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#### Abstract

A nonlinear elliptic problem involving $p$-Laplacian and nonlinear boundary condition is considered in this paper. By using the method of Nehari manifold, it is proved that the system possesses two nontrivial nonnegative solutions if the parameter is small enough.


## 1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to the study of the following quasilinear elliptic problem with nonlinear boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p} u+m(x)|u|^{p-2} u=\lambda F_{u}(u, v), & x \in \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\ -\Delta_{p} v+n(x)|v|^{p-2} v=\lambda F_{v}(u, v), & x \in \Omega \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=G_{u}(u, v),|\nabla v|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}=G_{v}(u, v), & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $p>1, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and

- $\Delta_{p}$ denotes the $p$-Laplacian operator, defined by $\Delta_{p} z=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla z|^{p-2} \nabla z\right)$;
- $\lambda \in(0,+\infty), m(x), n(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, and there exist positive constants $m_{0}$ and $n_{0}$ such that $m(x) \geq m_{0}$ and $n(x) \geq n_{0}$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$;
- $\nu$ is the unit outer normal to $\partial \Omega$;
- $F, G: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfy:
(H1) $F, G \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) ; F(u, v)=F(|u|,|v|)$ and $G(u, v)=G(|u|,|v|)$; $F(u, v) \not \equiv 0$ and $G(u, v) \not \equiv 0 ;$
(H2) There exist constants $\alpha \in\left(p, p^{*}\right)$ and $\beta \in(1, p)$ such that

$$
F(t u, t v)=t^{\alpha} F(u, v) \text { and } G(t u, t v)=t^{\beta} G(u, v)
$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

[^0]Here $p^{*}$ denotes the Sobolev conjugate exponent of $p$, i.e.,

$$
p^{*}= \begin{cases}\frac{N p}{N-p}, & p<N \\ \infty, & p \geq N\end{cases}
$$

Problem involving the $p$-Laplacian operator appears in pure mathematics such as the theory of quasiregular and quasiconformal mapping [26,39] as well as in applied mathematics. Indeed, it intervenes in numerous fields in experimental sciences: nonlinear reaction-diffusion problems, dynamics of populations, non-Newtonian fluids, flows through porous media, nonlinear elasticity, petroleum extraction, torsional creep problems, etc (see, e.g., [21, 22, 42]). In literature, there exist numerous papers dedicated to the study of such equations and systems. In fact, the study of scalar equations had really started in the middle of 80 s by M. Ôtani [34] in one dimension and then in dimension $N$ by F. de Thélin [19]. Later, the results are generalized to other kinds of equations or systems involving $p$-Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ or bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ (see, e.g., $[1,3,4,5,6,7,8,13,18,20,23,24,27,29,30,33,35]$ and the references therein).

In recent years, the existence of solutions for the semilinear/quasilinear elliptic equations with nonlinear boundary conditions have been widely studied (see, e.g., $[9,12,16,17,28,36,37,41]$ and the references therein). In particular, in [37], the authors studied the multiple solutions of the following systems:
(1.2)

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p} u+m(x)|u|^{p-2} u=\lambda_{1} a(x)|u|^{\gamma-2} u, & x \in \Omega \\ -\Delta_{p} v+m(x)|v|^{p-2} v=\lambda_{2} b(x)|v|^{\gamma-2} v, & x \in \Omega \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^{\alpha-2} u|v|^{\beta},|\nabla v|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}=\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta-2} v, & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, p>2$, is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$, $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}>0$, and $2<\alpha+\beta<p<\gamma<p^{*}$. Motivated by the results of the above works, we are interested in the existence of multiple nontrivial nonnegative solutions for problem (1.1). We remark that problem (1.2) is a special case of (1.1) with

$$
F(u, v)=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda \gamma} a(x)|u|^{\gamma}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda \gamma} b(x)|v|^{\gamma}, G(u, v)=\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta}, \lambda=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} .
$$

The main approach of this paper is the method of Nehari manifold, which was first introduced by Nehari in [31, 32], and the method turned out to be very useful in critical point theory (see, e.g., $[1,2,10,11,14,15,25,37,38,40,41])$ and eventually came to bear his name.

The rest of this work is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some preliminaries including definitions and some lemmas for later use. In Section 3 , the proof of the main result is given.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $W=W^{1, p}(\Omega) \times W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ be a Banach space with norm

$$
\|(u, v)\|=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p}+m(x)|u|^{p}\right) d x+\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla v|^{p}+n(x)|v|^{p}\right) d x\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Definition 2.1. We say that $(u, v) \in W$ is a solution to (1.1) if for any $(\phi, \varphi) \in W$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi+m(x)|u|^{p-2} u \phi\right) d x=\lambda \int_{\Omega} F_{u}(u, v) \phi d x+\int_{\partial \Omega} G_{u}(u, v) \phi d s, \\
& \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \varphi+n(x)|v|^{p-2} v \varphi\right) d x=\lambda \int_{\Omega} F_{v}(u, v) \varphi d x+\int_{\partial \Omega} G_{v}(u, v) \varphi d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the corresponding energy functional to problem (1.1) defined as

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(u, v)=\frac{1}{p}\|(u, v)\|^{p}-\lambda \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x-\int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s, \quad(u, v) \in W
$$

Furthermore the nonnegative solutions of problem (1.1) correspond to the critical points of $\mathcal{J}$. Define $I_{\lambda}: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$
I_{\lambda}(u, v)=\|(u, v)\|^{p}-\lambda \alpha \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x-\beta \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s, \quad(u, v) \in W
$$

It follows from condition (H2) that $F_{u}(u, v) u+F_{v}(u, v) v=\alpha F(u, v)$ and $G_{u}(u, v) u+G_{v}(u, v) v=\beta G(u, v)$ for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently,

$$
I_{\lambda}(u, v)=\left\langle\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u, v),(u, v)\right\rangle \quad \text { for all }(u, v) \in W
$$

Let us denote the Nehari manifold by $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}=\left\{(u, v) \in W \backslash\{(0,0)\}: I_{\lambda}(u, v)=0\right\} .
$$

It is easy to see that $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(u, v)\|^{p}=\lambda \alpha \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x+\beta \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly, for $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u, v),(u, v)\right\rangle & =p\|(u, v)\|^{p}-\lambda \alpha^{2} \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x-\beta^{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s \\
& =-(\alpha-p)\|(u, v)\|^{p}+\beta(\alpha-\beta) \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s  \tag{2.2}\\
& =(p-\beta)\|(u, v)\|^{p}-\lambda \alpha(\alpha-\beta) \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x .
\end{align*}
$$

By (H2), $F$ and $G$ satisfy that, for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(u, v) \leq M\left(|u|^{p}+|v|^{p}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}, G(u, v) \leq M\left(|u|^{p}+|v|^{p}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
M:=\max \left\{\max _{|u|^{p}+|v|^{p}=1} F(u, v), \max _{|u|^{p}+|v|^{p}=1} G(u, v)\right\}>0 .
$$

Since $\alpha \in\left(1, p^{*}\right)$ and $\beta \in(1, p)$, it follows from Sobolev and Sobolev trace inequalities that there exist positive constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ such that (2.4)

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(|u|^{p}+|v|^{p}\right)^{\alpha / p} d x \leq C_{1}\|(u, v)\|^{\alpha}, \int_{\partial \Omega}\left(|u|^{p}+|v|^{p}\right)^{\beta / p} d s \leq C_{2}\|(u, v)\|^{\beta}
$$

for all $(u, v) \in W$. By (2.3) and (2.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x \leq M C_{1}\|(u, v)\|^{\alpha} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s \leq M C_{2}\|(u, v)\|^{\beta} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(u, v) \in W$.
Define

$$
\lambda^{*}:=\left(\frac{p-\beta}{\alpha(\alpha-\beta) M C_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{\alpha-p}{\beta(\alpha-\beta) M C_{2}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha-p}{p-\beta}}
$$

and

$$
\lambda_{*}:=\left(\frac{p-\beta}{\alpha(\alpha-\beta) M C_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{\alpha-p}{p(\alpha-\beta) M C_{2}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha-p}{p-\beta}}
$$

where $M$ is the constant in (2.3) and $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are the constants in (2.4). Note that $0<\lambda_{*}<\lambda^{*}$.

Now we split $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ into three parts:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}=\left\{(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}:\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u, v),(u, v)\right\rangle>0\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0}=\left\{(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}:\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u, v),(u, v)\right\rangle=0\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}=\left\{(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}:\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u, v),(u, v)\right\rangle<0\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and present some properties of $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$.
Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $F$ and $G$ satisfy (H1) and (H2). Then $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0}=\emptyset$ for all $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\lambda$ be a fixed number satisfying $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0} \neq \emptyset$. Then for $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
0=\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u, v),(u, v)\right\rangle & =(p-\beta)\|(u, v)\|^{p}+\lambda \alpha(\beta-\alpha) \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x \\
& =(p-\alpha)\|(u, v)\|^{p}+\beta(\alpha-\beta) \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7),

$$
\left(\frac{p-\beta}{\lambda \alpha(\alpha-\beta) M C_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-p}} \leq\|(u, v)\| \leq\left(\frac{\beta(\alpha-\beta) M C_{2}}{\alpha-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-\beta}}
$$

and consequently

$$
\lambda \geq\left(\frac{p-\beta}{\alpha(\alpha-\beta) M C_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{\alpha-p}{\beta(\alpha-\beta) M C_{2}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha-p}{p-\beta}}=\lambda^{*}
$$

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that $F$ and $G$ satisfy (H1) and (H2), and $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$. Assume that $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ is a local minimizer for $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$. Then $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}$, i.e., $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)=0$.

Proof. Let $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ be a local minimizer for $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ and $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$. Then $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ is a solution of the following optimization problem:

$$
\operatorname{minimize} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(u, v)=0 \text { subject to } I_{\lambda}(u, v)=0
$$

Hence, by the theory of Lagrange multipliers, there exists a $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)=\Lambda I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right),\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right\rangle=\Lambda\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right),\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right\rangle .
$$

Since $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda},\left\langle\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right),\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right\rangle=0$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.2,

$$
\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right),\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right\rangle \neq 0
$$

Hence $\Lambda=0$, and this completes the proof.
Lemma 2.4. $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}$ is coercive and bounded below on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda>0$.
Proof. For $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$, it follows from (2.1) and (2.6) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(u, v) & =\frac{\alpha-p}{p \alpha}\|(u, v)\|^{p}-\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha} \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s  \tag{2.8}\\
& \geq \frac{\alpha-p}{p \alpha}\|(u, v)\|^{p}-\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha} M C_{2}\|(u, v)\|^{\beta}
\end{align*}
$$

which completes the proof since $\beta<p<\alpha$.
By Lemma 2.2, $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}=\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+} \cup \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$for $0<\lambda<\lambda^{*}$, and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{\lambda}^{+}=\inf \left\{\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(u, v):(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}\right\} \\
& \gamma_{\lambda}^{-}=\inf \left\{\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(u, v):(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.5. Suppose that $F$ and $G$ satisfy (H1) and (H2). Then we have
(i) If $\lambda \in(0,+\infty)$, then $\gamma_{\lambda}^{+}<0$;
(ii) If $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{*}\right), \gamma_{\lambda}^{-} \geq d_{0}$ for some constant $d_{0}=d_{0}(\lambda)>0$.

Proof. (i) Let $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$and $\lambda \in(0, \infty)$. By (2.2),

$$
\frac{p-\beta}{\alpha(\alpha-\beta)}\|(u, v)\|^{p}>\lambda \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x
$$

and, by (2.1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(u, v) & =\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\beta}\right)\|(u, v)\|^{p}+\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-1\right) \lambda \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x \\
& <\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\beta}+\frac{p-\beta}{\alpha \beta}\right)\|(u, v)\|^{p} \\
& =\frac{(p-\alpha)(p-\beta)}{p \alpha \beta}\|(u, v)\|^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\beta<p<\alpha, \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(u, v)<0$ for all $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$, and thus $\gamma_{\lambda}^{+}<0$ for all $\lambda \in(0, \infty)$.
(ii) Let $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$and $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{*}\right)$. By (2.2) and (2.5),

$$
(p-\beta)\|(u, v)\|^{p}<\lambda \alpha(\alpha-\beta) \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x \leq \lambda \alpha(\alpha-\beta) M C_{1}\|(u, v)\|^{\alpha}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(u, v)\|>\left(\frac{p-\beta}{\lambda \alpha(\alpha-\beta) M C_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-p}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.8) and (2.9),
$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(u, v)$
$\geq\|(u, v)\|^{\beta}\left[\frac{\alpha-p}{p \alpha}\|(u, v)\|^{p-\beta}-\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha} M C_{2}\right]$
$>\left(\frac{p-\beta}{\lambda \alpha(\alpha-\beta) M C_{1}}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha-p}}\left[\left(\frac{\alpha-p}{p \alpha}\right)\left(\frac{p-\beta}{\lambda \alpha(\alpha-\beta) M C_{1}}\right)^{\frac{p-\beta}{\alpha-p}}-\left(\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha}\right) M C_{2}\right]$.
Thus, for each $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{*}\right)$, there exists a positive constant $d_{0}=d_{0}(\lambda)$ such that

$$
\gamma_{\lambda}^{-} \geq d_{0}
$$

Lemma 2.6. Suppose that $F$ and $G$ satisfy (H1) and (H2). Let $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{*}\right)$ and $(u, v) \in W$. Then we have
(i) If $\int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x>0$, there exists a unique $t_{2}=t_{2}(u, v)$ with

$$
t_{2}>t_{1}^{*}=t_{1}^{*}(u, v):=\left[\frac{(p-\beta)\|(u, v)\|^{p}}{\lambda \alpha(\alpha-\beta) \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x}\right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-p}}>0
$$

such that $\left(t_{2} u, t_{2} v\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$and $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(t_{2} u, t_{2} v\right)=\sup _{t \geq 0} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(t u, t v)$.
(ii) If $\int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s>0$, there exists a unique $t_{3}=t_{3}(u, v)$ with

$$
0<t_{3}<t_{2}^{*}=t_{2}^{*}(u, v):=\left[\frac{\beta(\alpha-\beta) \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s}{(\alpha-p)\|(u, v)\|^{p}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p-\beta}}
$$

such that $\left(t_{3} u, t_{3} v\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$and $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(t_{3} u, t_{3} v\right)=\inf _{0 \leq t \leq t_{2}^{*}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(t u, t v)$.
Proof. (i) Fix $(u, v) \in W$ with $\int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x>0$. Then $(u, v) \neq(0,0)$. Let

$$
a_{(u, v)}(t)=t^{p-\beta}\|(u, v)\|^{p}-\lambda \alpha t^{\alpha-\beta} \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x \quad \text { for } t \geq 0 .
$$

Then $a_{(u, v)}(0)=0$ and $a_{(u, v)}(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. Since

$$
a_{(u, v)}^{\prime}(t)=(p-\beta) t^{p-\beta-1}\|(u, v)\|^{p}-\lambda \alpha(\alpha-\beta) t^{\alpha-\beta-1} \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x
$$

we see that $a_{(u, v)}^{\prime}(t)=0$ for $t=t_{1}^{*}, a_{(u, v)}^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left(0, t_{1}^{*}\right)$ and $a_{(u, v)}^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \in\left(t_{1}^{*},+\infty\right)$. Moreover, by (2.5),

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{(u, v)}\left(t_{1}^{*}\right) & =\left(t_{1}^{*}\right)^{p-\beta}\|(u, v)\|^{p}\left(\frac{\alpha-p}{\alpha-\beta}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{(p-\beta)\|(u, v)\|^{\alpha}}{\lambda \alpha(\alpha-\beta) \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x}\right)^{\frac{p-\beta}{\alpha-p}}\|(u, v)\|^{\beta}\left(\frac{\alpha-p}{\alpha-\beta}\right)  \tag{2.10}\\
& >\left(\frac{p-\beta}{\lambda_{*} \alpha(\alpha-\beta) M C_{1}}\right)^{\frac{p-\beta}{\alpha-p}}\left(\frac{\alpha-p}{\alpha-\beta}\right)\|(u, v)\|^{\beta} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by (2.6),

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \beta \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s \\
& \leq \beta M C_{2}\|(u, v)\|^{\beta} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{\alpha-p}{\alpha-\beta}\right)\left(\frac{p-\beta}{\lambda_{*} \alpha(\alpha-\beta) M C_{1}}\right)^{\frac{p-\beta}{\alpha-p}}\|(u, v)\|^{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

and, by (2.10),

$$
\beta \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s<a_{(u, v)}\left(t_{1}^{*}\right) .
$$

Hence, there are unique $t_{1}=t_{1}(u, v)$ and $t_{2}=t_{2}(u, v)$ such that
$0 \leq t_{1}<t_{1}^{*}<t_{2}, a_{(u, v)}\left(t_{1}\right)=a_{(u, v)}\left(t_{2}\right)=\beta \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s \quad$ and $a_{(u, v)}^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)<0$.
Clearly $\left(t_{2} u, t_{2} v\right) \neq(0,0)$, and $\left(t_{2} u, t_{2} v\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ since

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}\left(t_{2} u, t_{2} v\right) & =t_{2}^{p}\|(u, v)\|^{p}-\lambda \alpha t_{2}^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x-\beta t_{2}^{\beta} \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s \\
& =t_{2}^{\beta}\left(a_{(u, v)}\left(t_{2}\right)-\beta \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (2.2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(t_{2} u, t_{2} v\right),\left(t_{2} u, t_{2} v\right)\right\rangle & =(p-\beta) t_{2}^{p}\|(u, v)\|^{p}-\lambda \alpha(\alpha-\beta) t_{2}^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x \\
& =t_{2}^{\beta+1} a_{(u, v)}^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left(t_{2} u, t_{2} v\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$. Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(t u, t v) & =t^{p-1}\|(u, v)\|^{p}-\lambda \alpha t^{\alpha-1} \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x-\beta t^{\beta-1} \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s \\
& =t^{\beta-1}\left(a_{(u, v)}(t)-\beta \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(t u, t v)=0$ for $t=t_{1}$ and $t=t_{2} ; \frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(t u, t v)<0$ for $t \in\left(0, t_{1}\right) \cup\left(t_{2},+\infty\right) ; \frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(t u, t v)>0$ for $t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$. From Lemma 2.5(ii) and $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(0,0)=0$, it follows that

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(t_{2} u, t_{2} v\right)=\sup _{t \geq 0} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(t u, t v)
$$

(ii) Fix $(u, v) \in W$ with $\int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s>0$. Then $(u, v) \neq(0,0)$. Let

$$
b_{(u, v)}(t)=t^{p-\alpha}\|(u, v)\|^{p}-\beta t^{\beta-\alpha} \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s \quad \text { for } t>0 .
$$

Then $b_{(u, v)}(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$and $b_{(u, v)}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. Since

$$
b_{(u, v)}^{\prime}(t)=(p-\alpha) t^{p-\alpha-1}\|(u, v)\|^{p}-\beta(\beta-\alpha) t^{\beta-\alpha-1} \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s
$$

we see that $b_{(u, v)}^{\prime}(t)=0$ for $t=t_{2}^{*}, b_{(u, v)}^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left(0, t_{2}^{*}\right)$ and $b_{(u, v)}^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \in\left(t_{2}^{*},+\infty\right)$. Moreover, by (2.6),

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{(u, v)}\left(t_{2}^{*}\right) & =\left(t_{2}^{*}\right)^{p-\alpha}\|(u, v)\|^{p}\left(\frac{p-\beta}{\alpha-\beta}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{(\alpha-p)\|(u, v)\|^{\beta}}{\beta(\alpha-\beta) \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s}\right)^{\frac{\alpha-p}{p-\beta}}\|(u, v)\|^{\alpha}\left(\frac{p-\beta}{\alpha-\beta}\right)  \tag{2.11}\\
& \geq\left(\frac{\alpha-p}{\beta(\alpha-\beta) M C_{2}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha-p}{p-\beta}}\|(u, v)\|^{\alpha}\left(\frac{p-\beta}{\alpha-\beta}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by (2.5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \lambda \alpha \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x \\
& <\lambda_{*} \alpha M C_{1}\|(u, v)\|^{\alpha} \\
& \leq\|(u, v)\|^{\alpha}\left(\frac{p-\beta}{\alpha-\beta}\right)\left[\frac{\alpha-p}{\beta M C_{2}(\alpha-\beta)}\right]^{\frac{\alpha-p}{p-\beta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and, by (2.11),

$$
0 \leq \lambda \alpha \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x<b_{(u, v)}\left(t_{2}^{*}\right) \quad \text { for } 0<\lambda<\lambda_{*} .
$$

Hence, there is a unique $t_{3}=t_{3}(u, v) \in\left(0, t_{2}^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
b_{(u, v)}\left(t_{3}\right)=\lambda \alpha \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x \quad \text { and } \quad b_{(u, v)}^{\prime}\left(t_{3}\right)>0
$$

Clearly $\left(t_{3} u, t_{3} v\right) \neq(0,0)$, and $\left(t_{3} u, t_{3} v\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ since

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}\left(t_{3} u, t_{3} v\right) & =t_{3}^{p}\|(u, v)\|^{p}-\lambda \alpha t_{3}^{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x-\beta t_{3}^{\beta} \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s \\
& =t_{3}^{\alpha}\left(b_{(u, v)}(t)-\lambda \alpha \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (2.2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(t_{3} u, t_{3} v\right),\left(t_{3} u, t_{3} v\right)\right\rangle & =(p-\alpha) t_{3}^{p}\|(u, v)\|^{p}-\beta(\beta-\alpha) t_{3}^{\beta} \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s \\
& =t_{3}^{\alpha+1} b_{(u, v)}^{\prime}\left(t_{3}\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left(t_{3} u, t_{3} v\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(t u, t v) & =t^{p-1}\|(u, v)\|^{p}-\lambda \alpha t^{\alpha-1} \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x-\beta t^{\beta-1} \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s \\
& =t^{\alpha-1}\left(b_{(u, v)}(t)-\lambda \alpha \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(t u, t v)=0$ for $t=t_{3} ; \frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(t u, t v)<0$ for $t \in\left(0, t_{3}\right) ; \frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(t u, t v)>0$ for $t \in\left(t_{3}, t_{2}^{*}\right)$. Hence, $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(t_{3} u, t_{3} v\right)=\inf _{0 \leq t \leq t_{2}^{*}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(t u, t v)$.

## 3. Main result

Now we state our main result.
Theorem 3.1. Suppose (H1) and (H2) hold. Then problem (1.1) has at least two nontrivial nonnegative solutions for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{*}\right)$.

The proof of this theorem will be a consequence of the next two propositions.
Proposition 3.2. Suppose (H1) and (H2) hold and $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{*}\right)$. Then the functional $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}$ has a minimizer $\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right)$in $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$, and it satisfies
(i) $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right)=\gamma_{\lambda}^{+}$;
(ii) $\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right)$is a nontrivial nonnegative solution of problem (1.1).

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}$ is coercive and bounded below on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$. By assumption (H1) and Lemma 2.6(ii), $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\}$ be a minimizing sequence for $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$, i.e., $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)=\inf _{(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(u, v)=\gamma_{\lambda}^{+}<0$. Then, by Lemma 2.4 and the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem, there exist a subsequence of $\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\}$, denoted by itself, and $\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right) \in W$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right) \text {weakly in } W \\
& u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}^{+}, v_{n} \rightarrow v_{0}^{+} \text {strongly in } L^{\alpha}(\Omega) \text { and } L^{\beta}(\partial \Omega), \text { respectively. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by (2.3),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) d x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right) d x \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty \\
& \int_{\partial \Omega} G\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) d s \rightarrow \int_{\partial \Omega} G\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right) d s \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

From the facts that

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)=\frac{\alpha-p}{\alpha p}\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|^{p}-\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha} \int_{\partial \Omega} G\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) d s
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow \gamma_{\lambda}^{+}<0 \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty
$$

it follows that

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} G\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right) d s>0 .
$$

In particular, $\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right) \neq(0,0)$. Now, we prove that $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right)$ strongly in $W$. Suppose otherwise, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right)\right\|<\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\| \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)<\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)=\gamma_{\lambda}^{+} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\int_{\partial \Omega} G\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right) d s>0$, by Lemma 2.6(ii), there exists a unique $t_{3}=$ $t_{3}\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right) \in\left(0, t_{2}^{*}\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right)\right)$such that $\left(t_{3} u_{0}^{+}, t_{3} v_{0}^{+}\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$and $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(t_{3} u_{0}^{+}, t_{3} v_{0}^{+}\right)=$ $\inf _{0 \leq t \leq t_{2}^{*}\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right)} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(t u_{0}^{+}, t v_{0}^{+}\right)$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(t u_{0}^{+}, t v_{0}^{+}\right)<0 \quad \text { for } t \in\left(0, t_{3}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $b_{(u, v)}(t)=t^{p-\alpha}\|(u, v)\|^{p}-\beta t^{\beta-\alpha} \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u, v) d s$ for $t>0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right)}\left(t_{3}\right)=\lambda \alpha \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right) d x \text {. } \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.1), (3.2) and (3.5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(b_{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)}\left(t_{3}\right)-\lambda \alpha \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) d x\right) \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(t_{3}^{p-\alpha}\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|^{p}-\beta t_{3}^{\beta-\alpha} \int_{\partial \Omega} G\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) d s-\lambda \alpha \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) d x\right) \\
= & t_{3}^{p-\alpha} \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|^{p}-\beta t_{3}^{\beta-\alpha} \int_{\partial \Omega} G\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right) d s-\lambda \alpha \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right) d x \\
> & t_{3}^{p-\alpha}\left\|\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right)\right\|^{p}-\beta t_{3}^{\beta-\alpha} \int_{\partial \Omega} G\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right) d s-\lambda \alpha \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right) d x \\
= & b_{\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right)}\left(t_{3}\right)-\lambda \alpha \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right) d x=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that, for $n$ large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)}\left(t_{3}\right)>\lambda \alpha \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) d x \text {. } \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$, by (2.2),

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} G\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) d s>\frac{(\alpha-p)\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|^{p}}{\beta(\alpha-\beta)}
$$

which implies that $t_{2}^{*}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)>1$ by Lemma 2.6(ii). Moreover, we obtain

$$
b_{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)}(1)=\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|^{p}-\beta \int_{\partial \Omega} G\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) d s=\lambda \alpha \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) d x
$$

and $b_{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)}(t)$ is increasing for $t \in\left(0, t_{2}^{*}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)}(t) \leq b_{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)}(1)=\lambda \alpha \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) d x \text { for all } t \in(0,1] \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n$ sufficiently large, by (3.6) and (3.7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<t_{3}<t_{2}^{*}\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.4) and (3.8),

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(t_{3} u_{0}^{+}, t_{3} v_{0}^{+}\right)<\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right)
$$

which contradicts $\left(t_{3} u_{0}^{+}, t_{3} v_{0}^{+}\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$by (3.3). Hence

$$
\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right) \text {strongly in } W,
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right)=\gamma_{\lambda}^{+} \text {as } n \rightarrow+\infty
$$

By Lemma 2.2, $\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$and $\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right)$is a local minimizer for $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$. Since $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right)=\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(\left|u_{0}^{+}\right|,\left|v_{0}^{+}\right|\right)$and $\left(\left|u_{0}^{+}\right|,\left|v_{0}^{+}\right|\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$, by Lemma 2.3, we may assume $\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right)$is a nontrivial nonnegative solution of (1.1), and thus the proof is complete.
Proposition 3.3. Suppose (H1) and (H2) hold and $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{*}\right)$. Then the functional $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}$ has a minimizer $\left(u_{0}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}\right)$in $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$and it satisfies
(i) $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}\right)=\gamma_{\lambda}^{-}$;
(ii) $\left(u_{0}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}\right)$is a nontrivial nonnegative solution of problem (1.1).

Proof. By assumption (H1) and Lemma 2.6(i), $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\}$ be a minimizing sequence for $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)=\inf _{(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}(u, v) .
$$

Then by Lemma 2.4 and the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem, there exists a subsequence of $\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\}$, denoted by itself, and $\left(u_{0}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}\right) \in W$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup\left(u_{0}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}\right) \text {weakly in } W \\
& u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}^{-}, v_{n} \rightarrow v_{0}^{-} \text {strongly in } L^{\alpha}(\Omega) \text { and } L^{\beta}(\partial \Omega), \text { respectively. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by (2.3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) d x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{0}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}\right) d x \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty \\
& \int_{\partial \Omega} G\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) d s \rightarrow \int_{\partial \Omega} G\left(u_{0}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}\right) d s \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, by (2.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) d x>\frac{p-\beta}{\lambda_{*} \alpha(\alpha-\beta)}\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|^{p} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.9) and (3.9), there exists a positive number $D$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) d x>D
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} F\left(u_{0}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}\right) d x \geq D \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we prove that $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{0}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}\right)$strongly in $W$. Suppose otherwise, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(u_{0}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}\right)\right\|<\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\| . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.6(i) and (3.10), there exists a unique $t_{2}=t_{2}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ such that $t_{2}>t_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right),\left(t_{2} u_{0}^{-}, t_{2} v_{0}^{-}\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$and

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(t_{2} u_{0}^{-}, t_{2} v_{0}^{-}\right)=\sup _{t \geq 0} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(t u_{0}^{-}, t v_{0}^{-}\right)
$$

Since $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}, t_{1}^{*}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)<1$ and $a_{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)}(1)=\beta \int_{\partial \Omega} G\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) d s$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $t_{2}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)=1$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \geq \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(t_{2} u_{n}, t_{2} v_{n}\right)$ by Lemma 2.6(i). On the other hand, by (3.11),

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(t_{2} u_{0}^{-}, t_{2} v_{0}^{-}\right)<\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(t_{2} u_{n}, t_{2} v_{n}\right)
$$

and thus

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(t_{2} u_{0}^{-}, t_{2} v_{0}^{-}\right)<\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)=\gamma_{\lambda}^{-} .
$$

This is a contradiction to the fact that $\left(t_{2} u_{0}^{-}, t_{2} v_{0}^{-}\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$. Hence

$$
\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{0}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}\right) \text {strongly in } W \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty
$$

This implies

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}\right)=\gamma_{\lambda}^{-} \text {as } n \rightarrow+\infty
$$

By Lemma 2.2, $\left(u_{0}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$and $\left(u_{0}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}\right)$is a local minimizer for $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$. Since $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}\right)=\mathcal{J}_{\lambda}\left(\left|u_{0}^{-}\right|,\left|v_{0}^{-}\right|\right)$and $\left(\left|u_{0}^{-}\right|,\left|v_{0}^{-}\right|\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$, by Lemma 2.3, we may assume that $\left(u_{0}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}\right)$is a nontrivial nonnegative solution of (1.1), and thus the proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Propositions 3.2 and 3.3, we obtain problem (1.1) has two nontrivial nonnegative solutions $\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right)$and $\left(u_{0}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}\right)$such that ( $u_{0}^{+}$, $\left.v_{0}^{+}\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$and $\left(u_{0}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$. Since $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}=\emptyset,\left(u_{0}^{+}, v_{0}^{+}\right)$and $\left(u_{0}^{-}, v_{0}^{-}\right)$ are distinct, and thus the proof is complete.
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