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Amphidinolide O (1) was isolated from the laboratory cultured Okinawan marine dinoflagelate amphidinolium sp. by Kobayashi et al., ${ }^{1}$ and shows in vitro cytotoxicity against murine lymphoma L1210 $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}\right)$ and human epidermoid carcinoma KB cells $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}\right)$. Amphidinolide $O(\mathbf{1})$ is a medium-sized macrolide with unusual structural features such as seven chiral centers, C5 exomethylene double bond and six-membered ring bridge with hemiacetal moiety. ${ }^{2}$ We already published several papers concerning the synthesis of amphidinolide $\mathrm{O}(\mathbf{1})$, and total synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ was not reported yet by any other group. ${ }^{3}$ We describe herein the enantioselective synthesis of C3-C8 fragment of amphidinolide O (1).

Retrosynthetic analysis was described in Figure 1. Amphidinolide O (1) might be assembled from two intermediates 2 and 3 via esterification and ring closing metathesis as key steps. Intermediate 4, a precursor to $\mathbf{3}$ as well as the target molecule in this paper, involves the $\gamma, \delta$-unsaturated ester moiety along with $\alpha, \beta$-chiral substituents with anti-stereochemical relationship. Those structural features could be available by Ireland-Claisen rearrangement of the corre-
sponding $(E)$-enolate derived from the propionate ester 5. The ester 5 was prepared from the commercially available L-(-)-malic acid.

The synthesis of the allyl alcohol $\mathbf{1 0}$ was summarized in Scheme 1. Two carboxylic acid moieties of L-malic acid was reduced easily by borane-dimethyl sulfide complex to produce 1,2,4-butanetriol 6, and selective protection of 1,3-diol moiety over the 1,2-diol moiety was performed successfully by reaction with benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal and PPTS in $82 \%$ two-step yield. ${ }^{4}$ After the primary alcohol 7 was oxidized using Swern protocol, ${ }^{5}$ the resulting aldehyde $\mathbf{8}$ was subjected to Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons olefination reaction to provide the conjugated ester 9 in $67 \%$ two-step yield. ${ }^{6}$ The ethyl ester 9 was then reduced by DIBAL-H at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give the primary allyl alcohol $\mathbf{1 0}$ in $82 \%$ yield.

Synthesis of ester 4 was completed via 6 -step sequence from allyl alcohol $\mathbf{1 0}$ (Scheme 2). The primary alcohol $\mathbf{1 0}$ was treated with $p$-methoxybenzyl chloride and sodium hydride to afford the PMB ether 11 in $98 \%$ yield. The acetal moiety of $\mathbf{1 1}$ was removed quantitatively by CSA in aqueous methanol and the primary hydroxyl group of the resulting
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Figure 1. Retrosynthetic analysis.





Scheme 1. Synthesis of allyl alcohol 10.
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Scheme 2. Synthesis of methyl ester 4.
diol 12 was protected selectively using TBSCl-TEA combination to provide the secondary alcohol 13 in $87 \%$ yield. The intermediate 5, a key precursor for the Ireland-Claisen rearrangement, ${ }^{7}$ was prepared by reaction of 13 with propionyl chloride, TEA, and DMAP in $64 \%$ yield.
Two chiral centers in $\mathbf{1 4}$ were installed from the C 7 chiral center in $\mathbf{5}$ via Ireland-Claisen rearrangement. In other words, intermediate 5 was treated with LiHMDS and TBSCl in THF at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give the (E)-enolate selectively, which undergoes Claisen rearrangement stereoselectively at room temperature to afford the carboxylic acid $\mathbf{1 4}$ with the correct relative stereochemistries in $67 \%$ yield. ${ }^{7}$ Finally, the methyl ester 4 was prepared by methylation of the corresponding carboxylate with iodomethane and potassium carbonate in $83 \%$ yield. ${ }^{8}$
The relative configuration of the methyl ester 4 was confirmed as follows. Treatment of $\mathbf{4}$ with DDQ in a biphasic mixture of pH 7 buffer solution and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ allowed the deprotection of the PMB protecting group and spontaneous cyclization to the lactone 15 . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NOE}$ experiment clearly showed the relative stereochemistry of $\mathbf{1 5}$, and therefore the methyl ester 4 as drawn in Scheme 2 and 3.
In summary, the methyl ester 4, a C3-C8 fragment of amphidinolide O (1), was prepared enantioselectively via 11 steps in $14 \%$ overall yields. The diastereoselective Ireland-


Scheme 3. Synthesis of lactone 15.

Claisen rearrangement of 5 via the corresponding $(E)$-enolate intermediate was used as a key step in order to implement the C 4 and C 5 chiral centers.
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