In Theorem 3.4, when B is a compact set, then each $T(x)$ is clearly open so that the assumption (3) is automatically satisfied. In this case, Theorem 3.4 generalizes the Fan-Browder fixed point theorem in non-compact E -convex settings in normed linear spaces.

When $A = B$ in Theorem 3.4, since (A, A) is clearly an E -proximal pair of a normed linear space X , we can obtain the following fixed point theorem

COROLLARY 3.6. *Let A be a nonempty subset of a normed linear space X equipped with a map $E : X \rightarrow X$, and let $T : A \rightarrow 2^A$ be a multimap satisfying the following:*

- (1) *for each $x \in A$, $T(x)$ is an open (proper) subset of A ;*
- (2) *for each $y \in A$, $T^{-1}(y)$ is a nonempty E -convex subset of A ;*
- (3) *there exists an $y_0 \in A$ such that $B \setminus T(y_0)$ is compact.*

Then there is a fixed point $\hat{x} \in A$ for T , i.e., $\hat{x} \in T(\hat{x})$.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the referees for their valuable suggestions for improvement of this paper.

References

- [1] F. E. Browder, *The fixed point theory of multi-valued mappings in topological vector spaces*, Math. Ann. **117** (1968), 283-301.
- [2] S. S. Chang and Y. Zhang, *Generalized KKM theorem and variational inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **159** (1991), 208-223.
- [3] W. K. Kim, *On a generalized E-KKM theorem*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. **26** (2013), 533-539.
- [4] B. Knaster, K. Kuratowski, and S. Mazurkiewicz, *Ein Beweis des Fixpunktsatzes für n -Dimensionale Simplexe*, Fund. Math. **14** (1929), 132-137.
- [5] V. S. Raj and S. Somasundaram, *KKM-type theorems for best proximity points*, Appl. Math. Lett. **25** (2012), 496-499.
- [6] E. A. Youness, *On E-convex sets, E-convex functions and E-convex programming*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **102** (1999), 439-450.

*

Department of Mathematics Education
 Chungbuk National University
 Cheongju 361-763, Republic of Korea
E-mail: wkkim@chungbuk.ac.kr