

## LINEAR DIFFEOMORPHISMS WITH LIMIT SHADOWING

KEONHEE LEE\*, MANSEOB LEE\*\*, AND JUNMI PARK\*\*\*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we show that for a linear dynamical system  $f(x) = Ax$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $f$  has the limit shadowing property if and only if the matrix  $A$  is hyperbolic.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space with the metric  $d$ , and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a homeomorphism. For  $\delta > 0$ , a sequence of points  $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is called a  $\delta$ -pseudo orbit of  $f$  if  $d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \delta$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We say that  $f$  has the *shadowing property* if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $\delta$ -pseudo orbit  $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  there is  $y \in X$  such that  $d(f^n(y), x_n) < \epsilon$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We introduce the limit shadowing property which founded in [2]. We say that  $f$  has the *limit shadowing property* if there exists  $\delta > 0$  with the following property: if a sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is  $\delta$ -limit pseudo orbit of  $f$  for which relations  $d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow +\infty$ , and  $d(f^{-1}(x_{i+1}), x_i) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow -\infty$  hold, then there is a point  $y \in X$  such that  $d(f^i(y), x_i) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . It is easy to see that  $f$  has the limit shadowing property on  $\Lambda$  if and only if  $f^n$  has the limit shadowing property on  $\Lambda$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Note that the limit shadowing property is not the shadowing property. In fact, in [2], this concept is called the weak limit shadowing property and different from the notion of Pilyugin [3](see, [2] Example 3, 4).

---

Received January 10, 2013; Accepted April 04, 2013.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 37C50; Secondary 34D10.

Key words and phrases: hyperbolic, limit shadowing, shadowing, Jordan form.

Correspondence should be addressed to Manseob Lee, lmsds@mokwon.ac.kr.

The first author was supported by National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(No. 2011-0015193). The second author was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology(No. 2011-0007649).

The notion of the pseudo orbits very often appears in several branches of the modern theory of dynamical system. For instance, the pseudo-orbit tracing property (shadowing property) usually plays an important role in the stability theory(see, [3]).

Let  $A$  be a nonsingular matrix on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . We consider the dynamical system  $f(x) = Ax$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . We say that the matrix  $A$  is called hyperbolic if the spectrum does not intersect the circle  $\{\lambda : |\lambda| = 1\}$  (for more detail, see [1]).

**THEOREM 1.1.** *For a linear dynamical system  $f(x) = Ax$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , the following conditions are mutually equivalent:*

- (a)  $f$  has the limit shadowing property,
- (b) the matrix  $A$  is hyperbolic.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

For the proof of (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b), we need the following two lemmas.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Assume that for two dynamical systems  $f$  and  $g$  on  $X$ , there exists a homeomorphism  $h$  on  $X$  such that  $f \circ h = h \circ g$ . Then  $f$  has the limit shadowing property if and only if  $g$  has the limit shadowing property.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  has the limit shadowing property. For any  $\delta > 0$ , let  $\xi = \{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a  $\delta$ -limit pseudo orbit of  $f$ . Then  $d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \delta$ , for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . Since  $f \circ h = h \circ g$ , we know that

$$d(g(h^{-1}(x_i)), h^{-1}(x_{i+1})) < \delta \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and  $d(g(h^{-1}(x_i)), h^{-1}(x_{i+1})) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . Thus  $\{h^{-1}(x_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a  $\delta$ -limit pseudo orbit of  $g$ . Since  $f$  has the limit shadowing property, there is a point  $y \in X$  such that  $d(f^i(y), x_i) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . Then  $d(f^i(y), x_i) = d(g^i(h^{-1}(y)), h^{-1}(x_i)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . Then the point  $h^{-1}(y) \in X$  is the limit shadowing point of  $g$ . Thus  $g$  has the limit shadowing property.  $\square$

**LEMMA 2.2.** [3] *Let  $A$  be a nonhyperbolic matrix and  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $A$  with  $|\lambda| = 1$ . Then there exists a nonsingular matrix  $T$  such that  $J = T^{-1}AT$  is a Jordan form of  $A$  and the matrix  $J$  has the form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} B & O \\ O & D \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $B$  is the nonsingular  $m \times m$  complex matrix with the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \lambda & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & \lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $D$  is the hyperbolic matrix.

**Proof of (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b).** Suppose that  $f$  has the limit shadowing property. To derive a contradiction, we may assume that the matrix  $A$  is non-hyperbolic. Then the matrix  $A$  has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| = 1$ . By Lemma 2.2, there is a nonsingular matrix  $T$  such that  $J = T^{-1}AT$  is a Jordan form of  $A$  and the Jordan form  $J = \begin{pmatrix} B & O \\ O & D \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $B$  and  $D$  are as in Lemma 2.2. Let  $g(x) = J(x) = T^{-1}AT(x)$ , and let  $h(x) = T(x)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Then  $f \circ h = h \circ g$ . Since  $f$  has the limit shadowing property, by Lemma 2.1,  $g$  has the limit shadowing property. Let  $\delta > 0$  be the number of the definition of the limit shadowing property of  $g$ . Denote by  $x^{(i)}$  the  $i$ -th component of a vector  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Then we construct a  $\delta$ -limit pseudo orbit as follows:

$$x_{i+1}^{(1)} = \lambda x_i^{(1)} \left( 1 + \frac{\delta}{2^{|i|} |x_i^{(1)}|} \right),$$

and  $x'_{i+1} = (x_{i+1}^{(2)}, x_{i+1}^{(3)}, \dots, x_{i+1}^{(n)}) = ((Jx_i)^{(2)}, (Jx_i)^{(3)}, \dots, (Jx_i)^{(n)})$ , for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $g(x_i) = Jx_i = (\lambda x_i^{(1)}, (Jx_i)^{(2)}, (Jx_i)^{(3)}, \dots, (Jx_i)^{(n)}) = (\lambda x_i^{(1)}, x'_{i+1})$ , we know that if  $\lambda = 1$ , then

$$d(g(x_i), x_{i+1}) = \left| x_i^{(1)} - x_{i+1}^{(1)} - \frac{x_i^{(1)} \delta}{2^{|i|} |x_i^{(1)}|} \right| = \frac{\delta}{2^{|i|}} < \delta,$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and if  $i \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , then  $d(g(x_i), x_{i+1}) = \delta/2^{|i|} \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a  $\delta$ -limit pseudo orbit of  $g$ . Since  $g$  has the limit shadowing property, there is a point  $y \in X$  such that  $d(g^i(y), x_i) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . If  $y = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$  then

$$d(g^{i+1}(y), x_{i+1}) = \left| x_i^{(1)} + \frac{x_i^{(1)} \delta}{2^{|i|} |x_i^{(1)}|} \right| \geq |x_i^{(1)}| > 0.$$

This is a contradiction. If  $y = (0, y^{(2)}, y^{(3)}, \dots, y^{(n)})$ , then

$$g^{i+1}(y) = (0, (J^i y)^{(2)}, (J^i y)^{(3)}, \dots, (J^i y)^{(n)}).$$

Then, we see that if for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$|((Jx_i)^{(2)}, (Jx_i)^{(3)}, \dots, (Jx_i)^{(n)}) - ((J^i y)^{(2)}, (J^i y)^{(3)}, \dots, (J^i y)^{(n)})| = 0,$$

then as in the proof of the above, for  $(J^i y)^{(1)} = 0$ , we get a contradiction. Thus we see that for the point  $y \in X$ , the first component of  $y$ , say  $y^{(1)}$ , is not equal to 0. Then we consider the case  $g(y) = g(y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, \dots, y^{(n)}) = (y^{(1)}, (Jy)^{(2)}, (Jy)^{(3)}, \dots, (Jy)^{(n)})$ . Thus, for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\left| x_i^{(1)} + \frac{x_i^{(1)} \delta}{2^{|i|} |x_i^{(1)}|} - y^{(1)} \right| \geq |x_i^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|.$$

Take  $\eta > 0$ , let  $|x_0^{(1)}| = \eta$ . For all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we see that

$$(2.1) \quad |x_i^{(1)}| = \eta + \delta + \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2^2} + \dots + \frac{\delta}{2^{i-1}} = \eta + 2\delta \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^i}\right).$$

If  $x_0 = y$  then by (2.1),

$$(2.2) \quad |x_i^{(1)} - y^{(1)}| \geq |\eta + 2\delta \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^i}\right)| - |\eta| \geq |\eta| - |2\delta \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^i}\right)| - |\eta|,$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then by (2.2), if  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $|x_i^{(1)} - y^{(1)}| \rightarrow -|2\delta| \neq 0$ . This is a contradiction. Finally, we consider  $x_0^{(1)} \neq y^{(1)}$ . Since  $|x_0^{(1)} - y^{(1)}| \neq 0$ , we can take  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $|x_0^{(1)} - y^{(1)}| = \gamma$ . Let  $|x_0^{(1)}| = \eta > 0$ . Then by (2.2),

$$(2.3) \quad |x_i^{(1)} - y^{(1)}| \geq |\eta + 2\delta \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^i}\right)| - |\eta| - |\gamma| \geq -|2\delta \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^i}\right)| - |\gamma|,$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then by (2.3), if  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $|x_i^{(1)} - y^{(1)}| \rightarrow -|2\delta| - |\gamma| \neq 0$ . This is a contradiction. Thus if  $f$  has the limit shadowing property, then the matrix  $A$  is hyperbolic.  $\square$

Finally, we show that (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a), that is proved by Lee [2] as follow.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $f(x) = Ax$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . If  $A$  is the hyperbolic matrix, then  $f$  has the limit shadowing property.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $E_p$  the invariant subspace of  $T_p \mathbb{C}^n$  corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of  $A$  such that  $|\lambda_i| < 1$ , and by  $F_p$  the invariant subspace of  $T_p \mathbb{C}^n$  corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of  $A$  such that  $|\lambda_i| > 1$ . By [3], there exist  $C > 0$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , and invariant linear subspaces  $E_p$  and  $F_p$  of  $T_p \mathbb{C}^n$  for  $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that

- (1)  $T_p \mathbb{C}^n = E_p \oplus F_p$ ,
- (2)  $|A^{mk}(v)| < C\lambda^k |v|$ ,  $v \in E_p$ ,  $k \geq 0$ ,

(3)  $|A^{-mk}(v)| < C\lambda^{-k}|v|$ ,  $v \in F_p$ ,  $k < 0$ .

This means that the dynamical system  $f^m(x) = A^m(x)$  is hyperbolic. Then by [2],  $f^m$  has the limit shadowing property, therefore,  $f$  has the limit shadowing property.  $\square$

### References

- [1] N. Aoki and K. Hiraide, *Topological Theory of Dynamical Systems. Recent Advances*, North-Holland Math. Library, Vol. 52, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1994.
- [2] K. Lee, *Hyperbolic sets with the strong limit shadowing property*, J. of Inequal. and Appl. **6** (2001), 507-517.
- [3] S. Y. Pilyugin, *Shadowing in Dynamical Systems*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1706**, Springer Verlag, 1999.
- [4] S. Y. Pilyugin, A. A. Rodionova, and K. Sakai, *Orbital and weak shadowing properties*, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Syst. **9** (2003), 287-308.

\*

Department of Mathematics  
Chungnam University  
Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail*: khlee@cnu.ac.kr

\*\*

Department of Mathematics  
Mokwon University  
Daejeon 302-729, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail*: lmsds@mokwon.ac.kr

\*\*\*

Department of Mathematics  
Chungnam National University  
Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail*: pjmds@cnu.ac.kr