KYUNGPOOK Math. J. 53(2013), 419-433
http://dx.doi.org/10.5666/KMJ.2013.53.3.419

A General System of Nonlinear Functional Equations in Non-Archimedean Spaces

Mohammad Bagher Ghaemi and Hamid Majani*
Department of Mathematics, Iran University of Science and Technology, Narmak, Tehran, Iran
e-mail : mghaemi@iust.ac.ir *and* majani.hamid@hotmail.com

Madjid Eshaghi Gordji
Department of Mathematics, Semnan University, P. O. Box 35195–363, Semnan, Iran
e-mail : madjid.eshaghi@gmail.com

Abstract. In this paper, we prove the generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability for a system of functional equations, called general system of nonlinear functional equations, in non-Archimedean normed spaces and Menger probabilistic non-Archimedean normed spaces.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The first stability problem concerning group homomorphisms was raised by Ulam[31] in 1940 and solved in the next year by Hyers[15]. Hyers' theorem was generalized by Aoki[2] for additive mappings and by Rassias[28] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. In 1994, a generalization of the Rassias theorem was obtained by Găvruta[11] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function. In recent years many authors have investigated the stability of various functional equations in various spaces (see for instance [4, 6, 7, 8, 12, 16, 17, 21, 26, 27]).

In this paper we establish some stability result concerning a general system of nonlinear functional equations in non-Archimedean normed spaces and Menger probabilistic non-Archimedean normed spaces.

It has been turned out that non-Archimedean spaces have many useful applications in quantum physics, p–adic strings and superstrings (see [5, 18, 19, 24]). The proofs for non–Archimedean spaces are essentially different and entirely require new kind of intuition (see for instance [3, 9, 10, 23, 25, 32]).

---

* Corresponding Author.
Received July 14, 2011; accepted January 18, 2013.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B82, 39B52, 46S50.
Key words and phrases: Nonlinear Functional Equations, non-Archimedean Normed spaces, Generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability.

Definition 1.1. Let K be a field. A valuation mapping on K is a function $|\cdot| : K \to R$ such that for any $a, b \in K$ we have

(i) $|a| \geq 0$ and equality holds if and only if $a = 0$,

(ii) $|ab| = |a||b|$,

(iii) $|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$.

A field endowed with a valuation mapping will be called a valued field. If the condition (iii) in the definition of a valuation mapping is replaced with

$$(\text{iii})' \ |a + b| \leq \max\{|a|, |b|\}$$

then the valuation $|\cdot|$ is said to be non–Archimedean. The condition(iii)$'$ is called the strict triangle inequality. By (ii), we have $|1| = |-1| = 1$. Thus, by induction, it follows from (iii)$'$ that $|n| \leq 1$ for each integer n. We always assume in addition that $|\cdot|$ is non trivial, i.e., that there is an $a_0 \in K$ such that $|a_0| \notin \{0, 1\}$.The most important examples of non-Archimedean spaces are p–adic numbers.

Example 1.2. Let p be a prime number. For any non–zero rational number $a = p^r \frac{m}{n}$ such that m and n are coprime to the prime number p, define the p–adic absolute value $|a|_p = p^{-r}$. Then $|\cdot|$ is a non–Archimedean norm on $\mathbb{Q}$. The completion of $\mathbb{Q}$ with respect to $|\cdot|$ is denoted by $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and is called the p–adic number field.

Definition 1.3. Let X be a linear space over a scalar field K with a non–Archimedean non–trivial valuation $|\cdot|$. A function $\|\cdot\| : X \to R$ is a non–Archimedean norm (valuation) if it satisfies the following conditions:

(NA1) $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;

(NA2) $\|rx\| = |r|\|x\|$ for all $r \in K$ and $x \in X$;

(NA3) the strong triangle inequality (ultrametric); namely,
$\|x + y\| \leq \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\} \qquad (x, y \in X)$.

Then $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a non–Archimedean space.

It follows from $(NA3)$ that

$$\|x_m - x_l\| \leq \max\{\|x_{j+1} - x_j\| : l \leq j \leq m-1\} \qquad (m > l),$$

therefore a sequence $\{x_m\}$ is Cauchy in X if and only if $\{x_{m+1} - x_m\}$ converges to zero in a non–Archimedean space.

Probabilistic normed spaces were first defined by Šerstnev in 1962 (see [30]). Their definition was generalized by Alsina, Schewizer and Sklar [1]. We recall and apply the definition of Menger probabilistic normed spaces briefly as given in [29].

Definition 1.4. A distance distribution function (briefly, a d.d.f.) is a non-decreasing function F from $[0,+\infty]$ into $[0,1]$ that satisfies $F(0)=0$ and $F(+\infty)=1$, and is left-continuous on $(0,+\infty)$. The space of d.d.f.'s will be denoted by Δ^+; and the set of all F in Δ^+ for which $\lim_{t\to+\infty^-} F(t)=1$ by D^+. The space Δ^+ is partially ordered by the usual pointwise ordering of functions, i.e., $F\leq G$ if and only if $F(x)\leq G(x)$ for all x in $[0,+\infty]$. For any $a\geq 0$, ε_a is the d.d.f. given by

$$\varepsilon_a(t)=\begin{cases}0, & \text{if} \quad t\leq a,\\ 1, & \text{if} \quad t>a.\end{cases}$$

Definition 1.5. A triangular norm (briefly t–norm) is a binary operation $T:[0,1]\times[0,1]\to[0,1]$ which is commutative, associative, non–decreasing in each variable and has 1 as the unit element. Basic examples are the Łukasiewicz t–norm T_L, $T_L(a,b)=\max(a+b-1,0)$, the product t–norm T_P, $T_P(a,b)=ab$ and the strongest triangular norm T_M, $T_M(a,b)=\min(a,b)$.

Definition 1.6. A *Menger Probabilistic Normed space* is a triple (X,ν,T), where X is a real vector space, T is continuous t–norm and ν is a mapping (the *probabilistic norm*) from X into Δ^+, such that for every choice of p and q in X and a,s,t in $(0,+\infty)$, the following hold:

(PN1) $\nu(p)=\varepsilon_0$, if and only if, $p=\theta$ (θ is the null vector in X);

(PN2)$\nu(ap)(t)=\nu(p)(\frac{t}{|a|})$;

(PN3) $\nu(p+q)(s+t)\geq T\big(\nu(p)(s),\nu(q)(t)\big)$.

Now we introduce definition of a Menger probabilistic non–Archimedean normed space by the definition of a non–Archimedean fuzzy normed space which is given in [20] and [22].

Definition 1.7. Let X be a vector space over a non–Archimedean field K and T be a continuous t–norm. A triple (X,ν,T) is said to be a Menger probabilistic non–Archimedean normed space if $(PN1)$ and $(PN2)$ (in Definition**??**) and

$$(PNA3)\ \nu(x+y)(\max\{s,t\})\geq T\Big(\nu(x)(s),\nu(y)(t)\Big),$$

for all $x,y\in X$ and all $s,t>0$, are satisfied.

It follows from $\nu(x)\in\Delta^+$ that $\nu(x)$ is non–decreasing for every $x\in X$. So one can show that the condition $(PNA3)$ is equivalent to the following condition:

$$\nu(x+y)(t)\geq T\Big(\nu(x)(t),\nu(y)(t)\Big).$$

Definition 1.8. Let (X, ν, T) be a Menger probabilistic non–Archimedean normed space. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X. Then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent if there exists $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \nu(x_n - x)(t) = 1$, for all $t > 0$. In that case, x is called the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called Cauchy if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $t > 0$ there exists n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$ and all $p > 0$ we have $\nu(x_{n+p} - x_n)(t) > 1 - \varepsilon$.

Let T be a given t–norm. Then (by associativity) a family of mappings T^n : $[0, 1] \to [0, 1]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is defined as follows:

$$T^1(x) = T(x, x)\ ,\ T^n(x) = T(T^{n-1}(x), x)\ ,\ x \in [0, 1].$$

For three important t–norms T_M, T_P and T_L we have

$$T^n_M(x) = x\ ,\ T^n_P(x) = x^n\ ,\ T^n_L(x) = \max\{(n + 1)x - n, 0\}\ ,\ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Definition 1.9(Hadzić[13]). A t–norm T is said to be of H–type if a family of functions $\{T^n(t)\}$; $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is equicontinuous at $t = 1$, that is,

$$\forall\varepsilon \in (0, 1)\ \exists\delta \in (0, 1)\ :\ t > 1 - \delta\ \Rightarrow\ T^n(t) > 1 - \varepsilon\ (n \geq 1).$$

The t-norm T_M is a trivial example of t–norm of H–type, but there are t-norms of H–type with $T \neq T_M$ (see e.g., Hadzić[14]).

Lemma 1.10. *We consider the notations of the Definition(1.8.). Also assume that T is a t–norm of H–type. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $t > 0$ there exists n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$ we have $\nu(x_{n+1} - x_n)(t) > 1 - \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Due to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nu(x_{n+p} - x_n)(t) \geq T\Big(\nu(x_{n+p} - x_{n+p-1})(t), \nu(x_{n+p-1} - x_n)(t)\Big) \geq \\
&T\Big(\nu(x_{n+p} - x_{n+p-1})(t), T(\nu(x_{n+p-1} - x_{n+p-2})(t), \nu(x_{n+p-2} - x_n)(t))\Big) \geq \\
&\quad\vdots \\
&\geq T\Big(\nu(x_{n+p} - x_{n+p-1})(t), T(\nu(x_{n+p-1} - x_{n+p-2})(t), \cdots, \\
&T(\nu(x_{n+2} - x_{n+1})(t), \nu(x_{n+1} - x_n)(t))) \cdots\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

and by the assumption of T, which is an H–type t–norm, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $t > 0$ there exists n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$ we have $\nu(x_{n+1} - x_n)(t) > 1 - \varepsilon$. We will use this criterion in this paper. □

It is easy to see that every convergent sequence in a (Menger probabilistic) non–Archimedean normed space is Cauchy. If each Cauchy sequence is convergent, then the (Menger probabilistic) non–Archimedean normed space is said to be complete and is called (Menger probabilistic) non–Archimedean Banach space.

We assume that $f : X^n \to Y$ and $\lambda_i : \mathbb{K} \to \mathbb{K}$ be mappings and introduce the following system:

$$(1.1)\qquad \begin{cases} f(a_1x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = \lambda_1(a_1)f(x_1, ..., x_n); \\ f(x_1, a_2x_2, ..., x_n) = \lambda_2(a_2)f(x_1, ..., x_n); \\ \quad\vdots \\ f(x_1, ..., a_ix_i, ..., x_n) = \lambda_i(a_i)f(x_1, ..., x_n); \\ \quad\vdots \\ f(x_1, ..., x_{n-1}, a_nx_n) = \lambda_n(a_n)f(x_1, ..., x_n); \end{cases}$$

for all $x_i \in X$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\},\ i = 1, ..., n$. We call the above system the general system of nonlinear functional equations. One can show that the following mappings satisfying system(1.1).

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_i(a) = a^i \implies f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = x_1x_2^2 \cdots x_n^n \\ \lambda_i(a) = b_i^{a^i} \text{ for } b_i \neq 0, \pm 1 \implies f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = b_1^{x_1}b_2^{x_2^2} \cdots b_n^{x_n^n} \end{cases}$$

In the section(2), we establish the generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of system(1.1) in non–Archimedean Banach spaces. In the section(3), we establish the generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of system(1.1) in Menger probabilistic non–Archimedean Banach spaces.

2. System(1.1) Stability in non–Archimedean Banach Spaces

In this section, we prove the generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of system(1.1) in non–Archimedean Banach spaces. Throughout this section, we assume that $i, m, n, p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, K is a non–Archimedean field, Y is a non–Archimedean Banach space over K and X is a vector space over K. Also assume that $f : X^n \to Y$ and $\lambda_i : \mathbb{K} \to \mathbb{K}$ are mappings.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\varphi_i : X^n \to [0, \infty)$ for $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ be a function such that*

$$(2.1)\qquad \lim_{m\to\infty} \max\{\frac{1}{|(\lambda_1(a_1))^{m+1}...(\lambda_i(a_i))^{m+1}(\lambda_{i+1}(a_{i+1}))^m...(\lambda_n(a_n))^m|} \varphi_i(a_1^{m+1}x_1, ..., a_{i-1}^{m+1}x_{i-1}, a_i^m x_i, ..., a_n^m x_n)\ :\ i = 1, ..., n\} = 0,$$

and

$$\Phi = \Phi(x_1, ..., x_n) = \lim_{p\to\infty} \max\Big\{ \max\{\frac{1}{|(\lambda_1(a_1))^{m+1}...(\lambda_i(a_i))^{m+1}(\lambda_{i+1}(a_{i+1}))^m...(\lambda_n(a_n))^m|} \varphi_i(a_1^{m+1}x_1, ..., a_{i-1}^{m+1}x_{i-1}, a_i^m x_i, ..., a_n^m x_n) \;:\; i = 1, ..., n\} \;:\; m = 0, 1, ..., p \Big\} < \infty, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{1}{|(\lambda_1(a_1))^m...(\lambda_n(a_n))^m|} \varphi_i(a_1^m x_1, ..., a_n^m x_n) = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

for all $x_i \in X$ *and* $a_i \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$, $i = 1, ..., n$. *Let* $f : X^n \to Y$ *be a mapping satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} \|f(a_1x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) - \lambda_1(a_1)f(x_1, ..., x_n)\| \le \varphi_1(x_1, ..., x_n); \\ \quad\vdots \\ \|f(x_1, ..., a_ix_i, ..., x_n) - \lambda_i(a_i)f(x_1, ..., x_n)\| \le \varphi_i(x_1, ..., x_n); \\ \quad\vdots \\ \|f(x_1, ..., x_{n-1}, a_nx_n) - \lambda_n(a_n)f(x_1, ..., x_n)\| \le \varphi_n(x_1, ..., x_n); \end{cases}$$

for all $x_i \in X$ *and* $a_i \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$, $i = 1, ..., n$. *Then there exists a unique mapping* $T : X^n \to Y$ *satisfying system(1.1) and*

$$\|f(x_1, ..., x_n) - T(x_1, ..., x_n)\| \le \Phi, \tag{2.4}$$

for all $x_i \in X$, $i = 1, ..., n$.

Proof. Fix $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and consider the following inequality.

$$\|f(x_1, ..., a_ix_i, ..., x_n) - \lambda_i(a_i)f(x_1, ..., x_n)\| \le \varphi_i(x_1, ..., x_n). \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.5) we get

$$\|f(x_1, ..., x_n) - \frac{1}{\lambda_i(a_i)} f(x_1, ..., a_ix_i, ..., x_n)\| \le \frac{1}{|\lambda_i(a_i)|} \varphi_i(x_1, ..., x_n).$$

Therefore one can obtain

$$\|\frac{1}{\lambda_1(a_1)...\lambda_{i-1}(a_{i-1})} f(a_1x_1, ..., a_{i-1}x_{i-1}, x_i, ..., x_n) - \frac{1}{\lambda_1(a_1)...\lambda_i(a_i)} f(a_1x_1, ..., a_ix_i, x_{i+1}, ..., x_n)\| \le \frac{1}{|\lambda_1(a_1)...\lambda_i(a_i)|} \varphi_i(a_1x_1, ..., a_{i-1}x_{i-1}, x_i, ..., x_n). \tag{2.6}$$

So by induction and (2.6), we conclude

$$\|f(x_1,...,x_n)-\frac{1}{\lambda_1(a_1)...\lambda_n(a_n)}f(a_1x_1,...,a_nx_n)\|\leq$$
$$\max\{\frac{1}{|\lambda_1(a_1)...\lambda_i(a_i)|}\varphi_i(a_1x_1,...,a_{i-1}x_{i-1},x_i,...,x_n)\ :\ i=1,...,n\}.$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\frac{1}{(\lambda_1(a_1))^m...(\lambda_n(a_n))^m}f(a_1^mx_1,...,a_n^mx_n)-\\&\frac{1}{(\lambda_1(a_1))^{m+1}...(\lambda_n(a_n))^{m+1}}f(a_1^{m+1}x_1,...,a_n^{m+1}x_n)\|\leq\\&\max\{\frac{1}{|(\lambda_1(a_1))^{m+1}...(\lambda_i(a_i))^{m+1}(\lambda_{i+1}(a_{i+1}))^m...(\lambda_n(a_n))^m|}\\&\varphi_i(a_1^{m+1}x_1,...,a_{i-1}^{m+1}x_{i-1},a_i^mx_i,...,a_n^mx_n)\ :\ i=1,...,n\},\end{aligned}\tag{2.7}$$

for all $m\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. It follows from (2.7) and (2.1) that the sequence

$$\{\frac{1}{(\lambda_1(a_1))^m...(\lambda_n(a_n))^m}f(a_1^mx_1,...,a_n^mx_n)\}$$

is Cauchy. Since the space Y is complete, this sequence is convergent. Therefore we can define
$T:X^n\to Y$ by

$$T(x_1,...,x_n):=\lim_{m\to\infty}\frac{1}{(\lambda_1(a_1))^m...(\lambda_n(a_n))^m}f(a_1^mx_1,...,a_n^mx_n),\tag{2.8}$$

for all $x_i\in X$ and $a_i\in\mathbb{K}\setminus\{0\},\ i=1,...,n$. Using induction with (2.7) one can show that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|f(x_1,...,x_n)-\frac{1}{(\lambda_1(a_1))^p...(\lambda_n(a_n))^p}f(a_1^px_1,...,a_n^px_n)\|\leq\\&\max\Big\{\max\{\frac{1}{|(\lambda_1(a_1))^{m+1}...(\lambda_i(a_i))^{m+1}(\lambda_{i+1}(a_{i+1}))^m...(\lambda_n(a_n))^m|}\\&\varphi_i(a_1^{m+1}x_1,...,a_{i-1}^{m+1}x_{i-1},a_i^mx_i,...,a_n^mx_n)\\&\ :\ i=1,...,n\}\ :\ m=0,1,...,p\Big\}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.9}$$

for all $x_i\in X,\ i=1,...,n$ and $p\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. By taking p to approach infinity in (2.9) and using (2.2) one obtains (2.4).

For fixed $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and by (2.5) and (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|T(x_1, ..., a_i x_i, ..., x_n) - \lambda_i(a_i) T(x_1, ..., x_n)\| = \\ &\lim_{m\to\infty} \|\frac{1}{(\lambda_1(a_1))^m ... (\lambda_n(a_n))^m} f(a_1^m x_1, ..., a_i^{m+1} x_i, ..., a_n^m x_n) - \\ &\frac{\lambda_i(a_i)}{(\lambda_1(a_1))^m ... (\lambda_n(a_n))^m} f(a_1^m x_1, ..., a_n^m x_n)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{1}{|(\lambda_1(a_1))^m ... (\lambda_n(a_n))^m|} \varphi_i(a_1^m x_1, ..., a_n^m x_n). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

By (2.10) and (2.3), we conclude that T satisfies system(1.1).

Suppose that there exists another mapping $T' : X^n \to Y$ which satisfies system(1.1) and (2.4). So we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|T(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) - T'(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)\| \leq \\ &\frac{1}{|(\lambda_1(a_1))^m ... (\lambda_n(a_n))^m|} \max\Big\{\|T(a_1^m x_1, ..., a_n^m x_n) - f(a_1^m x_1, ..., a_n^m x_n)\|, \\ &\|f(a_1^m x_1, ..., a_n^m x_n) - T'(a_1^m x_1, ..., a_n^m x_n)\|\Big\} \leq \\ &\frac{1}{|(\lambda_1(a_1))^m ... (\lambda_n(a_n))^m|} \max\Big\{\Phi(a_1^m x_1, ..., a_n^m x_n)\ , \Phi(a_1^m x_1, ..., a_n^m x_n)\Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $m \to \infty$ by (2.2). Therefore $T = T'$. This completes the proof. □

We obtain the following corollary if we assume $\lambda_i(a) = a^i$ in Theorem(2.1).

Corollary 2.2. *Let $\varphi_i : X^n \to [0, \infty)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ be a function such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{m\to\infty} \max\{\frac{1}{|a_1^{m+1} a_2^{2(m+1)} ... a_i^{i(m+1)} a_{i+1}^{(i+1)m} ... a_n^{nm}|} \varphi_i(a_1^{m+1} x_1, ..., a_{i-1}^{m+1} x_{i-1}, \\ &a_i^m x_i, ..., a_n^m x_n)\ :\ i = 1, ..., n\} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi = \Phi(x_1, ..., x_n) = \\ &\lim_{p\to\infty} \max\Big\{\max\{\frac{1}{|a_1^{m+1} a_2^{2(m+1)} ... a_i^{i(m+1)} a_{i+1}^{(i+1)m} ... a_n^{nm}|} \varphi_i(a_1^{m+1} x_1, ..., a_{i-1}^{m+1} x_{i-1}, \\ &a_i^m x_i, ..., a_n^m x_n)\ :\ i = 1, ..., n\}\ :\ m = 0, 1, ..., p\Big\} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{1}{|a_1^m a_2^{2m} ... a_n^{nm}|} \varphi_i(a_1^m x_1, ..., a_n^m x_n) = 0,$$

for all $x_i \in X$ *and* $a_i \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$, $i = 1, ..., n$. *Let* $f : X^n \to Y$ *be a mapping satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} \|f(a_1x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) - a_1 f(x_1, ..., x_n)\| \leq \varphi_1(x_1, ..., x_n); \\ \|f(x_1, a_2x_2, ..., x_n) - a_2^2 f(x_1, ..., x_n)\| \leq \varphi_2(x_1, ..., x_n); \\ \qquad \vdots \\ \|f(x_1, ..., a_ix_i, ..., x_n) - a_i^i f(x_1, ..., x_n)\| \leq \varphi_i(x_1, ..., x_n); \\ \qquad \vdots \\ \|f(x_1, ..., x_{n-1}, a_nx_n) - a_n^n f(x_1, ..., x_n)\| \leq \varphi_n(x_1, ..., x_n); \end{cases}$$

for all $x_i \in X$ *and* $a_i \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$, $i = 1, ..., n$. *Then there exists a unique mapping* $T : X^n \to Y$ *satisfying system(1.1) for* $\lambda_i(a_i) = a_i^i$ *and*

$$\|f(x_1, ..., x_n) - T(x_1, ..., x_n)\| \leq \Phi,$$

for all $x_i \in X$, $i = 1, ..., n$.

3. System (1.1) Stability in Menger Probabilistic non–Archimedean Banach Spaces

In this section, we prove the generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of system(1.1) in Menger probabilistic non–Archimedean Banach spaces. Throughout this section, we assume that $u \in \mathbb{R}$, $i, m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, K is a non–Archimedean field, T is a continuous t–norm of H–type, (Y, ν, T) is a Menger probabilistic non–Archimedean Banach space over K, (Z, ω, T) is a Menger probabilistic non–Archimedean normed space over K and X is a vector space over K. Also assume that $f : X^n \to Y$ and $\lambda_i : \mathbb{K} \to \mathbb{K}$ are mappings.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $\varphi_i : X^n \to Z$ *for* $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ *be a mapping such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{\varphi}_i = \tilde{\varphi}_i(x_1, ..., x_n, u) = \\ \omega\Big(\frac{1}{|\lambda_1(a_1)...\lambda_i(a_i)|}\varphi_i(a_1x_1, ..., a_{i-1}x_{i-1}, x_i, ..., x_n)\Big)(u); \\ \Phi_1 = \Phi_1(x_1, ..., x_n, u) = \tilde{\varphi}_1(x_1, ..., x_n, u); \\ \Phi_i = \Phi_i(x_1, ..., x_n, u) = T\Big(\tilde{\varphi}_i(x_1, ..., x_n, u), \Phi_{i-1}(x_1, ..., x_n, u)\Big); \\ \lim_{m\to\infty} \Phi_n(a_1^m x_1, ..., a_n^m x_n, |(\lambda_1(a_1))^m ... (\lambda_n(a_n))^m| u) = 1; \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.2) \qquad \lim_{m\to\infty} \omega\Big(\frac{1}{|(\lambda_1(a_1))^m ... (\lambda_n(a_n))^m|}\varphi_i(a_1^m x_1, ..., a_n^m x_n)\Big)(u) = 1;$$

and

$$(3.3)\quad \begin{cases} \Phi_m^* = \Phi_m^*(x_1,...,x_n,u) = \\ \Phi_n(a_1^m x_1,...,a_n^m x_n, |(\lambda_1(a_1))^m...(\lambda_n(a_n))^m|u); \\ \Psi_0 = \Phi_0^*(x_1,...,x_n,u) = \Phi_n(x_1,...,x_n,u); \\ \Psi_m = \Psi_m(x_1,...,x_n,u) = \\ T\Big(\Phi_m^*(x_1,...,x_n,u), \Psi_{m-1}(x_1,...,x_n,u)\Big); \\ \Psi = \Psi\Big(x_1,...,x_n,u\Big) = \lim_{m\to\infty}\Psi_m = 1; \end{cases}$$

for all $u > 0$, $x_i \in X$ *and* $a_i \in \mathbb{K}\setminus\{0\}$, $i = 1,...,n$. *Let* $f : X^n \to Y$ *be a mapping satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} \nu\Big(f(a_1x_1,x_2,...,x_n) - \lambda_1(a_1)f(x_1,...,x_n)\Big)(u) \geq \omega\Big(\varphi_1(x_1,...,x_n)\Big)(u); \\ \quad\vdots \\ \nu\Big(f(x_1,...,a_ix_i,...,x_n) - \lambda_i(a_i)f(x_1,...,x_n)\Big)(u) \geq \omega\Big(\varphi_i(x_1,...,x_n)\Big)(u); \\ \quad\vdots \\ \nu\Big(f(x_1,...,x_{n-1},a_nx_n) - \lambda_n(a_n)f(x_1,...,x_n)\Big)(u) \geq \omega\Big(\varphi_n(x_1,...,x_n)\Big)(u); \end{cases}$$

for all $u > 0$, $x_i \in X$ *and* $a_i \in \mathbb{K}\setminus\{0\}$, $i = 1,...,n$. *Then there exists a unique mapping* $F : X^n \to Y$ *satisfying system(1.1) and*

$$(3.4)\quad \nu\Big(f(x_1,...,x_n) - F(x_1,...,x_n)\Big)(u) \geq \Psi,$$

for all $u > 0$ *and* $x_i \in X$, $i = 1,...,n$.

Proof. Fix $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$ and consider the following inequality.

$$(3.5)\quad \begin{aligned} &\nu\Big(f(x_1,...,a_ix_i,...,x_n) - \lambda_i(a_i)f(x_1,...,x_n)\Big)(u) \geq \\ &\omega\Big(\varphi_i(x_1,...,x_n)\Big)(u). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.5) we get

$$\nu\Big(f(x_1,...,x_n) - \frac{1}{\lambda_i(a_i)}f(x_1,...,a_ix_i,...,x_n)\Big)(u) \geq \omega\Big(\frac{1}{|\lambda_i(a_i)|}\varphi_i(x_1,...,x_n)\Big)(u).$$

Therefore one can obtain

$$(3.6)\quad \begin{aligned} &\nu\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_1(a_1)...\lambda_{i-1}(a_{i-1})}f(a_1x_1,...,a_{i-1}x_{i-1},x_i,...,x_n) - \\ &\frac{1}{\lambda_1(a_1)...\lambda_i(a_i)}f(a_1x_1,...,a_ix_i,x_{i+1},...,x_n)\Big)(u) \geq \\ &\omega\Big(\frac{1}{|\lambda_1(a_1)...\lambda_i(a_i)|}\varphi_i(a_1x_1,...,a_{i-1}x_{i-1},x_i,...,x_n)\Big)(u) = \tilde{\varphi}_i. \end{aligned}$$

So by induction and by (3.1) and (3.6), we have

$$\nu\Big(f(x_1,...,x_n) - \frac{1}{\lambda_1(a_1)...\lambda_n(a_n)}f(a_1x_1,...,a_nx_n)\Big)(u) \geq \Phi_n. \tag{3.7}$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\nu\Big(\frac{1}{(\lambda_1(a_1))^m...(\lambda_n(a_n))^m}f(a_1^m x_1,...,a_n^m x_n)-\\ &\frac{1}{(\lambda_1(a_1))^{m+1}...(\lambda_n(a_n))^{m+1}}f(a_1^{m+1}x_1,...,a_n^{m+1}x_n)\Big)(u) \geq\\ &\Phi_n(a_1^m x_1,...,a_n^m x_n,|(\lambda_1(a_1))^m...(\lambda_n(a_n))^m|u)\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. So by (3.1) and (3.8), the sequence

$$\{\frac{1}{(\lambda_1(a_1))^m...(\lambda_n(a_n))^m}f(a_1^m x_1,...,a_n^m x_n)\}$$

is Cauchy. By completeness of Y, we conclude that it is convergent. Therefore we can define $F : X^n \to Y$ by

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{m\to\infty}\nu\Big(F(x_1,...,x_n)-\\ &\frac{1}{(\lambda_1(a_1))^m...(\lambda_n(a_n))^m}f(a_1^m x_1,...,a_n^m x_n)\Big)(u) = 1,\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

for all $u > 0$, $x_i \in X$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{K}\setminus\{0\}$, $i = 1,...,n$. Using induction with (3.8) one can show that

$$\begin{aligned}&\nu\Big(f(x_1,...,x_n)-\\ &\frac{1}{(\lambda_1(a_1))^m...(\lambda_n(a_n))^m}f(a_1^m x_1,...,a_n^m x_n)\Big)(u) \geq \Psi_m.\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

By taking m to approach infinity in (3.10) and using (3.3) one obtains (3.4).

For $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and by (3.5) and (3.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\nu\Big(F(x_1,...,a_ix_i,...,x_n) - \lambda_i(a_i)F(x_1,...,x_n)\Big)(u) =\\ &\lim_{m\to\infty}\nu\Big(\frac{1}{(\lambda_1(a_1))^m...(\lambda_n(a_n))^m}f(a_1^m x_1,...,a_i^{m+1}x_i,...,a_n^m x_n)-\\ &\frac{\lambda_i(a_i)}{(\lambda_1(a_1))^m...(\lambda_n(a_n))^m}f(a_1^m x_1,...,a_n^m x_n)\Big)(u) \geq\\ &\lim_{m\to\infty}\omega\Big(\frac{1}{|(\lambda_1(a_1))^m...(\lambda_n(a_n))^m|}\varphi_i(a_1^m x_1,...,a_n^m x_n)\Big)(u).\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

By (3.2) and (3.11), we conclude that F satisfies system(1.1).

Suppose that there exists another mapping $F' : X^n \to X$ which satisfies system(1.1) and (3.4). So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nu\Big(F(x_1,...,x_n) - F'(x_1,...,x_n)\Big)(u)\\
&= \nu\Big(\frac{1}{(\lambda_1(a_1))^m ... (\lambda_n(a_n))^m} F(a_1^m x_1,...,a_n^m x_n)\\
&\qquad - \frac{1}{(\lambda_1(a_1))^m ... (\lambda_n(a_n))^m} f(a_1^m x_1,...,a_n^m x_n)\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{(\lambda_1(a_1))^m ... (\lambda_n(a_n))^m} f(a_1^m x_1,...,a_n^m x_n)\\
&\qquad - \frac{1}{(\lambda_1(a_1))^m ... (\lambda_n(a_n))^m} F'(a_1^m x_1,...,a_n^m x_n)\Big)(u)\\
&\geq T\Big\{\Psi_m\Big(a_1^m x_1,...,a_n^m x_n, |(\lambda_1(a_1))^m ... (\lambda_n(a_n))^m| u\Big),\\
&\qquad \Psi_m\Big(a_1^m x_1,...,a_n^m x_n, |(\lambda_1(a_1))^m ... (\lambda_n(a_n))^m| u\Big)\Big\},
\end{aligned}$$

which tends to 1 as $m \to \infty$ by (3.3). Therefore $F = F'$.
This completes the proof. □

We conclude the following corollary if we assume $\lambda_i(a) = a^i$ in Theorem(3.1).

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\varphi_i : X^n \to Z$ for $i \in \{1,...,n\}$ be a mapping such that*

$$\begin{cases}
\tilde{\varphi}_i = \tilde{\varphi}_i(x_1,...,x_n,u) = \omega\Big(\frac{1}{|a_1 a_2^2 ... a_i^i|}\varphi_i(a_1x_1,...,a_{i-1}x_{i-1},x_i,...,x_n)\Big)(u);\\
\Phi_1 = \Phi_1(x_1,...,x_n,u) = \tilde{\varphi}_1(x_1,...,x_n,u);\\
\Phi_i = \Phi_i(x_1,...,x_n,u) = T\Big(\tilde{\varphi}_i(x_1,...,x_n,u), \Phi_{i-1}(x_1,...,x_n,u)\Big);\\
\lim_{m\to\infty} \Phi_n(a_1^m x_1,...,a_n^m x_n, |a_1^m a_2^{2m} ... a_n^{nm}| u) = 1;
\end{cases}$$

and

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \omega\Big(\frac{1}{|a_1^m a_2^{2m} ... a_n^{nm}|}\varphi_i(a_1^m x_1,...,a_n^m x_n)\Big)(u) = 1;$$

and

$$\begin{cases}
\Phi_m^* = \Phi_m^*(x_1,...,x_n,u) = \Phi_n(a_1^m x_1,...,a_n^m x_n, |a_1^m a_2^{2m} ... a_n^{nm}| u);\\
\Psi_0 = \Phi_0^*(x_1,...,x_n,u) = \Phi_n(x_1,...,x_n,u);\\
\Psi_m = \Psi_m(x_1,...,x_n,u) = T\Big(\Phi_m^*(x_1,...,x_n,u), \Psi_{m-1}(x_1,...,x_n,u)\Big);\\
\Psi = \Psi\Big(x_1,...,x_n,u\Big) = \lim_{m\to\infty} \Psi_m = 1.
\end{cases}$$

for all $u > 0$, $x_i \in X$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{K}\setminus\{0\}$, $i = 1,...,n$. Let $f : X^n \to Y$ be a mapping *satisfying*

$$\begin{cases}\nu\Big(f(a_1x_1,x_2,...,x_n)-a_1f(x_1,...,x_n)\Big)(u)\geq\omega\Big(\varphi_1(x_1,...,x_n)\Big)(u);\\ \nu\Big(f(x_1,a_2x_2,...,x_n)-a_2^2f(x_1,...,x_n)\Big)(u)\geq\omega\Big(\varphi_2(x_1,...,x_n)\Big)(u);\\ \quad\vdots\\ \nu\Big(f(x_1,...,a_ix_i,...,x_n)-a_i^if(x_1,...,x_n)\Big)(u)\geq\omega\Big(\varphi_i(x_1,...,x_n)\Big)(u);\\ \quad\vdots\\ \nu\Big(f(x_1,...,x_{n-1},a_nx_n)-a_n^nf(x_1,...,x_n)\Big)(u)\geq\omega\Big(\varphi_n(x_1,...,x_n)\Big)(u);\end{cases}$$

for all $u>0$, $x_i\in X$ *and* $a_i\in\mathbb{K}\setminus\{0\}$, $i=1,...,n$. *Then there exists a unique mapping* $F:X^n\to Y$ *satisfying system(1.1) for* $\lambda_i(a_i)=a_i^i$ *and*

$$\nu\Big(f(x_1,...,x_n)-F(x_1,...,x_n)\Big)(u)\geq\Psi$$

for all $u>0$ *and* $x_i\in X$, $i=1,...,n$.

References

[1] C. Alsina, B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *On the definition of a probabilistic normed space*, Aequationes Math., **46**(1993), 91-98.

[2] T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **2**(1950), 64-66.

[3] L. M. Arriola and W. A. Beyer, *Stability of the Cauchy functional equation over p–adic fields*, Real Anal. Exchange, **31**(2005/2006), 125-132.

[4] C. Baak and M. S. Moslehian, *On the Stability of Orthogonally Cubic Functional Equations* , Kyungpook Math. J., **47**(2007), 69-76.

[5] D. Deses, *On the representation of non-Archimedean objects*, Topology and its Applications, **153**(2005), 774-785.

[6] M. Eshaghi Gordji, M. B. Ghaemi and H. Majani, *Generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias Theorem in Menger Probabilistic Normed Spaces*, Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society, Volume 2010, Article ID 162371, 11 pages.

[7] M. Eshaghi Gordji, M. B. Ghaemi, H. Majani and C. Park, *Generalized Ulam–Hyers Stability of Jensen Functional Equation in Šerstnev PN Spaces*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, Volume 2010, Article ID 868193, 14 pages.

[8] M. Eshaghi Gordji, H. Khodaei, *Solution and stability of generalized mixed type cubic, quadratic and additive functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., **71**(2009), 5629-5643.

[9] M. Eshaghi Gordji and H. Khodaei, Stability of Functional Equations, LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, 2010.

[10] M. Eshaghi Gordji and M. B. Savadkouhi, *Stability of a mixed type cubic-quartic functional equation in non–Archimedean spaces*, Appl. Math. Lett.' **23(10)**(2010), 1198-1202.

[11] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **184**(1994), 431-436.

[12] M. B. Ghaemi, H. Majani and M. Eshaghi Gordji, *Approximately Quintic And Sextic Mappings On The Probabilistic Normed Spaces*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **49(2)**(2012), 339-352.

[13] O. Hadzić, *A fixed point theorem in Menger spaces*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd), **T20**(1979), 107-112.

[14] O. Hadzić, *Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in probabilistic metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., **88**(1997), 219-226.

[15] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., **27**(1941), 222-224.

[16] K.-W. Jun and Y.-H. Lee, *A Generalization of the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias Stability of the Pexiderized Quadratic Equations, II*, Kyungpook Math. J., **47**(2007), 91-103.

[17] Y.-S. Jung and K.-H. Park, *On the Generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias Stability for a Functional Equation of Two Types in p–Banach Spaces*, Kyungpook Math. J., **48**(2008), 45-61.

[18] A. K. Katsaras and A. Beoyiannis, *Tensor products of non–Archimedean weighted spaces of continuous functions*, Georgian Mathematical Journal, **6**(1999), 33-44.

[19] A. Khrennikov, non–Archimedean Analysis: Quantum Paradoxes, Dynamical Systems and Biological Models, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1997.

[20] D. Mihet, *The stability of the additive Cauchy functional equationin non–Archimedean fuzzy normed spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., **161**(2010), 2206-2212.

[21] A. K. Mirmostafaee, *Hyers-Ulam Stability of Cubic Mappings in non–Archimedean Normed Spaces*, Kyungpook Math. J., **50**(2010), 315-327.

[22] A. K. Mirmostafaee, M. S. Moslehian, *Stability of additive mappings in non–Archimedean fuzzy normed spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst., **160**(2009), 1643-1652.

[23] L. Narici, E. Beckenstein, *Strange terrain—non–Archimedean spaces*, Amer. Math. Mon., **88(9)**(1981) 667-676.

[24] P. J. Nyikos, *On some non-Archimedean spaces of Alexandrof and Urysohn, Topology and its Applications*, **91**(1991), 1-23.

[25] C. Park, D. H. Boo and Th. M. Rassias, *Approximately addtive mappings over p–adic fields*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc., **21**(2008), 1-14.

[26] C. Park, M. Eshaghi Gordji, M. B. Ghaemi and H. Majani, *Fixed points and approximately octic mappings in non–Archimedean 2–normed spaces*, J. Ineq. Appl., 2012, 2012: 289 doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2012-289.

[27] K.-H. Park and Y.-S. Jung, *On the Generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias Stability of Higher Ring Derivations* , Kyungpook Math. J., **49**(2009), 67-79.

[28] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **72**(1978), 297-300.

[29] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, Probabilistic Metric Spaces, North–Holland, NewYork, 1983.

[30] A. N. Šerstnev, *On the motion of a random normed space*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **149**(1963), 280–283, English translation in Soviet Math. Dokl., **4**(1963), 388-390.

[31] S. M. Ulam, Problems in Modern Mathematics, Chapter VI, Science Editions, Wiley, New York, 1964.

[32] V. S. Vladimirov, I. V. Volovich and E. I. Zelenov, p–adic Analysis and Mathematical Physics, World Scientific, 1994.