

A Fixed Point Approach to the Stability of a Generalized Quadratic and Additive Functional Equation

SUN SOOK JIN AND YANG-HI LEE*

*Department of Mathematics Education, Gongju National University of Education,
Gongju 314-711, Korea*
e-mail: ssjin@gjue.ac.kr and lyhmzi@gjue.ac.kr

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate the stability of the functional equation

$$f(x + 2y) - 2f(x + y) + 2f(x - y) - f(x - 2y) = 0$$

by using the fixed point theory in the sense of L. Cădariu and V. Radu.

1. Introduction

In 1940, S.M. Ulam [19] raised a question concerning the stability of homomorphisms: Given a group G_1 , a metric group G_2 with the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$, and a positive number ε , does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if a mapping $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality

$$d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) < \delta$$

for all $x, y \in G_1$ then there exists a homomorphism $F : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ with

$$d(f(x), F(x)) < \varepsilon$$

for all $x \in G_1$? When this problem has a solution, we say that the homomorphisms from G_1 to G_2 are *stable*. In the next year, D. H. Hyers [6] gave a partial solution of *Ulam's* problem for the case of approximate additive mappings under the assumption that G_1 and G_2 are Banach spaces. Hyers' result was generalized by T. Aoki [1] for additive mappings, and by Th. M. Rassias [17] for linear mappings, to considering the stability problem with unbounded Cauchy differences. The paper of Th. M. Rassias had much influence in the development of stability problems. The terminology Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability originated from this historical background. During the last decades, the stability problems of functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of mathematicians, see [5], [9]-[16].

Almost all subsequent proofs, in this very active area, have used Hyers' method.

* Corresponding Author.

Received April 14, 2011; accepted September 28, 2011.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B52.

Key words and phrases: generalized quadratic and additive functional equation, fixed point method, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability.

Namely, the solution F of a functional equation, starting from the given mapping f , is explicitly constructed by the formulae $F(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$ or $F(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})$. We call it a *direct method*. In 2003, L. Cădariu and V. Radu [2] observed that the existence of the solution F of a functional equation and the estimation of the difference with the given mapping f can be obtained from the fixed point theory alternative. They applied this method to prove stability theorems of *Jensen's functional equation*:

$$(1.1) \quad 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y) = 0.$$

This method is called a *fixed point method*. In 2005, L. Cădariu [3] obtained a stability of the *quadratic functional equation*:

$$(1.2) \quad f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) = 0$$

by using the fixed point method. If we consider the functions $f_1, f_2 : R \rightarrow R$ defined by $f_1(x) = ax+b$ and $f_2(x) = ax^2$, where a and b are real constants, then f_1 satisfies the equation (1.1) and f_2 holds (1.2), respectively. Now we consider the functional equation

$$(1.3) \quad f(x+2y) - 2f(x+y) + 2f(x-y) - f(x-2y) = 0$$

which is called the *generalized quadratic and additive functional equation*. The function $f : R \rightarrow R$ defined by $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$ satisfies this functional equation. We call a solution of (1.3) a *general quadratic mapping*. On the other hand, a solution of (1.1) with the condition $f(0) = 0$ is called an *additive mapping* and a solution of (1.2) a *quadratic mapping*, respectively. In [7] and [8], Jun and Kim obtained a stability of the functional equation (1.3) by handling the odd part and the even part of the given mapping f , respectively. In their processing, they needed to take an additive mapping A which is close to the odd part $\frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}$ of f and a quadratic mapping Q which is approximate to the even part $\frac{f(x)+f(-x)}{2} - f(0)$ of it, and then combining A and Q to prove the existence of a general quadratic mapping F which is close to the given mapping f .

In this paper, we will prove the stability of a generalized quadratic and additive functional equation (1.3) by using the fixed point theory. In the previous results of stability problems of (1.3), as we mentioned above, they needed to get a solution by using the direct method to the odd part and even part, respectively. Instead of splitting the given mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ into two parts, in this paper, we can take the desired solution F at once. Precisely, we introduce a strictly contractive mapping with Liptshitz constant $0 < L < 1$. Using the fixed point theory in the sense of L. Cădariu and V. Radu, together with suitable conditions, we can show that the contractive mapping has the fixed point. Actually the fixed point F becomes the precise solution of the functional equation (1.3). In Section 2, we consider the

fundamental result in the fixed point theory and construct some strictly contractive self-mappings. In Section 3, we prove several stability results of the functional equation (1.3) using the fixed point theory, see Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2. In Section 4, we use the results in the previous sections to get a stability of Jensen’s functional equation (1.1) and that of the quadratic functional equation (1.2), respectively.

2. Preliminaries

We recall the fundamental result in the fixed point theory.

Theorem 2.1([4] or [18]). *Suppose that a complete generalized metric space (X, d) , which means that the metric d may assume infinite values, and a strictly contractive mapping $A : X \rightarrow X$ with the Lipschitz constant $0 < L < 1$ are given. Then, for each given element $x \in X$, either*

$$d(A^n x, A^{n+1} x) = +\infty, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

or there exists a nonnegative integer k such that:

- (1) $d(A^n x, A^{n+1} x) < +\infty$ for all $n \geq k$;
- (2) the sequence $\{A^n x\}$ is convergent to a fixed point y^* of A ;
- (3) y^* is the unique fixed point of A in $Y := \{y \in X, d(A^k x, y) < +\infty\}$;
- (4) $d(y, y^*) \leq (1/(1 - L))d(y, Ay)$ for all $y \in Y$.

Throughout this paper, let V be a (real or complex) linear space and Y a Banach space. For a mapping $\varphi : V^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, we will introduce generalized metrics d_φ and $d_{\tilde{\varphi}}$ on the set $S := \{g : V \rightarrow Y | g(0) = 0\}$ by following

$$\begin{aligned} d_\varphi(g, h) &= \inf \{K \in \mathbb{R}^+ | \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq K\psi(x) \text{ for all } x \in V\}, \\ d_{\tilde{\varphi}}(g, h) &= \inf \{K \in \mathbb{R}^+ | \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq K\tilde{\psi}(x) \text{ for all } x \in V\} \end{aligned}$$

for $g, h \in S$, where the mapping $\psi, \tilde{\psi} : V \rightarrow Y$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= \frac{1}{8} \left(2\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(x, \frac{x}{2}\right) + 2\varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \varphi\left(-x, -\frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi(0, x) + \varphi(0, -x) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}(x) &= \frac{1}{4} \left(4\varphi\left(\frac{x}{4}, \frac{x}{4}\right) + 2\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{4}\right) + 4\varphi\left(-\frac{x}{4}, -\frac{x}{4}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{4}\right) + \varphi\left(0, \frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(0, -\frac{x}{2}\right) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

It is easy to see that (S, d_φ) and $(S, d_{\tilde{\varphi}})$ are complete.

Lemma 2.2 Let $L < 1$ and let $\varphi, \tilde{\varphi} : V^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy

$$(2.3) \quad \varphi(2x, 2y) \leq 2L\varphi(x, y)$$

$$(2.4) \quad L\tilde{\varphi}(2x, 2y) \geq 4\tilde{\varphi}(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in V$. Consider the mappings $A, \tilde{A} : S \rightarrow S$ defined by

$$Ag(x) := \frac{g(2x) - g(-2x)}{4} + \frac{g(2x) + g(-2x)}{8}$$

$$\tilde{A}g(x) := g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - g\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right) + 2\left(g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + g\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right)\right)$$

for all $g \in S$ and $x \in V$, then A and \tilde{A} are strictly contractive self mappings of S with the Lipschitz constant $L < 1$ with respect to the generalized metric d_φ and $d_{\tilde{\varphi}}$, respectively.

Proof. By the induction of $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$A^n g(x) = \frac{g(2^n x) - g(-2^n x)}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{g(2^n x) + g(-2^n x)}{2 \cdot 4^n},$$

$$\tilde{A}^n g(x) = 2^{n-1} \left(g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - g\left(-\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right) + \frac{4^n}{2} \left(g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) + g\left(-\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right)$$

for all $x \in V$. For any $g, h \in S$, let $d_\varphi(g, h), d_{\tilde{\varphi}}(g, h) < K$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{8} \|g(2x) - h(2x)\| + \frac{1}{8} \|g(-2x) - h(-2x)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2} K\psi(2x) \\ &\leq LK\psi(x), \\ 3 \left\| g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| + \left\| g\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right) - h\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| &\leq 4K\tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \\ &\leq LK\tilde{\psi}(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in V$. Hence we have

$$d_\varphi(Ag, Ah), d_{\tilde{\varphi}}(\tilde{A}g, \tilde{A}h) \leq LK.$$

They lead us to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_\varphi(Ag, Ah) &\leq Ld_\varphi(g, h) \\ d_{\tilde{\varphi}}(\tilde{A}g, \tilde{A}h) &\leq Ld_{\tilde{\varphi}}(g, h) \end{aligned}$$

for all $g, h \in S$. □

3. Main results

In this section, we consider the stability of the functional equation (1.3). For a given mapping $f : V \rightarrow Y$, we use the following abbreviation

$$Df(x, y) := f(x + 2y) - 2f(x + y) + 2f(x - y) - f(x - 2y)$$

for all $x, y \in V$. Now we will prove the stability of the generalized quadratic and additive functional equation $Df \equiv 0$ using the fixed point theory.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\varphi : V^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ hold (2.3). If $f : V \rightarrow Y$ satisfies*

$$(3.1) \quad \|Df(x, y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in V$, then there exists a unique general quadratic mapping $F : V \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad \|f(x) - F(x)\| \leq \frac{\psi(x)}{1 - L}$$

for all $x \in V$, where the mapping $\psi : V \rightarrow Y$ is given as in (2.1). In particular, the mapping F is represented by

$$(3.3) \quad F(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{f(2^n x) + f(-2^n x)}{2 \cdot 4^n} + \frac{f(2^n x) - f(-2^n x)}{2^{n+1}} \right) + f(0)$$

for all $x \in V$. Moreover, if $0 < L < \frac{1}{2}$ and φ is continuous on $(V \setminus \{0\})^2$, then $f \equiv F$ i.e., f is itself a general quadratic mapping.

Proof. Consider the mapping $\tilde{f} : V \rightarrow Y$ such that $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x) - f(0)$ for all $x \in V$. Then $\tilde{f}(0) = 0$ and

$$D\tilde{f}(x, y) = Df(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in V$. If we consider the mapping A in Lemma 2.2, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{f}(x) - A\tilde{f}(x)\| &= \frac{1}{8} \left\| -2D\tilde{f}\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) - D\tilde{f}\left(x, \frac{x}{2}\right) - 2D\tilde{f}\left(-\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - D\tilde{f}\left(-x, -\frac{x}{2}\right) - D\tilde{f}(0, x) + D\tilde{f}(0, -x) \right\| \\ &\leq \psi(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in V$, i.e., $d_\varphi(\tilde{f}, A\tilde{f}) \leq 1 < \infty$. By Lemma 2.2, this implies that

$$d_\varphi(A^n \tilde{f}, A^{n+1} \tilde{f}) < \infty$$

for all $n \geq 0$. So we can apply (2) and (3) of Theorem 2.1 to get a unique fixed point $\tilde{F} : V \rightarrow Y$ of the strictly contractive mapping A , which is defined by

$$(3.4) \quad \tilde{F}(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A^n \tilde{f} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\tilde{f}(2^n x) + \tilde{f}(-2^n x)}{2 \cdot 4^n} + \frac{\tilde{f}(2^n x) - \tilde{f}(-2^n x)}{2^{n+1}} \right)$$

for all $x \in V$. Since

$$d_\varphi(\tilde{f}, \tilde{F}) \leq \frac{1}{1 - L} d_\varphi(\tilde{f}, A\tilde{f}) \leq \frac{1}{1 - L}$$

we have

$$(3.5) \quad \|\tilde{F}(x) - \tilde{f}(x)\| \leq \frac{\psi(x)}{1-L}$$

for all $x \in V$. Replacing x by $2^n x$ and y by $2^n y$ in (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|DA^n \tilde{f}(x, y)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \left(\|D\tilde{f}(2^n x, 2^n y)\| + \|D\tilde{f}(-2^n x, -2^n y)\| \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4^n} \left(\|D\tilde{f}(2^n x, 2^n y)\| + \|D\tilde{f}(-2^n x, -2^n y)\| \right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4^n} \right) (\varphi(2^n x, 2^n y) + \varphi(-2^n x, -2^n y)) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4^n} \right) 2^n L^n (\varphi(x, y) + \varphi(-x, -y)). \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $0 < L < 1$. This implies that $D\tilde{F}(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in V$. Put $F = \tilde{F} + f(0)$, then (3.2) and (3.3) follow from (3.4) and (3.5). Now let $0 < L < \frac{1}{2}$ and φ be continuous on $(V \setminus \{0\})^2$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi((a \cdot 2^n + b)x, (c \cdot 2^n + d)y) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left((2L)^n \varphi \left(\frac{a \cdot 2^n + b}{2^n} x, \frac{c \cdot 2^n + d}{2^n} y \right) \right) \\ &= 0 \cdot \varphi(ax, cy) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in V$ and for any fixed integers a, b, c, d with $a, c \neq 0$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\|f(x) - F(x)\| &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|Df((2^n + 1)x, 2^n x) - DF((2^n + 1)x, 2^n x)\| \\ &\quad + \|(F - f)((3 \cdot 2^n + 1)x)\| + 2\|(f - F)((2^{n+1} + 1)x)\| \\ &\quad + \|(f - F)((1 - 2^n)x)\|) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(2^n + 1)x, 2^n x \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{1-L} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\psi(3 \cdot 2^n + 1)x) + 2\psi((2^{n+1} + 1)x) + \psi((1 - 2^n)x) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in V$. From the above equality, we obtain $f \equiv F$. □

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that $f : V \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the inequality (3.1) for all $x, y \in V$, where φ has the property (2.4) with $0 < L < 1$. Then there exists a unique general quadratic mapping $F : V \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$(3.6) \quad \|f(x) - F(x)\| \leq \frac{\tilde{\psi}(x)}{1-L}$$

for all $x \in V$, where $\tilde{\psi} : V \rightarrow Y$ is defined as in (2.2). In particular, F is represented by

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} F(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(2^{n-1} \left(f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{-x}{2^n}\right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{4^n}{2} \left(f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) + f\left(\frac{-x}{2^n}\right) - 2f(0) \right) \right) + f(0) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in V$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{f} = f - f(0)$. Then $\tilde{f} : V \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (3.1), $\tilde{f}(0) = 0$, and $D\tilde{f} = Df$. If we consider the mapping \tilde{A} as in Lemma 2.2, then we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{f}(x) - \tilde{A}\tilde{f}(x)\| &= \frac{1}{4} \left\| 4D\tilde{f}\left(\frac{x}{4}, \frac{x}{4}\right) + 2D\tilde{f}\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{4}\right) + 4D\tilde{f}\left(-\frac{x}{4}, -\frac{x}{4}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2D\tilde{f}\left(-\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{4}\right) + D\tilde{f}\left(0, \frac{x}{2}\right) - D\tilde{f}\left(0, -\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \tilde{\psi}(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in V$, which implies that $d_{\tilde{\varphi}}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{A}\tilde{f}) \leq 1 < \infty$. By Lemma 2.2, we have then

$$d_{\tilde{\varphi}}(\tilde{A}^n \tilde{f}, \tilde{A}^{n+1} \tilde{f}) < \infty$$

for all $n \geq 0$. We can apply (2) and (3) of Theorem 2.1 to get a unique fixed point $\tilde{F} : V \rightarrow Y$ of the strictly contractive mapping \tilde{A} , which is defined by

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{F}(x) &:= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{A}^n \tilde{f}(x) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(2^{n-1} \left(\tilde{f}\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - \tilde{f}\left(-\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right) + \frac{4^n}{2} \left(\tilde{f}\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) + \tilde{f}\left(-\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in V$. Moreover, we can say that

$$d_{\tilde{\varphi}}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{F}) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d_{\tilde{\varphi}}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{A}\tilde{f}) \leq \frac{1}{1-L}$$

that is

$$(3.9) \quad \|\tilde{F}(x) - \tilde{f}(x)\| \leq \frac{\tilde{\psi}(x)}{1-L}$$

for all $x \in V$. Replace x by $\frac{x}{2^n}$ and y by $\frac{y}{2^n}$ in (3.1), then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|D\tilde{A}^n \tilde{f}(x, y)\| &= \left\| 2^{n-1} \left(D\tilde{f}\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) - D\tilde{f}\left(-\frac{x}{2^n}, -\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{4^n}{2} \left(D\tilde{f}\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) + D\tilde{f}\left(-\frac{x}{2^n}, -\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \left(2^{n-1} + \frac{4^n}{2} \right) \left(\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2^n}, -\frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{L^n}{4^n} \left(2^{n-1} + \frac{4^n}{2} \right) (\varphi(x, y) + \varphi(-x, -y)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in V$. In a similar way of the proof of Theorem 3.1, this implies that

$$D\tilde{F}(x, y) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in V$. Put $F = \tilde{F} + f(0)$, then (3.6) and (3.7) follow from (3.9) and (3.8), respectively, too. Since the uniqueness of F is clear in the fixed point theory, we have proved this theorem. \square

Now we obtain the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability results in the framework of normed spaces using Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 3.3. *Let X be a normed space and Y a Banach space. Suppose that, for $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [1, 2]$, the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the inequality of the form*

$$\|Df(x_1, x_2)\| \leq \theta \sum_{x_i \neq 0, i=1,2} \|x_i\|^p$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$. Then there exists a unique general quadratic mapping $F : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - F(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p < 0, \\ \frac{(5+2 \cdot 2^p)\theta}{2 \cdot 2^p(2-2^p)} \|x\|^p & \text{if } 0 \leq p < 1, \\ \frac{(10+3 \cdot 2^p)\theta}{2 \cdot 2^p(2^p-4)} \|x\|^p & \text{if } p > 2 \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $\varphi(x_1, x_2) := \theta \sum_{x_i \neq 0} \|x_i\|^p$ for all $x_i \in X$, $i = 1, 2$. Precisely, it means that

$$\varphi(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} \theta(\|x_1\|^p + \|x_2\|^p) & \text{if } x_1, x_2 \neq 0, \\ \theta\|x_i\|^p & \text{if } x_i \neq 0, x_j = 0, i \neq j, \\ 0 & \text{if } x_1, x_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

If $p < 1$ then φ holds (2.3) with $L = 2^{p-1} < 1$. In particular, if $p < 0$, then $0 < L < \frac{1}{2}$ and it is clear that φ is continuous on $(X \setminus \{0\})^2$. On the other hand if $p > 2$ then φ satisfies (2.4) with $L = 2^{2-p} < 1$. So we can prove this corollary by using Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, respectively. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let X be a normed space and Y a Banach space. Suppose that, for $\theta \geq 0$ and $p_1, p_2 \geq 0$ with $p_1 + p_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [1, 2]$, the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies an inequality of the form*

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \theta \|x\|^{p_1} \|y\|^{p_2}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique general quadratic mapping $F : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - F(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(2+2^{p_1})\theta}{2 \cdot 2^{p_1+p_2}(2-2^{p_1+p_2})} \|x\|^{p_1+p_2} & \text{if } 0 \leq p_1 + p_2 < 1, \\ \frac{(2+2^{p_1})\theta}{2^{p_1+p_2}(2^{p_1+p_2}-4)} \|x\|^{p_1+p_2} & \text{if } p_1 + p_2 > 2 \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $\varphi(x, y) := \theta \|x\|^{p_1} \|y\|^{p_2}$ for all $x, y \in X$. If $0 \leq p_1 + p_2 < 1$ then φ holds (2.3) with $L = 2^{p_1+p_2-1} < 1$. On the other hand, if $p_1 + p_2 > 2$ then φ satisfies (2.4) with $L = 2^{2-p_1+p_2} < 1$. So we can prove this corollary by using Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, respectively. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let X be a normed space and Y a Banach space. Suppose that, for $\theta \geq 0$ and $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p_1 + p_2 < 0$, the mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies an inequality of the form*

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \psi(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where ψ is defined by

$$\psi(x, y) = \begin{cases} \theta \|x\|^{p_1} \|y\|^{p_2} & \text{if } x, y \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then f is itself a general quadratic mapping.

Proof. Since ψ holds (2.3) with $L = 2^{p_1+p_2-1} < \frac{1}{2}$ and ψ is continuous on $(X \setminus \{0\})^2$, we can prove this corollary by using Theorem 3.1. \square

4. Applications to Jensen’s functional equation and the quadratic functional equation

For a given mapping $f : V \rightarrow Y$, we use the following abbreviations

$$Jf(x, y) := 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y),$$

$$Qf(x, y) := f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in V$. Using the previous results we can prove the stability results about Jensen’s functional equation $Jf \equiv 0$ and the quadratic functional equation $Qf \equiv 0$ by followings.

Corollary 4.1. *Let $\phi_i : V^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $i = 1, 2$, be given functions and let $f_i : V \rightarrow Y$, $i = 1, 2$, be mappings which satisfy the condition*

$$(4.1) \quad \|Jf_i(x, y)\| \leq \phi_i(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in V$, respectively. If there exists $0 < L < 1$ such that ϕ_1 has the property (2.3) and ϕ_2 satisfies (2.4) for all $x, y \in V$, then there exist unique Jensen mappings $F_i : V \rightarrow Y$, $i = 1, 2$, such that

$$(4.2) \quad \|f_1(x) - F_1(x)\| \leq \frac{\Phi_1(x)}{8(1-L)},$$

$$(4.3) \quad \|f_2(x) - F_2(x)\| \leq \frac{\Phi_2(x)}{2(1-L)}$$

for all $x \in V$, where $\Phi_i : V \rightarrow Y$, $i = 1, 2$, are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_1(x) &= 2\phi_1\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{3x}{2}\right) + 2\phi_1\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{-x}{2}\right) + \phi_1(x, 2x) + \phi_1(x, 0) \\ &\quad + 2\phi_1\left(-\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{3x}{2}\right) + 2\phi_1\left(-\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) + \phi_1(-x, -2x) \\ &\quad + \phi_1(-x, 0) + 2\phi_1(0, 2x) + 2\phi_1(0, -2x)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_2(x) &= 2\phi_2\left(\frac{x}{4}, \frac{3x}{4}\right) + 2\phi_2\left(\frac{x}{4}, \frac{-x}{4}\right) + \phi_2\left(\frac{x}{2}, x\right) + \phi_2\left(\frac{x}{2}, 0\right) \\ &\quad + 2\phi_2\left(-\frac{x}{4}, -\frac{3x}{4}\right) + 2\phi_2\left(-\frac{x}{4}, \frac{x}{4}\right) + \phi_2\left(-\frac{x}{2}, -x\right) \\ &\quad + \phi_2\left(-\frac{x}{2}, 0\right) + \phi_2(0, x) + \phi_2(0, -x)\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in V$. In particular, the mappings F_1 and F_2 are represented by

$$(4.4) \quad F_1(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_1(2^n x)}{2^n} + f_1(0),$$

$$(4.5) \quad F_2(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left(f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f_2(0) \right) + f_2(0)$$

for all $x \in V$. Moreover, if $0 < L < \frac{1}{2}$ and ϕ_1 is continuous, then f_1 is itself a Jensen mapping.

Proof. Notice that for $f_i : V \rightarrow Y$, $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|Df_i(x, y)\| &= \|-Jf_i(x, x+2y) + Jf_i(x, x-2y)\| \\ &\leq \phi_i(x, x+2y) + \phi_i(x, x-2y)\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in V$. Put $\varphi_i(x, y) := \phi_i(x, x+2y) + \phi_i(x, x-2y)$, $i = 1, 2$, for all $x, y \in V$, then φ_1 holds (2.3) and φ_2 satisfies (2.4). Observe that $\|Df_i(x, y)\| \leq \varphi_i(x, y)$, $i = 1, 2$, for all $x, y \in V$, respectively. According to Theorem 3.1, we can take the unique general quadratic mapping F_1 by

$$(4.6) \quad F_1(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{f_1(2^n x) + f_1(-2^n x)}{2 \cdot 4^n} + \frac{f_1(2^n x) - f_1(-2^n x)}{2^{n+1}} \right) + f_1(0)$$

which satisfies (4.2) clearly. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{f_1(2^n x) + f_1(-2^n x)}{2^{n+1}} \right\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{f_1(2^n x) + f_1(-2^n x) - 2f_1(0)}{2^{n+1}} \right\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \|Jf_1(2^n x, -2^n x)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \phi_1(2^n x, -2^n x) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L^n}{2} \phi_1(x, -x) = 0\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in V$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, then we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_1(2^n x) + f_1(-2^n x)}{2^{n+1}} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_1(2^n x) + f_1(-2^n x)}{2 \cdot 4^n} = 0$$

for all $x, y \in V$. Together with (4.6), these imply (4.4). Notice that

$$\left\| \frac{Jf_1(2^n x, 2^n y)}{2^n} \right\| \leq \frac{\phi_1(2^n x, 2^n y)}{2^n} \leq L^n \phi_1(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in V$. Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then we obtain

$$JF_1(x, y) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in V$. In particular, consider the case $0 < L < \frac{1}{2}$ and ϕ_1 is continuous, then φ_1 is also continuous on $(V \setminus \{0\})^2$ and we can say that $f_1 \equiv F_1$ by Theorem 3.1. On the other hand, according Theorem 3.2, we can get

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} F_2(x) &:= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(2^{n-1} \left(f_2 \left(\frac{x}{2^n} \right) - f_2 \left(\frac{-x}{2^n} \right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{4^n}{2} \left(f_2 \left(\frac{x}{2^n} \right) + f_2 \left(\frac{-x}{2^n} \right) - 2f_2(0) \right) \right) + f_2(0) \end{aligned}$$

which is the unique general quadratic mapping satisfying (4.3). From (4.1) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{2n-1} \left\| f_2 \left(\frac{x}{2^n} \right) + f_2 \left(\frac{-x}{2^n} \right) - 2f_2(0) \right\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{2n-1} \left\| Jf_2 \left(\frac{x}{2^n}, -\frac{x}{2^n} \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{2n-1} \phi_2 \left(\frac{x}{2^n}, -\frac{x}{2^n} \right) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L^n}{2} \phi_2(x, -x) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{n-1} \left\| f_2 \left(\frac{x}{2^n} \right) + f_2 \left(\frac{-x}{2^n} \right) - 2f_2(0) \right\| = 0$$

for all $x \in V$. So we get (4.5) from (4.7). Observe that

$$\left\| 2^n Jf_2 \left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n} \right) \right\| \leq 2^n \phi_2 \left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n} \right) \leq \frac{L^n}{2^n} \phi_2(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in V$. Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then we get

$$JF_2(x, y) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in V$. □

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\phi_i : V^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $i = 1, 2$, be given functions. Suppose that each $f_i : V \rightarrow Y$, $i = 1, 2$, satisfy*

$$(4.8) \quad \|Qf_i(x, y)\| \leq \phi_i(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in V$, respectively. If there exists $0 < L < 1$ such that ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 have the property (2.3) and (2.4) for all $x, y \in V$, respectively, then there exist unique quadratic mappings $F_1, F_2 : V \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(4.9) \quad \|f_1(x) - f_1(0) - F_1(x)\| \leq \frac{\Psi_1(x)}{8(1-L)}$$

$$(4.10) \quad \|f_2(x) - F_2(x)\| \leq \frac{\Psi_2(x)}{4(1-L)}$$

for all $x \in V$, where $\Psi_i : V \rightarrow Y$, $i = 1, 2$, are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1(x) = & 2\phi_1\left(x, \frac{x}{2}\right) + 2\phi_1\left(0, \frac{x}{2}\right) + \phi_1\left(\frac{3x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) + \phi_1\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) \\ & + 2\phi_1\left(-x, -\frac{x}{2}\right) + 2\phi_1\left(0, -\frac{x}{2}\right) + \phi_1\left(-\frac{3x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}\right) + \phi_1\left(-\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}\right) \\ & + \phi_1(x, x) + \phi_1(-x, -x) + \phi_1(x, -x) + \phi_1(-x, x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_2(x) = & 4\phi_2\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{4}\right) + 4\phi_2\left(0, \frac{x}{4}\right) + 2\phi_2\left(\frac{3x}{4}, \frac{x}{4}\right) + 2\phi_2\left(\frac{x}{4}, \frac{x}{4}\right) \\ & + 4\phi_2\left(-\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{4}\right) + 4\phi_2\left(0, -\frac{x}{4}\right) + 2\phi_2\left(-\frac{3x}{4}, -\frac{x}{4}\right) + 2\phi_2\left(-\frac{x}{4}, -\frac{x}{4}\right) \\ & + \phi_2\left(-\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}\right) + \phi_2\left(-\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}\right) + \phi_2\left(\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}\right) + \phi_2\left(-\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the F_i , $i = 1, 2$, are represented by

$$(4.11) \quad F_1(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_1(2^n x)}{4^n},$$

$$(4.12) \quad F_2(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in V$. Moreover, if there exists $0 < L < \frac{1}{2}$ such that ϕ_1 is continuous, then $f_1 - f_1(0)$ is itself a quadratic mapping.

Proof. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Df_i(x, y)\| &= \|Qf_i(x+y, y) - Qf_i(x-y, y)\| \\ &\leq \phi_i(x+y, y) + \phi_i(x-y, y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in V$ and $i = 1, 2$. Put $\varphi_i(x, y) := \phi_i(x+y, y) + \phi_i(x-y, y)$, $i = 1, 2$, for all $x, y \in V$, then $\|Df_i(x, y)\| \leq \varphi_i(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in V$, respectively. Moreover, φ_1

satisfies (2.3) and φ_2 holds (2.4). Therefore, according to Theorem 3.1, there exists a unique mapping $\tilde{F}_1 : V \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f_1(x) - \tilde{F}_1(x)\| \leq \frac{\Psi_1(x)}{8(1-L)},$$

which is represented by (4.6). Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{f_1(2^n x) - f_1(-2^n x)}{2^{n+1}} \right\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \|Qf_1(0, 2^n x)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \phi_1(0, 2^n x) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L^n}{2} \phi_1(0, x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in V$. From this and (4.6), we get (4.9) and (4.11) where $F_1 := \tilde{F}_1 - f_1(0)$.

$$\left\| \frac{Qf_1(2^n x, 2^n y)}{4^n} \right\| \leq \frac{\phi_1(2^n x, 2^n y)}{4^n} \leq \frac{L^n}{2^n} \phi_1(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in V$. Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we get

$$QF_1(x, y) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in V$. Suppose that there exists $0 < L < \frac{1}{2}$ such that ϕ_1 is continuous, then φ_1 is continuous on $(V \setminus \{0\})^2$ and we can say that $f_1 - f_1(0) \equiv F_1$ by Theorem 3.1. On the other hand, since $L\phi_2(0, 0) \geq 4\phi_2(0, 0)$ and $\|2f_2(0)\| = \|Qf_2(0, 0)\| \leq \phi_2(0, 0)$, we can show that $\phi_2(0, 0) = 0$ and $f_2(0) = 0$. According to Theorem 3.2, there exists a unique mapping $F_2 : V \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (4.10), which is represented by (4.7). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^n}{2} \left\| -f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) + f_2\left(-\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^n}{2} \left\| Qf_2\left(0, \frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^n}{2} \phi_2\left(0, \frac{x}{2^n}\right) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L^n}{2} \phi_2(0, x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{n-1} \left\| f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f_2\left(-\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\| = 0$$

for all $x \in V$. From these and (4.7), we get (4.12). Notice that

$$\left\| 4^n Qf_2\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) \right\| \leq 4^n \phi_2\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) \leq L^n \phi_2(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in V$. Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then we have shown that

$$QF_2(x, y) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in V$. □

References

- [1] T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **2**(1950), 64-66.
- [2] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, *Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **4**(2003), article 4.
- [3] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, *Fixed points and the stability of quadratic functional equations*, An. Univ. Timisoara Ser. Mat.-Inform., **41**(2003), 25-48.
- [4] J. B. Diaz and B. Margolis, *A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **74**(1968), 305-309.
- [5] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **184**(1994), 431-436.
- [6] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, **27**(1941), 222-224.
- [7] K. -W. Jun and H. -M. Kim, *On the Hyers-Ulam stability of a generalized quadratic and additive functional equation*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **42**(2005), 133-148.
- [8] K. -W. Jun and H. -M. Kim, *On the stability of a general quadratic functional equation and applications*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc., **17**(2004), 57-75.
- [9] K. -W. Jun and Y. -H. Lee, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the Pexiderized quadratic equations, II*, Kyungpook Math. J., **47**(2007), 91-103.
- [10] K. -W. Jun Y. -H. Lee, and J. R. Lee, *On the stability of a new Pexider type functional equation*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2008**, ID 816963, 22pages.
- [11] G. -H. Kim, *On the stability of functional equations with square-symmetric operation*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **4**(2001), 257-266.
- [12] Y. -H. Lee, *On the stability of the monomial functional equation*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **45**(2008), 397-403.
- [13] Y. H. Lee and K. W. Jun, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Jensen's equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **238**(1999), 305-315.
- [14] Y. H. Lee and K. W. Jun, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Pexider equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **246**(2000), 627-638.
- [15] Y. H. Lee and K. W. Jun, *A note on the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Pexider equation*, J. Korean Math. Soc., **37**(2000), 111-124.
- [16] Y. H. Lee and K. W. Jun, *On the stability of approximately additive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **128**(2000), 1361-1369.
- [17] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **72**(1978), 297-300.
- [18] I. A. Rus, *Principles and applications of fixed point theory*, Ed. Dacia, Cluj-Napoca 1979, (in Romanian).
- [19] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience, New York, 1960.