

## THREE DIMENSIONAL CRITICAL POINT OF THE TOTAL SCALAR CURVATURE

SEUNGSU HWANG

ABSTRACT. It has been conjectured that, on a compact 3-dimensional orientable manifold, a critical point of the total scalar curvature restricted to the space of constant scalar curvature metrics of unit volume is Einstein. In this paper we prove this conjecture under a condition that  $\ker s_g'^* \neq 0$ , which generalizes the previous partial results.

### 1. Introduction

This paper is a continuation of the study of rigidity discussed in [4] and [6]. The result obtained here plays a significant role in proving the conjecture proposed by Besse ([1]).

Let  $M$  be a compact orientable 3-dimensional manifold. It is well known that for critical points of the total scalar curvature

$$g \mapsto \int_M s_g dv_g$$

restricted to metrics of unit volume, are Einstein metrics. Here,  $s_g$  is the scalar curvature and  $dv_g$  is the volume form determined by the metric and orientation. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the space of metrics with constant scalar curvature and unit volume. A metric  $g$  is a critical point of the total scalar curvature restricted to  $\mathcal{C}$ , if and only if there exists a function  $f$  such that

$$(1) \quad z_g = s_g'^*(f),$$

where  $z_g$  is the traceless Ricci tensor of  $g$  and the operator  $s_g'^*$  is defined by

$$(2) \quad s_g'^*(f) = D_g df - g\Delta_g f - fr_g.$$

In 1987 Besse ([1]) proposed the following conjecture:

---

Received March 26, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C25.

*Key words and phrases*. total scalar curvature, critical point metric, Einstein metric.

This work was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology(2011-0005211).

Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact orientable 3-dimensional manifold with constant scalar curvature such that the equation (1) has a solution. Then  $g$  is Einstein.

Note that the geometric structure of an Einstein solution to (1) is known to be simple. We know this as Obata showed that such a solution is isometric to a standard 3-sphere ([7]).

The main purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem, implying that Conjecture A holds on a compact orientable 3-dimensional manifold under a condition on  $\ker s'_g$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(g, f)$  be a non-trivial solution of (1) on a compact orientable 3-dimensional manifold  $M$ . If  $\ker s'_g \neq 0$ , then  $(M, g)$  is isometric to a standard sphere  $S^3$ .*

Theorem 1.1 is an improvement of the following two results.

**Theorem 1.2** ([2]). *Let  $(g, f)$  be a non-trivial solution of (1) on a compact orientable 3-dimensional manifold  $M$ . If  $\dim \ker s'_g \geq 2$ , then  $(M, g)$  is isometric to a standard sphere  $S^3$ .*

**Theorem 1.3** ([6]). *Let  $(g, f)$  be a non-trivial solution of (1) on a compact orientable 3-dimensional manifold  $M$ . If  $\ker s'_g \neq 0$  and  $H_2(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , then  $(M, g)$  is isometric to a standard sphere  $S^3$ .*

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.1**

The differential operator  $d^D$  of  $C^\infty(S^2M)$  into  $\Lambda^2M \otimes T^*M$  is defined as

$$d^D \eta(X, Y, Z) = (D_X \eta)(Y, Z) - (D_Y \eta)(X, Z)$$

for  $\eta \in C^\infty(S^2M)$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a non-trivial function in  $\ker s'_g$ . Then  $\varphi$  satisfies the following equation

$$(3) \quad \varphi z = D_g d\varphi + \frac{s}{6} \varphi g.$$

We begin by analyzing the structure of  $z$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $\varphi \in \ker s'_g$ , we have*

$$(4) \quad \varphi d^D z = -2 d\varphi \wedge z - i_{\nabla \varphi} z \wedge g.$$

Here,  $i_X$  is the interior product.

*Proof.* By the Ricci identity, we have

$$d^D Dd\varphi(X, Y, Z) = R(X, Y, Z, \nabla \varphi)$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y, Z$  on  $M$ . Thus, applying  $d^D$  to both sides of (3) gives

$$(d\varphi \wedge z + \varphi d^D z)(X, Y, Z) = R(X, Y, Z, \nabla \varphi) + \frac{s}{6} (d\varphi \wedge g)(X, Y, Z).$$

Here,  $d\varphi \wedge \eta$  is defined as

$$(d\varphi \wedge \eta)(X, Y, Z) = d\varphi(X)\eta(Y, Z) - d\varphi(Y)\eta(X, Z)$$

for  $\eta \in C^\infty(S^2M)$ . From

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = (g(X, Z)r(Y, W) + g(Y, W)r(X, Z) - g(Y, Z)r(X, W) - g(X, W)r(Y, Z)) - \frac{s}{2}(g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - g(Y, Z)g(X, W)),$$

we obtain

$$(5) \quad R(X, Y, Z, \nabla\varphi) = -\left(i_{\nabla\varphi}r \wedge g + d\varphi \wedge r - \frac{s}{2}d\varphi \wedge g\right)(X, Y, Z).$$

By combining these results, we obtain (4).  $\square$

As a consequence of Lemma 2.1, we derive the following key lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *The function  $z(d\varphi, d\varphi)$  is constant on each connected component of  $\Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma = \varphi^{-1}(0)$ . It is proved in [3] that there are no critical points of  $\varphi$  in  $\Gamma$ . Taking the divergence of the equation (4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} -d^D z(d\varphi, \cdot, \cdot) + \varphi \delta d^D z &= -2\delta(df \wedge z) - \delta(i_{\nabla f} z \wedge g) \\ &= -s\varphi z + 2D_{d\varphi} z - 3Dd\varphi \circ z + \langle Dd\varphi, z \rangle g - Dz(d\varphi, \cdot), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\delta(d\varphi \wedge z) = \frac{s}{2}\varphi z - D_{df} z + Dd\varphi \circ z$$

and

$$\delta(i_{\nabla\varphi} z \wedge g)(X, Y) = -\langle Dd\varphi, z \rangle g(X, Y) + (Dd\varphi \circ z)(X, Y) + D_Y z(d\varphi, X).$$

Thus on  $\Gamma$

$$(6) \quad 2D_{d\varphi} z(X, Y) = -d^D z(d\varphi, X, Y) + D_Y z(d\varphi, X).$$

Here, we use the fact that  $Dd\varphi = \varphi z - \frac{s}{6}\varphi g = 0$  on  $\Gamma$ . Therefore, for  $X = \nabla\varphi$  and  $Y = \nabla f$ , we have on  $\Gamma$

$$(7) \quad 2D_{d\varphi} z(d\varphi, df) = D_{df} z(d\varphi, d\varphi).$$

Similarly, with  $X = Y = \nabla\varphi$  we have

$$(8) \quad D_{d\varphi} z(d\varphi, d\varphi) = 0.$$

Finally, with  $X = Y = \nabla f$ , we have

$$(9) \quad 3D_{d\varphi} z(df, df) = 2D_{df} z(d\varphi, df).$$

Note that on  $\Gamma$  we have  $D_X z(d\varphi, X) = 0$  for a tangent vector  $X$  to  $\Gamma$ , since  $z(d\varphi, X) = 0$  and  $Dd\varphi = 0$  on  $\Gamma$ . Therefore, if  $X$  is a tangential part of  $df$ , or  $X = df - c d\varphi$  for the constant  $c = \langle df, d\varphi \rangle / |d\varphi|^2$  (see [6]), by (7) and (8)

$$(10) \quad 0 = D_X z(d\varphi, X) = D_{df} z(d\varphi, df) - \frac{3}{2}c D_{df} z(d\varphi, d\varphi).$$

By the fact that  $h^3z(d\varphi, d\varphi)$  is constant on  $\Gamma$  for a nontrivial solution  $f$  of (1) with  $h = 1 + f$ , as seen in the proof of Theorem 1.1, on  $\Gamma$  we have

$$(11) \quad D_X z(d\varphi, d\varphi) = X(z(d\varphi, d\varphi)) = -\frac{3}{h}df(X)z(d\varphi, d\varphi).$$

Thus, if  $X$  is orthogonal to  $df$ , it is clear that  $z(d\varphi, d\varphi)$  is constant. When  $X = df - c d\varphi$ , by (8) we have

$$(12) \quad D_{df} z(d\varphi, d\varphi) = -\frac{3}{h}|X|^2 z(d\varphi, d\varphi),$$

where  $|X|^2 = |df|^2 - c^2|d\varphi|^2$ .

Now, for a nontrivial solution  $f$  to (1), the following formula holds;

$$h d^D z = -2df \wedge z - i_{\nabla f} z \wedge g,$$

where  $h = 1 + f$ , and so

$$h d^D z(d\varphi, df, df) = c|df|^2 z(d\varphi, d\varphi) - c|d\varphi|^2 z(df, df).$$

Combining these results with (11) gives

$$|X|^2 z(d\varphi, d\varphi) = hc(|df|^2 z(d\varphi, d\varphi) - |d\varphi|^2 z(df, df)).$$

Note that

$$|d\varphi|^2 z(df, df) = z(d\varphi, d\varphi) \left( c^2|d\varphi|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|X|^2 \right),$$

we have either  $\langle df, d\varphi \rangle = 0$  or  $z(d\varphi, d\varphi) = 0$ . Note that  $\langle df, d\varphi \rangle \neq 0$  in general; in fact, if  $f$  satisfies  $\langle df, d\varphi \rangle = 0$ , we may consider the new function  $\tilde{f} = f + \varphi$ , which is also a solution of (1) with  $\langle d\tilde{f}, d\varphi \rangle = |d\varphi|^2 \neq 0$ . As a consequence,  $z(d\varphi, d\varphi) = 0$  and so by (11) with a tangent vector  $X$  to  $\Gamma$ ,  $z(d\varphi, d\varphi)$  is constant on  $\Gamma$ . □

Now, we are ready to prove our main theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $\nu = d\varphi/|d\varphi|$  and  $\Sigma$  be a connected component of  $\Gamma$ . Since there is no critical point of  $\varphi$  on  $\Gamma$ ,  $|d\varphi| \neq 0$  on  $\Sigma$ . In fact,  $|d\varphi|$  is constant on  $\Sigma$ , since for any tangent vector  $\xi$  to  $\Sigma$ ,

$$\xi(|d\varphi|^2) = 2\langle D_\xi d\varphi, d\varphi \rangle = 0.$$

Thus  $z(\nu, \nu)$  is constant on  $\Sigma$  by Lemma 2.2. It is also proved in [6] that  $(1 + f)^3 z(\nu, \nu)$  is constant on  $\Sigma$ . Therefore,  $f$  should be constant on  $\Sigma$  unless  $z(\nu, \nu) \equiv 0$  on  $\Sigma$ .

Note that if  $z(\nu, \nu) \equiv 0$  on all connected components of  $\Gamma$ , the metric  $g$  should be Einstein since

$$0 = \int_\Gamma |d\varphi| z(\nu, \nu) = \int_{\{x \in M \mid \varphi(x) < 0\}} \varphi |z|^2 = - \int_{\{x \in M \mid \varphi(x) > 0\}} \varphi |z|^2,$$

implying that  $|z| \equiv 0$  on all of  $M$ . This proves our theorem.

Now, suppose  $z(\nu, \nu) \neq 0$  on some  $\Sigma$ . Then we may conclude that  $df$  is parallel to  $\nu$  since  $f$  is constant on  $\Sigma$ .

Consider the following sets

$$\Sigma^A = \{x \in \Sigma \mid df \text{ is parallel to } \nu \text{ with } df \neq 0 \text{ at } x\},$$

$$\Sigma^B = \{x \in \Sigma \mid df \text{ is not parallel to } \nu \text{ with } df \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\Sigma^C = \{x \in \Sigma \mid df(x) = 0\}.$$

Note  $\langle df, \nu \rangle$  is constant by Lemma 5 in [4]. Thus, from the fact that  $\langle df, \nu \rangle \neq 0$  on  $\Sigma^A$  and  $\langle df, \nu \rangle = 0$  on  $\Sigma^C$ , and  $\Sigma$  is connected, either  $\Sigma = \Sigma^A \cup \Sigma^B$  or  $\Sigma = \Sigma^B \cup \Sigma^C$  holds. However, our previous conclusion that  $df$  is parallel to  $\nu$  on  $\Sigma$  excludes the existence of  $\Sigma^B$ . Therefore, either  $\Sigma = \Sigma^A$  or  $\Sigma = \Sigma^C$ . We shall derive a contradiction by showing that  $\Sigma$  cannot be either  $\Sigma^A$  or  $\Sigma^C$ , which proves our Theorem.

Note that it is proved in Lemma 2.3 of [5] that there is no open set in  $\Sigma^A$ . In other words,  $\Sigma^A$  has measure zero in  $\Sigma$ , and so  $\Sigma^A$  cannot be equal to all of  $\Sigma$ .

To prove that  $\Sigma \neq \Sigma^C$ , we consider a new function  $\tilde{f} = f + \varphi$ . Since  $s'_g(\tilde{f}) = s'_g(f) = z_g$ ,  $\tilde{f}$  is also a solution of (1). Then  $d\tilde{f}$  is parallel to  $\nu$  with  $d\tilde{f} \neq 0$  at  $\Sigma$  since  $df = 0$  on  $\Sigma$ . In other words,  $\Sigma^C = \{x \in \Sigma \mid d\tilde{f} \text{ is parallel to } \nu \text{ with } d\tilde{f} \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$ . Then, again by Lemma 2.3 of [5],  $\Sigma^C$  has measure zero, implying that  $\Sigma^C$  cannot be equal to all of  $\Sigma$ . This completes the proof of our Theorem.  $\square$

## References

- [1] A. L. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, New York, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [2] J. Chang, G. Yun, and S. Hwang, *Critical point metrics of the total scalar curvature*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **49** (2012), no. 3, 655–667.
- [3] A. E. Fischer and J. E. Marsden, *Manifolds of Riemannian metrics with prescribed scalar curvature*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1974), 479–484.
- [4] S. Hwang, *The critical point equation on a three dimensional compact manifold*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 10, 3221–3230.
- [5] ———, *Some remarks on stable minimal surfaces in the critical point of the total scalar curvature*, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. **23** (2008), no. 4, 587–595.
- [6] S. Hwang, J. Chang, and G. Yun, *Rigidity of the critical point equation*, Math. Nachr. **283** (2010), no. 6, 846–853.
- [7] M. Obata, *Certain conditions for a Riemannian manifold to be isometric with a sphere*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **14** (1962), no. 3, 333–340.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 CHUNG-ANG UNIVERSITY  
 SEOUL 156-756, KOREA  
 E-mail address: seungsu@cau.ac.kr