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#### Abstract

Similarly to Tychonoff product, we introduce the concept of generalized product topology which is different from the notion of product of generalized topologies in [Á. Császár, Acta Math. Hungar. 123 (2009), 127-132] for generalized topology and obtain some properties about it. Besides, we prove that connectedness, $\sigma$-connectedness and $\alpha$-connectedness are all preserved under this product.


## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

In the past years, several weak forms of open sets have been studied. Recently, Á. Császár founded the theory of generalized topology in [1-8], studying the extremely elementary character of these classes. It is well known that $T y-$ chonoff product plays an important role in topological spaces. Motivated by these, we shall investigate into 'generalized product topology' on generalized topological spaces.

Let $X$ be a set, and denote $\exp X$ the power set of $X$. We call a class $\lambda \subset \exp X$ a generalized topology (briefly GT) [2] on $X$ if $\emptyset \in \lambda$ and any union of elements of $\lambda$ belongs to $\lambda$. A set with a GT is said to be a generalized topological space (briefly GTS) [2]. For a GTS $(X, \lambda)$, the elements of $\lambda$ are called $\lambda$-open sets and the complements of $\lambda$-open sets are called $\lambda$-closed sets. For any $x \in X$, put $\mathscr{N}(x)=\{A \in \lambda: x \in A\}$. For $A \subset X$, we denote by $c A$ the intersection of all $\lambda$-closed sets containing $A$ and by $i A$ the union of all $\lambda$-open sets contained in $A$. A set $A \subset X$ is said to be $\lambda$-semi-open (resp. $\lambda$-preopen, $\lambda$ - $\alpha$-open, $\lambda$ - $\beta$-open) [4] if $A \subset c i A$ (resp. $A \subset i c A, A \subset i c i A$, $A \subset \operatorname{cic} A$ ). We denote by $\sigma(\lambda)$ (resp. $\pi(\lambda), \alpha(\lambda), \beta(\lambda))$ the class of all $\lambda$ -semi-open sets (resp. $\lambda$-preopen sets, $\lambda$ - $\alpha$-open sets, $\lambda$ - $\beta$-open sets). Obviously $\lambda \subset \alpha(\lambda) \subset \sigma(\lambda) \subset \beta(\lambda)$ and $\alpha(\lambda) \subset \pi(\lambda) \subset \beta(\lambda)$.

[^0]A family $\lambda_{b} \subset \lambda$ is called a base for a $\operatorname{GTS}(X, \lambda)$ if every non-empty $\lambda$-open subset of $X$ can be represented as the union of a subfamily of $\lambda_{b}$. We denote by $\mathscr{B}(\lambda)$ of all bases of $\operatorname{GTS}(X, \lambda)$.

According to the definition of $c A$, similarly to the proof of $[7$, Proposition 1.1.1], it is not difficult to prove the following conclusion:

Lemma 1.1. For any $A \subset X$, the following conditions are equivalent:
1-1) $x \in c A$;
1-2) For any $B \in \mathscr{N}(x)$, we have $B \cap A \neq \varnothing$;
1-3) There exists some $\lambda_{b} \in \mathscr{B}(\lambda)$ such that for any $B \in \mathscr{N}(x) \cap \lambda_{b}, B \cap A \neq$ Ø.

Let $(X, \lambda)$ and $\left(Y, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ be two generalized topological spaces; a map $f: X \longrightarrow$ $Y$ is called continuous (called $\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$-continuous in [9]) if $f^{-1}(A) \in \lambda$ for any $A \in \lambda^{\prime}$.

A GTS $(X, \lambda)$ is said to be connected (called $\gamma$-connected in [3]) if there are no nonempty disjoint sets $U, V \in \lambda$ such that $U \cup V=X$.

A GTS $(X, \lambda)$ is called $\alpha$-connected (resp. $\sigma$-connected, $\pi$-connected, $\beta$ connected) [11] if $(X, \alpha(\lambda))$ (resp. $(X, \sigma(\lambda)),(X, \pi(\lambda)),(X, \beta(\lambda)))$ is connected.

It is easy to see from the definition that

$$
\beta \text {-connected } \Rightarrow \sigma \text {-connected } \Rightarrow \alpha \text {-connected } \Rightarrow \text { connected }
$$

and

$$
\beta \text {-connected } \Rightarrow \pi \text {-connected } \Rightarrow \alpha \text {-connected. }
$$

In [11], the following result was proved:
Lemma 1.2 ([11]). For a $\operatorname{GTS}(X, \lambda),(X, \lambda)$ is $\alpha$-connected if and only if $(X, \lambda)$ is connected.

Suppose we are given a set $X$, a family $\left\{\left(Y_{s}, \lambda_{s}\right)\right\}_{s \in \Gamma}$ of GTS and a family of maps $\left\{f_{s}\right\}_{s \in \Gamma}$, where $f_{s}$ is a map of $X$ to $Y_{s}$. It is easy to see that the GT

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\left\{\cup A: A \subset\left\{f_{s}^{-1}\left(A_{s}\right): A_{s} \in \lambda_{s}, s \in \Gamma\right\}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the coarsest GT that makes all the $f_{s}$ 's continuous. This GT is called the $G T$ generated by the family $\left\{f_{s}\right\}_{s \in \Gamma}$ of maps.

## 2. Generalized connectedness under product

Similarly to Tychonoff product which can be found in [10, Section 2.3], now we introduce generalized product for GTS. Suppose we are given a family of GTS $\left\{\left(X_{s}, \lambda_{s}\right)\right\}_{s \in \Gamma}$; consider the Cartesian product $X=\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}$ and the family of maps $p_{s}$, where $p_{s}$ assigns to the point $x=\left\{x_{s}\right\} \in \prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}$ its $s$ th coordinate $x_{s} \in X_{s}$. The set $X=\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}$ with the GT $\prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}$ generated by the family of $\left\{p_{s}\right\}_{s \in \Gamma}$ is called the generalized product topology space (briefly GPTS) and $\prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}$ is called the generalized product topology on $\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}$ (briefly GPT); The map $p_{s}: \prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s} \longrightarrow X_{s}$ is called the projection of $\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}$ onto $X_{s}$. Clearly, the GPTS is usually different from the
product of generalized topologies in [8] for generalized topology. Put the set $\mathscr{B}^{*}\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right)=\left\{p_{s}^{-1}\left(B_{s}\right): B_{s} \in \lambda_{s}, s \in \Gamma\right\}$.

Proposition 2.1. For a GPTS $\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}, \prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right)$,

$$
\mathscr{B}^{*}\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right) \in \mathscr{B}\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right) .
$$

Proof. It is clear that $\mathscr{B}^{*}\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right)=\left\{p_{s}^{-1}\left(B_{s}\right): B_{s} \in \lambda_{s}, s \in \Gamma\right\} \subset \prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}$. Combining this with (1), the proof is completed.

Proposition 2.2. $c\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} A_{s}\right)=\prod_{s \in \Gamma} c A_{s}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} A_{s}\right) \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\not \subset, & \left|\left\{s \in \Gamma: A_{s} \neq X_{s}\right\}\right| \geq 2, \\
\prod_{s \in\left\{s \in \Gamma: A_{s} \neq X_{s}\right\}} i_{s} \times \prod_{s \in \Gamma-\left\{s \in \Gamma: A_{s} \neq X_{s}\right\}} \\
X_{s \in \Gamma}, & \left|\left\{s \in \Gamma: A_{s} \neq X_{s}\right\}\right|=1, \\
\prod_{s \in}, & \left|\left\{s \in \Gamma: A_{s} \neq X_{s}\right\}\right|=0 .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 1.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} A_{s}\right) \\
= & \left\{x=\left\{x_{s}\right\} \in \prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}: \forall B \in \mathscr{N}(x) \cap \mathscr{B}^{*}\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right), B \cap\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} A_{s}\right) \neq \varnothing\right\} \\
= & \left\{x=\left\{x_{s}\right\} \in \prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}: \forall s \in \Gamma, \forall B_{s} \in \mathscr{N}\left(x_{s}\right), B_{s} \cap A_{s} \neq \emptyset\right\} \\
= & \prod_{s \in \Gamma} c A_{s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second equation is easy to verify.
It can be verified that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right) \supset \prod_{s \in \Gamma} \alpha\left(\lambda_{s}\right), \quad \sigma\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right) \supset \prod_{s \in \Gamma} \sigma\left(\lambda_{s}\right), \\
& \pi\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right) \supset \prod_{s \in \Gamma} \pi\left(\lambda_{s}\right), \quad \beta\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right) \supset \prod_{s \in \Gamma} \beta\left(\lambda_{s}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

At the end of this paper, we shall use an example to show that the inclusion of (2) can hold strictly.

Proposition 2.3. For a $\operatorname{GPTS}\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}, \prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right)$,

$$
c\left(\cup_{s \in \Gamma} p_{s}^{-1}\left(A_{s}\right)\right)=\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}
$$

where $\emptyset \neq A_{s} \subset X_{s}$ for $s \in \Gamma$.
Proof. Choose arbitrarily $x=\left\{x_{s}\right\} \in \prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}$. For any $B \in \mathscr{N}(x)$, we have that there exist $s_{0} \in \Gamma$ and $\emptyset \neq B_{s_{0}} \in \lambda_{s_{0}}$ such that $x \in p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(B_{s_{0}}\right) \subset B$. So $B \cap\left(\cup_{s \in \Gamma} p_{s}^{-1}\left(A_{s}\right)\right)=\cup_{s \in \Gamma}\left(B \cap p_{s}^{-1}\left(A_{s}\right)\right) \supset \cup_{s \in \Gamma}\left(p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(B_{s_{0}}\right) \cap p_{s}^{-1}\left(A_{s}\right)\right) \supset$ $\cup_{s \in \Gamma-\left\{s_{0}\right\}}\left(p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(B_{s_{0}}\right) \cap p_{s}^{-1}\left(A_{s}\right)\right) \neq \varnothing$ as each $A_{s} \neq \varnothing$. Combining this with Lemma 1.1, it follows that $x \in c\left(\cup_{s \in \Gamma} p_{s}^{-1}\left(A_{s}\right)\right)$.

Hence $c\left(\cup_{s \in \Gamma} p_{s}^{-1}\left(A_{s}\right)\right)=\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}$.
Theorem 2.4. The GPTS $\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}, \prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right)$ is connected if and only if all spaces $\left(X_{s}, \lambda_{s}\right)$ are connected.

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that there exists some $s_{0} \in \Gamma$ such that $\left(X_{s_{0}}, \lambda_{s_{0}}\right)$ is not connected. Then there exist nonempty disjoint subsets $A_{s_{0}}, B_{s_{0}} \in \lambda_{s_{0}}$ such that $A_{s_{0}} \cup B_{s_{0}}=X_{s_{0}}$. This implies that nonempty $\prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}$-open sets $p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(A_{s_{0}}\right)$ and $p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(B_{s_{0}}\right)$ satisfy $p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(A_{s_{0}}\right) \cap p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(B_{s_{0}}\right)=\varnothing$ and $p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(A_{s_{0}}\right) \cup p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(B_{s_{0}}\right)=$ $\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}$. So $\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}, \prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right)$ is not connected.

Sufficiency. Suppose that $\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}, \prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right)$ is not connected. Then there exist nonempty disjoint subsets $A, B \in \prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}$ such that $A \cup B=\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $A=\cup_{s \in \Gamma^{\prime} \subset \Gamma} p_{s}^{-1}\left(A_{s}\right)$, where $\emptyset \neq A_{s} \in \lambda_{s}$ for $s \in \Gamma^{\prime}$.

Now we assert that $\left|\Gamma^{\prime}\right|=1$.
Obviously, $\Gamma^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ as $A \neq \varnothing$. If $\left|\Gamma^{\prime}\right|>1$, we have that there exist $s_{1} \neq s_{2} \in$ $\Gamma^{\prime}$ such that $p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(A_{s_{1}}\right) \cup p_{s_{2}}^{-1}\left(A_{s_{2}}\right) \subset A$. As $A \neq \prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}$ and $A \cup B=\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}$, then $A_{s_{1}} \neq X_{s_{1}}, A_{s_{2}} \neq X_{s_{2}}$ and $B=\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}-A \subset \prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}-\left(p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(A_{s_{1}}\right) \cup\right.$ $\left.p_{s_{2}}^{-1}\left(A_{s_{2}}\right)\right)=p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(X_{s_{1}}-A_{s_{1}}\right) \cap p_{s_{2}}^{-1}\left(X_{s_{2}}-A_{s_{2}}\right)$. Applying Proposition 2.2, it follows that $B=i B \subset i\left(p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(X_{s_{1}}-A_{s_{1}}\right) \cap p_{s_{2}}^{-1}\left(X_{s_{2}}-A_{s_{2}}\right)\right)=i\left(A_{s_{1}} \times A_{s_{2}} \times\right.$ $\left.\prod_{s \in \Gamma-\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}} X_{s}\right)=\emptyset$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\left|\Gamma^{\prime}\right|=1$.

The set $\Gamma^{\prime}=\left\{s_{1}\right\}$, then there exists $\emptyset \neq A_{s_{1}} \in \lambda_{s_{1}}$ such that $A=p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(A_{s_{1}}\right)$. So $B=\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}-A=p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(X_{s_{1}}-A_{s_{1}}\right) \in \prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}$. This leads with the construction of $\prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}$ to that $\emptyset \neq X_{s_{1}}-A_{s_{1}} \in \lambda_{s_{1}}$. Hence $\left(X_{s_{1}}, \lambda_{s_{1}}\right)$ is not connected.

Theorem 2.5. The GPTS $\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}, \prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right)$ is $\sigma$-connected if and only if all spaces $\left(X_{s}, \lambda_{s}\right)$ are $\sigma$-connected.

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that there exists some $s_{0} \in \Gamma$ such that $\left(X_{s_{0}}, \lambda_{s_{0}}\right)$ is not $\sigma$-connected. Then there exist nonempty disjoint subsets $A_{s_{0}}, B_{s_{0}} \in \sigma\left(\lambda_{s_{0}}\right)$ such that $A_{s_{0}} \cup B_{s_{0}}=X_{s_{0}}$. This implies that nonempty sets $p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(A_{s_{0}}\right)$ and $p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(B_{s_{0}}\right)$ satisfy $p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(A_{s_{0}}\right) \cap p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(B_{s_{0}}\right)=\emptyset$ and $p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(A_{s_{0}}\right) \cup p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(B_{s_{0}}\right)=\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}$.

Applying Proposition 2.2, noting the fact that $A_{s_{0}}, B_{s_{0}} \in \sigma\left(\lambda_{s_{0}}\right)$, we have

$$
c i\left(p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(A_{s_{0}}\right)\right)=c\left(p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(i A_{s_{0}}\right)\right)=p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(c i A_{s_{0}}\right) \supset p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(A_{s_{0}}\right),
$$

and

$$
c i\left(p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(B_{s_{0}}\right)\right)=c\left(p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(i B_{s_{0}}\right)\right)=p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(c i B_{s_{0}}\right) \supset p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(B_{s_{0}}\right) .
$$

So $\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}, \prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right)$ is not $\sigma$-connected.
Sufficiency. Suppose that $\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}, \prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right)$ is not $\sigma$-connected. Then there exist nonempty disjoint subsets $A, B \in \sigma\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right)$ such that $A \cup B=$ $\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}$. As each $\left(X_{s}, \lambda_{s}\right)$ is $\sigma$-connected, we know from [8, Theorem 2.3] that $X_{s}=\cup \lambda_{s} \in \lambda_{s}$. This implies that $\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s} \in \prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}$, i.e., $c \emptyset=\varnothing$. So we have $c i A \supset A \supset i A \neq \varnothing$ and $\operatorname{ci} B \supset B \supset i B \neq \varnothing$. Thus there exist $s_{1} \in \Gamma$ and $\varnothing \neq A_{s_{1}} \in \lambda_{s_{1}}$ such that $A \supset i A \supset p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(A_{s_{1}}\right)$. Therefore $B=\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}-A \subset \prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}-p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(A_{s_{1}}\right)=p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(X_{s_{1}}-A_{s_{1}}\right)$. Similarly, we have that there exists $\emptyset \neq B_{s_{1}} \in \lambda_{s_{1}}$ such that

$$
p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(A_{s_{1}}\right) \subset i A \subset A \subset c i A \subset p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(X_{s_{1}}-B_{s_{1}}\right)
$$

and

$$
p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(B_{s_{1}}\right) \subset i B \subset B \subset c i B \subset p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(X_{s_{1}}-A_{s_{1}}\right)
$$

Now we assert that for any $x=\left\{x_{s}\right\} \in i A$, there exists some $A(x) \in \mathscr{N}\left(x_{s_{1}}\right)$ such that $x \in p_{s_{1}}^{-1}(A(x)) \subset A$.

Indeed, if there exists some $x=\left\{x_{s}\right\} \in i A$ such that $p_{s_{1}}^{-1}(D) \nsubseteq A$ holds for any $D \in \mathscr{N}\left(x_{s_{1}}\right)$. Noting that fact that $\left\{p_{s}^{-1}\left(B_{s}\right): B_{s} \in \lambda_{s}, s \in \Gamma\right\} \in$ $\mathscr{B}\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right)$, we have that there exists $s_{1} \neq s_{2} \in \Gamma$ and $A_{s_{2}} \in \lambda_{s_{2}}$ such that $x \in p_{s_{2}}^{-1}\left(A_{s_{2}}\right) \subset A \subset p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(X_{s_{1}}-B_{s_{1}}\right)$. So $p_{s_{2}}^{-1}\left(A_{s_{2}}\right) \subset p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(X_{s_{1}}-B_{s_{1}}\right)$, which is a contradiction as $s_{1} \neq s_{2}$.

Thus $i A=\cup_{x \in i A} p_{s_{1}}^{-1}(A(x))=p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(\cup_{x \in i A} A(x)\right)$.
Similarly, we know that there exist nonempty subsets $\mathcal{A}_{s_{1}}, \mathcal{B}_{s_{1}} \in \lambda_{s_{1}}$ such that $i A=p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{s_{1}}\right)$ and $i B=p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{s_{1}}\right)$. As $\emptyset=A \cap B \supset i A \cap i B=p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{s_{1}} \cap\right.$ $\mathcal{B}_{s_{1}}$, we have $\mathcal{A}_{s_{1}} \subset X_{s_{1}}-\mathcal{B}_{s_{1}}$, then $X_{s_{1}}-c \mathcal{A}_{s_{1}} \supset \mathcal{B}_{s_{1}} \neq \emptyset$. It follows from $A \cup B=\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}$ and Proposition 2.2 that $c i B=c p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{s_{1}}\right)=p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(c \mathcal{B}_{s_{1}}\right) \supset$ $B \supset \prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}-A \supset \prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}-c i A=p_{s_{1}}^{-1}\left(X_{s_{1}}-c \mathcal{A}_{s_{1}}\right)$, so $c \mathcal{B}_{s_{1}} \supset X_{s_{1}}-c \mathcal{A}_{s_{1}}$.

The set $C=c \mathcal{A}_{s_{1}}$ and $D=X_{s_{1}}-c \mathcal{A}_{s_{1}}$. Clearly $C \cup D=X_{s_{1}}$ and $C \cap D=\emptyset$. Meanwhile, we have $c i C \supset c i \mathcal{A}_{s_{1}}=c \mathcal{A}_{s_{1}}=C$ and $c i D \supset c i \mathcal{B}_{s_{1}}=c \mathcal{B}_{s_{1}} \supset D$. Hence $\left(X_{s_{1}}, \lambda_{s_{1}}\right)$ is not $\sigma$-connected as both $C$ and $D$ are nonempty.

Theorem 2.6. Given a family of $G T S\left\{\left(X_{s}, \lambda_{s}\right)\right\}_{s \in \Gamma}$, the following are equivalent:

6-1) $\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}, \prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right)$ is $\alpha$-connected;
6-2) $\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}, \prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right)$ is connected;
6-3) All spaces $\left(X_{s}, \lambda_{s}\right)$ are $\alpha$-connected;
6-4) All spaces $\left(X_{s}, \lambda_{s}\right)$ are connected.
Proof. Applying Lemma 1.2 and Theorem 2.4, it holds trivially.

Applying Proposition 2.2, it follows that for any $s_{0} \in \Gamma$ and any $A_{s_{0}} \subset X_{s_{0}}$,

$$
i c\left(p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(A_{s_{0}}\right)\right)=i\left(p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(c A_{s_{0}}\right)\right)=p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(i c A_{s_{0}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{cic}\left(p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(A_{s_{0}}\right)\right)=\operatorname{ci}\left(p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(c A_{s_{0}}\right)\right)=c\left(p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(i c A_{s_{0}}\right)\right)=p_{s_{0}}^{-1}\left(c i c A_{s_{0}}\right)
$$

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.5, the following theorem holds trivially:
Theorem 2.7. All spaces $\left(X_{s}, \lambda_{s}\right)$ are $\pi$-connected (resp. $\beta$-connected) provided that the GPTS $\left(\prod_{s \in \Gamma} X_{s}, \prod_{s \in \Gamma} \lambda_{s}\right)$ is $\pi$-connected (resp. $\beta$-connected).

Being the end of this paper, we shall use an example which is similar to the construction of Example 2.5 in [12] to show that
(1) The inverse of Theorem 2.7 is not correct;
(2) The inclusion of (2) can hold strictly.

Example 2.8. Let $X_{1}=X_{2}=\{a, b\}$ and $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\{\emptyset,\{a\},\{a, b\}\}$. Clearly the GTP $\left(X_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)$ and $\left(X_{2}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ are connected and

$$
\lambda_{1} \times \lambda_{2}=\left\{\emptyset,\{(a, a),(a, b)\},\{(a, a),(b, a)\},\{(a, a),(a, b),(b, a)\}, X_{1} \times X_{2}\right\} .
$$

As $c i c\{b\}=c i\{b\}=c \emptyset=\emptyset$, we have $\beta\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=\lambda_{i}$. So $\left(X_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right)$ and $\left(X_{2}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ are $\beta$-connected (thus $\pi$-connected) and

$$
\sigma\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \times \sigma\left(\lambda_{2}\right)=\pi\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \times \pi\left(\lambda_{2}\right)=\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \times \alpha\left(\lambda_{2}\right)=\beta\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \times \beta\left(\lambda_{2}\right)=\lambda_{1} \times \lambda_{2}
$$

Take $A=\{(a, a)\}$ and $B=X_{1} \times X_{2}-A=\{(a, b),(b, a),(b, b)\}$. Applying Lemma 1.1 and Proposition 2.3, it is easy to see that $i c A=i c B=X_{1} \times X_{2}$, i.e., $A, B \in \pi\left(\lambda_{1} \times \lambda_{2}\right)$. So the $\operatorname{GPTS}\left(X_{1} \times X_{2}, \lambda_{1} \times \lambda_{2}\right)$ is not $\pi$-connected (thus not $\beta$-connected).

Choose $D=\{(a, a),(a, b),(b, a)\}$. We know from Proposition 2.3 that $i c i D=X_{1} \times X_{2} \supset D$. This implies that $D \in \alpha\left(\lambda_{1} \times \lambda_{2}\right)-\alpha\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \times \alpha\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$. Thus $D \in \sigma\left(\lambda_{1} \times \lambda_{2}\right)-\sigma\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \times \sigma\left(\lambda_{2}\right), D \in \pi\left(\lambda_{1} \times \lambda_{2}\right)-\pi\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \times \pi\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$, $D \in \beta\left(\lambda_{1} \times \lambda_{2}\right)-\beta\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \times \beta\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$.

Hence $\alpha\left(\lambda_{1} \times \lambda_{2}\right) \supsetneqq \alpha\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \times \alpha\left(\lambda_{2}\right), \sigma\left(\lambda_{1} \times \lambda_{2}\right) \supsetneqq \sigma\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \times \sigma\left(\lambda_{2}\right), \pi\left(\lambda_{1} \times \lambda_{2}\right) \supsetneqq$ $\pi\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \times \pi\left(\lambda_{2}\right), \beta\left(\lambda_{1} \times \lambda_{2}\right) \supsetneqq \beta\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \times \beta\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$.
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