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#### Abstract

The nucleophilic substitution reactions of Y-aryl methyl (8) and Y-aryl propyl (10) chlorothiophosphates with X-pyridines are studied kinetically in acetonitrile at $35.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The Hammett and Brönsted plots with X in the nucleophiles for both substrates exhibit biphasic concave upwards with a break region between $\mathrm{X}=3$-Me and H . The obtained values of the cross-interaction constants ( $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}$ ) are negative with $\mathbf{8}$ while positive with $\mathbf{1 0}$ despite the same free energy correlations with X for both substrates. A stepwise mechanism with a rate-limiting bond formation is proposed with $\mathbf{8}$, whereas a stepwise mechanism with a rate-limiting leaving group departure from the intermediate is proposed with $\mathbf{1 0}$ based on the sign of $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}$, negative and positive with $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$, respectively. A frontside nucleophilic attack is proposed with strongly basic pyridines based on the considerably great magnitudes of $\rho_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\beta_{\mathrm{X}}$ values while a backside attack is proposed with weakly basic pyridines based on the relatively small magnitudes of $\rho_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\beta_{\mathrm{X}}$ for both substrates.
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## Introduction

It is generally known that the nonlinear free energy correlation of a concave upward plot is diagnostic of a change in the reaction mechanism, such as parallel reactions where the reaction path is changed depending on the substituents, while nonlinear free energy correlation of a concave downward plot is diagnostic of a rate-limiting step change from bond breaking with weakly basic nucleophiles to bond formation with strongly basic nucleophiles. ${ }^{1}$ The authors reported the pyridinolyses of the chlorothiophosphates $\left[\left(\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Cl}\right.$-type $]$ : dimethyl $\left[1: \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Me}\right] ;{ }^{2 \mathrm{a}}$ methyl ethyl [2: $\left.\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Et}\right] ;{ }^{2 \mathrm{~b}}$ diethyl $\left[\mathbf{3}: \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\right.$ Et]; ${ }^{2 \mathrm{a}}$ ethyl propyl [4: $\left.\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\operatorname{Pr}\right] ;{ }^{2 \mathrm{~b}}$ dipropyl [5: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=$ $\left.\mathrm{R}_{2}=\operatorname{Pr}\right]$; ${ }^{\text {2c }}$ dibutyl [6: $\left.\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Bu}\right]$; ${ }^{\text {2d }}$ diisopropyl [7: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=$ $\mathrm{R}_{2}=i$-Pr]; ${ }^{2 \mathrm{e}} \mathrm{Y}$-aryl ethyl [9: $\left.\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{YC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right] ;{ }^{2 \mathrm{f}}$ and $\mathrm{Y}-$ aryl phenyl [11: $\left.\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{YC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right]^{2 g}$ chlorothiophosphates. The biphasic concave upward free energy correlations for substituent X variations in the X -pyridines were observed for all the pyridinolyses of the chlorothiophosphates. These are rationalized by a change in the attacking direction of the nucleophiles from backside with weakly basic pyridines to frontside attack with strongly basic pyridines, based on the greater magnitudes of $\rho_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\beta_{\mathrm{X}}$ values with strongly basic pyridines compared to those with weakly basic pyridines. To further our understanding of the thiophosphoryl transfer, as well as to obtain the information on the biphasic concave upward free energy correlations with X , here the nucleophilic substitution reactions of Y-aryl methyl (8) and Y-aryl propyl (10) chlorothiophosphates with X -pyridines have been carried out in acetonitrile ( MeCN ) at $35.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Scheme 1). The number of substrates follows the summation of the Taft steric constants of $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2} .^{3}$


Scheme 1. Pyridinolyses of Y-aryl methyl (8) and Y-aryl propyl (10) chlorothiophosphates in MeCN at $35.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Results and Discussion

Tables 1-3 list the second-order rate constants $\left(k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, $\rho_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\beta_{\mathrm{X}}$ with X , and $\rho_{\mathrm{Y}}$ with Y , respectively. The substituent X and Y effects in the nucleophiles and substrates, respectively, on the rates are in line with those for a typical nucleophilic substitution reaction with partial positive charge development at the nucleophilic N atom and with partial negative charge development at the reaction center P atom in the transition state (TS). The rates with 8 are somewhat faster than those with 10. The Brönsted (Figs. 1 and 2 with $\mathbf{8}$ and 10, respectively) and Hammett (Figs. S1 and S2 with 8 and $\mathbf{1 0}$, respectively) plots for substituent $X$ variations in the nucleophiles, however, exhibit discrete biphasic concave upwards with a break region between $\mathrm{X}=3-\mathrm{Me}$ and H for both substrates. The magnitudes of the $\rho_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\beta_{\mathrm{X}}$ values with strongly basic pyridines ( $\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{MeO}, 4-\mathrm{Me}, 3-\mathrm{Me}$ ) are 2-3 times greater than those with weakly basic pyridines ( X $=\mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{Ph}, 3-\mathrm{Ac}, 4-\mathrm{Ac}$ ) for both substrates. The Hammett plots (Figs. S3 and S4 with $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$, respectively) with Y in the substrates show linear. The magnitudes of the selectivity parameters ( $\rho_{\mathrm{X}}, \beta_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\rho_{\mathrm{Y}}$ ) of $\mathbf{8}$ are comparable with those

Table 1. Second-Order Rate Constants ( $k_{2} \times 10^{4} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) of the Reactions of Y-Aryl Methyl (8) and Y-Aryl Propyl (10) Chlorothiophosphates with X-Pyridines in MeCN at $35.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| substrate | $\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{Y}$ | $4-\mathrm{MeO}$ | $4-\mathrm{Me}$ | H | $3-\mathrm{MeO}$ | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $4-\mathrm{MeO}$ | $311 \pm 1$ | $354 \pm 1$ | $410 \pm 1$ | $460 \pm 1$ | $625 \pm 1$ |
|  | $4-\mathrm{Me}$ | $121 \pm 1$ | $148 \pm 2$ | $163 \pm 1$ | $189 \pm 1$ | $250 \pm 1$ |
|  | $3-\mathrm{Me}$ | $50.9 \pm 0.3$ | $55.3 \pm 0.2$ | $62.6 \pm 0.1$ | $67.1 \pm 0.4$ | $85.6 \pm 0.3$ |
|  | H | $6.65 \pm 0.02$ | $7.12 \pm 0.01$ | $8.35 \pm 0.01$ | $10.7 \pm 0.1$ | $14.4 \pm 0.1$ |
|  | $3-\mathrm{Ph}$ | $5.52 \pm 0.01$ | $5.84 \pm 0.02$ | $6.78 \pm 0.01$ | $8.37 \pm 0.02$ | $12.2 \pm 0.1$ |
|  | $3-\mathrm{Ac}$ | $1.68 \pm 0.01$ | $1.83 \pm 0.02$ | $1.98 \pm 0.01$ | $2.32 \pm 0.01$ | $3.14 \pm 0.03$ |
|  | $4-\mathrm{Ac}$ | $1.14 \pm 0.01$ | $1.19 \pm 0.03$ | $1.39 \pm 0.01$ | $1.59 \pm 0.03$ | $2.09 \pm 0.01$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $4-\mathrm{MeO}$ | $202 \pm 1$ | $245 \pm 1$ | $300 \pm 1$ | $321 \pm 1$ | $419 \pm 1$ |
|  | $4-\mathrm{Me}$ | $76.6 \pm 0.2$ | $95.2 \pm 0.3$ | $123 \pm 1$ | $139 \pm 1$ | $193 \pm 2$ |
|  | $3-\mathrm{Me}$ | $30.7 \pm 0.2$ | $40.9 \pm 0.1$ | $52.8 \pm 0.1$ | $63.1 \pm 0.4$ | $94.5 \pm 0.2$ |
|  | H | $4.24 \pm 0.01$ | $5.48 \pm 0.02$ | $7.81 \pm 0.01$ | $8.86 \pm 0.1$ | $11.5 \pm 0.1$ |
|  | $3-\mathrm{Ph}$ | $3.06 \pm 0.01$ | $3.95 \pm 0.02$ | $5.93 \pm 0.02$ | $7.26 \pm 0.03$ | $9.32 \pm 0.1$ |
|  | $3-\mathrm{Ac}$ | $0.619 \pm 0.002$ | $0.958 \pm 0.001$ | $1.55 \pm 0.01$ | $1.95 \pm 0.02$ | $2.81 \pm 0.01$ |
|  | $4-\mathrm{Ac}$ | $0.386 \pm 0.001$ | $0.534 \pm 0.003$ | $0.914 \pm 0.001$ | $1.25 \pm 0.01$ | $1.78 \pm 0.01$ |

of $\mathbf{1 0}$. The magnitudes of the $\rho_{\mathrm{X}}$ values invariably decrease (i.e., more negative value) as substituent Y in the substrates becomes more electron-withdrawing with $\mathbf{8}$, whereas those invariably increase (i.e., less negative value) as substituent Y becomes more electron-withdrawing with 10. Meanwhile, the magnitudes of the $\rho_{\mathrm{Y}}$ values invariably decrease as the pyridine becomes less basic for both strongly ( $\rho_{\mathrm{Y}}=0.55$ with $\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{MeO}$ to 0.41 with $3-\mathrm{Me}$ ) and weakly basic pyridines $\left(\rho_{\mathrm{Y}}=0.65\right.$ with $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ to 0.50 with $\left.4-\mathrm{Ac}\right)$ with $\mathbf{8}$, whereas those invariably increase for both strongly ( $\rho_{\mathrm{Y}}=$ 0.58 with $\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{MeO}$ to 0.89 with $3-\mathrm{Me}$ ) and weakly basic pyridines ( $\rho_{\mathrm{Y}}=0.84$ with $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ to 1.32 with $4-\mathrm{Ac}$ ) as the pyridine becomes less basic with $\mathbf{1 0}$. It is worthy of note that the variation trends of $\rho_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\rho_{\mathrm{Y}}$ values with $\mathbf{8}$ are opposite to those with $\mathbf{1 0}$ in spite of the same tendency of biphasic concave upward free energy correlations for both substrates
(vide infra).
The cross-interaction constant ( CIC ; $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}$ ) is defined as Eqs. (1) and (2), where X and Y represent the substituents in the nucleophiles and substrates, respectively. ${ }^{4}$ The $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}$ has a negative value in a stepwise mechanism with a rate-limiting bond formation (and a concerted $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ ). In contrast, it has a positive value for a stepwise mechanism with a rate-limiting leaving group expulsion from the intermediate. The magnitude of $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}$ is inversely proportional to the distance between $X$ and $Y$ through the reaction center.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log \left(k_{\mathrm{XY}} / k_{\mathrm{HH}}\right)=\rho_{\mathrm{X}} \sigma_{\mathrm{X}}+\rho_{\mathrm{Y}} \sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}+\rho_{\mathrm{XY}} \sigma_{\mathrm{X}} \sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}  \tag{1}\\
\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}=\rho_{\mathrm{X}} / \sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}=\rho_{\mathrm{Y}} / \sigma_{\mathrm{X}} \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

The two $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}$ values are obtained because the Hammett plots with X are biphasic for both substrates. Figs. 3 and 4

Table 2. $\rho_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\beta_{\mathrm{X}}$ Values of the Reactions of Y-Aryl Methyl (8) and Y-Aryl Propyl (10) Chlorothiophosphates with X-Pyridines in MeCN at $35.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{a}$

| substrate | X | $\rho_{\mathrm{X}}, \beta_{\mathrm{X}} \backslash \mathrm{Y}$ | $4-\mathrm{MeO}$ | $4-\mathrm{Me}$ | H | $3-\mathrm{MeO}$ | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $4-\mathrm{MeO} \sim 3-\mathrm{Me}$ | $-\rho_{\mathrm{X}}$ | $3.93 \pm 0.01$ | $4.03 \pm 0.02$ | $4.08 \pm 0.01$ | $4.18 \pm 0.03$ | $4.32 \pm 0.03$ |
|  |  | $\beta_{\mathrm{X}}$ | $0.89 \pm 0.01$ | $0.92 \pm 0.02$ | $0.93 \pm 0.01$ | $0.95 \pm 0.03$ | $0.98 \pm 0.03$ |
|  | $\mathrm{H} \sim 4-\mathrm{Ac}$ | $-\rho_{\mathrm{X}}$ | $1.55 \pm 0.01$ | $1.56 \pm 0.01$ | $1.59 \pm 0.02$ | $1.68 \pm 0.02$ | $1.73 \pm 0.02$ |
|  |  | $\beta_{\mathrm{X}}$ | $0.29 \pm 0.01$ | $0.29 \pm 0.01$ | $0.29 \pm 0.01$ | $0.31 \pm 0.01$ | $0.32 \pm 0.02$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $4-\mathrm{MeO} \sim 3-\mathrm{Me}$ | $-\rho_{\mathrm{X}}$ | $4.09 \pm 0.01$ | $3.89 \pm 0.02$ | $3.77 \pm 0.01$ | $3.53 \pm 0.01$ | $3.23 \pm 0.01$ |
|  |  | $\beta_{\mathrm{X}}$ | $0.93 \pm 0.01$ | $0.88 \pm 0.02$ | $0.86 \pm 0.01$ | $0.80 \pm 0.01$ | $0.74 \pm 0.01$ |
|  | $\mathrm{H} \sim 4-\mathrm{Ac}$ | $-\rho_{\mathrm{X}}$ | $2.10 \pm 0.03$ | $1.98 \pm 0.02$ | $1.85 \pm 0.01$ | $1.73 \pm 0.01$ | $1.62 \pm 0.01$ |
|  |  | $\beta_{\mathrm{X}}$ | $0.39 \pm 0.01$ | $0.36 \pm 0.02$ | $0.34 \pm 0.01$ | $0.32 \pm 0.01$ | $0.30 \pm 0.01$ |

${ }^{a}$ Correlation coefficients (r) are better than 0.999 for both $\rho_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\beta_{\mathrm{X}}$.

Table 3. $\rho_{\mathrm{Y}}$ Values of the Reactions of Y-Aryl Methyl (8) and Y-Aryl Propyl (10) Chlorothiophosphates with X-Pyridines in MeCN at 35.0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{a}$

| substrate | $\rho_{\mathrm{Y}} \backslash \mathrm{X}$ | $4-\mathrm{MeO}$ | 4-Me | $3-\mathrm{Me}$ | H | 3-Ph | 3-Ac | 4-Ac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $\rho_{\mathrm{Y}}$ | $0.55 \pm 0.03$ | $0.56 \pm 0.03$ | $0.41 \pm 0.03$ | $0.65 \pm 0.04$ | $0.65 \pm 0.05$ | $0.49 \pm 0.04$ | $0.50 \pm 0.03$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\rho_{\mathrm{Y}}$ | $0.58 \pm 0.02$ | $0.75 \pm 0.03$ | $0.89 \pm 0.04$ | $0.84 \pm 0.02$ | $0.97 \pm 0.01$ | $1.26 \pm 0.03$ | $1.32 \pm 0.01$ |

${ }^{a_{\mathrm{r}} \geq 0.960 \text { for } \rho_{\mathrm{Y}} .}$


Figure 1. Brönsted plots with X of the reactions of Y -aryl methyl chlorothiophosphates (8) with X-pyridines in MeCN at $35.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 2. Brönsted plots with $X$ of the reactions of Y-aryl propyl chlorothiophosphates ( $\mathbf{1 0}$ ) with X -pyridines in MeCN at $35.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
show the plots of $\rho_{\mathrm{X}} v s \sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}$ and $\rho_{\mathrm{Y}} v s \sigma_{\mathrm{X}}$ to determine the $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}$ values, according to Eq. (2). The signs of $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}$ are negative with $\mathbf{8}$, while positive with $\mathbf{1 0}$ for both strongly and weakly basic pyridines (vide supra). Accordingly, the authors propose the reaction mechanism as follows: (i) In 8 , a stepwise process with a rate-limiting bond formation (or a concerted process) for both strongly and weakly basic pyridines based on the negative signs of $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}$; (ii) In 10, a stepwise process with a rate-limiting leaving group departure from the intermediate for both strongly and weakly basic pyridines based on the positive signs of $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}$. These indicate that: (i) the nonlinear free energy correlation of a concave upward plot is not always diagnostic of a change in the reaction mechanism; (ii) it is sometimes dangerous to clarify the mechanism based on the type of the free energy correlation; (iii) it is sometimes dangerous to suggest the mechanism based on the magnitudes of the selectivity parameters; ${ }^{5}$ and finally (iv) the CIC is one of the strong tools to substantiate the reaction mechanism.

The magnitudes of the CICs with strongly basic pyridines ( $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}=-0.71$ and 1.59 with $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$, respectively) are greater than those with weakly basic pyridines ( $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}=-0.36$


Figure 3. Plots of $\rho_{\mathrm{X}}$ vs $\sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}$ and $\rho_{\mathrm{Y}}$ vs $\sigma_{\mathrm{X}}$ of the reactions of Y -aryl methyl chlorothiophosphates (8) with X-pyridines in MeCN at $35.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The obtained $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}$ values by multiple regression are: (a) $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}=-0.71 \pm 0.03(\mathrm{r}=0.995)$ with strongly basic pyridines $(\mathrm{X}=$ $4-\mathrm{MeO}, 4-\mathrm{Me}, 3-\mathrm{Me})$; (b) $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}=-0.36 \pm 0.04(\mathrm{r}=0.991)$ with weakly basic pyridines ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{Ph}, 3-\mathrm{Ac}, 4-\mathrm{Ac}$ ).
and 0.94 with $\mathbf{8}$ and 10, respectively) for both substrates, indicating that the interaction between X and Y with strongly basic pyridines is larger than that with weakly basic pyridines in the TS for both substrates. The magnitudes of the CICs with $\mathbf{1 0}$ are larger than those with $\mathbf{8}$ for both strongly and weakly basic pyridines, indicating that the interaction between X an Y in $\mathbf{1 0}$ is greater than that with $\mathbf{8}$ in the TS. These suggest that the distance between X and Y in $\mathbf{1 0}$ is shorter than that in $\mathbf{8}$. In other words, the distance between the reaction center P atom and Y in $\mathbf{1 0}$ is shorter than that in $\mathbf{8}$, taking into account the comparable magnitudes of $\beta_{\mathrm{X}}$ values for both $\mathbf{8}$ and 10. ${ }^{6}$ Regarding the greater magnitudes of the CICs and $\beta_{\mathrm{X}}$ values with strongly basic pyridines than those with weakly basic pyridines are substantiated by a frontside (equatorial) attack TSf and backside (apical) attack TSb , respectively (Scheme 2). It is well known that a weakly basic group has a greater apicophilicity so that apical approach is favored for such nucleophiles. ${ }^{7}$ The apical nucleophilic attack should lead to a looser $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}$ bond in the TBP5C structure because the apical bonds are longer than the equatorial bonds, and hence a smaller magnitude of $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}$ as


Figure 4. Plots of $\rho_{\mathrm{X}} v s \sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}$ and $\rho_{\mathrm{Y}} v s \sigma_{\mathrm{X}}$ of the reactions of Y-aryl propyl chlorothiophosphates (10) with X-pyridines in MeCN at $35.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The obtained $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}$ values by multiple regression are: (a) $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}=1.59 \pm 0.03(\mathrm{r}=0.995)$ with strongly basic pyridines $(\mathrm{X}=4$ $\mathrm{MeO}, 4-\mathrm{Me}, 3-\mathrm{Me})$; (b) $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}=0.94 \pm 0.02(\mathrm{r}=0.998)$ with weakly basic pyridines ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{Ph}, 3-\mathrm{Ac}, 4-\mathrm{Ac}$ ).
well as $\beta_{\mathrm{X}}$ is obtained.
Table 4 summarizes the second-order rate constants with unsubstituted pyridine at $35.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, natural bond order (NBO) charges at the reaction center P atom in the gas phase [B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level of theory], ${ }^{9}$ summations of the


TSb


TSf

Scheme 2. Backside attack TSb and frontside attack TSf ( $\mathrm{R}=$ MeO or PrO ). ${ }^{8}$
steric constants [ $\left.\Sigma E_{\mathrm{S}}=E_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)+E_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)\right]$ of the two ligands, ${ }^{3,10}$ $\beta_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}$ for the pyridinolyses of 1-11 in MeCN. The substituent effects of X and Y on the pyridinolyses of chlorothiophosphates are really significant. As reported earlier, the pyridinolysis rates of the chlorothiophophates do not have linear correlations with NBO charges at the reaction center P atom in the substrates (or inductive effects of the two ligands) and also with the summations of steric constants (or the steric effects) of the two ligands. ${ }^{11}$

Activation parameters, enthalpies and entropies of activation, for the pyridinolyses (with $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ ) of $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ are summarized in Table 5. The enthalpies of activation are relatively small ( $7-8 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) and entropies of activation are relatively large negative values ( -45 to $-49 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} K^{-1}$ ). The small value of activation enthalpy and large negative value of activation entropy are typical for the aminolyses (pyridinolyses or anilinolyses) of $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{S}$ (and $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ ) systems regardless of the mechanism, concerted, stepwise with a rate-limiting bond making or stepwise with a rate-limiting bond breaking. Activation parameters for the pyridinolyses (with $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ ) of 1-11 are summarized in Table R1. ${ }^{12}$

## Experimental Section

Materials. Y-aryl methyl and propyl chlorothiophosphates ( $\mathrm{Y}=4-\mathrm{MeO}, 4-\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{MeO}, 4-\mathrm{Cl}$ ) were prepared by the following two steps. In step 1, Y-aryl dichlorothiophosphates were prepared by reacting thiophosphoryl chloride with substituted phenol for 3 hr in the presence of triethylamine in methylene chloride on cooling bath at $-10.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with con-

Table 4. Summary of the Second-Order Rate Constants $\left(k_{2} \times 10^{3} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ at $35.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, NBO Charges at the Reaction Center P Atom, Summations of the Steric Constants and Selectivity Parameters ( $\beta_{\mathrm{X}}$ and $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}$ ) for the Reactions of 1-11 with X-Pyridines in MeCN

| no | $\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $k_{2} \times 10^{3 a}$ | charge at P | $-\Sigma E_{\mathrm{S}}$ | $\beta_{\mathrm{X}}^{e}$ | $\rho_{\mathrm{XY}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | MeO | MeO | $1.54^{c}$ | 1.687 | 0 | $1.09 / 0.20$ | - |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | MeO | EtO | 0.620 | 1.693 | 0.07 | $1.50 / 0.43$ | - |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | EtO | EtO | $1.19^{c}$ | 1.701 | 0.14 | $1.02 / 0.29$ | - |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | EtO | PrO | 0.609 | 1.700 | 0.43 | $1.44 / 0.36$ | - |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | PrO | PrO | 1.16 | 1.702 | 0.72 | $1.08 / 0.31$ | - |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | BuO | BuO | 1.01 | 1.703 | 0.78 | $1.26 / 0.31$ | - |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $i-\mathrm{PrO}$ | $i-\mathrm{PrO}$ | 0.460 | 1.723 | 0.94 | $0.99 / 0.15$ | - |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | MeO | $\mathrm{YC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ | $0.835^{b}$ | 1.686 | 2.48 | $0.89-0.98 / 0.29-0.32$ | $-0.71 /-0.36^{e}$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | EtO | $\mathrm{YC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ | $0.137^{b, d}$ | 1.687 | 2.55 | $2.31-2.33 / 0.45-0.47$ | $0 / 0 / 0 / 0^{f}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | PrO | $\mathrm{YC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ | $0.781^{b}$ | 1.687 | 2.84 | $0.74-0.93 / 0.30-0.39$ | $1.59 / 0.94^{e}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | PhO | $\mathrm{YC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ | $0.333^{b}$ | 1.661 | 4.96 | $1.36-1.50 / 0.23-0.48$ | $2.42 / 5.14 /-1.02 /-0.04{ }^{f}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Value with $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ at $35.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{b}$ Value with $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H}$. ${ }^{c}$ Extrapolated value. ${ }^{d}$ Empirical kinetic data. ${ }^{e}$ Strongly/weakly basic pyridines. ${ }^{f}$ Strong nucleophiles and weak electrophiles/weak nucleophiles and weak electrophiles/strong nucleophiles and strong electrophiles/weak nucleophiles and strong electrophiles.

Table 5. Activation parameters for the reactions of Y-aryl methyl (8) and Y-aryl propyl (10) chlorothiophosphates with $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ in MeCN

| substrate | Y | $t /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} \times 10^{4} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta H^{\ddagger} / \mathrm{kcal} \\ \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -\Delta S^{\ddagger} / \mathrm{cal} \\ \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 4-MeO | 35.0 | $6.65 \pm 0.02$ | $8.0 \pm 0.3$ | $47 \pm 1$ |
|  |  | 45.0 | $10.6 \pm 0.1$ |  |  |
|  |  | 55.0 | $15.7 \pm 0.1$ |  |  |
|  | 4-Me | 35.0 | $7.12 \pm 0.01$ | $8.2 \pm 0.4$ | $46 \pm 1$ |
|  |  | 45.0 | $11.6 \pm 0.1$ |  |  |
|  |  | 55.0 | $17.1 \pm 0.1$ |  |  |
|  | H | 35.0 | $8.35 \pm 0.01$ | $8.3 \pm 0.1$ | $46 \pm 1$ |
|  |  | 45.0 | $13.3 \pm 0.1$ |  |  |
|  |  | 55.0 | $20.4 \pm 0.1$ |  |  |
|  | $3-\mathrm{MeO}$ | 35.0 | $10.7 \pm 0.1$ | $8.3 \pm 0.1$ | $45 \pm 1$ |
|  |  | 45.0 | $16.8 \pm 0.2$ |  |  |
|  |  | 55.0 | $26.0 \pm 0.3$ |  |  |
|  | 4-Cl | 35.0 | $14.4 \pm 0.1$ | $8.0 \pm 0.1$ | $46 \pm 1$ |
|  |  | 45.0 | $22.3 \pm 0.1$ |  |  |
|  |  | 55.0 | $34.1 \pm 0.1$ |  |  |
| 10 | 4-MeO | 35.0 | $4.24 \pm 0.01$ | $7.9 \pm 0.4$ | $48 \pm 1$ |
|  |  | 45.0 | $6.80 \pm 0.01$ |  |  |
|  |  | 55.0 | $9.91 \pm 0.02$ |  |  |
|  | 4-Me | 35.0 | $5.48 \pm 0.02$ | $7.8 \pm 0.2$ | $48 \pm 1$ |
|  |  | 45.0 | $8.62 \pm 0.01$ |  |  |
|  |  | 55.0 | $12.7 \pm 0.3$ |  |  |
|  | H | 35.0 | $7.81 \pm 0.01$ | $7.8 \pm 0.3$ | $47 \pm 1$ |
|  |  | 45.0 | $12.4 \pm 0.1$ |  |  |
|  |  | 55.0 | $18.1 \pm 0.1$ |  |  |
|  | $3-\mathrm{MeO}$ | 35.0 | $8.86 \pm 0.01$ | $7.5 \pm 0.1$ | $48 \pm 1$ |
|  |  | 45.0 | $13.4 \pm 0.1$ |  |  |
|  |  | 55.0 | $19.8 \pm 0.1$ |  |  |
|  | 4-Cl | 35.0 | $11.5 \pm 0.1$ | $7.1 \pm 0.4$ | $49 \pm 1$ |
|  |  | 45.0 | $16.7 \pm 0.3$ |  |  |
|  |  | 55.0 | $24.8 \pm 0.2$ |  |  |

stant stirring. Triethylamine hydrochloride was separated by filtration. The filtrate was treated with water- $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and ether for work up after removal of solvent under reduced pressure. Ether extracted organic part was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ for 6-8 h . The product mixture was isolated by filtration and finally separated through column chromatography (silica gel, ethyl acetate $/ n$-hexane) and dried under reduced pressure using oil diffusion pump. In step 2, Y-aryl methyl and propyl chlorothiophophates were synthesized by reacting Y-aryl dichlorothiophosphates with methanol and propanol, respectively, for 3-4 h on cooling bath at $-10.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with constant stirring. The substrates were isolated in the similar way described in step 1 and were identified by TLC, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and GC-MS. The physical constants after column chromatography (silicagel/ethylacetate $+n$-hexane) were as follows (see Supporting Information):
$\left(\mathbf{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathbf{O C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathbf{P}(=\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Cl}$. Liquid; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and TMS) $\delta 3.80$ (aliphatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 3.97-4.02 (aliphatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 6.87-6.90 (aromatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$ ), 7.17-7.19 (aromatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ and TMS) $\delta$ 22.11, 55.60-56.02, 114.65-157.62; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 162 MHz ,
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and TMS) $\delta 72.26$ (PS, 1P, d, $J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); GC-MS (EI, $m / z$ ) $252\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.
$\left(\mathbf{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{O}\right) \mathbf{P}(=\mathbf{S}) \mathbf{C l}$. Liquid; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and TMS) $\delta$ 2.35-2.36 (aliphatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 3.984.02 (aliphatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 7.15-7.19 (aromatic, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and TMS) $\delta 20.83-56.00,120.81-$ $162.76 ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and TMS) $\delta 71.73$ (PS, $1 \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); GC-MS (EI, $m / z$ ) 236(M+).
$\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{O}\right)\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{O}\right) \mathbf{P}(=\mathbf{S}) \mathbf{C l}$. Liquid; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and TMS) $\delta$ 3.99-4.03 (aliphatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 7.25-7.27 (aromatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 7.37-7.39 (aromatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and TMS) $\delta 56.00,121.12-150.24 ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-$ NMR ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and TMS) $\delta 71.19$ (PS, 1P, d, $J=$ $16.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$; GC-MS (EI, $m / z$ ) 222(M ${ }^{+}$).
$\left(\mathbf{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathbf{O C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathbf{P}(=\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Cl}$. Liquid; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and TMS) $\delta 3.81$ (aliphatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 3.98-4.02 (aliphatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 6.80-6.87 (aromatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 7.25-7.27 (aromatic, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ and TMS) $\delta$ 55.74-56.27, 107.54-160.82; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ and TMS) $\delta 70.91$ (PS, 1P, d, $J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); GC-MS (EI, $m / z$ ) $252\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.
$\left(\mathbf{4}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{4} \mathbf{O}\right)\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathbf{O}\right) \mathbf{P}(=\mathbf{S}) \mathbf{C l}$. Liquid; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR} \quad(400$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-d_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.00-4.01$ (aliphatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 7.28-7.30 (aromatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$ ), 7.44-7.46 (aromatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-d_{3}$ ) $\delta 55.80,118.38-149.87 ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-d_{3}$ ) $\delta 76.74$ (PS, 1P, d, $J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); GCMS (EI, $m / z$ ) 256(M ${ }^{+}$).
$\left(\mathbf{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathbf{C}_{3} \mathbf{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathbf{P}(=\mathbf{S}) \mathrm{Cl}$. Liquid; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and TMS) $\delta$ 1.01-1.05 (aliphatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ ), 1.811.84 (aliphatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 3.80 (aliphatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 4.21-4.29 (aliphatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ ), 6.87-6.89 (aromatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$ ), 7.17-7.20 (aromatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ and TMS) $\delta$ 10.1, 23.2, 55.6, 72.2, 114.6, 122.1, 143.8, 157.5; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and TMS) $\delta 70.7$ (1P, PS); GC-MS (EI, $m / z) 280\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.
$\left(\mathbf{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathbf{C}_{3} \mathbf{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathbf{P}(=\mathbf{S}) \mathrm{Cl}$. Liquid; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and TMS) $\delta 0.98-1.05$ (aliphatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ ), 1.811.85 (aliphatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 2.35 (aliphatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 4.26-4.32 (aliphatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ ), 7.15-7.17 (aromatic, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ and TMS) $\delta 10.0,20.8,23.2,72.1,120.8$, 130.1, 135.9, 148.1; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and TMS) $\delta 69.9$ (1P, PS); GC-MS (EI, $m / z$ ) $264\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.
$\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{5} \mathbf{O}\right)\left(\mathbf{C}_{3} \mathbf{H}_{7} \mathbf{O}\right) \mathbf{P}(=\mathbf{S}) \mathbf{C l}$. Liquid; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and TMS) $\delta 1.01-1.05$ (aliphatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ ), 1.82-1.84 (aliphatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 4.26-4.35 (aliphatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ ), 7.25-7.28 (aromatic, 2H, d), 7.36-7.39 (aromatic, 2H, d); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and TMS) $\delta 10.2,23.4,72.4,121.5,126.4$, 129.9, 157.0; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and TMS) $\delta 69.4$ (1P, PS); GC-MS (EI, $m / z$ ) $250\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.
$\left(\mathbf{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathbf{C}_{3} \mathbf{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathbf{P}(=\mathbf{S}) \mathrm{Cl}$. Liquid; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and TMS) $\delta 0.98-1.05$ (aliphatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ ), 1.801.84 (aliphatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 3.79-3.81 (aliphatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 4.274.32 (aliphatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ ), 6.80-6.88 (aromatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 7.257.28 (aromatic, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ and TMS) $\delta 10.3,23.4,55.7,72.5,107.6,112.2,113.5,130.2$, 148.8, 174.6; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and TMS) $\delta 69.1$ (1P, PS); GC-MS (EI, $m / z$ ) $280\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.
$\left(\mathbf{4}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathbf{C}_{3} \mathbf{H}_{7} \mathbf{O}\right) \mathbf{P}(=\mathbf{S}) \mathbf{C l}$. Liquid; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and TMS) $\delta 1.01-1.05$ (aliphatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ ), 1.81-1.85 (aliphatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 4.28-4.32 (aliphatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ ), 7.20-7.23 (aromatic, 2H, d), 7.34-7.37 (aromatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ and TMS) $\delta 10.0,23.2,72.4,122.7$, 129.8, 131.8, 148.7; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and TMS) $\delta 69.4$ (1P, PS); GC-MS (EI, $m / z$ ) 285 (M ${ }^{+}$).
Kinetic Procedure. The second-order rate constants and selectivity parameters were obtained as previously described. ${ }^{1}$ Initial concentrations were as follows; [substrate] $=5 \times 10^{-3}$ M and $\left[\mathrm{XC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]=(0.10-0.30) \mathrm{M}$ for both substrates.

Product Analysis. Phenyl methyl and 4-methoxyphenyl propyl chlorothiophosphates were reacted with excess pyridine, respectively, for more than 15 half-lives in MeCN at $35.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product was isolated by adding ether and insoluble fraction was collected. The product was purified to remove excess pyridine by washing several times with ether and MeCN. The product was isolated through column chromatography ( $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/n-hexane) and then dried under reduced pressure. Analytical and spectroscopic data of the products gave the following results (see Supporting Information):
$\left[(\mathbf{M e O})(\mathbf{P h O}) \mathbf{P}(=\mathbf{S}) \mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathbf{H}_{5}\right]^{+} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. White gummy solid; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.36$ (aliphatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 7.817.85 (aromatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ ), 7.97-8.05 (aromatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ ), 8.32-8.38 (aromatic, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ ), 8.42-8.46 (aromatic, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ ), 8.71-8.73 (aromatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$ ), 8.83-8.85 (aromatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-d_{3}$ ) $\delta 49.3,121.6,121.7,122.3,122.4$, 124.6, 127.6, 129.0, 130.0, 143.8, 146.3, 146.6; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-d_{3}$ ) $\delta 51.1$ (1P, s, $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{S}$ ); LC-MS for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2} \mathrm{PS}(\mathrm{EI}, m / z), 301\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.
$\left[\left(4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{PrO}\right) \mathbf{P}(=\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]^{+} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. Colorless liquid; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-d_{3}\right) \delta$ 0.90-0.94 (aliphatic, 3 H , t), 1.10-1.13 (aliphatic, 2H, m), 3.7-0-3.74 (aliphatic, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 4.53-4.57 (aliphatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ ), 6.63-7.14 (aromatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ ), 7.61-7.71 (aromatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ ), 8.01-8.10 (aromatic, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ ), 8.15-8.20 (aromatic, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeCN}-d_{3}\right.$ ) $\delta 10.7,25.5,56.2,64.0,115.1,115.5,116.9,118.4,122.6$, 123.3, 126.9, 129.4, 143.1, 145.6, 147.0; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 162 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{MeCN}-d_{3}\right) \delta 5.49$ (1P, s, P=S); LC-MS for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{ClNO}_{3} \mathrm{PS}$ $(\mathrm{EI}, m / z), 359\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.
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12. Table R1. Activation Parameters for the Reactions of 1-11 with $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ in MeCN

| substrate | $\Delta H^{\ddagger} / \mathrm{kcal}^{2}$ <br> $\mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ | $-\Delta S^{\ddagger} / \mathrm{cal}$ <br> $\mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | ref. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 :}(\mathrm{MeO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Cl}$ | 7.1 | 48 | 2 a |
| 2: $(\mathrm{MeO})(\mathrm{EtO}) \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Cl}$ | 7.8 | 48 | 2 b |
| 3: $(\mathrm{EtO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Cl}$ | 6.0 | 53 | 2 a |
| 4: $(\mathrm{EtO})(\mathrm{PrO}) \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Cl}$ | 5.9 | 54 | 2 b |
| 5: $(\mathrm{PrO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Cl}$ | 4.7 | 57 | 2 c |
| 6: $(\mathrm{BuO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Cl}$ | 9.3 | 42 | 2 d |
| 7: $(i-\mathrm{PrO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Cl}$ | 15.2 | 25 | 2 e |
| 8: $(\mathrm{MeO})\left(\mathrm{YC} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Cl}$ | $8.3^{a}$ | $46^{a}$ | this work |
| 9: $(\mathrm{EtO})\left(\mathrm{YC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Cl}$ | $5.9^{a}$ | $57^{a}$ | 2 f |
| 10: $(\mathrm{PrO})\left(\mathrm{YC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Cl}$ | $7.8^{a}$ | $47^{a}$ | this work |
| 11: $(\mathrm{PhO})\left(\mathrm{YC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{P}(=\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Cl}$ | $6.4^{a}$ | $53^{a}$ | 2 g |

[^0] large and entropy of activation is relatively small negative value.


[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ Value with $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H} .{ }^{b}$ See ref. 2b; the enthalpy of activation is relatively

