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#### Abstract

We establish an easy proof of an integral identity using the unitary invariance, which is applied to compare harmonicity and Mharmonicity.


## 1. Introduction

1.1. Let $B=B_{n}$ denote the open unit ball of the complex $n$-dimensional space $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $S$ denote the boundary of $B: S=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}:|z|=1\right\}$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the group of all automorphisms, that is, one to one biholomorphic onto maps, of $B$. Let $\nu$ and $\sigma$ denote respectively the Lebesgue volume measure on $B$ and the surface measure on $S$ normalized to be $\nu(B)=\sigma(S)=1$, and $\tau$ denote the $\mathcal{M}$-invariant volume measure of $B: d \tau(z)=\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{-(n+1)} d \nu(z)$.
1.2. $\mathcal{M}$ consists of all maps of the form $U \varphi_{a}$, where $U$ is a unitary transformation of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $\varphi_{a}$ is defined by

$$
\varphi_{a}(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{a-P_{a} z-\sqrt{1-|a|^{2}} Q_{a} z}{1-\langle z, a\rangle}, & \text { if } a \neq 0  \tag{1.1}\\ 0, & \text { if } a=0\end{cases}
$$

Here $\langle$,$\rangle is the Hermitian inner product of \mathbb{C}^{n}:\langle z, w\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j} \bar{w}_{j}, z, w \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, $P_{a} z$ is the projection of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ onto the subspace generated by $B$ :

$$
P_{a} z=\frac{\langle z, a\rangle}{\langle a, a\rangle} a, \quad \text { if } a \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad P_{0} z=0
$$

and $Q_{a}(z)=z-P_{a} z$.
1.3. An upper semicontinuous function $f: B \rightarrow[-\infty, \infty), f \not \equiv-\infty$, satisfying the inequality

$$
f(a) \leq \int_{S} f(a+r \zeta) d \sigma(\zeta)
$$

[^0]for all $a \in B$ and for all $r$ such that $a+r \bar{B} \subset B$ is called subharmonic (in $B$ ). An upper semicontinuous function $f: B \rightarrow[-\infty, \infty), f \not \equiv-\infty$, satisfying
$$
f(a) \leq \int_{S} f \circ \varphi_{a}(r \zeta) d \sigma(\zeta)
$$
for all $a \in B$ and for all $r$ sufficiently small is called $\mathcal{M}$-subharmonic. Also, an upper semicontinuous function $f: B \rightarrow[-\infty, \infty)$, is called plurisubharmonic if the functions
$$
\lambda \rightarrow f(a+\lambda b)
$$
are subharmonic in neighborhoods of the origin in $\mathbb{C}$ for all $a \in B, b \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$.
1.4. Let $\Delta$ denote the complex Laplacian of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}$ denote the LaplaceBeltrami operator associated with the Bergman kernel of $B$, that is, for $f \in$ $C^{2}(B)$
$$
\Delta f=4 \sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{j} \bar{D}_{j} f
$$
and
$$
\widetilde{\Delta} f(a)=4\left(1-|a|^{2}\right) \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\delta_{i, j}-\bar{a}_{i} a_{j}\right)\left(\bar{D}_{i} D_{j} f\right)(a), \quad a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in B
$$
where $D_{j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}$ and $\bar{D}_{j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Let $f_{a}$, for $a \in B$, be defined by $f_{a}(\lambda)=f(\lambda a), \lambda \in B_{1}$. Then $\widetilde{\Delta} f(a)$ is equivalently expressible as
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\Delta} f(a)=\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)\left\{\Delta f(a)-\Delta f_{a}(1)\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

See [1, Theorem 4.1.3-(iii)].
1.5. A $C^{2}(B)$ function $f$ is said to be harmonic if $\Delta f \equiv 0, \mathcal{M}$-harmonic if $\widetilde{\Delta} f \equiv 0$, pluriharmonic if $\Delta f \equiv 0 \equiv \widetilde{\Delta} f$ in $B$. For real valued $f \in C^{2}(B)$, $\Delta f \geq 0$ if and only if $f$ is subharmonic, and $\widetilde{\Delta} f \geq 0$ if and only if $f$ is $\mathcal{M}$-subharmonic (see [1, Proposition 4.1]).

It is generally known on $B$ that the harmonicity and the $\mathcal{M}$-harmonicity are neither inclusive nor exclusive when $n \geq 2$. Also $\Delta f \geq 0$ and $\widetilde{\Delta} f \geq 0$ does not imply that $f$ is plurisubharmonic (see [1, 7.2.1]).

## 2. Harmonicity vis $\mathcal{M}$-harmonicity

2.1. In $B$, that $\Delta f \equiv 0$ does not imply $\widetilde{\Delta} f \equiv 0$ when $n \geq 2$. Neither is the converse. But harmonicity and $\mathcal{M}$-harmonicity are equal in the following sense of mean:

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in C^{2}(B)$. Then, for a fixed $r: 0<r<1$,

$$
\int_{r B} \Delta f d \nu=0 \Longleftrightarrow \int_{r B} \widetilde{\Delta} f d \tau=0
$$

2.2. As in 1.5, a $C^{2}(B)$ function harmonic and simultaneously $\mathcal{M}$-harmonic is pluriharmonic. But 1.5 also says that this is no more true when 'harmonic' replaced by 'subharmonic', that is, subharmonicity together with $\mathcal{M}$ subharmonicity can not imply plurisubharmonicity. Between these two different qualities, we have:

Theorem 2.2. $A C^{2}(B)$ function harmonic and simultaneously $\mathcal{M}$-subharmonic is pluriharmonic; a $C^{2}(B)$ function $\mathcal{M}$-harmonic and simultaneously subharmonic is pluriharmonic.

Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 will be proven in Section 3.

## 3. Consequence of the unitary invariance

3.1. We note that the measure $\sigma$ is unitary invariant in the sense that

$$
\int_{S} f(U \zeta) d \sigma(\zeta)=\int_{S} f(\zeta) d \sigma(\zeta)
$$

for unitary transformations $U$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n} . \Delta$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}$ are also unitary invariant as in the following lemma, whose simple proof we include for the reader's convenience.
Lemma 3.1. Let $f \in C^{2}(B)$. Then $\Delta f$ and $\widetilde{\Delta} f$ are unitary invariant in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Delta f)(U a)=\Delta(f \circ U)(a) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\widetilde{\Delta} f)(U a)=\widetilde{\Delta}(f \circ U)(a) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all unitary transformations $U$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $a \in B$.
Proof. Fix $a \in B$. Taylor expansion of $C^{2}(B)$ function $f(z)$ about $z=a$ gives $f(a+\rho \eta)-f(a)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\rho \eta_{j} D_{j} f+\rho \bar{\eta}_{j} \bar{D}_{j} f\right)(a)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \rho^{2} \eta_{j} \bar{\eta}_{k}\left(D_{j} \bar{D}_{k} f\right)(a+\delta \rho \eta)$
for some $\delta$ provided $a+\rho \eta \in B, \rho>0$. Integrating with respect to $d \sigma(\eta)$ over $S$ and dividing by $\rho^{2}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \int_{S}\{f(a+\rho \eta)-f(a)\} d \sigma(\eta)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \int_{S} \eta_{j} \bar{\eta}_{k}\left(D_{j} \bar{D}_{k} f\right)(a+\delta \rho \eta) d \sigma(\eta)
$$

By letting $\rho \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \int_{S}\{f(a+\rho \eta)-f(a)\} d \sigma(\eta)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, k=1}^{n}\left(D_{j} \bar{D}_{k} f\right)(a) \int_{S} \eta_{j} \bar{\eta}_{k} d \sigma(\eta)
$$

Straightforward calculation of the last integral (see [1, 1.4.8 and 1.4.9]) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta f(a)=\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{4 n}{\rho^{2}} \int_{S}\{f(a+\rho \eta)-f(a)\} d \sigma(\eta) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, applying the unitary invariance of the measure $\sigma$ to (3.3), we have (3.1).
Also, by a process similar to the process we used to obtain (3.3), we have the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\Delta} f(a)=\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{4 n}{\rho^{2}} \int_{S}\left\{f \circ \varphi_{a}(\rho \eta)-f(a)\right\} d \sigma(\eta) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [1, 4.1.3-(a)]). Applying the identity $U \varphi_{a} U^{-1}=\varphi_{U a}$, which is obvious from (1.1), to the equation (3.4), we have (3.2).
3.2. By help of Lemma 3.1, we can have an identity linking $\Delta$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}$ as the following.

Theorem 3.2. If $f \in C^{2}(B)$ and $0<r<1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r B} \Delta f(z) d \nu(z)=\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{n-1} \int_{r B} \widetilde{\Delta} f(z) d \tau(z) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U}$ denote the group of unitary transformations of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Since $f \circ U \in$ $C^{2}(B)$ for $U \in \mathcal{U}$, it follows from Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem that

$$
D_{i} \bar{D}_{j}\left(\int_{\mathcal{U}} f \circ U(z) d U\right)=\left(\int_{\mathcal{U}} D_{i} \bar{D}_{j}(f \circ U)(z) d U\right)
$$

for $z \in B$ and $i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Thus, by [1, Proposition 1.4.7] and Lemma 3.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{S}(\Delta f)(|z| \zeta) d \sigma(\zeta) & =\int_{\mathcal{U}}(\Delta f)(U z) d U \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{U}} \Delta(f \circ U)(z) d U \\
& =\Delta\left(\int_{\mathcal{U}}(f \circ U)(z) d U\right) \\
& =\Delta\left(\int_{S} f(|z| \zeta) d \sigma(\zeta)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\int_{S}(\widetilde{\Delta} f)(|z| \zeta) d \sigma(\zeta)=\widetilde{\Delta}\left(\int_{S} f(|z| \zeta) d \sigma(\zeta)\right)
$$

Therefore to obtain (3.5), we are sufficient to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{r} \rho^{2 n-1} \Delta \phi(\rho) d r=\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{n-1} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\rho^{2 n-1}}{\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)^{n+1}} \widetilde{\Delta} \phi(\rho) d \rho, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\phi(\rho)=\int_{S} f(\rho \zeta) d \sigma(\zeta), \quad 0<\rho<r
$$

It follows from a straightforward differentiation that

$$
\Delta \phi(\rho)=\phi^{\prime \prime}(\rho)+\frac{2 n-1}{\rho} \phi^{\prime}(\rho)
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{\Delta} \phi(\rho)=\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)^{2} \Delta \phi(\rho)+2(n-1) \rho\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) \phi^{\prime}(\rho) .
$$

Now elementary calculation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{r} \rho^{2 n-1} \Delta \phi(\rho) d r \\
= & \int_{0}^{r} \rho^{2 n-1}\left\{\phi^{\prime \prime}(\rho)+\frac{2 n-1}{\rho} \phi^{\prime}(\rho)\right\} d \rho \\
= & \int_{0}^{r} \frac{d}{d \rho}\left\{\rho^{2 n-1} \phi^{\prime}(\rho)\right\} d \rho \\
= & r^{2 n-1} \phi^{\prime}(r) \\
= & \left(1-r^{2}\right)^{n-1} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{d}{d \rho}\left\{\frac{1}{\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)^{n-1}} \rho^{2 n-1} \phi^{\prime}(\rho)\right\} d \rho \\
= & \left(1-r^{2}\right)^{n-1} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{1}{\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)^{n}}\left\{\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) \frac{d}{d \rho}\left(\rho^{2 n-1} \phi^{\prime}(\rho)\right)+2(n-1) \rho^{2 n} \phi^{\prime}(\rho)\right\} d \rho \\
= & \left(1-r^{2}\right)^{n-1} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\rho^{2 n-1}}{\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)^{n+1}} \widetilde{\Delta} \phi(\rho) d \rho,
\end{aligned}
$$

which verifies (3.6).
3.3. Theorem 2.1 now follows from Theorem 3.2.
3.4. Theorem 2.2 follows from Theorem 2.1 by noting that a non-negative continuous function whose values integrated to be zero should be zero function.

## 4. Further remarks

4.1. More extensively, Theorem 2.1 and the formula (1.2) gives the followings. Here ' $g$ has same sign in $B$ ' means that $g(a) \geq 0$ for all $a \in B$ or $g(a) \leq 0$ for all $a \in B$ or $g(a)=0$ for all $a \in B$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $f$ be real-valued and $f \in C^{2}(B)$. If $\Delta f=0$ in $B$, then each one of the following property are equivalent.
(a) $f$ is pluriharmonic in $B$.
(b) $\widetilde{\Delta} f$ has same sign in $B$.
(c) $\Delta f_{a}(1)$ has same sign in $B$.
4.2. Exactly same way, we have a similar result with $\Delta f$ and $\widetilde{\Delta} f$ interchanged in Theorem 4.1.
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