

## ON THE ADMISSIBILITY OF THE SPACE $L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)$ OF VECTOR-VALUED MEASURABLE FUNCTIONS

DIANA CAPONETTI, GRZEGORZ LEWICKI, ALESSANDRO TROMBETTA,  
AND GIULIO TROMBETTA

ABSTRACT. We prove the admissibility of the space  $L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)$  of vector-valued measurable functions determined by real-valued finitely additive set functions defined on algebras of sets.

The notion of admissibility introduced by Klee [7] guarantees that a compact mapping into an admissible Hausdorff topological vector space  $E$  can be approximated by compact finite dimensional mappings. This notion is very important in degree theory and fixed point theory. It is known that locally convex spaces are admissible (see [10]). There are some classes of nonlocally convex spaces which are admissible. Riedrich in [13] proved the admissibility of the space  $S(0, 1)$  of measurable functions and in [12] the admissibility of the space  $L_p(0, 1)$  for  $0 < p < 1$ . The admissibility of other function spaces has been proved by Mach [6] and Ishii [8]. In [14] it is proved the admissibility of spaces of Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin type.

**Definition 1** ([7]). Let  $E$  be a Hausdorff topological vector space. A subset  $Z$  of  $E$  is said to be admissible if for every compact subset  $K$  of  $Z$  and for every neighborhood  $V$  of zero in  $E$  there exists a continuous mapping  $H : K \rightarrow Z$  such that  $\dim(\text{span}[H(K)]) < +\infty$  and  $x - Hx \in V$  for every  $x \in K$ . If  $Z = E$  we say that the space  $E$  is admissible.

In this paper we deal with spaces of vector-valued measurable functions and, as a major fact, instead of  $\sigma$ -additive measures we consider finitely additive set functions defined on algebras of sets.

Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $\Omega$  a nonempty set,  $\mathcal{A}$  a subalgebra of the power set  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  of  $\Omega$  and  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a finitely additive set function. We prove

---

Received June 20, 2012; Revised March 19, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E30, 46E40.

*Key words and phrases.* admissible space, finitely additive set function, measurable function.

This paper has been partly written while the second author visited the Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Palermo, as a visiting professor supported by GNAMPA-INDAM.

the admissibility of the space  $L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)$  of all  $X$ -valued  $\mu$ -measurable functions defined on  $\Omega$  (see [3, Chp III]).

It is important to notice that in [2] Cauty provides an example of a metric linear space in which the admissibility fails. Moreover, it is known that in general  $L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)$  is not homeomorphic to the classical space  $L_0([0, 1], X)$  of all Lebesgue measurable functions from  $[0, 1]$  to  $X$  endowed with the topology generated by the convergence in measure, and to our knowledge the question if all the spaces  $L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)$  are homeomorphic or not to an Hilbert space is open. Some results in this latter direction have appeared in [11, Theorem 4.9] in the case where  $\mu$  is a finite nonatomic measure.

### 1. Preliminaries and notations

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  be a real or complex Banach space,  $\Omega$  a nonempty set,  $\mathcal{A}$  a subalgebra of the power set  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  of  $\Omega$  and  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a finitely additive set function. Then for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  the total variation  $|\mu|(A)$  of  $\mu$  on  $A$  is defined by  $|\mu|(A) = \sup \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu(A_i)|$  where the supremum is taken over all finite sequences  $(A_i)$  of disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $A_i \subseteq A$ . Then  $|\mu|$  induces the submeasure  $\eta : \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$  defined by  $\eta(E) = \inf\{|\mu|(A) : A \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } E \subseteq A\}$  for  $E \subseteq \Omega$ . We denote by

$$S(\mathcal{A}, X) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \chi_{A_i} : n \in \mathbb{N}, x_i \in X, A_i \in \mathcal{A} \right\},$$

the space of all  $X$ -valued simple functions on  $\Omega$ ; where  $\chi_A$  denotes the characteristic function of the set  $A$  defined on  $\Omega$ . Let  $X^\Omega$  denote the set of all functions  $f$  from  $\Omega$  to  $X$ . For a function  $f \in X^\Omega$  we set

$$\|f\|_0 = \inf\{\alpha \geq 0 : \eta(\{\|f\|_X \geq \alpha\}) \leq \alpha\},$$

where  $\|f\|_X$  denotes the function  $t \rightarrow \|f(t)\|_X$  and  $\{\|f\|_X \geq \alpha\} = \{t \in \Omega : \|f(t)\|_X \geq \alpha\}$ , with the convention  $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$ . Then  $\|\cdot\|_0$  has the following properties:

- $\|0\|_0 = 0,$
- $\|f + g\|_0 \leq \|f\|_0 + \|g\|_0,$
- (1)  $\|f\|_X \leq \|g\|_X$  implies  $\|f\|_0 \leq \|g\|_0$  for  $f, g \in X^\Omega,$
- $\|y\chi_A\|_0 = \min\{\eta(A), \|y\|_X\}$  for  $A \subseteq \Omega, y \in X$  and  $f \in X^\Omega.$

A function  $f \in X^\Omega$  is said to be a  $\mu$ -null function if  $\eta(\{\|f\|_X \geq a\}) = 0$  for any  $a > 0$ . Then by  $L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)$  we denote the  $F$ -normed space (in the sense of [9]) given by the closure of the space  $S(\mathcal{A}, X)$  in  $(X^\Omega, \|\cdot\|_0)$ , where it is understood that we identify functions differing by a  $\mu$ -null function.

We briefly recall the definitions of integrable function and integral for an integrable function, with respect to  $\mu,$  of a function  $f$  of  $L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)$  as introduced

in [3]. Let  $s = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \chi_{A_i}$  be a simple function in  $S(\mathcal{A}, X)$  and  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then the integral over  $A$  of  $f$  is defined by

$$\int_A f(t) \mu(dt) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mu(A_i).$$

Let  $L_1(X)$  denote the Lebesgue space of all functions  $f \in L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)$  for which there is a sequence  $(s_n)$  in  $S(\mathcal{A}, X)$  converging to  $f$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_0$  such that

$$\lim_{m,n} \int_{\Omega} \|s_m(t) - s_n(t)\|_X |\mu|(dt) = 0.$$

The sequence  $(s_n)$  is said to be a determining sequence for  $f$  and the integral over  $A$  of  $f$  is defined by

$$\int_A f(t) \mu(dt) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A s_n(t) \mu(dt), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

For each  $f \in L_1(X)$ ,  $\|f\|_1 = \int_{\Omega} \|f(t)\|_X |\mu|(dt)$  and we also have

$$\|f\|_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \|s_n(t)\|_X |\mu|(dt).$$

Obviously  $\eta(A) = |\mu|(A) = \|\chi_A\|_1$  for  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . In the sequel we will use the property given in the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f \in L_1(X)$ . Then  $\|f\|_0 \leq \|f\|_1^{1/2}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $s \in S(\mathcal{A}, X) \setminus \{0\}$ . Assume on the contrary that  $\|s\|_0 > \|s\|_1^{1/2}$ . Take  $\alpha = \|s\|_1^{1/2}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|s\|_1 &= \int_{\Omega} \|s(t)\|_X |\mu|(dt) \geq \int_{\{\|s\|_X \geq \alpha\}} \|s(t)\|_X |\mu|(dt) \geq \alpha \eta(\{\|s\|_X \geq \alpha\}) \\ &> \|s\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Next let  $f \in L_1(X)$  and  $(s_n)$  a sequence in  $S(\mathcal{A}, X)$  determining  $f$ . Then we have both  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|s_n\|_0 = \|f\|_0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|s_n\|_1 = \|f\|_1$ , which imply the assert.  $\square$

Let  $B_a(X)$  denote the closed ball of radius  $a > 0$ . We denote by  $\rho_a$  the radial projection of  $X$  onto  $B_a(X)$  defined by

$$\rho_a(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } \|x\|_X \leq a, \\ a \frac{x}{\|x\|_X} & \text{if } \|x\|_X > a. \end{cases}$$

Then we define the mapping  $T_a : L_0(\mathcal{A}, X) \rightarrow X^{\Omega}$  by setting

$$(T_a f)(t) = \rho_a(f(t)), \quad t \in \Omega.$$

The function  $T_a s$  is a simple function for each simple function  $s \in S(\mathcal{A}, X)$ , and moreover it can be easily seen that  $T_a(L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)) \subseteq L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)$ . The projection

$\rho_a$  is Lipschitz with constant 2 (cf. [4]), thus, since  $X$  is a Banach space, by (1) for  $f, g \in L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)$  we have

$$(2) \quad \|T_a f - T_a g\|_0 \leq 2\|f - g\|_0.$$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $K$  be a subset of  $L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)$ . Then*

- (i)  $T_a(K) \subseteq L_1(X)$ ,
- (ii) *the  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -topology and the  $\|\cdot\|_1$ -topology coincide on  $T_a(K)$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Since  $\|T_a f\|_X \leq a\chi_\Omega$  for  $f \in K$ , by [3, Theorem III.2.22] we have  $T_a f \in L_1(X)$ .

(ii) As  $T_a(K) \subseteq \{f \in L_1(X) : \|f\|_X \leq a\chi_\Omega\}$  the assert follows from [3, Theorem III.3.6].  $\square$

Next we introduce the operator  $P_\pi$  which will be used for the proof of our main result. Given a partition  $\pi = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  of  $\Omega$  with  $\eta(A_i) > 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  we consider  $P_\pi : L_1(X) \rightarrow S(\mathcal{A}, X)$  the linear operator defined by setting

$$P_\pi f = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\int_{A_i} f(t)\mu(dt)}{\eta(A_i)} \chi_{A_i}.$$

Then for each  $f \in L_1(X)$  we have

$$(3) \quad \|P_\pi f\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1.$$

Indeed if, for each  $i$ , we put  $s_i(f) = \int_{A_i} f(t)\mu(dt) / \eta(A_i)$  applying Jensen’s inequality, we have

$$\|s_i(f)\|_X \leq \frac{\int_{A_i} \|f(t)\|_X |\mu|(dt)}{\eta(A_i)}.$$

Consequently we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_\pi f\|_1 &= \int_\Omega \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n s_i(f)\chi_{A_i} \right\|_X |\mu|(dt) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{A_i} \|s_i(f)\|_X |\mu|(dt) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \eta(A_i) \|s_i(f)\|_X \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{A_i} \|f(t)\|_X |\mu|(dt) \\ &= \int_\Omega \|f(t)\|_X |\mu|(dt) = \|f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

## 2. Admissibility of $L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)$

We recall that for a bounded subset  $A$  of  $X$  the Hausdorff measure of non-compactness  $\gamma(A)$  of  $A$  is the infimum of all  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $A$  has an  $\varepsilon$ -net in  $X$  ([5]). Moreover for each bounded subsets  $K$  of  $L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)$  we consider the quantitative characteristic  $\sigma(K)$ , introduced in [1], defined by setting  $\sigma(K) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : \exists M \subseteq X \text{ with } \gamma(M) \leq \varepsilon \text{ such that, } \forall f \in K, \text{ there exists } D_f \subseteq \Omega \text{ with } \eta(D_f) \leq \varepsilon \text{ and } f(\Omega \setminus D_f) \subseteq M\}$ . In order to prove the admissibility of  $L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)$  we need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $K$  be a bounded subset of  $L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)$ . If  $\sigma(K) = 0$ , then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $a > 0$  such that*

$$\|f - T_a f\|_0 \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for each } f \in K.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $\sigma(K) = 0$  there is a subset  $M$  of  $X$ , with  $\gamma(M) \leq \varepsilon/2$ , such that for all  $f \in K$  there is  $D_f \subseteq \Omega$  with  $\eta(D_f) \leq \varepsilon/2$  and  $f(\Omega \setminus D_f) \subseteq M$ . Fix  $y_1, \dots, y_m \in X$  such that  $M \subseteq \cup_{j=1}^m (y_j + B_{\varepsilon/2}(X))$ . Then for each  $f \in K$  and  $t \in \Omega \setminus D_f$  there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $f(t) \in y_j + B_{\varepsilon/2}(X)$ . Therefore

$$\|f(t)\|_X \leq \|f(t) - y_j\|_X + \|y_j\|_X \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \|y_j\|_X.$$

Set  $a = \varepsilon/2 + \max_j \|y_j\|_X$ . Then  $f(\Omega \setminus D_f) \subseteq B_a(X)$ , which implies  $f = T_a f$  on  $\Omega \setminus D_f$ . Since  $\|T_a f\|_X \leq \|f\|_X$ , by (1), we have  $\|(T_a f)\chi_{D_f}\|_0 \leq \|f\chi_{D_f}\|_0$ . Moreover  $\|f\chi_{D_f}\|_0 \leq \eta(D_f)$ , and thus we find

$$\|f - T_a f\|_0 = \|(f - T_a f)\chi_{D_f}\|_0 \leq \|f\chi_{D_f}\|_0 + \|(T_a f)\chi_{D_f}\|_0 \leq 2\|f\chi_{D_f}\|_0 \leq \varepsilon,$$

which gives the result. □

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\pi = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  be a finite partition of  $\Omega$ . Then the subspace*

$$S(\pi) = \left\{ s \in S(\mathcal{A}, X) : s = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \chi_{A_i}, \quad x_i \in X \right\}$$

*of  $L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)$  is admissible.*

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be a compact subset of  $S(\pi)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. For each  $u \in W$  we can write

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i(u) \chi_{A_i}$$

for suitable elements  $x_i(u)$  of  $X$ . For any fixed  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , the set  $C_i = \{x_i(u) : u \in W\}$  is a compact subset of  $X$ , and consequently  $C = \cup_{i=1}^n C_i$  is also a compact subset of  $X$ .

Let  $\delta = \varepsilon/l$ . Then by the admissibility of the Banach space  $X$ , there exist a finite dimensional space  $Z_\varepsilon = \text{span}[z_1, \dots, z_m]$  in  $X$  and a continuous mapping  $H_\varepsilon : C \rightarrow Z_\varepsilon$  such that

$$(4) \quad \|x - H_\varepsilon(x)\|_X \leq \delta \quad \text{for all } x \in C.$$

Then for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and for suitable  $x_j^i(u) \in X$ , with  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , we can write

$$H_\varepsilon(x_i(u)) = \sum_{j=1}^m x_j^i(u) z_j.$$

As no confusion can arise, we denote again by  $H_\varepsilon$  the continuous mapping  $H_\varepsilon : W \rightarrow S(\pi)$  defined by

$$H_\varepsilon u = \sum_{i=1}^n H_\varepsilon(x_i(u))\chi_{A_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^m x_j^i(u)z_j \right) \chi_{A_i}.$$

Then  $H_\varepsilon(W) \subseteq \text{span}[\chi_{A_i}z_j; i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, m]$  and  $\dim(\text{span}[H_\varepsilon(W)]) < +\infty$ .

On the other hand, for each  $u \in W$  we have

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u - H_\varepsilon u\|_0 &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i(u)\chi_{A_i} - \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^m x_j^i(u)z_j \right) \chi_{A_i} \right\|_0 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \left( x_i(u) - \sum_{j=1}^m x_j^i(u)z_j \right) \chi_{A_i} \right\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

Next by (4) we have

$$\left\| \left( x_i(u) - \sum_{j=1}^m x_j^i(u)z_j \right) \chi_{A_i} \right\|_X \leq \delta \chi_{A_i},$$

hence for a fixed  $y \in X$  with  $\|y\|_X = 1$  we find

$$\left\| \left( x_i(u) - \sum_{j=1}^m x_j^i(u)z_j \right) \chi_{A_i} \right\|_X \leq \|\delta y \chi_{A_i}\|_X.$$

Consequently

$$\left\| \left( x_i(u) - \sum_{j=1}^m x_j^i(u)z_j \right) \chi_{A_i} \right\|_0 \leq \|\delta y \chi_{A_i}\|_0 = \min\{\eta(A_i), \delta\} \leq \delta.$$

From (5) we get  $\|u - H_\varepsilon u\|_0 \leq \varepsilon$  which completes the proof. □

Now we are in the position to prove our main result.

**Theorem 1.** *The space  $L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)$  is admissible.*

*Proof.* Fix  $K$  a compact set in  $L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $K$  is compact, by [1, Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.1] we have  $\sigma(K) = 0$ . Thus by Lemma 3 there is a  $a > 0$  such that

$$(6) \quad \|f - T_a f\|_0 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Next we show that there is a partition  $\pi$  of  $\Omega$  such that

$$(7) \quad \|g - P_\pi g\|_0 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text{for each } g \in T_a(K).$$

Let  $\delta > 0$  be given. Since by (2)  $T_a$  is continuous with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_0$ , we have that  $T_a(K)$  is compact in  $(L_1(X), \|\cdot\|_0)$ . Moreover by Lemma 2, the  $\|\cdot\|_0$ -topology and the  $\|\cdot\|_1$ -topology coincide on  $T_a(K)$ . So  $T_a(K)$  is compact

in  $(L_1(X), \|\cdot\|_1)$ . Hence we can choose  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  in  $T_a(K)$  such that  $T_a(K) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n (g_i + B_{\delta/3}(X))$ . For each fixed  $i = 1, \dots, n$  let  $s_i$ , say  $s_i = \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} x_j \chi_{A_j}$ , be a simple function such that  $\|g_i - s_i\|_1 \leq \delta/6$ . Set  $\pi(g_i) = \{A_1, \dots, A_{k_i}\}$ , then  $P_{\pi(g_i)}s_i = s_i$ , therefore having in mind (3) we find

$$\|g_i - P_{\pi(g_i)}g_i\|_1 \leq \|g_i - s_i\|_1 + \|P_{\pi(g_i)}s_i - P_{\pi(g_i)}g_i\|_1 \leq \frac{\delta}{3}.$$

Denote  $\pi$  the partition generated by all  $\pi(g_i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Let  $g \in T_a(K)$ , then there exists  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $g = g_i + h$  and  $\|h\|_1 < \frac{\delta}{3}$ . Therefore

$$\|g - P_{\pi}g\|_1 \leq \|g_i - P_{\pi}g_i\|_1 + \|h - P_{\pi}h\|_1 \leq \frac{\delta}{3} + 2\|h\|_1 \leq \delta.$$

By Lemma 1, for  $\delta = (\varepsilon/3)^2$  the assert (7) follows.

Assume  $\pi = \{A_1, \dots, A_l\}$ , then the set  $W = P_{\pi}(T_a(K))$  is a compact set included in  $S(\pi) = \left\{s \in S(\mathcal{A}, X) : s = \sum_{i=1}^l x_i \chi_{A_i}, \quad x_i \in X\right\}$ .

Hence by Lemma 4 there is  $H_{\varepsilon} : W \rightarrow L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)$  such that  $\text{span}[H_{\varepsilon}(W)]$  is finite dimensional and

$$(8) \quad \|u - H_{\varepsilon}u\|_0 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text{for each } u \in W.$$

Then the continuous mapping  $H : K \rightarrow L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)$  defined by  $H = H_{\varepsilon} \circ P_{\pi} \circ T_a$  satisfies  $\text{span}[H(K)] < +\infty$ . Moreover by (6), (7) and (8) we have

$$\|f - Hf\|_0 \leq \|f - T_a f\|_0 + \|T_a f - P_{\pi}T_a f\|_0 + \|P_{\pi}T_a f - Hf\|_0 \leq \varepsilon$$

and the admissibility of  $L_0(\mathcal{A}, X)$  is proved.  $\square$

### References

- [1] A. Avallone and G. Trombetta, *Measures of noncompactness in the space  $L_0$  and a generalization of the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem*, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital (7) **5** (1991), no. 3, 573–587.
- [2] R. Cauty, *Un espace métrique linéaire qui n'est pas un rétracte absolu*, Fund. Math. **146** (1994), 85–99.
- [3] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators I. General Theory*, Wiley-Interscience Pub., Inc., New York, 1964.
- [4] C. F. Dunkl and K. S. Williams, *A simple norm inequality*, Amer. Math. Monthly **71** (1964), no. 1, 53–54.
- [5] L. S. Goldenstein, I. C. Gohberg, and A. S. Markus, *Investigation of some properties of bounded linear operators in connection with their  $q$ -norms*, Uchen. Zap. Kishinevsk. Univ. **29** (1957), 29–36.
- [6] J. Mach, *Die Zulässigkeit und gewisse Eigenschaften der Funktionenräume  $L_{\phi, k}$  und  $L_{\phi}$* , Ber. Ges. f. Math. u. Datenverarb. Bonn **61** (1972), 38 pp.
- [7] V. Klee, *Leray-Schauder theory without local convexity*, Math. Ann. **141** (1960), 286–296.
- [8] J. Ishii, *On the admissibility of function spaces*, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Series I **19** (1965), 49–55.
- [9] H. Jarchow, *Locally Convex Spaces*, Mathematical Textbooks, B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981.
- [10] M. Nagumo, *Degree of mapping in convex linear topological spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **73** (1951), 497–511.

- [11] P. Niemiec, *Spaces of measurable functions*, to appear in *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **11** (2013), 1304–1316.
- [12] T. Riedrich, *Die Räume  $L^p(0,1)$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ) sind zulässig*, *Wiss. Z. Techn. Univ. Dresden* **12** (1963), 1149–1152.
- [13] ———, *Der Raum  $S(0,1)$  ist zulässig*, *Wiss. Z. Techn. Univ. Dresden* **13** (1964), 1–6.
- [14] T. Runst and W. Sickel, *Sobolev spaces of fractional order, Nemytskij operators, and nonlinear partial differential equations*, *De Gruyter Ser. Nonlinear Anal. Applications*, **3**, Berlin, 1996.

DIANA CAPONETTI  
DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA  
UNIVERSITÀ DI PALERMO  
90123 PALERMO, ITALY  
*E-mail address:* `diana.caponetti@math.unipa.it`

GRZEGORZ LEWICKI  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE  
JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY  
30-348 KRAKOW, LOJASIEWICZA 4, POLAND  
*E-mail address:* `Grzegorz.Lewicki@im.uj.edu.pl`

ALESSANDRO TROMBETTA  
DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA  
UNIVERSITÀ DELLA CALABRIA  
87036 ARCAVACATA DI RENDE, COSENZA, ITALY  
*E-mail address:* `aletromb@unical.it`

GIULIO TROMBETTA  
DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA  
UNIVERSITÀ DELLA CALABRIA  
87036 ARCAVACATA DI RENDE, COSENZA, ITALY  
*E-mail address:* `trombetta@unical.it`