

## POSITIVELY MEASURE EXPANSIVE AND EXPANDING

JIWEON AHN, KEONHEE LEE, AND MANSEOB LEE

ABSTRACT. We show that  $C^1$ -generically, a differentiable map is positively measure expansive if and only if it is expanding.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $M$  be a compact connected  $C^\infty$  Riemannian manifold without boundary and  $C^1(M)$  the space of differentiable maps of  $M$  endowed with the  $C^1$ -topology. Denote by  $d$  the distance on  $M$  induced from the Riemannian metric  $\|\cdot\|$  on the tangent bundle  $TM$ . Given  $x \in M$  and  $\delta > 0$ , define the *dynamical  $\delta$ -ball*,  $\Gamma_\delta(x) = \{y \in M : d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) \leq \delta \text{ for all } i \geq 0\}$ . Let  $\mu$  be a Borel probability measure which is not necessary  $f$ -invariant. Let  $f \in C^1(M)$ . We say that  $f$  is *positively measure expansive* (or, *positively  $\mu$ -expansive*) if there is  $\delta > 0$  (called *expansive constant*) such that for all  $x \in M$ ,  $\mu(\Gamma_\delta(x)) = 0$ . It is known that if  $f$  is positively expansive, then  $f$  is open and locally one-to-one, that is,  $f$  is a local homeomorphism since  $M$  is a manifold without boundary. Since  $M$  is connected, it can be checked that the set of periodic points,  $P(f)$ , of  $f$  is dense (see [4]).

We say that  $f$  is *expanding* if there are constants  $C > 0$  and  $\lambda > 1$  such that for any  $v \in T_x M (x \in M)$ ,  $\|D_x f^n(v)\| \geq C\lambda^n \|v\|$  for any  $n \geq 0$ . It is known that every expanding map is positively measure expansive, but the converse is not true. Since every expanding map  $f$  is structurally stable, there is a  $C^1$ -neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}(f)$  of  $f$  such that any  $g \in \mathcal{U}(f)$  is positively measure expansive. Sakai [4] and Arbieto [1] proved that  $C^1$ -generically, a positively expansive map is expanding. In this paper, we study the space of positively measure expansive differentiable maps of  $M$ .

A subset  $\mathcal{R} \subset C^1(M)$  is called *residual* if it contains a countable intersection of open and dense subsets of  $C^1(M)$ . A property is called ( $C^1$ )*generic* if it holds in a residual subset of  $C^1(M)$ . Recall that a positively measure expansive differentiable map is not necessarily expanding. However, every positively

---

Received July 8, 2013; Revised October 30, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37D20.

*Key words and phrases.* expansive, measure expansive, generic, expanding.

measure expansive differentiable map is expanding in the  $C^1$ -generic case. The following is the main result in this paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For  $C^1$ -generic  $f \in C^1(M)$ ,  $f$  is positively measure expansive if and only if  $f$  is expanding.*

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.1**

Let  $M$  be as before and let  $f \in C^1(M)$ . Hereafter, we denote by  $\mathcal{U}(f)$  a  $C^1$ -neighborhood of  $f \in C^1(M)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $f \in C^1(M)$  and  $\mathcal{U}(f)$  be given. Then there are  $\delta_0 > 0$  and  $\mathcal{U}_0(f) \subset \mathcal{U}(f)$  such that for any  $g \in \mathcal{U}_0(f)$ , a finite set  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l\}$ , a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l\}$  and linear maps  $L_i : T_{x_i}M \rightarrow T_{g(x_i)}M$  satisfying  $\|L_i - D_{x_i}g\| \leq \delta_0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$ , there are  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and  $\bar{g} \in \mathcal{U}(f)$  such that*

- (a)  $\bar{g}(x) = g(x)$  if  $x \in M \setminus U$ , and
- (b)  $\bar{g}(x) = \exp_{g(x_i)} \circ L_i \circ \exp_{x_i}^{-1}(x)$  if  $x \in B_{\epsilon_0}(x_i)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$ .

The assertion (b) implies that  $\bar{g}(x) = g(x)$  for  $x \in \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l\}$ , and that  $D_{x_i}\bar{g} = L_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$ .

For  $p \in P(f)$ , denote by  $\pi(p) > 0$  the period, that is,  $f^{\pi(p)}(p) = p$ . We say that  $p$  is *hyperbolic* if  $D_p f^{\pi(p)} : T_pM \rightarrow T_pM$  has no eigenvalues of modulus 1. Thus  $T_pM$  splits into the direct sum  $E_p^s \oplus E_p^u$  of subspaces such that  $D_p f^{\pi(p)}(E_p^s) = E_p^s$  and  $D_p f^{\pi(p)}(E_p^u) = E_p^u$ , and there are constants  $C > 0$ , and  $0 < \lambda < 1$  such that for any  $n > 0$ ,

- $\|D_p f^n(v)\| \leq C\lambda^n \|v\|$  for any  $v \in E_p^s$ , and
- $\|D_p f^{-n}(v)\| \leq C\lambda^n \|v\|$  for any  $v \in E_p^u$ .

Let  $p \in P(f)$  be hyperbolic. We say that  $p$  is a *sink* if  $T_pM = E_p^s$ , a *source* if  $T_pM = E_p^u$ , and a *saddle* if  $E_p^s \neq \{0\}$  and  $E_p^u \neq \{0\}$ . Note that if  $f$  is positively measure expansive with an expansive constant  $\delta$ , then there are no sinks and saddles. For, if there is an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| < 1$ , then there is a local stable manifold  $W_\nu^s(p)$  of  $p$  for some  $\nu > 0$ , where  $W_\nu^s(p) = \{y \in M : d(f^i(p), f^i(y)) \leq \nu, i \geq 0\} = \Gamma_\nu(p)$ . We may assume that  $\nu < \delta$ . Then  $W_\nu^s(p) = \Gamma_\nu(p) \subset \Gamma_\delta(p)$  implies that  $0 < \mu(W_\nu^s(p)) < \mu(\Gamma_\delta(p))$ . This is contradiction, since  $f$  is positively measure expansive.

For  $0 < \delta < 1$ , we say that a hyperbolic periodic point  $p$  has a  $\delta$ -*weak expanding eigenvalue* if  $D_p f^{\pi(p)}$  has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  such that  $|\lambda| < (1 + \delta)^{\pi(p)}$ . Hence because  $f$  has a  $\delta$ -*weak expanding eigenvalue* we mean  $f$  has *no hyperbolic periodic point with a  $\delta$ -weak expanding eigenvalue*. Moreover, we say that the periodic point has *real spectrum* if all of its eigenvalues are real and *simple spectrum* if all of its eigenvalues have multiplicity one. Note that by Kupka-Smale's theorem for differentiable maps, for  $C^1$ -generic  $f \in C^1(M)$ , every  $p \in P(f)$  is hyperbolic, and thus, such  $p$  is source if  $f$  is positively measure expansive.

**Lemma 2.2.** *There is a residual set  $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset C^1(M)$  such that for any  $f \in \mathcal{G}_1$ , and for any  $\delta > 0$ , if for any  $C^1$ -neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}(f)$  of  $f$ , there is  $g \in \mathcal{U}(f)$  having  $q_g \in P(g)$  with a  $\delta$ -weak expanding eigenvalue, then  $f$  has  $q \in P(f)$  with a  $\delta$ -weak expanding eigenvalue.*

*Proof.* Let  $q \in P(f)$  be hyperbolic. Then for any  $g \in C^1(M)$   $C^1$ -nearby  $f$ , there is a unique  $q_g \in P(g)$  ( $\pi(q) = \pi(q_g)$ ) nearby  $q$  such that  $D_{q_g}g^{\pi(q_g)} \rightarrow D_qf^{\pi(q)}$  as  $g \rightarrow f$  with respect to the  $C^1$ -topology. For  $\delta > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_n$  be the set of  $f \in C^1(M)$  such that there is  $q \in P(f)$  with a  $\delta$ -weak expanding eigenvalue. From the stability of the hyperbolic periodic point stated above,  $\mathcal{H}_n$  is open in  $C^1(M)$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}_n = C^1(M) \setminus \overline{\mathcal{H}_n}$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}_n \cup \mathcal{N}_n$  is open and dense in  $C^1(M)$  by their definitions,

$$\mathcal{G}_1 = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathcal{H}_n \cup \mathcal{N}_n)$$

is residual. Here  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of natural numbers.

We show that  $\mathcal{G}_1$  is what we want. Fix any  $f \in \mathcal{G}_1$  and any  $\delta > 0$ . Suppose that for any  $\mathcal{U}(f)$ , there is  $g \in \mathcal{U}(f)$  having  $q_g \in P(g)$  with a  $\delta$ -weak expanding eigenvalue. Then  $f \in \overline{\mathcal{H}_n}$ , and so  $f \in \mathcal{H}_n$ .  $\square$

The following was proved by Sakai (see [4, Remark 1]).

**Remark 2.3.** *There is a residual set  $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset C^1(M)$  such that for any  $f \in \mathcal{G}_2$ , by Lemma 2.2 for any  $\delta > 0$ , if any  $q \in P(f)$  has no  $2\delta$ -weak eigenvalue, then there is  $\mathcal{U}(f)$  such that for any  $g \in \mathcal{U}(f)$ , any  $q \in P(g)$  has no  $\delta$ -weak eigenvalue.*

To prove Lemma 2.4, we give some notations on probability measures of  $M$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  the set of Borel probability measures of  $M$  endowed with the weak topology, and  $\mathcal{M}_f(M) \subset \mathcal{M}(M)$  the set of  $f$ -invariant measures. We say that  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(M)$  is *atomic* if there exists a point  $x \in M$  such that  $\mu(\{x\}) > 0$ . It is known that the set of non-atomic measures is a residual set in  $\mathcal{M}(M)$ . Note that for  $f \in C^1(M)$ , a submanifold  $V \subset M$  is said to be a *normally hyperbolic invariant submanifold* if  $f(V) = V$  and if there is a splitting  $T_xM = T_xV \oplus N_x^s \oplus N_x^u$  for each  $x \in V$  such that

- the splitting depends continuously on  $x$ ,
- the splitting is invariant under  $Df$ , i.e.,  $Df(N_x^s) = N_{f(x)}^s$  and  $Df(N_x^u) = N_{f(x)}^u$ ,
- for some Riemannian metric, and constants  $C > 0, \lambda > 1, r \geq 1$ , one has for every triple of unit vectors  $v \in T_x(V), n^s \in N_x^s$ , and  $n^u \in N_x^u$  and any  $n > 0$

$$\frac{\|(Df^n)n^u\|}{\|(Df^n)v\|} \geq C\lambda^n \text{ and } \frac{\|(Df^n)n^s\|}{\|(Df^n)v\|} \leq C^{-1}\lambda^{-n}.$$

By Mañé's [2] result, the normally hyperbolic  $V$  is persistence.

**Lemma 2.4.** *There is a residual set  $\mathcal{G}_3 \subset C^1(M)$  such that for any  $f \in \mathcal{G}_3$ , if  $f$  is positively measure expansive, then there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $f$  has no  $\delta$ -weak expanding eigenvalue.*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{G}_3 = \mathcal{G}_1 \cap \mathcal{G}_2$  be positively measure expansive. To induce the contradiction, suppose that for any  $\delta > 0$  there is a periodic point  $p \in P(f)$  with a  $\delta$ -weak expanding eigenvalue. Since  $\delta$  is arbitrary small, by Lemma 2.1, we can construct  $g_1 \in \mathcal{U}(f)$  possessing a non hyperbolic periodic point  $q$ . Assume that  $D_q g_1^{\pi(q)}$  has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  with modulus equal to 1. Let  $E_q^c$  be the subspace of  $T_q M$  generated by eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| = 1$ . Then we have  $T_q M = E_q^c \oplus E_q^u$ . For simplicity, we assume that  $\dim E_q^c = 1$  and the corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda = 1$ . Then by Lemma 2.1, we can choose  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  and construct  $g_2 \in \mathcal{U}(f)$  satisfying the conditions of the Lemma 2.2. Since  $\lambda = 1$ , there exists a small arc  $\mathcal{K}_q \subset B_{\epsilon_1}(q) \cap \exp(E_q^c(\epsilon_1))$  with its center at  $q$  such that  $g_2^{\pi(q)}(\mathcal{K}_q) = \mathcal{K}_q$  and  $\mathcal{K}_q$  is normally hyperbolic. Then by Mañé’s result [2],  $\mathcal{K}_q$  is persistence. Thus,  $f$  has an arc  $\mathcal{J}_p$  such that  $f^{\pi(p)}(\mathcal{J}_p) = \mathcal{J}_p$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{J}_p}$  be the normalized Lebesgue measure on  $\mathcal{J}_p$ . Define  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(M)$  by

$$\mu(c) = \frac{1}{\pi(p)} \sum_{j=0}^{\pi(p)-1} \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{J}_p}[f^{-j}(C \cap f^j(\mathcal{J}_p))]$$

for any Borel set  $C$  of  $M$ . It is clear that  $\mu$  is a non-atomic measure.

Let  $\delta > 0$ . By the continuity of  $f$ , there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that  $d(p, y) < \delta_1$  implies that  $d(f^i(p), f^i(y)) < \delta$  for  $0 \leq i \leq \pi(p) - 1$ . Recall that

$$\Gamma_\delta(x) = \{y \in M : d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) \leq \delta \text{ for all } i \geq 0\}.$$

Since  $f^{\pi(p)}|_{\mathcal{J}_p} = \text{id}$ ,

$$\{y \in \mathcal{J}_p : d(p, y) < \delta_1\} \subset \Gamma_\delta(p).$$

Thus, we have

$$\mu(\Gamma_\delta(p)) \geq \mu(\{y \in \mathcal{J}_p : d(p, y) < \delta_1\}) > 0.$$

This is the contradiction for the our assumption,  $f$  is positively measure expansive. □

The following theorem founded by Arbieto [1, Theorem 1.3] and Sakai [4, Remark 1].

**Theorem 2.5.** *For  $C^1$ -local diffeomorphism  $f$ , if the periodic orbits of any local diffeomorphism  $g$   $C^1$ -close to  $f$ , are expanding, then  $f$  is expanding.*

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{G}_3$  be positively measure expansive. To derive a contradiction, we may assume that  $f$  is not expanding. Then by Theorem 2.5, for any  $\delta > 0$ , there is  $p_g \in P(g)$  ( $g$   $C^1$ -close to  $f$ ) such that  $p_g$  has a  $\delta$ -weak expanding eigenvalue. Since  $f \in \mathcal{G}_1$ ,  $f$  has  $p \in P(f)$  with  $\delta$ -weak expanding

eigenvalue. This is a contradiction by Lemma 2.4. Thus by Theorem 2.5,  $f$  is expanding.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** KL is supported by the National Research Foundation (NRF) of Korea funded by the Korean Government (No. 2011-0015193). ML is the corresponding author and supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology, Korea (No. 2011-0007649).

### References

- [1] A. Arbieto, *Periodic orbits and expansiveness*, Math. Z. **269** (2011), no. 3-4, 801–807.
- [2] R. Mane, *Persistent manifolds are normally hyperbolic*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **246** (1978), 261–283.
- [3] W. L. Reddy, *Expanding maps on compact metric spaces*, Topology Appl. **13** (1982), no. 3, 327–334.
- [4] K. Sakai, *Positively expansive differentiable Maps*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **26** (2010), no. 10, 1839–1846.
- [5] K. Sakai, N. Sumi, and K. Yamamoto, *Measure-expansive diffeomorphisms*, Preprint.
- [6] D. Yang and S. Gan, *Expansive homoclinic classes*, Nonlinearity **22** (2009), no. 4, 729–733.

JIWEON AHN  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
DAEJEON 305-764, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* twinangel12@hanmail.net

KEONHEE LEE  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
DAEJEON 305-764, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* khlee@cnu.ac.kr

MANSEOB LEE  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
MOKWON UNIVERSITY  
DAEJEON 302-729, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* lmsds@mokwon.ac.kr