

ORE EXTENSIONS OF HOPF GROUP COALGEBRAS

DINGGUO WANG AND DAOWEI LU

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to generalize the theory of Hopf-Ore extension on Hopf algebras to Hopf group coalgebras. First the concept of Hopf-Ore extension of Hopf group coalgebra is introduced. Then we will give the necessary and sufficient condition for the Ore extensions to become a Hopf group coalgebra, and certain isomorphism between Ore extensions of Hopf group coalgebras are discussed.

1. Introduction

Ore extensions are main kinds of ring extensions to construct a class of non-commutative rings and algebras. From the point of view of quantum group and Hopf algebra theory, Ore extensions are important for constructing examples of Hopf algebras which are neither commutative nor cocommutative. In recent years, many new examples (often finite dimensional) with special properties were constructed by means of Ore extensions, such as pointed Hopf algebras, co-Frobenius Hopf algebras, and quasitriangular Hopf algebras (see, e.g., [1, 2, 5]).

Panov [6] introduced the concept and equivalent description of a Hopf-Ore extension and given the classifications of Hopf-Ore extensions for some typical Hopf algebras. Multiplier Hopf algebras were introduced by Van Daele [10] as a generalisation of Hopf algebras to the case where the underlying algebra is not necessarily unital. Lihui Zhao and Diming Lu [13] generalized the notion of Ore extension of Hopf Algebras to regular multiplier Hopf algebras and obtained the corresponding result. Hopf group coalgebras were introduced by V. G. Turaev [8, 9]. Hopf group coalgebras generalize usual coalgebras and Hopf algebras, in the sense that we recover these notions in the situation where the group is trivial. Virelizier [11] started an algebraic study of this topic, this was continued by Zunino [14, 15] and Wang [12]. It is natural to investigate the Ore extensions of Hopf group coalgebras. This was the motivation of our paper.

The first problem we face is how to define the Ore extensions of Hopf group coalgebras. Here we could resort to the method used in [3] which constructed

Received June 5, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W30, 16S36.

Key words and phrases. Hopf algebras, Hopf π -coalgebras, Ore extension.

the concept of differential calculus on Hopf group coalgebras, that is, let $A = \{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ be a Hopf group coalgebra, and $R = \{R_\alpha = A_\alpha[y_\alpha; \sigma_\alpha, \delta_\alpha]\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$, where for any $\alpha \in \pi$, $A_\alpha[y_\alpha; \sigma_\alpha, \delta_\alpha]$ is the Ore extension of A_α . Then we make $R = \{R_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ a Hopf group coalgebra. The comultiplication and counit could be extended from A to R naturally.

In Section 2, we recall the definition of Hopf group coalgebras and some basic facts about Hopf group coalgebras. In Section 3, we first introduce the notion of an Ore extension for a Hopf group coalgebra, and extend Δ and ε from A to R such that $R = \{R_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ becomes a Hopf group coalgebra. In the main theorem of this article, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for Ore extensions of a Hopf group coalgebra to be a Hopf group coalgebra. In Section 4, we will consider the isomorphisms between Ore extensions for different Hopf group coalgebras and give the sufficient conditions.

2. Hopf group coalgebra

For convenience of the reader we recall the standard definitions of Hopf algebras, see for instance [4, 7]. Throughout this paper, we let π be a discrete group (with neutral element 1) and k be a field. All algebras are supposed to be over k . If U and V are k -space, $\tau_{U,V} : U \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes U$ will denote the flip defined by $\tau_{U,V}(u \otimes v) = v \otimes u$.

Definition 2.1. A π -coalgebra (over k) is a family $C = \{C_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ of k -spaces endowed with a family k -linear maps (the comultiplication) and a k -linear map $\varepsilon : C_1 \rightarrow k$ (the counit) such that

- (a) Δ is a coassociative in the sense that, for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi$,

$$(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \otimes id_{C_\gamma})\Delta_{\alpha\beta,\gamma} = (id_{C_\alpha} \otimes \Delta_{\beta,\gamma})\Delta_{\alpha,\beta\gamma};$$

- (b) for all $\alpha \in \pi$,

$$(id_{C_\alpha} \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta_{\alpha,1} = id_{C_\alpha} = (\varepsilon \otimes id_{C_\alpha})\Delta_{1,\alpha}.$$

Note that $(C_1, \Delta_{1,1}, \varepsilon)$ is a coalgebra in the usual sense.

Sweedler's notation. We extend the Sweedler notation in the following way: for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$ and $c \in C_{\alpha\beta}$, we write

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(c) = \sum_{(c)} c_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes c_{(2,\beta)} \in C_\alpha \otimes C_\beta.$$

Or shortly, if we leave the summation implicitly, $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(c) = c_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes c_{(2,\beta)}$.

The coassociativity axiom gives that, for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi$ and $c \in C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$,

$$c_{(1,\alpha\beta)(1,\alpha)} \otimes c_{(1,\alpha\beta)(2,\beta)} \otimes c_{(2,\gamma)} = c_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes c_{(2,\beta\gamma)(1,\beta)} \otimes c_{(2,\beta\gamma)(2,\gamma)}.$$

This element of $C_\alpha \otimes C_\beta \otimes C_\gamma$ is written as $c_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes c_{(2,\beta)} \otimes c_{(3,\gamma)}$. For any $c \in C_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}$, by iterating the procedure we define inductively $c_{(1,\alpha_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes c_{(n,\alpha_n)}$.

Definition 2.2. Let $C = (\{C_\alpha, \Delta, \varepsilon\})$ be a π -coalgebra and A be an algebra with multiplication m and unit element 1_A . For any $f \in \text{Hom}_k(C_\alpha, A)$ and $g \in \text{Hom}_k(C_\beta, A)$, we define their *convolution product* by

$$f * g = m(f \otimes g)\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \in \text{Hom}_k(C_{\alpha\beta}, A).$$

Using (2.1), one verifies that the k -space

$$\text{Conv}(C, A) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \pi} \text{Hom}_k(C_\alpha, A),$$

endowed with the convolution product $*$ and the unit element $\varepsilon 1_A$, is a π -graded algebra, called *convolution algebra*.

Definition 2.3. A Hopf π -coalgebra is a π -coalgebra $H = (\{H_\alpha, \Delta, \varepsilon\})$ endowed with a family $S = \{S_\alpha : H_\alpha \rightarrow H_{\alpha^{-1}}\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ of k -linear maps (the antipode) such that

- (a) each H_α is an algebra with multiplication m_α and $1_\alpha \in H_\alpha$;
- (b) $\varepsilon : H_1 \rightarrow k$ and $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} : H_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow H_\alpha \otimes H_\beta$ (for all $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$) are algebra homomorphisms;
- (c) for any $\alpha \in \pi$,

$$m_\alpha(S_{\alpha^{-1}} \otimes id_{H_\alpha})\Delta_{\alpha^{-1},\alpha} = \varepsilon 1_\alpha = m_\alpha(id_{H_\alpha} \otimes S_{\alpha^{-1}})\Delta_{\alpha,\alpha^{-1}} : H_1 \rightarrow H_\alpha.$$

Note that $(H_1, m_1, 1_1, \Delta_{1,1}, \varepsilon, S_1)$ is a Hopf algebra in the usual sense of the word. We call it the neutral component of H . And axiom (c) says that S_α is the inverse of id_{H_α} in the convolution algebra $\text{Conv}(H_1, H_\alpha)$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $H = (\{H_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$ be a Hopf π -coalgebra. Then

- (a) $\Delta_{\beta^{-1},\alpha^{-1}}S_{\alpha\beta} = \tau_{H_{\alpha^{-1}},H_{\beta^{-1}}}(S_\alpha \otimes S_\beta)\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$,
- (b) $\varepsilon S_1 = \varepsilon$,
- (c) $S_\alpha(ab) = S_\alpha(b)S_\beta(a)$ for any $\alpha \in \pi$ and $a, b \in H_\alpha$,
- (d) $S_\alpha(1_\alpha) = 1_{\alpha^{-1}}$ for any $\alpha \in \pi$.

3. Main theorem

In this section, we will define the Ore extension of a Hopf group coalgebra and prove the criterion for an Ore extension of a Hopf group coalgebra to be a Hopf group coalgebra.

Definition 3.1. Let A be a k -algebra. Consider an endomorphism σ of the algebra A over k and a σ -derivation δ of A . This means that

$$(3.1) \quad \delta(ab) = \sigma(a)\delta(b) + \delta(a)b.$$

The Ore extension $R = A[y; \sigma, \delta]$ of the k -algebra R generated by the variable y and the algebra A with the relation

$$(3.2) \quad ya = \sigma(a)y + \delta(a)$$

for any $a \in A$.

Now with the definition of Hopf-Ore extension [6], we can define the Hopf group coalgebra Ore extension.

Definition 3.2. Let $A = \{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ be a Hopf group coalgebra, the family $R = \{R_\alpha = A_\alpha[y_\alpha; \sigma_\alpha, \delta_\alpha]\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ of k -spaces is called the Hopf Group coalgebra Ore extension if $R = \{R_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ is also a Hopf group coalgebra, where for any $\alpha \in \pi$, $A_\alpha[y_\alpha; \sigma_\alpha, \delta_\alpha]$ is the Ore extension of A_α , and there exist $r_\alpha^1, r_\alpha^2 \in A_\alpha$ such that

$$\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}(y_{\alpha\beta}) = y_\alpha \otimes r_\beta^2 + r_\alpha^1 \otimes y_\beta,$$

and

$$\Delta_{\beta, \alpha}(y_{\beta\alpha}) = y_\beta \otimes r_\alpha^2 + r_\beta^1 \otimes y_\alpha.$$

Note that $R_1 = A_1[y_1; \sigma_1, \delta_1]$ is the Hopf-Ore extension in the sense of [6].

Now that $R = \{R_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ is a Hopf group coalgebra, if we apply the axioms of (2.1) to Definition 3.2, we have on one hand

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} \otimes id_{R_\gamma})\Delta_{\alpha\beta, \gamma}(y_{\alpha\beta\gamma}) &= (\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} \otimes id_{R_\gamma})(y_{\alpha\beta} \otimes r_\gamma^2 + r_{\alpha\beta}^1 \otimes y_\gamma) \\ &= \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}(y_{\alpha\beta}) \otimes r_\gamma^2 + \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}(r_{\alpha\beta}^1) \otimes y_\gamma \\ &= (y_\alpha \otimes r_\beta^2 + r_\alpha^1 \otimes y_\beta) \otimes r_\gamma^2 + \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}(r_{\alpha\beta}^1) \otimes y_\gamma \\ &= y_\alpha \otimes r_\beta^2 \otimes r_\gamma^2 + r_\alpha^1 \otimes y_\beta \otimes r_\gamma^2 + \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}(r_{\alpha\beta}^1) \otimes y_\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} (id_{R_\alpha} \otimes \Delta_{\beta, \gamma})\Delta_{\alpha, \beta\gamma}(y_{\alpha\beta\gamma}) &= (id_{R_\alpha} \otimes \Delta_{\beta, \gamma})(y_\alpha \otimes r_{\beta\gamma}^2 + r_\alpha^1 \otimes y_{\beta\gamma}) \\ &= y_\alpha \otimes \Delta_{\beta, \gamma}(r_{\beta\gamma}^2) + r_\alpha^1 \otimes (y_\beta \otimes r_\gamma^2 + r_\beta^1 \otimes y_\gamma) \\ &= y_\alpha \otimes \Delta_{\beta, \gamma}(r_{\beta\gamma}^2) + r_\alpha^1 \otimes y_\beta \otimes r_\gamma^2 + r_\alpha^1 \otimes r_\beta^1 \otimes y_\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}(r_{\alpha\beta}^1) = r_\alpha^1 \otimes r_\beta^1, \quad \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}(r_{\alpha\beta}^2) = r_\alpha^2 \otimes r_\beta^2$$

for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi$.

Lemma 3.3. *If $R = \{R_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ is the Hopf group coalgebra Ore extension of the Hopf group coalgebra $A = \{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ as above, then for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$*

- (a) r_α^1 and r_α^2 are invertible in A_α ,
- (b) the equalities:

$$(3.4) \quad \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}((r_{\alpha\beta}^1)^{-1}) = (r_\alpha^1)^{-1} \otimes (r_\beta^1)^{-1},$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}((r_{\alpha\beta}^2)^{-1}) = (r_\alpha^2)^{-1} \otimes (r_\beta^2)^{-1}.$$

Proof. (1) First of all, from (3.3) we can see that r_1^1 is a group-like element in A_1 , so $\varepsilon(r_1^1) = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} m_\alpha(S_{\alpha^{-1}} \otimes id)\Delta_{\alpha^{-1}, \alpha}(r_1^1) &= m_\alpha(S_{\alpha^{-1}} \otimes id)(r_{\alpha^{-1}}^1 \otimes r_\alpha^1) \\ &= S_{\alpha^{-1}}(r_{\alpha^{-1}}^1)r_\alpha^1 \\ &= \varepsilon(r_1^1)1_\alpha \\ &= 1_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

So we have $S_{\alpha^{-1}}(r_{\alpha^{-1}}^1) = (r_{\alpha}^1)^{-1}$, and similarly we get $S_{\alpha^{-1}}(r_{\alpha^{-1}}^2) = (r_{\alpha}^2)^{-1}$ for any $\alpha \in \pi$.

(2) By Lemma 2.4, We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}((r_{\alpha\beta}^1)^{-1}) &= \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(S_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}(r_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}^1)) \\ &= \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(S_{\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}}(r_{\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}}^1)) \\ &= \tau(S_{\beta^{-1}} \otimes S_{\alpha^{-1}})(\Delta_{\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}}(r_{\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}}^1)) \\ &= \tau(S_{\beta^{-1}}(r_{\beta^{-1}}^1) \otimes S_{\alpha^{-1}}(r_{\alpha^{-1}}^1)) \\ &= (r_{\alpha}^1)^{-1} \otimes (r_{\beta}^1)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can prove the other equality. □

Replacing the generating elements y_{α} by $y'_{\alpha} = y_{\alpha}(r_{\alpha}^2)^{-1}$ and $r_{\alpha}^1(r_{\alpha}^2)^{-1}$ by r_{α} , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(y'_{\alpha\beta}) &= \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(y_{\alpha\beta}(r_{\alpha\beta}^2)^{-1}) \\ &= \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(y_{\alpha\beta}) \cdot \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}((r_{\alpha\beta}^2)^{-1}) \\ &= (y_{\alpha} \otimes r_{\beta}^2 + r_{\alpha}^1 \otimes y_{\beta}) \cdot ((r_{\alpha}^2)^{-1} \otimes (r_{\beta}^2)^{-1}) \\ &= y_{\alpha}(r_{\alpha}^2)^{-1} \otimes 1 + r_{\alpha}^1(r_{\alpha}^2)^{-1} \otimes y_{\beta}(r_{\beta}^2)^{-1} \\ &= y'_{\alpha} \otimes 1 + r_{\alpha} \otimes y'_{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(r_{\alpha\beta}) &= \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(r_{\alpha\beta}^1(r_{\alpha\beta}^2)^{-1}) \\ &= \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(r_{\alpha\beta}^1) \cdot \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}((r_{\alpha\beta}^2)^{-1}) \\ (3.6) \quad &= (r_{\alpha}^1 \otimes r_{\beta}^1) \cdot ((r_{\alpha}^2)^{-1} \otimes (r_{\beta}^2)^{-1}) \\ &= r_{\alpha}^1(r_{\alpha}^2)^{-1} \otimes r_{\beta}^1(r_{\beta}^2)^{-1} \\ &= r_{\alpha} \otimes r_{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Preserving the above notations, we assume in what follows that the elements $\{y_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ in the Hopf group coalgebra-Ore extension satisfying the relations

$$(3.7) \quad \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(y_{\alpha\beta}) = y_{\alpha} \otimes 1 + r_{\alpha} \otimes y_{\beta}$$

for some elements $r_{\alpha} \in A_{\alpha}$ satisfying $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(r_{\alpha\beta}) = r_{\alpha} \otimes r_{\beta}$.

As usual, $Ad_{r_{\alpha}}(a) = r_{\alpha}aS_{\alpha^{-1}}(r_{\alpha}^{-1}) = r_{\alpha}a(r_{\alpha})^{-1}$.

Lemma 3.4. *If $R = \{A_{\alpha}[y_{\alpha}; \sigma_{\alpha}, \delta_{\alpha}]\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ is a Hopf group coalgebra-Ore extension of the Hopf group coalgebra $A = \{A_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$, then*

$$(3.8) \quad S_{\alpha^{-1}}(y_{\alpha^{-1}}) = -(r_{\alpha})^{-1}y_{\alpha},$$

where $(r_{\alpha})^{-1} = S_{\alpha^{-1}}(r_{\alpha^{-1}})$.

Proof. $(r_{\alpha})^{-1} = S_{\alpha^{-1}}(r_{\alpha^{-1}})$ is easy to check. Now we have

$$m_{\alpha}(S_{\alpha^{-1}} \otimes id_{A_{\alpha}})\Delta_{\alpha^{-1},\alpha}(y_1) = \varepsilon(y_1)1_{\alpha} = 0,$$

by (3.7), so

$$S_{\alpha^{-1}}(y_{\alpha^{-1}}) + S_{\alpha^{-1}}(r_{\alpha^{-1}})y_{\alpha} = 0,$$

then

$$S_{\alpha^{-1}}(y_{\alpha^{-1}}) = -S_{\alpha^{-1}}(r_{\alpha^{-1}})y_{\alpha} = -(r_{\alpha})^{-1}y_{\alpha}. \quad \square$$

Now we will give the main result.

Theorem 3.5. *The Ore extension $R = \{A_{\alpha}[y_{\alpha}; \sigma_{\alpha}, \delta_{\alpha}]\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ of the Hopf group coalgebra $A = \{A_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ is a Hopf group coalgebra-Ore extension if and only if there exists a group-like element $r = \{r_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ such that the following conditions hold:*

(a) *there is a character $\chi : A_1 \rightarrow k$ such that for any $\alpha \in \pi$*

$$(3.9) \quad \sigma_{\alpha}(a) = \sum \chi(a_{(1,1)})a_{(2,\alpha)},$$

where $a \in A_{\alpha}$;

(b) *the following relation holds:*

$$(3.10) \quad \sum \chi(a_{(1,1)})a_{(2,\alpha)} = Ad_{r_{\alpha}}(a_{(1,\alpha)})\chi(a_{(2,1)}),$$

(c) *the σ_{α} -derivation δ satisfies the relation*

$$(3.11) \quad \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}\delta_{\alpha\beta}(a) = \sum \delta_{\alpha}(a_{(1,\alpha)}) \otimes a_{(2,\beta)} + r_{\alpha}a_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes \delta_{\beta}(a_{(2,\beta)}).$$

Proof. The proof is presented under three headings. At step 1 we show that the comultiplication $\Delta = \{\Delta_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ can be extended to $R = \{A_{\alpha}[y_{\alpha}; \sigma_{\alpha}, \delta_{\alpha}]\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ by (3.7) if and only if relations (3.9)-(3.11) hold. At step 2 we prove that R_1 admits an extension of the counit from A_1 (in fact this has been proved in [6]). At step 3 we show that R has antipode S extending the antipode $S|_A$ by (3.8).

Step 1. Comultiplication. Assume that the comultiplication $\Delta|_A$ can be extended to $R = \{A_{\alpha}[y_{\alpha}; \sigma_{\alpha}, \delta_{\alpha}]\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ by (3.7). Then the homomorphism Δ preserve the relation

$$(3.12) \quad y_{\alpha}a = \sigma_{\alpha}(a)y_{\alpha} + \delta_{\alpha}(a)$$

for any $\alpha \in \pi$ and $a \in A_{\alpha}$, i.e.,

$$(3.13) \quad \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(y_{\alpha\beta})\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(a) = \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(a)\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(y_{\alpha\beta}) + \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}\delta_{\alpha\beta}(a)$$

for any $a \in A_{\alpha\beta}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(y_{\alpha\beta})\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(a) \\ &= \sum_{(a)} (y_{\alpha} \otimes 1 + r_{\alpha} \otimes y_{\beta})(a_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes a_{(2,\beta)}) \\ &= \sum_{(a)} y_{\alpha}a_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes a_{(2,\beta)} + r_{\alpha}a_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes y_{\beta}a_{(2,\beta)} \\ &= \sum_{(a)} \sigma_{\alpha}(a_{(1,\alpha)})y_{\alpha} \otimes a_{(2,\beta)} + \delta_{\alpha}(a_{(1,\alpha)}) \otimes a_{(2,\beta)} \\ & \quad + r_{\alpha}a_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes \sigma_{\beta}(a_{(2,\beta)})y_{\beta} + r_{\alpha}a_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes \delta_{\beta}(a_{(2,\beta)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{(a)} (\sigma_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha)}) \otimes a_{(2,\beta)})(y_\alpha \otimes 1) + r_\alpha a_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes \sigma_\beta(a_{(2,\beta)})y_\beta \\
 &\quad + \delta_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha)}) \otimes a_{(2,\beta)} + r_\alpha a_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes \delta_\beta(a_{(2,\beta)}) \\
 &= \sum_{(a)} (\sigma_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha)}) \otimes a_{(2,\beta)})(y_\alpha \otimes 1) + (r_\alpha a_{(1,\alpha)} r_\alpha^{-1} \otimes \sigma_\beta(a_{(2,\beta)}))(r_\alpha \otimes y_\beta) \\
 &\quad + \delta_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha)}) \otimes a_{(2,\beta)} + r_\alpha a_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes \delta_\beta(a_{(2,\beta)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(a) \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(y_{\alpha\beta}) + \Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \delta_{\alpha\beta}(a) \\
 &= \Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(a)(y_\alpha \otimes 1 + r_\alpha \otimes y_\beta) + \Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \delta_{\alpha\beta}(a) \\
 &= \Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(a)(y_\alpha \otimes 1) + \Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(a)(r_\alpha \otimes y_\beta) + \Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \delta_{\alpha\beta}(a).
 \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that Δ preserves (3.12) if and only if the following relations hold:

$$(3.14) \quad \Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(a) = \sum_{(a)} (\sigma_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha)}) \otimes a_{(2,\beta)}),$$

$$(3.15) \quad \Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(a) = \sum_{(a)} Ad_{r_\alpha}(a_{(1,\alpha)}) \otimes \sigma_\beta(a_{(2,\beta)}),$$

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \delta_{\alpha\beta}(a) = \sum_{(a)} \delta_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha)}) \otimes a_{(2,\beta)} + r_\alpha a_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes \delta_\beta(a_{(2,\beta)})$$

for any $a \in A_{\alpha\beta}$. The last equation coincides with (3.11).

Let us show that (3.14) and (3.15) imply (3.10) and (3.11). Define a family of maps $\{\chi_\alpha : A_1 \rightarrow A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ by

$$\chi_\alpha(a) = \sum_{(a)} \sigma_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha)}) S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})})$$

for any $a \in A_1$.

Obvious computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\Delta_{\alpha,1}(\chi_\alpha(a)) \\
 &= \sum_{(a)} \Delta_{\alpha,1}(\sigma_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha)}) S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})})) \\
 &= \sum_{(a)} \Delta_{\alpha,1}(\sigma_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha)})) \Delta_{\alpha,1} S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})}) \\
 &= \sum_{(a)} [\sigma_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha)(1,\alpha)}) \otimes a_{(1,\alpha)(2,1)}] [S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})(2,\alpha^{-1})}) \otimes S_1(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})(1,1)})] \\
 &= \sum_{(a)} \sigma_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha)(1,\alpha)}) S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})(2,\alpha^{-1})}) \otimes a_{(1,\alpha)(2,1)} S_1(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})(1,1)}) \\
 &= \sum_{(a)} \sigma_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha)}) S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(4,\alpha^{-1})}) \otimes a_{(2,1)} S_1(a_{(3,1)})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \chi_\alpha(a) \otimes 1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_{1,\alpha}(\chi_\alpha(a)) \\ &= \sum_{(a)} \Delta_{1,\alpha}(\sigma_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha)})) \Delta_{1,\alpha} S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})}) \\ &= \sum_{(a)} [\sigma_1(a_{(1,\alpha)(1,1)}) \otimes a_{(1,\alpha)(2,\alpha)}] [\tau(S_{\alpha^{-1}} \otimes S_1) \Delta_{\alpha^{-1},1}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})})] \\ &= \sum_{(a)} [\sigma_1(a_{(1,\alpha)(1,1)}) \otimes a_{(1,\alpha)(2,\alpha)}] [S_1(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})(2,1)}) \otimes S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})(1,\alpha^{-1})})] \\ &= \sum_{(a)} \sigma_1(a_{(1,\alpha)(1,1)}) S_1(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})(2,1)}) \otimes a_{(1,\alpha)(2,\alpha)} S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})(1,\alpha^{-1})}) \\ &= \sum_{(a)} \sigma_1(a_{(1,1)}) S_1(a_{(4,1)}) \otimes a_{(2,\alpha)} S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(3,\alpha^{-1})}) \\ &= \sum_{(a)} \sigma_1(a_{(1,1)}) S_1(a_{(2,1)}) \otimes 1_\alpha \\ &= \chi_1(a) \otimes 1_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\alpha(a) &= (\varepsilon \otimes id) \Delta_{1,\alpha}(\chi_\alpha(a)) = \sum_{(a)} \varepsilon(\sigma_1(a_{(1,1)}) S_1(a_{(2,1)})) 1_\alpha \\ &= \sum_{(a)} \varepsilon(\sigma_1(a_{(1,1)})) \varepsilon(S_1(a_{(2,1)})) 1_\alpha = \sum_{(a)} \varepsilon(\sigma_1(a_{(1,1)})) \varepsilon(a_{(2,1)}) 1_\alpha \\ &= \varepsilon(\sigma_1(a)) 1_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Also we have for any $\alpha \in \pi$, $\chi_\alpha(a) = \varepsilon(\chi_1(a)) 1_\alpha$. Indeed from Theorem 1.3 of [6], we know that for any $a \in A_1$, $\chi_1(a)$ belongs to k , thus $\chi_\alpha(a)$ could be identified with an element in k as well. One can regard χ_α as a mapping $\chi : A_1 \rightarrow k$. Since for any $\alpha \in \pi$, σ_α is an endomorphism, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\alpha(ab) &= \sum_{(a)(b)} \sigma_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha)} b_{(1,\alpha)}) S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})} b_{(2,\alpha^{-1})}) \\ &= \sum_{(a)(b)} \sigma_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha)}) \sigma_\alpha(b_{(1,\alpha)}) S_{\alpha^{-1}}(b_{(2,\alpha^{-1})}) S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})}) \\ &= \sum_{(a)} \sigma_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha)}) \chi_\alpha(b) S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})}) \\ &= \chi_\alpha(a) \chi_\alpha(b), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\alpha(a+b) &= \sum_{(a)(b)} \sigma_\alpha((a+b)_{(1,\alpha)})S_{\alpha^{-1}}((a+b)_{(2,\alpha^{-1})}) \\ &= \sum_{(a)(b)} \sigma_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha)})S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})}) + \sigma_\alpha(b_{(1,\alpha)})S_{\alpha^{-1}}(b_{(2,\alpha^{-1})}) \\ &= \chi_\alpha(a) + \chi_\alpha(b). \end{aligned}$$

One can recover σ_α from $\chi_\alpha(a)$. In fact for any $a \in A_\alpha$, $\Delta_{1,\alpha}(a) = \sum_{(a)} a_{(1,1)} \otimes a_{(2,\alpha)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(a)} \chi_\alpha(a_{(1,1)})a_{(2,\alpha)} &= \sum_{(a)} \sigma_\alpha(a_{(1,1)(1,\alpha)})S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(1,1)(2,\alpha^{-1})})a_{(2,\alpha)} \\ &= \sum_{(a)} \sigma_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha)})S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})})a_{(3,\alpha)} \\ &= \sigma_\alpha(a). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.9).

Substituting σ_α into (3.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(a)} \Delta_{1,\alpha}(\chi_\alpha(a_{(1,1)})a_{(2,\alpha)}) &= \sum_{(a)} Ad_{r_1}(a_{(1,1)}) \otimes \sigma_\alpha(a_{(2,\alpha)}) \\ &= \sum_{(a)} Ad_{r_1}(a_{(1,1)}) \otimes \chi_\alpha(a_{(2,\alpha)(1,1)})a_{(2,\alpha)(2,\alpha)} \\ &= \sum_{(a)} Ad_{r_1}(a_{(1,1)}) \otimes \chi_\alpha(a_{(2,1)})a_{(3,\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

And because for any $a \in A_1$, $\chi_\alpha(a) \in k1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(a)} \Delta_{1,\alpha}(\chi_\alpha(a_{(1,1)})a_{(2,\alpha)}) &= \sum_{(a)} \chi_\alpha(a_{(1,1)})\Delta_{1,\alpha}(a_{(2,\alpha)}) \\ &= \sum_{(a)} \chi_\alpha(a_{(1,1)})a_{(2,\alpha)(1,1)} \otimes a_{(2,\alpha)(2,\alpha)} \\ &= \sum_{(a)} \chi_\alpha(a_{(1,1)})a_{(2,1)} \otimes a_{(3,\alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(a)} \Delta_{\alpha,1}(\chi_\alpha(a_{(1,1)})a_{(2,\alpha)}) &= \sum_{(a)} Ad_{r_\alpha}(a_{(1,\alpha)}) \otimes \sigma_1(a_{(2,1)}) \\ &= \sum_{(a)} Ad_{r_\alpha}(a_{(1,\alpha)}) \otimes \chi_1(a_{(2,1)(1,1)})a_{(2,1)(2,1)} \\ &= \sum_{(a)} Ad_{r_\alpha}(a_{(1,\alpha)}) \otimes \chi_1(a_{(2,1)})a_{(3,1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{(a)} \Delta_{\alpha,1}(\chi_{\alpha}(a_{(1,1)})a_{(2,\alpha)}) &= \sum_{(a)} \chi_{\alpha}(a_{(1,1)})\Delta_{\alpha,1}(a_{(2,\alpha)}) \\
&= \sum_{(a)} \chi_{\alpha}(a_{(1,1)})a_{(2,\alpha)(1,\alpha)} \otimes a_{(2,\alpha)(2,1)} \\
&= \sum_{(a)} \chi_{\alpha}(a_{(1,1)})a_{(2,\alpha)} \otimes a_{(3,1)},
\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\sum_{(a)} Ad_{r_{\alpha}}(a_{(1,\alpha)}) \otimes \chi_1(a_{(2,1)})a_{(3,1)} = \sum_{(a)} \chi_{\alpha}(a_{(1,1)})a_{(2,\alpha)} \otimes a_{(3,1)}.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{(a)} Ad_{r_{\alpha}}(a_{(1,\alpha)})\chi_1(a_{(2,1)})a_{(3,1)}S_1(a_{(4,1)}) &= \sum_{(a)} \chi_{\alpha}(a_{(1,1)})a_{(2,\alpha)}a_{(3,1)}S_1(a_{(4,1)}), \\
\sum_{(a)} Ad_{r_{\alpha}}(a_{(1,\alpha)})\chi_1(a_{(2,1)}) &= \sum_{(a)} \chi_{\alpha}(a_{(1,1)})a_{(2,\alpha)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.10). We have proved that conditions (3.9)-(3.11) are necessary conditions of the comultiplication.

On the other hand, if conditions (3.9)-(3.11) hold, then for any $a \in A_{\alpha\beta}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(a)) &= \sum_{(a)} \chi(a_{(1,1)})\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(a_{(2,\alpha\beta)}) \\
&= \sum_{(a)} \chi(a_{(1,1)})a_{(2,\alpha\beta)(1,\alpha)} \otimes a_{(2,\alpha\beta)(2,\beta)} \\
&= \sum_{(a)} \chi(a_{(1,1)})a_{(2,\alpha)} \otimes a_{(3,\beta)} \\
&= \sum_{(a)} \sigma_{\alpha}(a_{(1,\alpha)}) \otimes a_{(2,\beta)}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(a)) &= \sum_{(a)} \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(Ad_{r_{\alpha\beta}}(a_{(1,\alpha\beta)})\chi(a_{(2,1)})) \\
&= \sum_{(a)} Ad_{r_{\alpha}}(a_{(1,\alpha\beta)(1,\alpha)}) \otimes Ad_{r_{\beta}}(a_{(1,\alpha\beta)(2,\beta)})\chi(a_{(2,1)}) \\
&= \sum_{(a)} Ad_{r_{\alpha}}(a_{(1,\alpha)}) \otimes Ad_{r_{\beta}}(a_{(2,\beta)})\chi(a_{(3,1)}) \\
&= \sum_{(a)} Ad_{r_{\alpha}}(a_{(1,\alpha)}) \otimes \sigma_{\beta}(a_{(2,\beta)}).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the relations (3.14) and (3.15) hold and the comultiplication $\Delta|_A$ can be extended to a homomorphism $\Delta : R \rightarrow R \otimes R$. Since $(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \otimes id_{A_\gamma})\Delta_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}(a) = (id_{A_\alpha} \otimes \Delta_{\beta,\gamma})\Delta_{\alpha,\beta\gamma}(a)$ and since $(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \otimes id)\Delta_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}(y_{\alpha\beta\gamma}) = (id \otimes \Delta_{\beta,\gamma})\Delta_{\alpha,\beta\gamma}(y_{\alpha\beta\gamma})$ for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi$ and $a \in A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$. The mapping $\Delta : R \rightarrow R \otimes R$ is a comultiplication.

Step 2. Counit. For this part, from [6] we have known that, as R_1 admits a comultiplication, there exists a counit extending $\varepsilon|_{A_1}$ and satisfying $\varepsilon(y_1)=0$. It follows that ε admits an extension to R if and only if

$$\varepsilon(\delta_1(a)) = 0,$$

for any $a \in A_1$.

Step 3. Antipode. Let R be as in Step 1. Recall that $S = \{S_\alpha : A_\alpha \rightarrow A_{\alpha^{-1}}\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ with S_α being an antiautomorphism and

$$\Delta_{\beta^{-1},\alpha^{-1}}S_{\alpha\beta} = \tau_{H_{\alpha^{-1}},H_{\beta^{-1}}}(S_\alpha \otimes S_\beta)\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi$. If R admits an antipode S which can be extended (as an antiautomorphism) from A to R by means of (3.8), then S preserves (3.12). This means that for any $a \in A_\alpha$

$$(3.16) \quad S_\alpha(a)S_\alpha(y_\alpha) = S_\alpha(y_\alpha)S_\alpha\sigma_\alpha(a) + S_\alpha\delta_\alpha(a).$$

On the other hand, if relation (3.16) holds, then S can be extended as an antiautomorphism from A to R by means of (3.8). Using the expression $b = \sum c_i y_\alpha^i$ of the arbitrary element $b \in R_\alpha$, one can readily see that the mappings $S = \{S_\alpha: R_\alpha \rightarrow R_{\alpha^{-1}}\}$ defines above is an antipode of R .

Hence the existence of an antipode of R satisfying (3.8) is equivalent to (3.16). It follows from (3.8) that for any $a \in A_\alpha$

$$\begin{aligned} -S_\alpha(a)(r_{\alpha^{-1}})^{-1}y_{\alpha^{-1}} &= -(r_{\alpha^{-1}})^{-1}y_{\alpha^{-1}}S_\alpha(\sigma_\alpha(a)) + S_\alpha\delta_\alpha(a), \\ -S_\alpha(a)(r_{\alpha^{-1}})^{-1}y_{\alpha^{-1}} &= -(r_{\alpha^{-1}})^{-1}\sigma_{\alpha^{-1}}(S_\alpha(\sigma_\alpha(a)))y_{\alpha^{-1}} \\ &\quad - (r_{\alpha^{-1}})^{-1}\delta_{\alpha^{-1}}(S_\alpha(\sigma_\alpha(a))) + S_\alpha\delta_\alpha(a). \end{aligned}$$

Condition (3.16) holds if and only if the following two conditions hold:

$$(3.17) \quad S_\alpha(a)(r_{\alpha^{-1}})^{-1} = (r_{\alpha^{-1}})^{-1}\sigma_{\alpha^{-1}}(S_\alpha(\sigma_\alpha(a))),$$

$$(3.18) \quad (r_{\alpha^{-1}})S_\alpha\delta_\alpha(a) = \delta_{\alpha^{-1}}(S_\alpha(\sigma_\alpha(a))).$$

Let us prove (3.17). We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_{\alpha^{-1}}(S_\alpha(\sigma_\alpha(a))) \\ &= \sum_{(a)} \sigma_{\alpha^{-1}}(S_\alpha(\chi(a_{(1,1)})a_{(2,\alpha)})) \\ &= \sum_{(a)} \chi(a_{(1,1)})\sigma_{\alpha^{-1}}(S_\alpha(a_{(2,\alpha)})) \\ &= \sum_{(a)} \chi(a_{(1,1)})Ad_{(r_{\alpha^{-1}})^{-1}}((S_\alpha(a_{(2,\alpha)}))_{(1,\alpha^{-1})})\chi((S_\alpha(a_{(2,\alpha)}))_{(2,1)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{(a)} \chi(a_{(1,1)}) Ad_{(r_{\alpha-1})^{-1}}(S_{\alpha}(a_{(2,\alpha)(2,\alpha)}) \chi((S_1(a_{(2,\alpha)(1,1)}))) \\
&= \sum_{(a)} \chi(a_{(1,1)}) Ad_{(r_{\alpha-1})^{-1}}(S_{\alpha}(a_{(3,\alpha)}) \chi((S_1(a_{(2,1)}))) \\
&= \sum_{(a)} \chi(\varepsilon(a_{(1,1)})) Ad_{(r_{\alpha-1})^{-1}}(S_{\alpha}(a_{(2,\alpha)})) \\
&= Ad_{(r_{\alpha-1})^{-1}}(S_{\alpha}(a)).
\end{aligned}$$

Our next objective is to prove (3.18). It follows from (3.9) that we present (3.18) in an equivalent form,

$$(3.19) \quad (r_{\alpha-1})S_{\alpha}\delta_{\alpha}(a) = \sum_{(a)} \chi(a_{(1,1)})\delta_{\alpha-1}(S_{\alpha}(a_{(2,\alpha)})).$$

We denote $L_{\alpha} = (r_{\alpha-1})S_{\alpha}\delta_{\alpha}(a)$ and $M_{\alpha} = \sum_{(a)} \chi(a_{(1,1)})\delta_{\alpha-1}(S_{\alpha}(a_{(2,\alpha)}))$.

From (3.11) we have

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\alpha-1}(\delta_1(a)) = \sum_{(a)} \delta_{\alpha}(a_{(1,\alpha)}) \otimes a_{(2,\alpha-1)} + r_{\alpha}a_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes \delta_{\alpha-1}(a_{(2,\alpha-1)}),$$

and we apply $m(id \otimes S_{\alpha-1})$ to the above equality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&m(id \otimes S_{\alpha-1})(\Delta_{\alpha,\alpha-1}(\delta_1(a))) \\
&= m(id \otimes S_{\alpha-1})(\sum_{(a)} \delta_{\alpha}(a_{(1,\alpha)}) \otimes a_{(2,\alpha-1)} + r_{\alpha}a_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes \delta_{\alpha-1}(a_{(2,\alpha-1)})), \\
0 &= \varepsilon(\delta_1(a))1_{\alpha} \\
&= \sum_{(a)} \delta_{\alpha}(a_{(1,\alpha)})S_{\alpha-1}(a_{(2,\alpha-1)}) + r_{\alpha}a_{(1,\alpha)}S_{\alpha-1}(\delta_{\alpha-1}(a_{(2,\alpha-1)})), \\
&\quad - (r_{\alpha})^{-1} \sum_{(a)} \delta_{\alpha}(a_{(1,\alpha)})S_{\alpha-1}(a_{(2,\alpha-1)}) = \sum_{(a)} a_{(1,\alpha)}S_{\alpha-1}(\delta_{\alpha-1}(a_{(2,\alpha-1)})).
\end{aligned}$$

Then for any $a \in A_{\alpha-1}$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.20) \quad L_{\alpha-1} &= r_{\alpha}S_{\alpha-1}\delta_{\alpha-1}(a) = \sum_{(a)} r_{\alpha}\varepsilon(a_{(1,1)})S_{\alpha-1}\delta_{\alpha-1}(a_{(2,\alpha-1)}) \\
&= \sum_{(a)} r_{\alpha}S_{\alpha-1}(a_{(1,\alpha-1)})a_{(2,\alpha)}S_{\alpha-1}\delta_{\alpha-1}(a_{(3,\alpha-1)}) \\
&= \sum_{(a)} -r_{\alpha}S_{\alpha-1}(a_{(1,\alpha-1)})(r_{\alpha})^{-1}\delta_{\alpha}(a_{(2,\alpha)})S_{\alpha-1}(a_{(3,\alpha-1)}) \\
&= \sum_{(a)} -Ad_{r_{\alpha}}(S_{\alpha-1}(a_{(1,\alpha-1)}))\delta_{\alpha}(a_{(2,\alpha)})S_{\alpha-1}(a_{(3,\alpha-1)}).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any $a \in A_1$, we have $\varepsilon(a)1_\alpha = \sum_{(a)} a_{(1,\alpha)}S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})})$. The action by δ_α on both sides gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \sum_{(a)} \delta_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha)})S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})}) + \sigma_\alpha(a_{(1,\alpha)})\delta_\alpha S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})}), \\
 (3.21) \quad M_{\alpha^{-1}} &= \sum_{(a)} \chi(a_{(1,1)})\delta_\alpha(S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})})) \\
 &= \sum_{(a)} \chi(a_{(1,1)})\varepsilon(a_{(2,1)})\delta_\alpha(S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(3,\alpha^{-1})})) \\
 &= \sum_{(a)} \chi(a_{(1,1)})\sigma_\alpha(S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})})a_{(3,\alpha)})\delta_\alpha(S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(4,\alpha^{-1})})) \\
 &= \sum_{(a)} \chi(a_{(1,1)})\sigma_\alpha(S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})}))\sigma_\alpha(a_{(3,\alpha)})\delta_\alpha(S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(4,\alpha^{-1})})) \\
 &= - \sum_{(a)} \chi(a_{(1,1)})\sigma_\alpha(S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})}))\delta_\alpha(a_{(3,\alpha)})S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(4,\alpha^{-1})}) \\
 &= - \sum_{(a)} \chi(a_{(1,1)})Ad_{r_\alpha}(S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})})_{(1,\alpha)})\chi(S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})})_{(2,1)})\delta_\alpha(a_{(3,\alpha)})S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(4,\alpha^{-1})}) \\
 &= - \sum_{(a)} \chi(a_{(1,1)})Ad_{r_\alpha}(S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(3,\alpha^{-1})}))\chi(S_1(a_{(2,1)}))\delta_\alpha(a_{(4,\alpha)})S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(5,\alpha^{-1})}) \\
 &= - \sum_{(a)} \chi(\varepsilon(a_{(1,1)})1)Ad_{r_\alpha}(S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(2,\alpha^{-1})}))\delta_\alpha(a_{(3,\alpha)})S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(4,\alpha^{-1})}) \\
 &= - \sum_{(a)} Ad_{r_\alpha}(S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(1,\alpha^{-1})}))\delta_\alpha(a_{(2,\alpha)})S_{\alpha^{-1}}(a_{(3,\alpha^{-1})}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (3.20) and (3.21) we conclude that $L_\alpha = M_\alpha$. This proves both relation (3.19) and the existence of an antipode. \square

Here we give an example from [12], and ours is a little different, where the condition crossing is not necessarily needed.

Example 3.6. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_{ij}), \beta = (\beta_{ij}) \in GL_n(k)$, let $B_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ be the algebra generalized by symbols g, x_1, \dots, x_n satisfying the following relations: for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$,

$$g^2 = 1, \quad x_i^2 = 0, \quad gx_i = -x_i g, \quad x_i x_j = -x_j x_i.$$

The family of algebras $\mathbb{D}_n = \{B_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}\}_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \varsigma(GL_n(k))}$ has a structure of $\varsigma(GL_n(k))$ -coalgebra given, for any $\alpha = (\alpha_{ij}), \beta = (\beta_{ij}), \lambda = (\lambda_{ij}), \gamma = (\gamma_{ij}) \in \varsigma(GL_n(k))$, and $i \leq i \leq n$, by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_{(\alpha,\beta),(\lambda,\gamma)}(g) &= g \otimes g, \\
 \Delta_{(\alpha,\beta),(\lambda,\gamma)}(x_i) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{\gamma}_{k,i} x_k \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \sum_{k=1, i=1, p=1}^n \gamma_{k,i} \tilde{\alpha}_{i,p} \tilde{\gamma}_{p,k} x_k,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\varepsilon(g) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(x_i) = 0,$$

$$S_{(\alpha,\beta)}(g) = g, \quad S_{(\alpha,\beta)}(x_i) = \sum_{k=1, p=1}^n \tilde{\beta}_{k,j} \tilde{\alpha}_{j,i} g x_k,$$

where $(\tilde{\alpha}_{i,j}) = \alpha^{-1}$ for any $\alpha \in GL_n(k)$.

Now we will add n indeterminates y_1, \dots, y_n by Ore extensions. Firstly, define $\sigma_1, \delta_1 : B_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} \rightarrow B_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ by

$$\sigma_1(g) = -g, \quad \sigma_1(x_i) = x_i$$

and

$$\delta_1(g) = 0, \quad \delta_1(x_i) = (\alpha_{1j} - \beta_{1j})g.$$

It is easy to check that σ_1 is an endomorphism of $B_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ and δ_1 is a σ_1 -derivation. Thus we get the Ore extension $B_{n,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)} = B_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}[y_1; \sigma_1, \delta_1]$. Define

$$\Delta_{(\alpha,\beta),(\lambda,\gamma)}(y_1) = y_1 \otimes 1 + g \otimes y_1,$$

then $B_{n,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}[y_1; \sigma_1, \delta_1]$ is the Hopf group coalgebra Ore extension of B_n .

Then we define $\sigma_2, \delta_2 : B_{n,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \rightarrow B_{n,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ by

$$\sigma_2(g) = -g, \quad \sigma_2(x_i) = x_i, \quad \sigma_2(y_1) = -y_1$$

and

$$\delta_2(g) = 0, \quad \delta_2(x_i) = (\alpha_{2j} - \beta_{2j})g, \quad \delta_2(y_1) = 0.$$

It is easy to check that σ_1 is an endomorphism of $B_{n,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ and δ_2 is a σ_2 -derivation. Thus we get the Ore extension $B_{n,2}^{(\alpha,\beta)} = B_{n,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}[y_1; \sigma_1, \delta_1]$. When we define

$$\Delta_{(\alpha,\beta),(\lambda,\gamma)}(y_2) = y_2 \otimes 1 + g \otimes y_2,$$

$B_{n,2}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is also an Hopf group coalgebra Ore extension of $B_{n,1}$.

We continue the process by n times, then we will add n indeterminates and get the Hopf group coalgebra $A_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ as in the example in [12].

4. Isomorphism

In this section, we study the relations of two Hopf group coalgebra Ore extensions. First we need to give the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 ([1, Lemma 1.1]). *Let A be a algebra, $A[y; \sigma, \delta]$ an Ore extension of A and $i : A \rightarrow A[y; \sigma, \delta]$ the inclusion morphism. Then for any algebra B , any algebra morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ and every element $b \in B$ such that $bf(a) =$*

$f(\delta(a)) + f(\sigma(a))b$ for any $a \in A$, there exists a unique algebra morphism $\bar{f} : A[y; \sigma, \delta] \rightarrow B$ such that $\bar{f}(y) = b$ and the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \downarrow i & \nearrow \bar{f} & \\ A[y; \sigma, \delta] & & \end{array}$$

Similarly, we can generalize this lemma to the cases of π -graded algebras:

Lemma 4.2. Let $A = \{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ be a π -graded algebra, $R = \{R_\alpha = A_\alpha[y_\alpha; \sigma_\alpha, \delta_\alpha]\}$ the Ore extension of A , and $i : A \rightarrow R$ the inclusion morphism, where $i = \{i_\alpha : A_\alpha \rightarrow A_\alpha[y_\alpha; \sigma_\alpha, \delta_\alpha]\}$. For any π -graded algebra $B = \{B_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$, $f : A \rightarrow B$ algebra morphism, where $f = \{f_\alpha : A_\alpha \rightarrow B_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ and f_α is an algebra morphism. Then for any element $b = \{b_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi} \in B$, if for any $\alpha \in \pi$, $b_\alpha f_\alpha(a_\alpha) = f_\alpha(\delta_\alpha(a_\alpha)) + f_\alpha(\sigma_\alpha(a_\alpha))b_\alpha$ for any $a = \{a_\alpha\} \in A$, then there exists a unique algebra morphism $\bar{f} = \{\bar{f}_\alpha\} : R \rightarrow B$ such that $\bar{f}_\alpha(y_\alpha) = b_\alpha$ and the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_\alpha & \xrightarrow{f_\alpha} & B \\ \downarrow i_\alpha & \nearrow \bar{f}_\alpha & \\ A_\alpha[y_\alpha; \sigma_\alpha, \delta_\alpha] & & \end{array}$$

Proof. The proof is simple. By Lemma 4.1 we can get the result directly. \square

Definition 4.3. Let $A = \{A_\alpha\}$ and $A' = \{A'_\alpha\}$ be two Hopf group coalgebras, we call $f : A \rightarrow A'$, where $f = \{f_\alpha\}$ and $f_\alpha : A_\alpha \rightarrow A'_\alpha$, the morphism of Hopf group coalgebra if for any $\alpha \in \pi$, f_α is algebra morphism, $\Delta'_{\alpha,\beta} f_{\alpha\beta} = (f_\alpha \otimes f_\beta) \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $S'_\alpha f_\alpha = f_{\alpha^{-1}} S_\alpha$, where Δ, Δ', S, S' are the comultiplications and antipodes of A and A' respectively.

Obviously we can see that this definition generalizes the notion of morphisms of Hopf algebra, and when $\pi = 1$, it is the usual Hopf algebra morphism.

In order to simplify the notation and study the isomorphism of Hopf group coalgebra-Ore extensions, we introduce the following definitions.

Definition 4.4. Let $A = \{A_\alpha\}$ be a Hopf group coalgebra. Denote $r = \{r_\alpha\}$, $\sigma = \{\sigma_\alpha\}$. A family of mappings $\delta = \{\delta_\alpha\}$ satisfying (3.11) is called a r -coderivation. If δ is also a σ -derivation where σ is an algebra morphism satisfying (3.9) and (3.10), then δ is called a $\langle \chi, r \rangle$ -derivation.

Notation. Denote the Hopf group coalgebra-Ore extension $R = \{R_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ by $R = \{R_\alpha = A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, \delta_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$, where $\chi : A_1 \rightarrow k$ is a character, $r = \{r_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ is a family of group-like element of A and δ is a $\langle \chi, r \rangle$ -derivation.

Now we define an isomorphism of Hopf group coalgebra-Ore extensions.

Definition 4.5. Two Hopf group coalgebra-Ore extensions

$$R = \{R_\alpha = A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, \delta_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \pi} \text{ and } R' = \{R'_\alpha = A'_\alpha(\chi, r'_\alpha, \delta'_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$$

of Hopf group coalgebras A and A' are said to be isomorphism if there is an isomorphism of Hopf group coalgebras $\phi : R \rightarrow R'$ such that $\phi(A) = A'$.

Remark. Actually the isomorphism of Hopf group coalgebras ϕ is a family of isomorphism of algebras $\{\phi_\alpha : A_\alpha \rightarrow A'_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ and satisfies the conditions in Definition 4.3.

Definition 4.6. A $\langle \chi, r \rangle$ -derivation $\delta = \{\delta_\alpha\}$ is inner, where $r = \{r_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ if there is a family of elements $\{d_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi} \in A$ such that for all $a \in A_\alpha$, $\delta_\alpha(a) = \sigma_\alpha(a)d_\alpha - d_\alpha a$ and $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(d_{\alpha\beta}) = d_\alpha \otimes 1 + r_\alpha \otimes d_\beta$.

Lemma 4.7. *If δ is a $\langle \chi, r \rangle$ -derivation, then we have*

$$\varepsilon(d_1) = 0,$$

and

$$S_\alpha(d_\alpha) = -(r_{\alpha^{-1}})^{-1}d_{\alpha^{-1}}.$$

Proof. By Definition 4.6, $\Delta_{1,1}(d_1) = d_1 \otimes 1 + r_1 \otimes d_1$, then we have $d_1 = \varepsilon(d_1)1 + \varepsilon(r_1)d_1$. So by $\varepsilon(r_1) = 1$ we get $\varepsilon(d_1) = 0$. And $\Delta_{\alpha,\alpha^{-1}}(d_1) = d_\alpha \otimes 1 + r_\alpha \otimes d_{\alpha^{-1}}$, so $0 = \varepsilon(d_1)1_{\alpha^{-1}} = S_\alpha(d_\alpha) + S_\alpha(r_\alpha)d_{\alpha^{-1}} = S_\alpha(d_\alpha) + (r_{\alpha^{-1}})^{-1}d_{\alpha^{-1}}$, then we get $S_\alpha(d_\alpha) = -(r_{\alpha^{-1}})^{-1}d_{\alpha^{-1}}$ for any $\alpha \in \pi$. \square

Using the above lemma, we prove the following consequence for an inner $\langle \chi, r \rangle$ -derivation.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $R = \{R_\alpha = A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, \delta_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ be a Hopf group coalgebra-Ore extension of A . If $\delta = \{\delta_\alpha\}$ is an inner $\langle \chi, r \rangle$ -derivation, then $R = \{R_\alpha = A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, \delta_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ is isomorphism to the Hopf group coalgebra-Ore extension $R = \{R_\alpha = A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, 0)\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$.*

Proof. Denote the indeterminates of $\{A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, \delta_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ and $\{A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, 0)\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ by $y = \{y_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ and $y' = \{y'_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$. Firstly we uniquely extend the inclusion morphism $i = \{i_\alpha\} : A \rightarrow \{A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, 0)\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ to the algebra morphism $\{A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, \delta_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \pi} \rightarrow \{A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, 0)\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ by extending $i_\alpha : A_\alpha \rightarrow A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, 0)$ for any $\alpha \in \pi$ which is denoted by \bar{i} and \bar{i}_α such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_\alpha & \xrightarrow{i_\alpha} & A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, 0) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{i}_\alpha & \\ A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, \delta_\alpha) & & \end{array}$$

To see this, take $\{y'_\alpha - d_\alpha\} \in \{A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, 0)\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ and define $\bar{i}_\alpha(y_\alpha) = y'_\alpha - d_\alpha$. Because $\delta = \{\delta_\alpha\}$ is inner, we have for all $a \in A_\alpha$

$$\begin{aligned} i_\alpha \sigma_\alpha(a) \bar{i}_\alpha(y_\alpha) + i_\alpha \delta_\alpha(a) &= \sigma_\alpha(a)(y'_\alpha - d_\alpha) + \delta_\alpha(a) \\ &= \sigma_\alpha(a)y'_\alpha - \sigma_\alpha(a)d_\alpha + \delta_\alpha(a) \\ &= \sigma_\alpha(a)y'_\alpha - \sigma_\alpha(a)d_\alpha + \sigma_\alpha(a)d_\alpha - d_\alpha a \\ &= \sigma_\alpha(a)y'_\alpha - d_\alpha a \\ &= (y'_\alpha - d_\alpha)a \\ &= (y'_\alpha - d_\alpha)i_\alpha(a). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 4.2, we complete the extension.

Similarly, the inclusion morphism $j : A \rightarrow \{A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, \delta_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ can be uniquely extended to the algebra morphism $\bar{j} : \{A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, 0)\}_{\alpha \in \pi} \rightarrow \{A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, \delta_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$. By the uniqueness of extension, we get that \bar{i} is an algebra morphism and \bar{j} is its inverse.

It is easy to verify that $\bar{i}_\alpha(A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, \delta_\alpha)) \subseteq A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, 0)$, and so we have constructed an algebra isomorphism from $\{A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, \delta_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ to $\{A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, 0)\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ satisfying $\bar{i}(A) = A$.

By the definitions of an inner $\langle \chi, r \rangle$ -derivation and a Hopf group coalgebra-Ore extension, we have the calculation

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{i}_\alpha \otimes \bar{i}_\beta) \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}(y_{\alpha\beta}) &= (\bar{i}_\alpha \otimes \bar{i}_\beta)(y_\alpha \otimes 1 + r_\alpha \otimes y_\beta) \\ &= \bar{i}_\alpha(y_\alpha) \otimes 1 + r_\alpha \otimes \bar{i}_\beta(y_\beta) \\ &= (y'_\alpha - d_\alpha) \otimes 1 + r_\alpha \otimes (y'_\beta - d_\beta) \\ &= y'_\alpha \otimes 1 + r_\alpha \otimes y'_\beta - d_\alpha \otimes 1 - r_\alpha \otimes d_\beta \\ &= y'_\alpha \otimes 1 + r_\alpha \otimes y'_\beta - \Delta_{\alpha, \beta} d_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= \Delta'_{\alpha, \beta}(y'_{\alpha\beta}) - \Delta'_{\alpha, \beta}(d_{\alpha\beta}) \\ &= \Delta'_{\alpha, \beta}(y'_{\alpha\beta} - d_{\alpha\beta}) \\ &= \Delta'_{\alpha, \beta} \bar{i}_{\alpha\beta}(y_{\alpha\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{i}_\alpha S_\alpha(y_\alpha) &= \bar{i}_\alpha(-(r_{\alpha^{-1}})^{-1} y_{\alpha^{-1}}) \\ &= -(r_{\alpha^{-1}})^{-1} (y'_{\alpha^{-1}} - d_{\alpha^{-1}}) \\ &= -(r_{\alpha^{-1}})^{-1} y'_{\alpha^{-1}} + (r_{\alpha^{-1}})^{-1} d_{\alpha^{-1}} \\ &= -(r_{\alpha^{-1}})^{-1} y'_{\alpha^{-1}} - S_\alpha(d_\alpha) \\ &= S'_\alpha(y'_\alpha) - S'_\alpha(d_\alpha) \\ &= S'_\alpha(y'_\alpha - d_\alpha) \\ &= S'_\alpha \bar{i}_\alpha(y_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we proves the proposition. \square

Now we can introduce and prove the main result in this section.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $R = \{A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, \delta_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ and $R' = \{A'_\alpha(\chi', r'_\alpha, \delta'_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ be two Hopf group coalgebra-Ore extensions. If there exists a isomorphism of Hopf group coalgebra $\phi : R \rightarrow R'$ such that $\chi' = \chi\phi^{-1}$, $r' = \phi(r)$ and $\delta' = \phi\delta\phi^{-1} + \delta''$, where $r = \{r_\alpha\}$, $r' = \{r'_\alpha\}$, $\delta = \{\delta_\alpha\}$, $\delta' = \{\delta'_\alpha\}$ and δ'' is an inner $\langle \chi', r' \rangle$ -derivation of A' , then R is isomorphism to R' as a Hopf group coalgebra-Ore extension.*

Proof. Denote the indeterminates of $\{A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, \delta_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ and $\{A'_\alpha(\chi', r'_\alpha, \delta'_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ by $y = \{y_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$ and $y' = \{y'_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \pi}$. We will show that there exist extensions of ϕ and ϕ^{-1} , which are denoted by $\bar{\phi}$ and $\bar{\phi}^{-1}$, such that the following diagrams are commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\phi_\alpha} & A'_\alpha & \longrightarrow & A'_\alpha(\chi', r'_\alpha, \delta'_\alpha) \\
 \downarrow & & \nearrow \bar{\phi}_\alpha & & \\
 A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, \delta_\alpha) & & & & \\
 \\
 A'_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\phi_\alpha^{-1}} & A_\alpha & \longrightarrow & A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, \delta_\alpha) \\
 \downarrow & & \nearrow \bar{\phi}_\alpha^{-1} & & \\
 A'_\alpha(\chi', r'_\alpha, \delta'_\alpha) & & & &
 \end{array}$$

To prove this, we first have to show $\sigma'_\alpha \phi_\alpha = \phi_\alpha \sigma_\alpha$, $\delta'_\alpha \phi_\alpha = \phi_\alpha \delta_\alpha$, $\sigma_\alpha \phi^{-1} = \phi^{-1} \sigma'_\alpha$ and $\delta_\alpha \phi^{-1} = \phi^{-1} \delta'_\alpha$. In fact, by the assumption, for all $a \in A_\alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma'_\alpha \phi_\alpha(a) &= \sum_{(a)} \chi'(\phi_\alpha(a)_{(1,1)}) \phi_\alpha(a)_{(2,\alpha)} = \sum_{(a)} \chi'(\phi_\alpha(a_{(1,1)})) \phi_\alpha(a_{(2,\alpha)}) \\
 &= \sum_{(a)} \chi(\phi_\alpha^{-1} \phi_\alpha(a_{(1,1)})) \phi_\alpha(a_{(2,\alpha)}) = \sum_{(a)} \chi(a_{(1,1)}) \phi_\alpha(a_{(2,\alpha)}) \\
 &= \sum_{(a)} \phi_\alpha(\chi(a_{(1,1)}) \phi_\alpha(a_{(2,\alpha)})) = \phi_\alpha \sigma_\alpha(a).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $\delta'_\alpha \phi_\alpha = \phi_\alpha \delta_\alpha$. We can prove the other two equations directly by $\delta'_\alpha = \phi_\alpha \delta_\alpha \phi_\alpha^{-1}$.

Then we have

$$y'_\alpha \phi_\alpha(a) = \sigma'_\alpha(\phi_\alpha(a)) y'_\alpha + \delta'_\alpha(\phi_\alpha(a)) = \phi_\alpha \sigma_\alpha(a) y'_\alpha + \phi_\alpha \delta_\alpha(a)$$

for all $a \in A_\alpha$ and

$$y_\alpha \phi_\alpha^{-1}(a') = \sigma_\alpha(\phi_\alpha^{-1}(a')) y_\alpha + \delta_\alpha(\phi_\alpha^{-1}(a')) = (\phi_\alpha^{-1} \sigma'_\alpha(a')) y_\alpha + \phi_\alpha^{-1} \delta'_\alpha(a')$$

for all $a' \in A'_\alpha$. So $\bar{\phi}$ and $\bar{\phi}^{-1}$ are extensions of ϕ and ϕ^{-1} , respectively, such that $\bar{\phi}_\alpha(y_\alpha) = y'_\alpha$ and $\bar{\phi}_\alpha^{-1}(y'_\alpha) = y_\alpha$. And by the uniqueness of the extensions, we obtain that $\bar{\phi}^{-1} \circ \bar{\phi} = id$ and $\bar{\phi} \circ \bar{\phi}^{-1} = id$.

Using the assumption $r' = \phi(r)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\phi}_\alpha \otimes \bar{\phi}_\beta)\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(y_{\alpha\beta}) &= (\bar{\phi}_\alpha \otimes \bar{\phi}_\beta)(y_\alpha \otimes 1 + r_\alpha \otimes y_\beta) \\ &= y'_\alpha \otimes 1 + \phi_\alpha(r_\alpha) \otimes y'_\beta \\ &= y'_\alpha \otimes 1 + r'_\alpha \otimes y'_\beta \\ &= \Delta'_{\alpha,\beta}(y'_{\alpha\beta}) \\ &= \Delta'_{\alpha,\beta}\bar{\phi}_\beta(y_{\alpha\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}\phi_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(y'_{\alpha\beta}) = (\phi_\alpha^{-1} \otimes \phi_\beta^{-1})\Delta'_{\alpha,\beta}(y'_{\alpha\beta})$. And

$$\begin{aligned} S'_\alpha\bar{\phi}_\alpha(y_\alpha) &= S'_\alpha(y'_\alpha) = -(r'_{\alpha-1})^{-1}y'_{\alpha-1} \\ &= \bar{\phi}_\alpha(-(r_{\alpha-1})^{-1})y'_{\alpha-1} \\ &= \bar{\phi}_\alpha(-(r_{\alpha-1})^{-1}y_{\alpha-1}) \\ &= \bar{\phi}_\alpha S_\alpha(y_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $\phi_\alpha^{-1}S'_\alpha(y'_\alpha) = S_\alpha\phi_\alpha^{-1}(y'_\alpha)$.

Finally, it is easily see that

$$\bar{\phi}_\alpha(A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, \delta_\alpha)) \subseteq A'_\alpha(\chi', r'_\alpha, \delta'_\alpha)$$

and

$$\phi_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(A'_\alpha(\chi', r'_\alpha, \delta'_\alpha)) \subseteq \bar{\phi}_\alpha(A_\alpha(\chi, r_\alpha, \delta_\alpha)).$$

So we conclude that R is isomorphism to R' as a Hopf group coalgebra-Ore extension. \square

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11261063 and 11171183), the Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (No. ZR2011AM013).

References

- [1] M. Beattie, S. Dascalescu, and L. Grunenfelder, *Constructing pointed Hopf algebras by Ore extensions*, J. Algebra **225** (2000), no. 2, 743–770.
- [2] M. Beattie, S. Dascalescu, L. Grunenfelder, and C. Nastasescu, *Fitness conditions, co-Frobenius Hopf algebras and quantum group*, J. Algebra **200** (1998), no. 1, 312–333.
- [3] A. S. Hegazi, W. Morsi, and M. Mansour, *Differential calculus on Hopf group coalgebras*, Note Mat. **29** (2009), no. 1, 1–40.
- [4] S. Montgomery, *Hopf algebras and their actions on rings*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, **82**, Providence, RI, 1993.
- [5] A. Nenciu, *Quasitriangular structures for a class of pointed Hopf algebras constructed by Ore extensions*, Comm. Algebra **29** (2001), no. 8, 3419–3432.
- [6] A. N. Panov, *Ore extensions of Hopf algebras*, Math. Notes **74** (2003), no. 3-4, 401–410.
- [7] M. E. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebras*, Math. Lecture Notes Ser., Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [8] V. G. Turaev, *Homotopy field theory in dimension 3 and crossed group-categories*, Preprint GT/0005291,(2000).
- [9] ———, *Crossed group-categories*, Arab. J. Sci. Eng. Sect. C Theme Issues **33** (2008), no. 2, 483–503.

- [10] A. Van Daele, *Multiplier Hopf algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **342** (1994), no. 2, 917–932.
- [11] A. Virelizier, *Hopf group coalgebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **171** (2002), no. 1, 75–122.
- [12] S. H. Wang, *Turaev group coalgebras and twisted Drinfeld double*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **58** (2009), no. 3, 1395–1417.
- [13] L. Zhao and D. Lu, *Ore Extension of Multiplier Hopf algebras*, Comm. Algebra **40** (2012), no. 1, 248–272.
- [14] M. Zunino, *Double construction for crossed Hopf coalgebra*, J. Algebra. **278** (2004), no. 1, 43–75.
- [15] _____, *Yetter-Drinfeld modules for crossed structures*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **193** (2004), no. 1-3, 313–343.

DINGGUO WANG
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
QUFU NORMAL UNIVERSITY
QUFU, SHANDONG 273165, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: dingguo95@126.com, dgwang@mail.qfnu.edu.cn

DAOWEI LU
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
QUFU NORMAL UNIVERSITY
QUFU, SHANDONG 273165, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: ludaowei620@sina.com