Bull. Korean Math. Soc.  ${\bf 50}$  (2013), No. 3, pp. 833–837 http://dx.doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.2013.50.3.833

# A NOTE ON THE GENERALIZED MYERS THEOREM FOR FINSLER MANIFOLDS

#### BING-YE WU

ABSTRACT. In this note we establish a generalized Myers theorem under line integral curvature bound for Finsler manifolds.

## 1. Introduction

The celebrated Myers theorem in global Riemannian geometry says that if a Riemannian manifold M satisfies  $\operatorname{Ric}(v) \ge (n-1)a > 0$  for all unit vector v, then M is compact and

$$\operatorname{diam}(M) \le \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{a}}.$$

There are many generalizations of Myers theorem (see e.g., [2, 3, 7]). In [7] the author proved the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold. Then for any  $\delta > 0, a > 0$ , there exists  $\epsilon = \epsilon(n, a, \delta)$  satisfying the following. If for any  $p \in M$  and each minimal geodesic  $\gamma$  emanating from p, the Ricci curvature satisfies

$$\int_{\gamma} \max\{(n-1)a - \operatorname{\mathbf{Ric}}(\gamma'(t)), 0\} dt \le \epsilon(n, a, \delta),$$

then M is compact with

diam
$$(M) \le \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{a}} + \delta.$$

Myers theorem has also been generalized to Finsler manifolds [1]. In this note we shall prove the following result which generalizes Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let (M, F) be an n-dimensional forward complete Finsler manifold. If there is  $\Lambda > 0$  such that for any  $p \in M$  and each minimal geodesic  $\gamma$ 

O2013 The Korean Mathematical Society

Received March 9, 2012.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C60; Secondary 53B40.

Key words and phrases. Myers theorem, Ricci curvature, Finsler manifold.

The research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11171139).

emanating from p, the Ricci curvature satisfies

$$\int_{\gamma} \max\{(n-1)a - \operatorname{\mathbf{Ric}}(\gamma'(t)), 0\} dt \leq \Lambda,$$

then M is compact with

diam
$$(M) \le \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{a}} + \frac{\Lambda}{(n-1)a}.$$

# 2. Finsler geometry

In this section we briefly recall some fundamental materials of Finsler geometry, and for details one is referred to see [1, 4, 5, 6]. Let (M, F) be a Finsler *n*-manifold with Finsler metric  $F: TM \to [0,\infty)$ . Let  $(x,y) = (x^i, y^i)$  be local coordinates on TM, and  $\pi: TM \setminus 0 \to M$  the natural projection. Unlike in the Riemannian case, most Finsler quantities are functions of TM rather than M. The fundamental tensor  $g_{ij}$  is defined by

$$g_{ij}(x,y) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F^2(x,y)}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}.$$

Let  $R_{j\ kl}^{i}$  be the first Chern curvature tensor, and  $R_{ijkl} := g_{js}R_{i\ kl}^{s}$ . Write  $\mathbf{g}_y = g_{ij}(x,y)dx^i \otimes dx^j, \mathbf{R}_y = R_{ijkl}(x,y)dx^i \otimes dx^j \otimes dx^k \otimes dx^l.$  For a tangent plane  $P \subset T_x M$ , let

$$\mathbf{K}(P,y) = \mathbf{K}(y;u) := \frac{\mathbf{R}_y(y,u,u,y)}{\mathbf{g}_y(y,y)\mathbf{g}_y(u,u) - [\mathbf{g}_y(y,u)]^2},$$

where  $y, u \in P$  are tangent vectors such that  $P = \operatorname{span}\{y, u\}$ . We call  $\mathbf{K}(P, y)$ the flag curvature of P with flag pole y. Let

$$\mathbf{Ric}(y) = \sum_{i} \mathbf{K}(y; e_i),$$

where  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  is a  $\mathbf{g}_y$ -orthogonal basis for the corresponding tangent space. We call  $\mathbf{Ric}(y)$  the *Ricci curvature of y*.

Let  $V = v^i \partial / \partial x^i$  be a non-vanishing vector field on an open subset  $\mathcal{U} \subset M$ . One can introduce a Riemannian metric  $\tilde{g} = \mathbf{g}_V$  and a linear connection  $\nabla^V$ (called *Chern connection*) on the tangent bundle over  $\mathcal{U}$  as follows:

$$\nabla^{V}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}} := \Gamma^{k}_{ij}(x,v)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k}}$$

where  $\Gamma^i_{jk}(x, v)$  are the Chern connection coefficients. The Legendre transformation  $l: TM \to T^*M$  is defined by

$$l(Y) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{g}_Y(Y, \cdot), & Y \neq 0\\ 0, & Y = 0 \end{cases}$$

Now let  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function on M. The gradient of f is defined by  $\nabla f = l^{-1}(df)$ . Thus we have

$$df(X) = \mathbf{g}_{\nabla f}(\nabla f, X), \quad X \in TM.$$

834

Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{x \in M : \nabla f \mid_{x \neq 0}\}$ . We define the Hessian H(f) of f on  $\mathcal{U}$  as follows:

$$H(f)(X,Y) := XY(f) - \nabla_X^{\nabla f} Y(f), \quad \forall X, Y \in TM \mid_{\mathcal{U}} X$$

It is known that H(f) is symmetric, and it can be rewritten as (see [6])

$$H(f)(X,Y) = \mathbf{g}_{\nabla f}(\nabla_X^{\vee f} \nabla f, Y)$$

It should be noted that the notion of Hessian defined here is different from that in [4]. In that case H(f) is in fact defined by

$$H(f)(X,X) = X \cdot X \cdot (f) - \nabla_X^X X(f),$$

and there is no definition for H(f)(X,Y) if  $X \neq Y$ . The advantage of our definition is that H(f) is a symmetric bilinear form and we can treat it by using the theory of symmetric matrix. For any fixed  $p \in M$  let  $r = d_F(p, \cdot)$  be the distance function from p induced by Finsler metric F, and  $(r, \theta)$  the polar coordinates on  $M \setminus C(p)$ , where C(p) is the cut loci of p. The following lemma is crucial to prove Theorem 1.2.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $h = h(r, \theta) = \operatorname{trace}_{\mathbf{g}_{\nabla r}} H(r)$ . Then  $\lim_{r \to +0} h = +\infty$ , and

$$\frac{dh}{dr} \le -\mathbf{Ric}(\nabla r) - \frac{h^2}{n-1}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $E_1, \ldots, E_{n-1}, E_n = \nabla r$  be the local  $\mathbf{g}_{\nabla r}$ -orthonormal frame fields on  $M \setminus C(p)$ . We have the following equality where r is smooth (see (5.1) of [6]):

$$\frac{d}{dr}\operatorname{trace}_{\mathbf{g}_{\nabla r}}H(r) = -\operatorname{\mathbf{Ric}}(\nabla r) - \sum_{i,j}(H(r)(E_i, E_j))^2.$$

Note that  $\nabla r$  is a geodesic field, and thus  $H(r)(\nabla r, \cdot) = 0$ , which together with above equality and Schwartz inequality we clearly have the desired inequality. On the other hand, for sufficiently small  $\epsilon$  let b be the upper bound of flag curvature on  $B_p(\epsilon)$ , then by Hessian comparison theorem [6] it follows that

$$h(r,\theta) \ge (n-1)\operatorname{ct}_b(r) = \begin{cases} (n-1)\sqrt{b} \cdot \operatorname{cotan}(\sqrt{b}r), & b > 0\\ \frac{n-1}{r}, & b = 0\\ (n-1)\sqrt{-b} \cdot \operatorname{cotanh}(\sqrt{-b}r), & b < 0 \end{cases} \quad \forall r < \epsilon,$$
  
and consequently,  $\lim_{r \to +0} h = +\infty.$ 

and consequently,  $\lim_{r\to+0} h = +\infty$ .

#### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Now let us complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. For any fixed  $p,q \in M$ let  $\gamma : [0, L] \to M$  be the minimal unit-speeded geodesic from p to q with  $L = r(q) = d_F(p,q)$ . Let  $h = h(r,\theta)$  be defined by Lemma 2.1, and consider  $f = f(t) := h(\gamma(t))$ , then f is smooth on (0, L). By Lemma 2.1 one has

$$f'(t) \le -\mathbf{Ric}(\gamma'(t)) - \frac{f(t)^2}{n-1},$$

and consequently,

$$\left(\operatorname{arccot}\left(\frac{f}{(n-1)\sqrt{a}}\right)\right)' = \frac{-\frac{1}{(n-1)\sqrt{a}}f'}{1+\frac{f^2}{(n-1)^2a}}$$
(1)  

$$\geq \frac{\operatorname{Ric}(\gamma') + \frac{f^2}{n-1}}{\left(1+\frac{f^2}{(n-1)^2a}\right)(n-1)\sqrt{a}}$$

$$= \frac{\operatorname{Ric}(\gamma') - (n-1)a + (n-1)a\left(1+\frac{f^2}{(n-1)^2a}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{f^2}{(n-1)^2a}\right)(n-1)\sqrt{a}}$$

$$\geq -\frac{1}{(n-1)\sqrt{a}}\max\{(n-1)a - \operatorname{Ric}(\gamma'), 0\} + \sqrt{a}.$$

For any small  $\epsilon > 0$  integrating (1) on  $(\epsilon, L - \epsilon)$  we get

$$\pi - \operatorname{arccot}\left(\frac{f(\epsilon)}{(n-1)\sqrt{a}}\right)$$

$$(2) \quad > \operatorname{arccot}\left(\frac{f(L-\epsilon)}{(n-1)\sqrt{a}}\right) - \operatorname{arccot}\left(\frac{f(\epsilon)}{(n-1)\sqrt{a}}\right)$$

$$\geq -\frac{1}{(n-1)\sqrt{a}}\int_{\epsilon}^{L-\epsilon} \max\{(n-1)a - \operatorname{Ric}(\gamma'(t)), 0\}dt + (L-2\epsilon)\sqrt{a}.$$

On the other hand,  $\lim_{t\to+0} f(t) = +\infty$  by Lemma 2.1, and thus

$$\lim_{t \to +0} \operatorname{arccot}\left(\frac{f(t)}{(n-1)\sqrt{a}}\right) = 0.$$

Now let  $\epsilon \to +0$  in (2) it follows that

$$\pi \ge -\frac{1}{(n-1)\sqrt{a}} \int_0^L \max\{(n-1)a - \operatorname{Ric}(\gamma'(t)), 0\} dt + L\sqrt{a}$$
$$\ge -\frac{\Lambda}{(n-1)\sqrt{a}} + L\sqrt{a},$$

and consequently,

$$L \le \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{a}} + \frac{\Lambda}{(n-1)a}.$$

So we complete the proof.

### References

- D. Bao, S. S. Chern, and Z. Shen, An Introduction to Riemann-Finsler Ggeometry, GTM 200, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [2] C. Chicone and P. Ehrlich, Line integration of Ricci curvature and conjugate points in Lorentzian and Riemannian manifolds, Manuscripta Math. 31 (1980), no. 1-3, 297–316.
- [3] G. J. Galloway, A generalization of Myers' theorem and an application to relativistic cosmology, J. Differential Geom. 14 (1979), no. 1, 105–116.
- [4] Z. Shen, Lectures on Finsler Geometry, World Sci., 2001, Singapore.

836

- [5] B. Y. Wu, Volume form and its applications in Finsler geometry, Publ. Math. Debrecen 78 (2011), no. 3-4, 723–741.
- B. Y. Wu and Y. L. Xin, Comparison theorems in Finsler geometry and their applications, Math. Ann. 337 (2007), no. 1, 177–196.
- [7] J. G. Yun, A note on the generalized Myers theorem, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 46 (2009), no. 1, 61–66.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS MINJIANG UNIVERSITY FUZHOU 350108, FUJIAN, P. R. CHINA *E-mail address*: bingyewu@yahoo.cn